

Sarah Mayer Public Comment - Group 3



From: Paulette Le Blanc <p.leblanc@verizon.net>  
Sent: Saturday, March 16, 2024 10:51 AM  
To: sbcob; PAD LRP Housing Element  
Subject: Board of Supervisors Special Workshop March 19

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

As a homeowner in the city of Goleta who regularly walks the neighborhood between Glenn Annie and Winchester Canyon Roads, I am appalled at the thought of SB County's proposed rezoning the Glen Annie Golf course for 800-1000 housing.

It seems self-evident, but the issues/problems I see include (in no particular order):

- Negative impacts to the City of Goleta's services and infrastructure, including additional police, road maintenance, traffic, and increased population in the adjacent schools (DP and Brandon Elementary)
  - Who will provide and/or fund this?
  - The County since they will reap the revenue from the property taxes??
  
- Geological concerns in reference to the increased weight of development on an already moving hill and potential impacts on current housing below the hill
  - Currently, the tee boxes at Glen Annie continually slide and undulate and the irrigation pipes break on a regularly due to current earth movement
  
- Traffic, safety, and noise impacts of development on Cathedral Oaks, Glen Annie Road, and corresponding overpass
  - Adding additional traffic to some of the most high volume intersections in the City of Goleta seems ill advised
  - I have serious safety concerns on one of the most used corridors by bicycle and pedestrian traffic – how will bicycle, e-bike and pedestrian traffic be addressed?
  
- Cathedral Oaks, Glen Annie Road and the 101 overpass is **extremely** busy during the morning and evening rush hours
  - Adding more cars will exacerbate this situation and greatly impact public safety
  - Currently, there is NOT a sidewalk in that area
  - Glenn Annie is only one lane in each direction
  - There is not room to widen the road, add a sidewalk and/or bike lanes in each direction as Glenn Annie borders DP and Bishop Ranch

- Where does the water to support this additional development come from? Does the Goleta Water District support this?
  - The majority (85%-90%) of the water used at Glen Annie is recycled water, therefore non-potable
  - Housing would require *all* potable water
  
- This property is zoned **AGRICULTURAL** with a conditional use permit (the golf course)
  - It is considered prime soil for agricultural and is outside the urban boundary
  - It is clearly an inappropriate location for upzoning
  
- Impacts the local flora and fauna
  - The golf course was designed to accommodate the California red-legged frogs (*Rana draytonii*) that are federally listed as threatened under the Endangered Species Act
  
  - The current open space on the golf course provides a vital wildfire break for the adjacent City of Goleta neighborhoods and Dos Pueblos High School.

I understand the pressure that the County is experiencing from the State's building mandate, but I implore you to stop this insanity now – before irreparable harm is done.

Thank you for taking the time to review this.

Paulette Le Blanc

30 Winchester Canyon Road, Space 64

Goleta, CA 93117

## Sarah Mayer

---

**From:** Denise Stevens <fluffyds@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 16, 2024 3:41 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Cc:** joan@joanhartmannforsupervisor.org; PAD LRP Housing Element  
**Subject:** Extreme objection to rezoning and building many homes at Glen Annie golf course

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Housing Element staff and decision makers,

I am writing to you to add my concerns about the SB County's proposed rezoning of Glen Annie Golf Club for housing units. As with many others in the El Encanto area of Goleta (and other Goleta residents), the concerns are many, including:

1) Impacts on City of Goleta services and infrastructure, including additional police, road infrastructure, traffic, and school services within the city of Goleta. How would these be provided and funded? The state is trying to pass a crazy proposition that will be taking money away from Counties..... We are already severely back logged in terms of infrastructure spending. Cathedral Oaks Blvd. in front of the Glen Annie Golf course and Dos Pueblos down to the 101 is full of cracks and pot holes and continues to get worse each week.

2) Geological concerns in reference to the increased weight of development on an already moving hill and potential impacts on current housing below the hill. Tee boxes continually slide and undulate on course as well as compromised irrigation pipes on a constant basis already due to current earth movement.

3) Open space of the current golf course provides a vital wildfire break for the City of Goleta neighborhoods below and Dos Pueblos High School. Dos Pueblos is also used as a staging area for first responders when there are fires such as the one on upper Fairview..... without the fire break provided by Glen Annie golf and the other ag land, we are all endangered, including the high school!!!! Western Goleta/El Encanto area is one of the few that has not had fire evacuations. If we are exposed to such in the future because of reckless development, who is going to pay for losses or the loss of our fire insurance in the future???? You????

4) Traffic, safety, and noise impacts of development on Cathedral Oaks, Glen Annie Road, and corresponding overpass. Adding additional traffic to some of the most high volume intersections in the City of Goleta seems ill advised. There are a multitude of safety concerns for pedestrian, bicycle and car traffic. The freeway on/off ramps are already overused without additional high density building and pose a traffic hazard as cars slow to exit the 101 off ramp at Glen Annie/Storke exit or race the red to turn at the end of the exit. This will become even more dangerous. Also, noise on an already congested traffic corridor presents health and quality of life concerns for residents whose homes are nearby. Will the County provide sound walls for those residents to mitigate the noise impacts? I doubt it since the roads go unpaved and are already a pot hole hazard to cars....in addition to other basic services.

5) Water Rights and where does the water to support this additional development come from as Glen Annie Golf Club primarily uses reclaimed water. Where does the Goleta Water District stand on this proposal? They might be saying we have water now, but we all know that will disappear very quickly if we go back to a few years of drought.

6) Impacts to nature in regards to local flora and fauna. The golf course was designed to accommodate the Red Legged Frog, how does that fit into any proposed development in this area? Further development will

also exacerbate the problem of coyotes coming to our front doors because we have taken up all their territory. We have seen the increase already these last several years.... Residents already notice them stalking dog walkers on Cathedral Oaks... more development will continue to push them into further neighborhoods with children and pets around.

7) If Kenwood Village and Heritage Ridge have both yet to even break ground how do we account for the potential impacts of these developments already approved. Wouldn't it be more prudent to wait until these areas have been infilled before considering the proposed zoning changes?

8) What precedent would this set for other areas North of Cathedral Oaks in western Goleta. Specifically the adjacent large tracts with agricultural water rights such as the property on Northgate and Cathedral Oaks and further to the West side of the Evergreen terrace apartments? DON'T LET US BECOME THE NEXT OXNARD!!!! If I wanted to live in Oxnard, I would have moved there.....

9) Everyone knows that any "affordable" housing would actually be minimal and not really all that affordable. That is never the way it works.... I am very aware of the developments that did have to put in some "middle class" restricted housing some 21 years ago as part of building deals. Those units get jammed in, there is not enough parking and cheap construction and parking become problems for the HOAs later....

For these reasons, I vehemently oppose the proposed rezoning of Glen Annie Golf course for housing units.

I will also continue to withhold a vote for any local politician who votes in favor of such rezoning..... AND FOR THE RECORD, I DID NOT VOTE FOR JOAN HARTMAN THIS LAST ELECTION FOR THIS REASON AND I AM A LIFELONG DEMOCRAT..... I will vote on this as a singular issue for our city and county.... So be forewarned.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Respectfully,  
Denise Stevens – City of Goleta resident, Jenna Drive

P.S. For the record, you should have let Glen Annie Golf build a new, bigger clubhouse several years ago. They simply want to be able to make a profit. A bigger clubhouse would have allowed them to do bigger weddings, holiday parties, etc.... something that residents could have also benefitted from..... That is far preferable to losing the golf course as recreation, the beauty of the open space, etc. What are you thinking???

## Sarah Mayer

---

**From:** Denise Stevens <fluffyds@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 16, 2024 4:09 PM  
**To:** sbcob; PAD LRP Housing Element; HousingElement@cityofgoleta.org  
**Cc:** Joan Hartmann  
**Subject:** Extreme objection to rezoning and building many homes at Glen Annie golf course

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Resending as I added a bit more:

---

Dear Housing Element staff and decision makers,

I am writing to you to add my concerns about the SB County's proposed rezoning of Glen Annie Golf Club for housing units. As with many others in the EI Encanto area of Goleta (and other Goleta residents), the concerns are many, including:

1) Impacts on City of Goleta services and infrastructure, including additional police, road infrastructure, traffic, and school services within the city of Goleta. How would these be provided and funded? The state is trying to pass a crazy proposition that will be taking money away from Counties..... We are already severely back logged in terms of infrastructure spending. Cathedral Oaks Blvd. as well as other roads are full of cracks and pot holes and continue to get worse each week and the sewer system in the area is already compromised. **The existing INFRASTRUCTURE was not built to handle so many people. It is not even adequate for the population we already have. At what point is the very serious infrastructure deficiencies going to be assessed BEFORE we keep building?**

2) Geological concerns in reference to the increased weight of development on an already moving hill and potential impacts on current housing below the hill. Tee boxes continually slide and undulate on course as well as compromised irrigation pipes on a constant basis already due to current earth movement.

3) Open space of the current golf course provides a vital wildfire break for the City of Goleta neighborhoods below and Dos Pueblos High School. Dos Pueblos is also used as a staging area for first responders when there are fires such as the one on upper Fairview..... without the fire break provided by Glen Annie golf and the other ag land, we are all endangered, including the high school!!!! Western Goleta/EI Encanto area is one of the few that has not had fire evacuations. If we are exposed to such in the future because of reckless development, who is going to pay for losses or the loss of our fire insurance in the future???? You????

4) Traffic, safety, and noise impacts of development on Cathedral Oaks, Glen Annie Road, and corresponding overpass. Adding additional traffic to some of the most high volume intersections in the City of Goleta seems ill advised. There are a multitude of safety concerns for pedestrian, bicycle and car traffic. The freeway on/off ramps are already overused without additional high density building and pose a traffic hazard as cars slow to exit the 101 off ramp at Glen Annie/Storke exit or race the red to turn at the end of the exit. This will become even more dangerous. Also, noise on an already congested traffic corridor presents health and quality of life concerns for residents whose homes are nearby. Will the County provide sound walls for those residents to mitigate the noise impacts? I doubt it since the roads go unpaved and are already a pot hole hazard to cars....in addition to other basic services.

5) Water Rights and where does the water to support this additional development come from as Glen Annie Golf Club primarily uses reclaimed water. Where does the Goleta Water District stand on this proposal? They might be saying we have water now, but we all know that will disappear very quickly if we go back to a few years of drought. We all have learned how vulnerable Lake Cachuma is to drought, evaporation if temps rise and overuse. And we have no back-up source. It is crazy to jeopardize the future of the entire community. Over building now will most certainly negate any temporary/short-term improvement over the water supply of the last few years.

6) Impacts to nature in regards to local flora and fauna. The golf course was designed to accommodate the Red Legged Frog, how does that fit into any proposed development in this area? There should be land for several species, including migrating birds that utilize Glen Annie golf. Further development will also exacerbate the problem of coyotes coming to our front doors because we have taken up all their territory. We have seen the increase already these last several years.... Residents already notice them stalking dog walkers on Cathedral Oaks... more development will continue to push them into further neighborhoods with children and pets around.

7) If Kenwood Village and Heritage Ridge have both yet to even break ground how do we account for the potential impacts of these developments already approved. Wouldn't it be more prudent to wait until these areas have been infilled before considering the proposed zoning changes?

8) What precedent would this set for other areas North of Cathedral Oaks in western Goleta. Specifically the adjacent large tracts with agricultural water rights such as the property on Northgate and Cathedral Oaks and further to the West side of the Evergreen terrace apartments? DON'T LET US BECOME THE NEXT OXNARD!!!! If I wanted to live in Oxnard, I would have moved there..... It is crazy to put Goleta on the path of over building and assuming even more infrastructure needs in the face of existing infrastructure deficits. It can never be undone.

9) Everyone knows that any "affordable" housing would actually be minimal and not really all that affordable. That is never the way it works.... I am very aware of the developments that did have to put in some "middle class" restricted housing some 21 years ago as part of building deals. Those units get jammed in, there is not enough parking and cheap construction and parking become problems for the HOAs later....

10) It is simply unfair that Goleta is bearing a large burden of the County and City housing plans. It makes those of us living here angry and suspicious. Are we not also entitled to enjoy some modicum of the beauty and open spaces that wealthier enclaves seek to protect?

11) Glen Annie is one of two affordable full size golf courses in the Goleta/SB area. Sandpiper is far more expensive and thus not something most can play regularly. The majority of courses in the area are private with membership fees in the many thousands. Without Glen Annie, middle class golfers will be left to fight for tee times at the SB muni course. Doesn't this seem a bit biased towards the wealthy? My husband and I pay a pretty penny for property and other taxes.... Can he at least golf without having to drive an hour away?

For these reasons, I vehemently oppose the proposed rezoning of Glen Annie Golf course for housing units.

**I will also continue to withhold a vote for any local politician who votes in favor of such rezoning..... AND FOR THE RECORD, I DID NOT VOTE FOR JOAN HARTMAN THIS LAST ELECTION FOR THIS REASON AND I AM A LIFELONG DEMOCRAT..... I will vote on this as a singular issue for our city and county.... So be forewarned.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Respectfully,  
Denise Stevens – City of Goleta resident, Jenna Drive

P.S. For the record, you should have let Glen Annie Golf build a new, bigger clubhouse several years ago. They simply want to be able to make a profit. A bigger clubhouse would have allowed them to do bigger weddings, holiday parties, etc.... something that residents could have also benefitted from..... That is far preferable to losing the golf course as recreation, the beauty of the open space, etc. What are you thinking????

## Sarah Mayer

---

**From:** Susan Clayton <seclayton@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 16, 2024 6:10 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Development of Goleta Golf Course

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Attention Joan Hartman

There has been a lot of chatter on Nextdoor about the possible housing development on the Glen Annie Golf Course.

I understand the concerns raised by those of us who see how this will impact Goleta including:

Greatly increased traffic on Glen Annie/Stork road

The density of housing on very hilly terrain

Water issues

Public transportation

Fire hazard

Encroachment on open space

etc

What I have not heard are how the County is planning on addressing these issues. Or the other and possibly better options for development in the Goleta area.

Any word from the County on their thoughts would be helpful in making this a meaningful debate. An article in the County Newsletter, Independent, etc, would be appreciated.

Thank you,  
Susan Clayton  
230 Salisbury Ave, Goleta, CA 93117



## Sarah Mayer

---

**From:** rickworth@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 16, 2024 7:41 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Glen Annie development proposal

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

This is Glen Annie development proposal is a horrible idea! Leave Goleta alone for chrissakes! Haven't we undergone enough freaking development as it is?!

When is it going to stop?! When we look like Long Beach? Is that when it's gonna stop? What's next after this one? What else are you going to give for property developers? This city has already allowed horrible excessive and cramped over-development to take place and I suppose that's when I decided I would start getting involved because it's obvious the captain is drunk .

Leave Goleta alone it's big enough! it's actually too big as it is, but it is what it is. We don't need to be introducing more into this already screwed up city planning mess that we're currently dealing with. Now you're going after the last of the green rolling hills that make this area so beautiful to begin with! It's going to end up looking like Morro Bay or any of those other environmental disasters up north. Get a grip! Growth does not necessarily mean you're city is doing good. You can only put so many potatoes in a bag before it becomes crowded and moldy.

Please, you're not going to be in the office forever so straighten your backbone and do your job for the residents of this city and protect them from these damn developers and their promise for stuffing the city coffers. DO YOUR JOB! You were voted in to fight these cretins, not cave into their skewed visions of prosperity.

Richard Worth  
Goleta, Ca. Homeowner

## Sarah Mayer

---

**From:** SHERRON L PAGLIOTTI <pagliotti5@sbcglobal.net>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 16, 2024 7:50 PM  
**To:** sbcob; PAD LRP Housing Element  
**Subject:** Housing Glen Annie

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Housing Element staff and decision makers,

I am writing to you to express my concerns about the SB County's proposed rezoning of Glen Annie Golf Club for housing units. My concerns are outlined below:

- 1) Impacts on City of Goleta services and infrastructure, including additional police, road infrastructure, traffic, and school services within the city of Goleta. How would these be provided and funded?
- 2) Geological concerns in reference to the increased weight of development on an already moving hill and potential impacts on current housing below the hill. Tee boxes continually slide and undulate on course as well as compromised irrigation pipes on a constant basis already due to current earth movement.
- 3) Open space of the current golf course provides a vital wildfire break for the City of Goleta neighborhoods below and Dos Pueblos High School.
- 4) Traffic, safety, and noise impacts of development on Cathedral Oaks, Glen Annie Road, and corresponding overpass. Adding additional traffic to some of the most high volume intersections in the City of Goleta seems ill advised. Safety concerns on one of the most used corridors by bicycle and pedestrian traffic concerns me. Noise on an already congested traffic corridor presents health and quality of life concerns for residents whose homes are near Cathedral Oaks Rd. Will the County provide sound walls for those residents to mitigate the noise impacts?
- 5) Water Rights and where does the water to support this additional development come from as Glen Annie Golf Club primarily uses reclaimed water. Where does the Goleta Water District stand on this proposal?
- 6) Impacts to nature in regards to local flora and fauna. The golf course was designed to accommodate the Red Legged Frog, how does that fit into any proposed development in this area?
- 7) If Kenwood Village and Heritage Ridge have both yet to even break ground how do we account for the potential impacts of these developments already approved. Wouldn't it be more prudent to wait until these areas have been infilled before considering the proposed zoning changes?
- 8) What precedent would this set for other areas North of Cathedral Oaks in western Goleta. Specifically the adjacent large tracts with agricultural water rights such as the property on Northgate and Cathedral Oaks and further to the West side of the Evergreen terrace apartments?

For these reasons, I strongly oppose the proposed rezoning of Glen Annie Golf course for housing units.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sherron Pagliotti

## Sarah Mayer

---

**From:** SHERRON L PAGLIOTTI <pagliotti5@sbcglobal.net>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 16, 2024 7:59 PM  
**To:** PAD LRP Housing Element; sbcob  
**Subject:** Glen Annie

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Housing Element staff and decision makers,

I am writing to you to express my concerns about the SB County's proposed rezoning of Glen Annie Golf Club for housing units. My concerns are outlined below:

- 1) Impacts on City of Goleta services and infrastructure, including additional police, road infrastructure, traffic, and school services within the city of Goleta. How would these be provided and funded?
- 2) Geological concerns in reference to the increased weight of development on an already moving hill and potential impacts on current housing below the hill. Tee boxes continually slide and undulate on course as well as compromised irrigation pipes on a constant basis already due to current earth movement.
- 3) Open space of the current golf course provides a vital wildfire break for the City of Goleta neighborhoods below and Dos Pueblos High School.
- 4) Traffic, safety, and noise impacts of development on Cathedral Oaks, Glen Annie Road, and corresponding overpass. Adding additional traffic to some of the most high volume intersections in the City of Goleta seems ill advised. Safety concerns on one of the most used corridors by bicycle and pedestrian traffic concerns me. Noise on an already congested traffic corridor presents health and quality of life concerns for residents whose homes are near Cathedral Oaks Rd. Will the County provide sound walls for those residents to mitigate the noise impacts?
- 5) Water Rights and where does the water to support this additional development come from as Glen Annie Golf Club primarily uses reclaimed water. Where does the Goleta Water District stand on this proposal?
- 6) Impacts to nature in regards to local flora and fauna. The golf course was designed to accommodate the Red Legged Frog, how does that fit into any proposed development in this area?
- 7) If Kenwood Village and Heritage Ridge have both yet to even break ground how do we account for the potential impacts of these developments already approved. Wouldn't it be more prudent to wait until these areas have been infilled before considering the proposed zoning changes?
- 8) What precedent would this set for other areas North of Cathedral Oaks in western Goleta. Specifically the adjacent large tracts with agricultural water rights such as the property on Northgate and Cathedral Oaks and further to the West side of the Evergreen terrace apartments?

For these reasons, I strongly oppose the proposed rezoning of Glen Annie Golf course for housing units.

Thank you for your time and consideration.  
Dan Pagliotti  
Goleta citizen

## Sarah Mayer

---

**From:** Lisa Sideris <lsideris@ucsb.edu>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 16, 2024 10:53 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Cc:** PAD LRP Housing Element  
**Subject:** Board of Supervisors Special Workshop March 19

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Housing Element staff and decision makers, I live on Cathedral Oaks Road, where we have seen many fatal accidents and increased traffic congestion. I am also greatly concerned about environmental impacts of proposed rezoning in our area of Goleta.

I am writing to you to express my concerns about the SB County's proposed rezoning of Glen Annie Golf Club for housing units. My concerns are outlined below: 1) Impacts on City of Goleta services and infrastructure, including additional police, road infrastructure, traffic, and school services within the city of Goleta. How would these be provided and funded? 2) Geological concerns in reference to the increased weight of development on an already moving hill and potential impacts on current housing below the hill. Tee boxes continually slide and undulate on course as well as compromised irrigation pipes on a constant basis already due to current earth movement. 3) Open space of the current golf course provides a vital wildfire break for the City of Goleta neighborhoods below and Dos Pueblos High School.

4) traffic, safety, and noise impacts of development on Cathedral Oaks, Glen Annie Road, and corresponding overpass. Adding additional traffic to some of the most high volume intersections in the City of Goleta seems ill advised. Safety concerns on one of the most used corridors by bicycle and pedestrian traffic concerns me. Noise on an already congested traffic corridor presents health and quality of life concerns for residents whose homes are near Cathedral Oaks Rd. Will the County provide sound walls for those residents to mitigate the noise impacts?

5) Water Rights and where does the water to support this additional development come from as Glen Annie Golf Club primarily uses reclaimed water. Where does the Goleta Water District stand on this proposal?

6) Impacts to nature in regards to local flora and fauna. The golf course was designed to accommodate the Red Legged Frog, how does that fit into any proposed development in this area?

7) If Kenwood Village and Heritage Ridge have both yet to even break ground how do we account for the potential impacts of these developments already approved. Wouldn't it be more prudent to wait until these areas have been infilled before considering the proposed zoning changes?

8) What precedent would this set for other areas North of Cathedral Oaks in western Goleta. Specifically the adjacent large tracts with agricultural water rights such as the property on Northgate and Cathedral Oaks and further to the West side of the Evergreen terrace apartments?

For these reasons, I strongly oppose the proposed rezoning of Glen Annie Golf course for housing units.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Lisa Sideris  
Professor, Environmental Studies, UCSB

**Sarah Mayer**

---

**From:** Steve Morris <smorris5860@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 18, 2024 9:31 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** West Goleta development

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

To whom it may concern, I understand the need for more affordable housing in the Santa Barbara region but the development that is proposed at the Lane farm, San Marcos growers lots are 1 way too oversized, leading to congestion and traffic issues amongst other things and 2 does not have in its plan, much affordable housing for the people who really need it! Please consider these two very impactful items in your consideration to approve or deny this development. Thank you, Steve Morris.

Sent from my iPhone

**Sarah Mayer**

---

**From:** Cindy <ccoffeysbch@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 18, 2024 10:11 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Housing projects in unincorporated area

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Hello - I am sending this note to you to voice my opinion about the proposed housing in the San Marcos Growers/Montessori and Tatum properties. I live on San Simeon Dr. Next to our property is San Marcos Growers, behind our property is the Montessori property, across the street from our property is El Camino School with the Tatum property located right next to it. I am not opposed to more housing in these areas. but I am very opposed to the proposed density and disappointed that the county would even consider impacting local well established neighborhoods with the number of units that is currently being discussed. The deterioration of our existing neighborhood with the additional traffic, noise, parking and obstruction of view would be immense and frankly quite unfair. This area is being asked to handle way more than its fair share of additional buildings. The existing school already impacts the traffic - twice a day I cannot get into our out of my driveway for about an hour each time. We do not have enough green space currently which is why El Camino school is used for a place to go in the evenings.

Regarding the goal of workforce housing, which I know we all agree on - the project being considered does not address this problem enough. I have recently retired from 40 years at Cottage Hospital, I know the workforce in the community well. I have had many conversations with them about housing. One or two bedroom rental apartments is not workforce housing for the most part. Our nurses, teachers, firefighters, medical staffers etc want a home. They would like an opportunity to purchase space where they can grow a family, have a pet, park their car, entertain friends and walk to a park. Rentals are a temporary solution - it will not translate into long term employees.

Please consider these points. Not just throw housing units into an existing neighborhood just to satisfy a mandate. There is lots of open space in this county, it doesn't need to overwhelm one area. The type of housing will dictate the type of neighbors we will have. Condos for sale, lower buildings, open space, trees, parking, density all need to be done tastefully and fairly.

Respectfully,  
Cindy Coffey  
5033 San Simeon Dr.  
Santa Barbara, CA 93111

## Sarah Mayer

---

**From:** Joanne Fults <joannefults@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 18, 2024 1:59 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Housing Element Upzone for Montessorri and San Marcost Growers

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Supervisors and Planning Commission,

We have been homeowners in the Thunderbird Tract since 1977 and these proposed apartment building projects for the San Marcos Growers and the Montessori Property sure do have a lot of us homeowner's worried. It's not that we are particularly opposed to the new developments as we know affordable housing is certainly needed. However, are you aware that over 100 single family homes will be directly affected by these 3 and 4 story apartment buildings that will be built on this vacant land. Particularly on the northside of San Marcos Road. Will the builders be planting 20 foot tall trees to hide the apartment buildings that will be practically in the backyard of some of these homes??? Not to mention the light and noise pollution from the parking lots of people coming and going. A quiet neighborhood of single family homes and some condominiums in the Sungate Tract. will be gone forever. What will this do to our property values? As homeowners that are represented by you? Don't we have a say in what happens in our own backyard, and for some, quite literally. in their backyard!!!

Furthermore, in reviewing the SB 2923- 031 HEU Draft Program, it appears many of the Housing Elements proposed for the South County, and particularly the Eastern Goleta Valley, would potentially exceed existing capacity or require expansion for the Goleta Sanitary WWTP. The Goleta Water District will not have enough water connections? Environment will be highly impacted by many of these developments. Isn't it part of the Buyer's Remedy that these projects must have adequate water or wastewater facilities to go forward?

Please go slowly in determining the Future of our beautiful Goleta Valley, which is disappearing quickly.

Sincerely,

Joanne and Jerry Fults  
5033 San Julio Ave.  
SB CA 93111

**Sarah Mayer**

---

**From:** Bob Cunningham <bc@arcadiastudio.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 18, 2024 1:48 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Housing

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Ms. Capps,

I have 3 comments regarding how new housing should be provided:

- (1) 10% affordable set-aside is not nearly enough.
- (2) The recognized level for affordability is far too high to accommodate the people who really need a break.
- (3) Any new hotel/motel development should be required to provide on-site or nearby housing for the associated service employees, so we're not all subjected to vehicular pollution from cars transporting employees from out of town.

Thank you,

BC

Bob Cunningham, ASLA  
ARCADIA STUDIO landscape architecture - [805 962 9055](tel:8059629055) ex 32



**Sarah Mayer**

---

**From:** Melanie Rogers <melbeemusic@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 18, 2024 3:41 PM  
**To:** PAD LRP Housing Element; sbcob  
**Subject:** Comment on Glen Annie Golf Course re-zoning

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Hello Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to oppose re-zoning the Glen Annie Golf Course. The negative impact on the adjacent neighborhoods would be profound. We already have big traffic issues *now*, without adding more people and cars to the equation. Beyond traffic issues, where are all of those people going to send their kids to school, or get support from emergency staff, like paramedics? And what about water? Currently the golf course uses reclaimed wastewater, but housing will require tens of millions of gallons of potable water. Speaking of wastewater, the golf course generates very little, whereas housing units will generate tens of millions of gallons of additional wastewater as well. There are other locations in Goleta that are more appropriate for adding residential housing. Rezone unused commercial to residential, for example. I've heard of quite a few parcels that are underused and could easily be made into housing that would revitalize our city, not ruin it. Please do your planning with the best interests of the entire community in mind, and leave our few remaining green spaces alone.

Many people are disillusioned and don't believe that you will actually listen to what the residents of Goleta have to say. But I truly hope you will take this opportunity to listen to the people of Goleta by ***not allowing*** the Glen Annie Golf Course to be rezoned.

Thanks for your consideration,  
Melanie