ATTACHMENT H

Comment Letters and Petitions Submitted to the Planning Commission for the

Southern California Gas Company La Goleta Storage Field Enhancement Project



June 4, 2013

Chair Joan Hartmann Santa Barbara County Planning Commission 123 East Anapamu Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

RE: Southern California Gas Storage Enhancement Project

Dear Chair Hartmann and Commissioners:

On June 5, 2013, the County Planning Commission is scheduled to consider the Southern California Gas Storage Enhancement Project (Project). The Project is located in close proximity to Goleta residents at the Rancho Goleta Lakeside Mobile Home Park at 945 Ward Drive. The existing Southern California Gas Company's gas storage facility has generated a history of noise, vibration, and safety concerns to the residents. The mobile home park residents also are on record via public comment letters and testimony stating opposition against the proposed Project.

The purpose of this letter is to request that you spend whatever time is necessary at the public hearing to listen to testimony from our residents, understand the nature of their issues both with the existing facility and with the proposed Project, and take any proactive steps that are within your purview to address their concerns.

The City appreciates your attention to this important matter. Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at 961-7538 or Anne Wells, Advance Planning Manager, at 961-7500.

CITY COUNCIL

Roger S. Aceves Mayor

Michael T. Bennett Mayor Pro Tempore

Edward Easton Councilmember

Jim Farr Councilmember

Paula Perotte Councilmember

CITY MANAGER Daniel Singer

Roger Aceves

Sincerely,

Mayor

cc. Doreen Farr, 3rd District Supervisor

Dem Mr. Villalobos, Bacud Assistand Supervisir

My husband and I have lived in Rancho Goleta Mobile Home Park for 5 years. It is a beautiful setting near Goleta Slough and Beach. We often ride our bikes on the Obern Bike Trail. Even with the presence of the airport and Rte 217, we find it quiet enough to enjoy a pristine slice of nature here in Goleta. However, this will all change if you grant SoCal Gas permission to drill for natural gas and expand its gas storage field.

I have read the Revised EIR and the FEIR. The information is technical, specific, and offered in terms to assure you (and us) that SoCal Gas will operate within certain thresholds of such important factors as air quality, visual impact, noise pollution, and hazardous waste containment and disposal. To me none of these figures are based in reality because no gas drilling has ever occurred at this site.

My reality is that I will live next door to a construction site that will be noisy and dusty, and since the winds blow from offshore, I will hear it. I also know that wildlife and birds are even more sensitive to sound and earth disturbances than most humans. I quote from 4.4-2 from the FEIR "Because of previous disturbance, no special status plants are known or expected to occur in the area of these sites." 4.4-4 "Temporary and permanent impacts to nesting and foraging habitat of those (bird) species may occur during construction and operation of the new facilities. These impacts would be potentially significant. However, due to ... (2) the disturbed nature of the site...temporary and permanent impacts...would be reduced to less than significant impact." My reality is that the site has already been disturbed and therefore it's okay for SoCal Gas to disturb it some more.

My reality is that over two consecutive years there will be the construction of 4 directional drilling wells and a dehydration plant in my backyard. Well pad and dehydration plant construction, pipeline installation and drilling will last for a total of 394 days, 89 of those days nonstop drilling during Phase I and 68 days during Phase II. During drilling there will be a 172 foot tower under night lights. Each well will use 30,000 gallons of water during its lifetime. Hazardous waste, i.e. as much as 3,000 lbs of lead, is even now allowable per day on site. More toxic waste will accumulate and be transported on our roads and highways. In approximately five years when natural gas is depleted, the empty reservoir will be filled with up to 3x the storage capacity now at 21.5 billion cubic feet.

My reality is that this is unacceptable. Accidents happen. Human error occurs. The earth moves, witness the 4.6 tremor just days ago. The More Ranch Fault runs along SoCal property and our Park. Pipelines can break and leak. The Project is too close to human and wildlife populations. If Goleta Beach is under renovation at that time, the area will get a double-dose of industrial chaos.

I leave you with three questions:

6-5-13

- 1. Do any of you live next door to the SoCal Gas facility or any other similar facility?
- 2. Would you want to live next door?
- 3. Have you visited an active natural gas drilling site?

Even if it's only on grounds of aesthetics, do not grant permission to SoCal Gas.

Sincerely, Narchi ElliAT 945 Ward Drive #76 Scenta Durbara, CA 93/11

Dear David Villalobos,

I am opposed to the SoCal Gas Company La Goleta Storage Field Enhancement 6-5-13 Project. My objections are based on environmental and human impact:

*The Goleta Slough is full of shore birds and other wildlife. "Already disturbed" in the FEIR means that SoCal activities have already damaged if not destroyed habitats.

*Directional drilling across/through the More Ranch Fault can cause earthquakes.

*Visual impact of the 172 foot drilling rig will negatively affect the aesthetic quality of the area for too long.

*As much as 3,000 lbs (1.5 tons) of lead, 3,500 gallons of oil/hydrocarbon fluid, 600 lbs of oil/hydrocarbon contaminated soil and other hazardous waste per day will be stored on site. In my estimation SoCal will be allowed to turn its Goleta facility into a toxic waste dump even if some of it is hauled away along Patterson Avenue and Highway 101 to sully some other place.

*Noise and night lighting during construction and drilling will affect wildlife and SoCal's human neighbors.

*Nowhere is it mentioned in any of the EIRs how much water will be used from our already drought-stressed water supply. All I've read is that 'usage will be higher' during construction and drilling then standard after that. How much will be used?

*Some of the residents of Rancho Goleta Mobile Home Park have already experienced compressor vibrations. It's possible that this would occur again with increased frequency and damage.

The constant refrain of LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT is not an acceptable term. All of the details spelled out in the FEIR are based on speculation. Drilling is untried. Accidents happen. Please, for the sake of the Goleta community, the waterways, coastline, UCSB, the land and wildlife, I urge the Santa Barbara Planning Department to vote NO on this project. Sempra Global is committed to renewable energy. Instead of drilling for gas, I suggest that SoCal create a solar farm on its 147 acres.

Suganne Saundess R. N.

Sincerely,

Dear David Villalobos,

6-5-13

I am opposed to the SoCal Gas Company La Goleta Storage Field Enhancement Project. My objections are based on environmental and human impact:

*The Goleta Slough is full of shore birds and other wildlife. "Already disturbed" in the FEIR means that SoCal activities have already damaged if not destroyed habitats.

*Directional drilling across/through the More Ranch Fault can cause earthquakes.

*Visual impact of the 172 foot drilling rig will negatively affect the aesthetic quality of the area for too long.

*As much as 3,000 lbs (1.5 tons) of lead, 3,500 gallons of oil/hydrocarbon fluid, 600 lbs of oil/hydrocarbon contaminated soil and other hazardous waste per day will be stored on site. In my estimation SoCal will be allowed to turn its Goleta facility into a toxic waste dump even if some of it is hauled away along Patterson Avenue and Highway 101 to sully some other place.

*Noise and night lighting during construction and drilling will affect wildlife and SoCal's human neighbors.

*Nowhere is it mentioned in any of the EIRs how much water will be used from our already drought-stressed water supply. All I've read is that 'usage will be higher' during construction and drilling then standard after that. How much will be used?

*Some of the residents of Rancho Goleta Mobile Home Park have already experienced compressor vibrations. It's possible that this would occur again with increased frequency and damage.

The constant refrain of LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT is not an acceptable term. All of the details spelled out in the FEIR are based on speculation. Drilling is untried. Accidents happen. Please, for the sake of the Goleta community, the waterways, coastline, UCSB, the land and wildlife, I urge the Santa Barbara Planning Department to vote NO on this project. Sempra Global is committed to renewable energy. Instead of drilling for gas, I suggest that SoCal create a solar farm on its 147 acres.

Sincerely, Darlene Amiendsen

Dear David Villalobos,

6-5-13

I am opposed to the SoCal Gas Company La Goleta Storage Field Enhancement Project. My objections are based on environmental and human impact:

*The Goleta Slough is full of shore birds and other wildlife. "Already disturbed" in the FEIR means that SoCal activities have already damaged if not destroyed habitats.

*Directional drilling across/through the More Ranch Fault can cause earthquakes.

*Visual impact of the 172 foot drilling rig will negatively affect the aesthetic quality of the area for too long.

*As much as 3,000 lbs (1.5 tons) of lead, 3,500 gallons of oil/hydrocarbon fluid, 600 lbs of oil/hydrocarbon contaminated soil and other hazardous waste per day will be stored on site. In my estimation SoCal will be allowed to turn its Goleta facility into a toxic waste dump even if some of it is hauled away along Patterson Avenue and Highway 101 to sully some other place.

*Noise and night lighting during construction and drilling will affect wildlife and SoCal's human neighbors.

*Nowhere is it mentioned in any of the EIRs how much water will be used from our already drought-stressed water supply. All I've read is that 'usage will be higher' during construction and drilling then standard after that. How much will be used?

*Some of the residents of Rancho Goleta Mobile Home Park have already experienced compressor vibrations. It's possible that this would occur again with increased frequency and damage.

The constant refrain of LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT is not an acceptable term. All of the details spelled out in the FEIR are based on speculation. Drilling is untried. Accidents happen. Please, for the sake of the Goleta community, the waterways, coastline, UCSB, the land and wildlife, I urge the Santa Barbara Planning Department to vote NO on this project. Sempra Global is committed to renewable energy. Instead of drilling for gas, I suggest that SoCal create a solar farm on its 147 acres.

Sincerely, January Sharpe # 172 - Rancho Goleta

885 68 **411**

6-5-13

Dear David Villalobos,

I am opposed to the SoCal Gas Company La Goleta Storage Field Enhancement Project. My objections are based on environmental and human impact:

*The Goleta Slough is full of shore birds and other wildlife. "Already disturbed" in the FEIR means that SoCal activities have already damaged if not destroyed habitats.

*Directional drilling across/through the More Ranch Fault can cause earthquakes.

*Visual impact of the 172 foot drilling rig will negatively affect the aesthetic quality of the area for too long.

*As much as 3,000 lbs (1.5 tons) of lead, 3,500 gallons of oil/hydrocarbon fluid, 600 lbs of oil/hydrocarbon contaminated soil and other hazardous waste per day will be stored on site. In my estimation SoCal will be allowed to turn its Goleta facility into a toxic waste dump even if some of it is hauled away along Patterson Avenue and Highway 101 to sully some other place.

*Noise and night lighting during construction and drilling will affect wildlife and SoCal's human neighbors.

*Nowhere is it mentioned in any of the EIRs how much water will be used from our already drought-stressed water supply. All I've read is that 'usage will be higher' during construction and drilling then standard after that. How much will be used?

*Some of the residents of Rancho Goleta Mobile Home Park have already experienced compressor vibrations. It's possible that this would occur again with increased frequency and damage.

The constant refrain of LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT is not an acceptable term. All of the details spelled out in the FEIR are based on speculation. Drilling is untried. Accidents happen. Please, for the sake of the Goleta community, the waterways, coastline, UCSB, the land and wildlife, I urge the Santa Barbara Planning Department to vote NO on this project. Sempra Global is committed to renewable energy. Instead of drilling for gas, I suggest that SoCal create a solar farm on its 147 acres.

Sincerely,

Chille (Viego

er or with

- 現場 大学規令 - 資品機能の対象でである。

刘星乱连张 温声

Dear David Villalobos,

6-5-13

I am opposed to the SoCal Gas Company La Goleta Storage Field Enhancement Project. My objections are based on environmental and human impact:

*The Goleta Slough is full of shore birds and other wildlife. "Already disturbed" in the FEIR means that SoCal activities have already damaged if not destroyed habitats.

*Directional drilling across/through the More Ranch Fault can cause earthquakes.

*Visual impact of the 172 foot drilling rig will negatively affect the aesthetic quality of the area for too long.

*As much as 3,000 lbs (1.5 tons) of lead, 3,500 gallons of oil/hydrocarbon fluid, 600 lbs of oil/hydrocarbon contaminated soil and other hazardous waste per day will be stored on site. In my estimation SoCal will be allowed to turn its Goleta facility into a toxic waste dump even if some of it is hauled away along Patterson Avenue and Highway 101 to sully some other place.

*Noise and night lighting during construction and drilling will affect wildlife and SoCal's human neighbors.

*Nowhere is it mentioned in any of the EIRs how much water will be used from our already drought-stressed water supply. All I've read is that 'usage will be higher' during construction and drilling then standard after that. How much will be used?

*Some of the residents of Rancho Goleta Mobile Home Park have already experienced compressor vibrations. It's possible that this would occur again with increased frequency and damage.

The constant refrain of LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT is not an acceptable term. All of the details spelled out in the FEIR are based on speculation. Drilling is untried. Accidents happen. Please, for the sake of the Goleta community, the waterways, coastline, UCSB, the land and wildlife, I urge the Santa Barbara Planning Department to vote NO on this project. Sempra Global is committed to renewable energy. Instead of drilling for gas, I suggest that SoCal create a solar farm on its 147 acres.

Sincerely,

Roch R Milloucheurp

原理位图形造位

Dear David Villalobos,

6-5-13

I am opposed to the SoCal Gas Company La Goleta Storage Field Enhancement Project. My objections are based on environmental and human impact:

*The Goleta Slough is full of shore birds and other wildlife. "Already disturbed" in the FEIR means that SoCal activities have already damaged if not destroyed habitats.

*Directional drilling across/through the More Ranch Fault can cause earthquakes.

*Visual impact of the 172 foot drilling rig will negatively affect the aesthetic quality of the area for too long.

*As much as 3,000 lbs (1.5 tons) of lead, 3,500 gallons of oil/hydrocarbon fluid, 600 lbs of oil/hydrocarbon contaminated soil and other hazardous waste per day will be stored on site. In my estimation SoCal will be allowed to turn its Goleta facility into a toxic waste dump even if some of it is hauled away along Patterson Avenue and Highway 101 to sully some other place.

*Noise and night lighting during construction and drilling will affect wildlife and SoCal's human neighbors.

*Nowhere is it mentioned in any of the EIRs how much water will be used from our already drought-stressed water supply. All I've read is that 'usage will be higher' during construction and drilling then standard after that. How much will be used?

*Some of the residents of Rancho Goleta Mobile Home Park have already experienced compressor vibrations. It's possible that this would occur again with increased frequency and damage.

The constant refrain of LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT is not an acceptable term. All of the details spelled out in the FEIR are based on speculation. Drilling is untried. Accidents happen. Please, for the sake of the Goleta community, the waterways, coastline, UCSB, the land and wildlife, I urge the Santa Barbara Planning Department to vote NO on this project. Sempra Global is committed to renewable energy. Instead of drilling for gas, I suggest that SoCal create a solar farm on its 147 acres.

Sincerely.

(dreene Taplan Space # 3.

481 05 <u>282</u> UD COMME

Madlyn Monchamp 945 Ward Drive, #6

May 31, 2013

Santa Barbara, CA 931111

AGENDAITEMS

ITEM #: 1

MEETING
DATE: 6-5-13

David Villalobos
Board Assistant Supervisor
Santa Barbara County Planning and Development
123 Anapamu Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

RECEIVED

JUN 03 2013

Dear Mr. Villalobos;

S.B. COUNTY PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT HEARING SUPPORT

I am writing in opposition to SoCal LaGoleta Storage Enhancement Project. I feel the project is not compatible with the area surrounding their facility. I believe, as their graphs show, we at Rancho Goleta are living in a giant hazard zone if any accident should happen...any accident. There are already 20 billion cubic feet of natural gas stored at the facility. That alone is an every day hazard, but compounding this by beginning a natural gas drilling operation and expanding the storage capacity is playing Russian Roulette.

We, and their facility, are in an active earthquake area as reminded this week by the 4.6 quake that shook our area. From a map in the EIR, it appears that the More Mesa Fault is actually along their property near Rancho Goleta. Though it is unproven if drilling near a fault line can cause additional earthquakes, the fear is real. The State of California and the County of Santa Barbara has spent millions of dollars to prepare for the possibility of an earthquake. Understanding the location and the roadways, you realize that there would be little chance of residents and visitors escaping the area if a natural gas disaster occurred.

The EIR states that the chance of an accident at the facility is less than significant but I understand there was an accident at that very site when a crane toppled and a man killed. It wasn't planned, statistically wouldn't happen, but it did. Look at all the accidents that have happened since 2000 in the gas and oil industry in California alone. LaGoleta Storage Facility piping is as old as the piping in the area of San Bruno. We all know what happened there, and that is not the only place where old underground pipes have caused accidents and death.

And, the internet made me aware that SoCal LaGoleta pipe supports along the 217 and Sand Split road were in deplorable condition until this January when SoCal was notified to remove the rotten wooden supports, replace them, and do a soil test to insure that the ground could support the weight of those pipes. Was that soil test done? What was recommended, and has it been done? How can you have faith in SoCal's ability to maintain a safe facility when they allowed those support structures to get in such deplorable condition? Should those pipes fail, one of the two major exits from UCSB will be blocked; and the major exit from Goleta Beach and Recreational Park. Rancho Goleta is within walking distance of the SoCal facility and any accident will effect us.

Southern California Gas should have other, safer, areas in the County where they can tap into the same source of natural gas, creating caverns where gas can then be stored in facilities that can be

designed and monitored by equipment that meets the upcoming government standards that will better protect the environment, the workers, the wildlife, and residents of Santa Barbara County. Just because SoCal has that facility on More Ranch Road which was developed in the 1950s (or 1920's) does not mean they should be given the opportunity to enlarge it in 2013 when the character of the area has dramatically changed since its inception.

I do not feel that there will be substantial long-term benefits to Santa Barbara to warrant destroying our environment, threatening our lives and that of the wildlife. The United States is now the world's largest gas producer. "The boom in domestic production of both oil and natural gas has provided the United States with 84 percent of its energy requirement last year, the highest annual level since 1991" according to the Wall Street Cheat Sheet' and 'now the United States produces more natural gas than it can use." Our government has issued a permit for a facility to be built in Louisiana to export natural gas. Are you willing to destroy our Santa Barbara environment to make additional profits for SoCal Gas company?

Do we need to live in fear of a fatal disaster to increase the profits of the gas industry? Will our use of water be restricted or more costly because of SoCal's drilling? Will transportation of SoCal's toxic wastewater over our limited roadway system cause injury and death to drivers in California? Will drilling 24 hours a day continuously for 3 or more months a year force residents and tourists to flee the area? Will air pollution become so unhealthy that our residents suffer? Will noise pollution be unbearable for residents of Rancho Goleta, UCSB, Goleta Beach and the surrounding area? Has due consideration been given to the danger of an airplane accident at the site of drilling during the most critical time of gas extraction? Is this not something to be considered in light of terrorism? Santa Barbara airport runway is less than a 1/2 mile from the drilling site. The Pacific Ocean and four creeks flow along SoCal's LaGoleta property and any disaster will devastate them.

Allowing SoCal to conduct drilling on this site will bring many dangers to all of us living in this community. Once allowed you cannot put the genie back into the bottle. Consider all the aspects of this project and whether or not the benefits to the community outweigh the risks. Is it profits or people you will choose to protect?

Sincerely,

Madlyn Monchamp

June 2, 2013

To: Santa Barbara Planning Commission

Re: SoCal Gas Co. La Goleta Storage Field Enhancement Project

Dear Planning Commission members et al

As residents of Anderson lane for 38 years we would like to point out that Patterson Ave. from Ekwill south to the More Ranch Road/Anderson lane Intersection is a TWO LANE road with a deep drainage ditch on one side and a narrow dirt path on the other. This streach of road is posted 45MPH and much of it marked with a double-yellow line as there is a long sweeping blind curve. As more and more workers and recreational bicyclists use this corredor we are seeing more dangereous "close calls" all too often.

We see nothing in this final E.I.R. that sufficiently mitigates this growing probability of serious accidents involving trucks and cars harming folks on bicycles or just walking.

Please revisit this problem before it becomes a tradgedy.

atherine theyel

Sincerely

Russ and Catherine Salzgeber 1449 Anderson In S.B. Ca. 93111 (805) 964-8192

__AGENDAITEMS

ITEM#:

MEETING

DATE: 6-5-13

RECEIVED

JUN 03 2013

S.B. COUNTY PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

	pr== -		5 -1.07454		· *:	·c·
A4 4 4	5-2-9-	1, 3,6,	7 8	i	1 3	
	lare .	20.05	1 3	i i	W 5	١

David Villalobos, Board Assistant Supervisor, S	Santa Barbara County Planning
And Development Department, 123 Anapamu S	Street, Santa Bardara 23101
Dear David Villalobos,	DATE: 6-5-13.

I am opposed to the SoCal Gas Company La Goleta Storage Field Enhancement Project. My objections are based on environmental and human impact:

*The Goleta Slough is full of shore birds and other wildlife. "Already disturbed" in the FEIR means that SoCal activities have already damaged if not destroyed habitats.

*Directional drilling across/through the More Ranch Fault can cause earthquakes.

*Visual impact of the 172 foot drilling rig will negatively affect the aesthetic quality of the area for too long.

*As much as 3,000 lbs (1.5 tons) of lead, 3,500 gallons of oil/hydrocarbon fluid, 600 lbs of oil/hydrocarbon contaminated soil and other hazardous waste per day will be stored on site. In my estimation SoCal will be allowed to turn its Goleta facility into a toxic waste dump even if some of it is hauled away along Patterson Avenue and Highway 101 to sully some other place.

*Noise and night lighting during construction and drilling will affect wildlife and SoCal's human neighbors.

*Nowhere is it mentioned in any of the EIRs how much water will be used from our already drought-stressed water supply. All I've read is that 'usage will be higher' during construction and drilling then standard after that. How much will be used?

*Some of the residents of Rancho Goleta Mobile Home Park have already experienced compressor vibrations. It's possible that this would occur again with increased frequency and damage.

The constant refrain of LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT is not an acceptable term. All of the details spelled out in the FEIR are based on speculation. Drilling is untried. Accidents happen. Please, for the sake of the Goleta community, the waterways, coastline, UCSB, the land and wildlife, I urge the Santa Barbara Planning Department to vote NO on this project. Sempra Global is committed to renewable energy. Instead of drilling for gas, I suggest that SoCal create a solar farm on its 147 acres.

Sincerely,

Ray Willerthin 178 RANCHO GOLFIA LAKESIDE

PECEIVED

MAY 2 n 2012

S.B. COUNTY PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT.

Villalobos, David

From: Sent:	Kristine Ollikkala [sowelu@silcom.com] Monday, June 03, 2013 8:41 AM	<u>AGENDAITEMS</u>
To: Subject:	Villalobos, David La Goleta Storage Field Enhancement Project	ITEM#
Categories:	Purple Category	MEETING

Dear Mr. Villalobos,

I am opposed to the SoCal Gas Company La Goleta Storage Field Enhancement Project for many reasons:

- 1. The area proposed almost sits on top of the Goleta Slough an important bird & wild life area & SoCal proposes to store contaminated soil & other hazardous waste in close proximity to this fragile environment. How are they going to store this waste without leakage much less contain it should an earthquake occur? Examples of waste containment sites leaking or flooding into neighboring areas are prevalent throughout the world.
- 2. In light of the minor earthquake that recently shook this area, I wonder how SoCal can continue to claim that the drilling of 4 new wells will have no impact on the safety of nearby residents especially those of us who live in Rancho Goleta which is within the perimeter of the worst case scenario should an accident occur; ie we are at risk of lethal gas contamination, fire, explosion & death, should a major accident happen. Why is it acceptable for our lives, homes & families to be at risk for the benefit of the profits of a public utility?
- 3. Why is drilling being proposed 24/7? When are we supposed to sleep? Why aren't there any mitigations for the loss of the quiet enjoyment of our homes for the drilling periods? Obviously, during the constructions periods we will experience a loss of value to our homes should we wish to sell. The noise of construction, the night lighting, the dust, the potential contamination and/or possibility of accidents will all factor into a loss of value for our homes. Why aren't our economic losses factored into the cost of this project?

 4. Why are we allowing any drilling for natural gas in an environmentally fragile area to begin with? According to the latest data from the Union of Concerned Scientists, even though using natural gas is cleaner than oil or coal, when you add in the environment impacts of extracting the gas, it pushes it over the edge of being environmentally acceptable. With the melting of the glaciers & the Greenland ice cap contributing to the eight inch rise in sea level along the Florida coast, we should not be drilling for more global warming energy sources but should be concentrating on conservation, energy efficiency, & using local rooftop solar.

I urge you to deny the permit changes that would allow for drilling on this fragile coastal property.

Cordially,

Kristine Ollikkala

945 Ward Dr. #69 Goleta, CA 93111

RECEIVED

6-5-13

DATE:

JUN 03-2013

S.B. COUNTY PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT HEARING SUPPORT

Villalobos, David

From:

To:

Grace Feldmann [morgainele@gmail.com]

Sent:

Thursday, May 30, 2013 11:20 AM Villalobos, David

Subject:

Proposed Natural Gar Drilling in Goleta

Categories:

Purple Category

Dear Mr Villalobos,

AGENDAITEMS___

WEETING

DATE: 6-5-13

As a concerned resident of Santa Barbara, I urge you stop proposed natural gas drilling in Goleta To directionally drill 4 natural gas wells aging Goleta gas storage facility on the California coast is a mistake. The drilling locations are in close proximity to a wildlife rich tidal slough, 200 space mobile home park, major airport, recreational beach and state university (UCSB). SoCal Gas has already paid for structural supports for two homes in the park due to gas compressor vibrations. What could happen during and after drilling? A LOT. Its not a matter of IF a leak occurs or a malfunction, it's a matter of when and we do not have any safe way to clean up spills. I urge you

to protect the residents and fragile environment along the Goleta coastline from natural gas drilling and gas storage expansion by the SoCal Gas Company.

Respectfully,

Grace Feldmann

Grace Feldmann MSW

RECEIVED

MAY 3.0 2013

S.B. COUNTY
PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
HEARING SUPPORT

Subject:

FW: Proposed Natural Gar Drilling in Goleta

RECEIVED

MAY 3 0 2013

S.B. COUNTY
PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

AGENDAITENS

7

VIETING 6-5-13

Dear Mr Villalobos,

As a concerned resident of Santa Barbara, I urge you stop proposed natural gas drilling in Goleta To directionally drill 4 natural gas wells aging Goleta gas storage facility on the California coast is a mistake. The drilling locations are in close proximity to a wildlife rich tidal slough, 200 space mobile home park, major airport, recreational beach and state university (UCSB). SoCal Gas has already paid for structural supports for two homes in the park due to gas compressor vibrations. What could happen during and after drilling? A LOT. Its not a matter of IF a leak occurs or a malfunction, it's a matter of when and we do not have any safe way to clean up spills. I urge you

to protect the residents and fragile environment along the Goleta coastline from natural gas drilling and gas storage expansion by the SoCal Gas Company.

Respectfully,

Grace Feldmann

Grace Feldmann MSW

Grace Feldmann MSW

Villalobos, David

From:

Cecilia Brown [brownknight1@cox.net]

Sent:

Monday, June 03, 2013 6:40 AM

To:

Madlyn Monchamp

Cc:

Villalobos, David; Foster, Sharon

Subject:

Re: letter response to FEIR

Categories:

Purple Category

Thank you. Cecilia

----Original Message----

From: Madlyn Monchamp

Sent: Monday, June 03, 2013 5:02 AM

To: Cecilia Brown

Subject: letter response to FEIR

Cecilia,

I am out of California but this is my reply to the FEIR on SoCal LaGoleta Project. I am

ITEM#IL-

MEETING

hoping that the request is denied.

Madlyn Monchamp

RECEIVED

JUN 03 2013

S.B. COUNTY
PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
HEARING SUPPORT

JUN 03 2013

S.B. COUNTY PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

June 1, 2013.

To: Santa Barbara County Planning Commission

Cc: Stakeholders

Re: SoCal Gas Co La Goleta Storage Field Enhancement Project.

Dear Planning Commission members and Stakeholders,

Thank you all for all your efforts.

After reading (in the Final EIR) the response to the comments I submitted for the first and second draft EIRs, I'm left with the conclusion that my concern is still not understood in its entirety, and so remains unaddressed. The addition to the "traffic management plan" is in the right direction.

What we have - still - is the unintentional creation of an extremely hazardous situation regarding bicycle and pedestrian traffic and farm equipment (including circulation and current use of road), which is not yet addressed sufficiently to mitigate the problem.

Since I submitted my previous comments, I noticed that the section of the roadway I'm concerned about is two lanes, while its "level of service" designation is based on four lanes. (Please see attached.)

Also, it occurs to me that the agricultural workers use bicycles as a condition of their employment. These are the Givens Farm workers who routinely travel between fields in three separate locations. This means that these bicycles are de facto "farm equipment."

The situation I see arising poses a new and extreme risk for certain cyclists and pedestrians. This risk is not yet analyzed in a relevant way, because it involves the overlap - not the difference - of current conditions (and behaviors) with the impacts of increase in types of vehicles and trips to and from the project.

I find in the (final EIR) response to my comments, the authors' reply took part of one of my sentences out of context. This left me feeling confused, as this response might be interpreted as minimizing or diverting from my point. Also, the suggestion by the authors to contact the traffic division similarly does not take into account the many factors I describe and the disproportionate and immediate impacts of the project, due precisely to the nature of the factors.

So, I'd like to clarify my overall intent. I bring up this situation of bicycle use as part of employment, bicycle use for transportation, and pedestrian traffic through the South Patterson/Shoreline, More Ranch Road, Anderson Lane intersection (and the S. Patterson-Shoreline road itself) in order to address a situation that looks to me to be potentially quite dangerous for the people directly involved.

Also, I'd like to again ask the La Goleta project managers and members of the Planning Department and the Planning Commission to please do a site visit to the S. Patterson/Shoreline/More Ranch Rd/Anderson Lane intersection, and to attempt to cycle into this interchange from Anderson Lane. Please. This may be the only way to understand the enormity of the situation – for these few people impacted.

I'd like to bring up facts, questions and comments related to my concerns. I'll put them below.

Sincerely, Phyllis Stack

Phyllis Sladek

1185 Anderson Lane, G, Santa Barbara, CA 93111. (805) 964-5748. (Message phone.)

Attachment A.

To: SB County Planning Commission From: Sladek

June 1, 2013.

Questions and concerns in more detail.

Re: Section 4.15-1.

Table 4.15-1. 2005 Caltrans Average Annual Daily Traffic.

Question. It appears the counts begin at Junction SR-17- 217 south. This junction may or may not include the Patterson junction (off-ramp?). (There are two junctions located in that section of freeway/101 interchange – the 217 exist and the Patterson exit both north and south). Only the SR-217 junction is named as such. Should this count be interpreted as including US101-Patterson?

Re: Table 4.15-2. Does list Peak PM Traffic volumes for "Patterson south of US 101 interchange."

Re: Table 4.15-4 Arterial Roadway Level of Service Designations.

Questions. South of the Goleta Valley Cottage Hospital (GVHC) and prior to the bike path crossing, "Patterson South of US 101 Interchange" becomes 2 lanes. It is no longer 4 lanes. (It remains 2 lanes, including as it becomes Shoreline.)

- 1. Does the fact that the South Patterson is two lanes from this point on, including the Shoreline Drive section, mean that the LOS designation listed does not apply to this section of the road?
- 2. What is the appropriate LOS designation for this section of the roadway?

Background:

The third listing in Table 4.15-4 is "Segment: Patterson South of the US 101 Interchange." Entries are:

- 1) Roadway classification: Primary 2.
- 2) # of Lanes: 4.
- 3) ADT Threshold for LOS C: 34,000.
- 4) Existing ADT: Daily: 25,480; PM: 2,548.
- 5) Under Threshold: Yes.

Features of the roadway:

- Lack of sidewalks or walkways. In addition, (as noted in my previous comments to the draft EIRs), this 2-lane section of South Patterson (past GVCH) has no sidewalks on either side, except for a raised sidewalk on the bridge over Atascadero Creek; that short sidewalk is only on one side of the bridge.
- 2. In addition, there is no paved shoulder on this section of "Patterson South of US101 Interchange." In addition, the areas near the road that one might consider shoulder are varied and include slopes, possible drop-offs, uneven ground, gravel, etc. These surfaces are not

- conducive to cycling and are hazardous for cycling. In addition, they do not allow cyclists to safely undertake "evasive action" as recommended by the DMV.
- 3. These features matter because of the nature of "farm equipment" use in this area, please see below for detail.

Re: Section 4.15.2 "Environmental Thresholds."

Section a.

<u>Question:</u> If the LOS designation (above) is inaccurate for the **2-lane** South Patterson/Shoreline segment of the road, what is the implication for the analysis?

Section b.

<u>Comment</u>: This sentence is about project access that "would require a driveway that would create an unsafe situation, or, would require a new traffic signal or major revisions to an existing traffic signal."

From my point of view as a cyclist, I find the EIR does not reflect a thorough analysis of the intersection of South Patterson/Shoreline, and the two one-lane roads, More Ranch Rd. and Anderson Lane and its current use by employees who must cycle. (See below for "farm equipment": bicycles.)

Intersection: These two one-lane roads have stop signs. They enter into South Patterson/Shoreline at right angles to each other. The South Patterson/Shoreline road has no stop sign, no slowing sign and a posted 45MPH speed limit. It also is constructed on a curve. This amounts to a three-way intersection, with two different types of roads (two one-lane roads with 2-way traffic, one two-lane road), and differing road features, speed limits and conditions.

The Shoreline/S. Patterson vehicular traffic routinely flows at, near or (often) above the posted speed limit of 45 MPH.

The majority of bicycle traffic moves at very slow speed. (Same - for pedestrian traffic.)

- 1. This intersection is already unsafe. (Please see my comments to 1st and 2nd Draft EIRs.) There is no "signal" or "revisions" or "driveway" however, the *current* configuration is unsafe. By this I mean that current (motorized) vehicles routinely make illegal moves to avoid cyclists. (Please see below.)
- 2. The "non-peak hours" mitigation does not address the issue of cycling because the main use of bicycles as farm equipment occurs during non-peak hours.

A possible mitigation is to lower the speed limit on South Patterson/Shoreline to something like 10 MPH.

c. The "design features" of this South Patterson/Shoreline/More Ranch Road/Anderson interchange have many of the characteristics listed under "c."

The issue becomes one of determining to what extent these design features "count." In other words: there is, for example, "narrow width." There are road side ditches.

Most importantly, the current use of bicycles by agricultural workers is a condition of their employment. As far as I can tell, the Givens farm workers routinely cycle between three agricultural parcels (Ward, one across Patterson, and Anderson Lane) during any given, (single) work day.

Therefore, this use of equipment should be considered "farm equipment." It should be given special consideration, because the people who travel in this manner have no choice if they wish to do their jobs.

Question:

Do the planners and the applicant understand what I'm trying to say? Does this make sense to you? Can you see why someone who both observes and experiences "near-misses" as cars, trucks and SUVs encounter cyclists...can you understand how I might be really concerned?

Re: Section 4.15.3 Impact Discussion.

Duration of construction phase.

The first paragraph speaks to the length of the construction period and the addition of new trips per day.

Comment.

The current cyclists (farm workers and others) travel daily, especially on regular workdays. The 394-day construction period is plenty of time for an accident to occur, especially under a situation of increasing "stress" (increase in traffic, new types of vehicles and frequency) to a situation that is already questionable.

Question. "In total, up to 160 new trips per day will occur during construction of the proposed project."

- 1. Does the "average of less than 2 daily trips" accurately reflect the anticipated timing of these trips? In other words, do the trips average out as they are taken or, only as numbers?
- 2. The type and size of vehicles seems to me to matter a great deal because of the fact that the intersection is already unsafe for bicycle and pedestrian traffic, (if these users attempt to operate in accordance with DMV rules).
- 3. Also, the type and size of vehicles matters a great deal because of the narrow width of the lanes, the absence of shoulder and the requirement for cyclists to share the lane.
- 4. The posted speed limit on this section of S.Patterson/Shoreline is 45MPH. This has great safety implications for entering that road via this intersection on foot or bicycle.
- 5. Re: "Off-peak hours." This is a complicated issue. My view is more analysis and discussion is required. I feel the main problem is not yet understood.

Here is one aspect of it: Let me try again:

Re: Timing: Cyclists from Givens farm travel to the Anderson lane parcel during non-peak hours, as I understand it. Do we know particulars? (Numbers, routine, etc.?)

Re: In general. In any case:

As one example: Vehicular traffic leaving Orchid Lane has the distance and the time to achieve the posted speed of 45MPH.

A cyclist entering the intersection is required to share the same lane with this vehicle.

If a cyclist successfully enters and heads north (towards Hollister) and if a vehicle approaches - (comes upon a cyclist in the same lane, going the same direction) – and encounters the cyclist while (the driver of the vehicle is going) at the posted speed limit, there are difficulties for the driver of this vehicle: a) sight; (i.e., is there enough time to take action?) and b) what action to take?

At present, the typical strategy of the northbound vehicular traffic is to swerve around a cyclist – NOT to slow down to an extremely slow (1-5MPH?) speed. (Even if they were to do so – would they be able to achieve this?) I have observed this on numerous occasions.

This puts the northbound vehicle directly into the lane – the only lane - of oncoming traffic.

This is "workable" - ? — ONLY under conditions of very low traffic; i.e., only if and when there is no vehicle in the oncoming lane.

An increase of an indeterminate amount of vehicular traffic **greatly and disproportionately** impacts this strategy.

The current drivers of vehicles are used to taking this strategy, which may be basically not legal (DMV).

Faced with vehicles in the oncoming lane, the reflexive action on the part of the driver of a vehicle is to avoid a head-on collision with another vehicle. This would result in hitting the bicycle.

This is a set-up for motor vehicle-cyclist collision.

The strategy also can force the cyclist off the road. There is no paved shoulder.

Section 4.15.4

Re: "Although construction activities would result in temporary traffic impacts, following construction traffic will return to baseline conditions."

Please see above. During the construction phase, we are talking about more than a (cumulative) year of days. These are days that farm workers are compelled to cycle as a condition of their employment. People without cars can only function as pedestrians or cyclists to fulfill their transportation needs.

This is a set-up for something bad to happen.

On page 4.9-29, there is a table called "Comparison of Odds of Fatalities for Various Accidents."

The first item – and the highest "odds per year" – goes to "motor vehicle." From what I've had time to read up on, "motor vehicle - bicycle" fatalities are a much higher risk for cyclists and should be at the top of that list. The data on pedestrian fatalities is similar and disproportionately high, as far as I can tell.

Section 4.15.5 Mitigation and Residual Impacts.

Comments:

Re: b. Non-peak hours. Please see above.

Re: i. The idea of temporary signage is welcome. However, this does not address – at all – the relative asymmetry in speed limits (South Patterson/Shoreline v. More Ranch Road, Anderson Lane). It does not address the asymmetry between the transportation modes (MV, bicycle pedestrian). This measure (while welcome) does not address the problem with the intersection and the section of road between the intersection and the more northern portion of S. Patterson (i.e., where it becomes four-lane).

Re: j. Safety briefings. This is a great idea. (Attached are some references you might find useful.)

The problem is: the residents who currently operate motor vehicles also need education – and complete re-training - on how to safely share the roadway.

Of course, we also have the topic: Education of cyclists, too? But the problem I see here is that the advice to cyclists to follow DMV regulations amounts to advice to be unsafe, because of the conditions and the behavior of vehicle drivers. Currently, the safest way to cycle in certain situations may be to travel against the traffic (clearly not DMV legal).

The current situation involves people who are used to doing things in a particular way. This way is not in line with DMV rules. The road conditions and speed limits do not support DMV rule-based behavior, either.

The safe and educated drivers on project-related business will still have to interact with the current strategy of the residential drivers. Their actions, however careful, will still affect the residential drivers, cyclists and pedestrians.

In other words, for example: the educated project truck driver will encounter the residential driver of a vehicle used to swerving to avoid a cyclist. His/her very presence may well trigger the accident.

The situation requires more measures to ensure the safety of cyclists, employee-cyclists and pedestrians.

I don't see how this could be achieved without a drastic reduction in the speed limit on Shoreline/South Patterson, which is currently 45 MPH. We need another look at this whole picture.

The situation requires more thought on the part of the planners and more care to avoid an unnecessary event – a serious injury or worse.

<u>Conclusion</u>: I appeal to the applicant and to the Planning Dept. to please take a collaborative approach and please take the initiative to involve whatever other agencies you suggest (such as "traffic division.")

Attachment B: To SB County Planning Commission. From Sladek.

References on cycling and safety.

http://bicycleuniverse.info/transpo/almanac-safety.html

This site appears to take on the issue of how to analyze risk. Some good info on reporting, etc.

http://www.bicyclinginfo.org/library/details.cfm?id=3324

"In 1992, 722 bicyclists were killed in the United States in collisions with motor vehicles, and an estimated 650,000 people were treated in emergency rooms for bicycle-related injuries. It is remarkable that, for a traffic safety problem of this magnitude, so little research has been conducted to establish the causes of these accidents. Instead, design standards for roadways and bicycle facilities, individual project designs, and laws and policies regarding bicycling are based almost entirely on opinion. The quality of the results is highly variable."

http://bicycledriving.org/about/the-dilemmas-of-bicycle-planning

"The root of these perceptions is the idea that bicyclists are not really drivers. If bicyclists were drivers (as the law states), then the basic principle of traffic flow applies: first come, first served. If a driver is traveling in the roadway, an approaching driver must overtake only when it is safe. The lead driver has to make it easy for a following driver to overtake, but only to the extent that traffic and road conditions make overtaking possible. A motorist's perception of safe passing space may be different from a cyclist's. Motorists may not understand that a cyclist should ride in the middle of a narrow travel lane rather than inviting traffic to share a lane that is too narrow to share safely. "

Stop Proposed Natural Gas Drilling in Goleta

RECEIVED

By Naneki Elliott (Contact)

MAY 3 0 2013

To be delivered to: Santa Barbara County Planning Department

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

S.B. COUNTY

Petition Statement

Tell the Santa Barbara County Planning Department to protect the residents and fragile environment along the Goleta coastline from natural gas drilling and gas storage expansion by the SoCal Gas Company.

Petition Background

SoCal Gas seeks amendments to the Santa Barbara County Code (Coastal Zoning Ordinance) in order to directionally drill 4 natural gas wells at their aging Goleta gas storage facility on the California coast. The drilling locations are in close proximity to a wildlife rich tidal slough, 200 space mobile home park, major airport, recreational beach and state university (UCSB). SoCal Gas has already paid for structural supports for two homes in the park due to gas compressor vibrations. What could happen during and after drilling?

Santa Barbara County Planning Department

This binder holds over 900 signatures (800 plus from an online petition, 100 from on the ground). 98% are from Santa Barbara and Goleta. These are your constituents. They oppose natural gas drilling and gas storage expansion by the SoCal Gas Company at their Goleta facility.

Please look at these signatures and read the comments. Heed their wishes.

Sincerely, Nanchi Elliell June 3, 2013

Naneki Elliott

MoveOn.org

	AGEND	A TENE	es
There is a second	Transfer	and the second s	and the summan of some copy also experien
		6-5-1	3

Dear Santa Barbara County Planning Department,

We are pleased to present you with this petition affirming this statement:

"Tell the Santa Barbara County Planning Department to protect the residents and fragile environment along the Goleta coastline from natural gas drilling and gas storage expansion by the SoCal Gas Company."

Attached is a list of individuals who have added their names to this petition, as well as additional comments written by the petition signers themselves.

Sincerely, Naneki Elliott

Namelii Sthirt 945 Ward Dr. #26 Santa Banhana, CA 93111 805-845-6024

#814	Hannah Pierce	-	Santa Boxbo
#813	Alexis Lee	Jun 2, 2013	Isla Vi⊭ أرحى
	Penny Allen	Jun 2, 2013	Carpinteria.
	•		

(____

V.

David Perez Goleta, CA 93117 Jun 2, 2013 Boris Shraiman Goleta, CA 93117 Jun 1, 2013 Leslie Wilson Santa Barbara, CA 93110 Jun 1, 2013 Ward Rafferty Jr Solvang, CA 93463 Jun 1, 2013 That is beautiful piece of coast. Protect it. Charles Rodgers Calgary, Canada Jun 1, 2013 Dale Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Jun 1, 2013 Frack Off! Kate Smith Santa Barbara, CA 93105 Jun 1, 2013 zoriana feldman Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 31, 2013 Lisa Oglesby Goleta, CA 93117 May 31, 2013 claudia Said Santa Rosa Valley, CA 93012 May 31, 2013 Shane Wilberger Santa Barbara, CA 93111

May 31, 2013

MaryAnn Klima San Diego, CA 92122 May 31, 2013

Carrie Langner SN Luis Obisp, CA 93405 May 31, 2013

Doing this especially for my grandson, our futur.

Diane Larocque Gatineau, Canada May 31, 2013

I am totally agree, this should be stopped.

vladimir chorny Studio City, CA 91604 May 31, 2013

Bogdan Prykhitko Goleta, CA 93117 May 31, 2013

Alla Koryak Studio City, CA 91604 May 31, 2013

Hanne Pitcock Carpinteria, CA 93013 May 31, 2013

Ivan Ionenko Goleta, CA 93117 May 31, 2013

Sergey Mishin Goleta, CA 93117 May 31, 2013

alex favacho santa barabara, CA 93101 May 31, 2013

Heidi Helfand Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 31, 2013 CS

San Francisco, CA 94112

May 31, 2013

Roxana

Santa Barbara, CA 93121

May 31, 2013

Daniel Garcia

Santa Ana, CA 92707

May 31, 2013

Adelaida Cortijo

Cranberry Twp, PA 16066

May 31, 2013

Karen Robinson

Santa Barbara, CA 93111

May 31, 2013

Melissa Williams

Decatur, GA 30030

May 31, 2013

Mary Thieme

Santa Barbara, CA 93105

May 31, 2013

Nadine Gerdts

Brookline, MA 02445

May 31, 2013

I used to live in Goleta and regularly jogged along the beach there. I remember the days of oil and tar on the beach and I don't want anyone to experience that again. Please don't permit drilling here!

Norman L Haberly

Longmont, CO 80503

May 31, 2013

Susannah

New Carrollton, MD 20784

May 31, 2013

The drilling will destroy the property values of hundreds of Goleta home owners. Considerable pollution from the drilling will be inevitable. James R. Richard Professor Emeritus, Biological Chemistry, and CEO, Richard Realty

James Richard Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 31, 2013

Darlene Levien Goleta, CA 93117 May 30, 2013

Evelyn Rinehart Goleta, CA 93117 May 30, 2013

Sharon Farmer Santa Barbara, CA 93121 May 30, 2013

Cara OCallaghan Santa Barbara, CA 93160 May 30, 2013

PLEASE protect the land from further destruction. Drilling for money lacks sufficient reason to destroy the place that Santa Barbara's inhabitants, both humans and animals, call home.

Lauren Menzer Santa Barbara, CA 93107 May 30, 2013

Rev. Dr. Myrna Tuttle Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 30, 2013

Sharon Kayser Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 30, 2013

Pete Clecak Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 30, 2013

Angelika Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 30, 2013

Tricia Taylor Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 30, 2013 Anna Frangos Santa Maria, CA 93454 May 30, 2013 shirley johnson Carpinteria, CA 93013 May 30, 2013 Margaret Knapp Isla Vista, CA 93107 May 30, 2013 No!!! Kalai Kennedy Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 30, 2013 Jim freeberg Ashland, OR 97520 May 30, 2013 Lin Shao Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 30, 2013 Kyoko Takenaka Washington, DC 20002 May 30, 2013 Betsy Denison Carpinteria, CA 93013 May 30, 2013 ramon tanchIs alcerro Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 29, 2013 Arthur Kennedy Isla Vista, CA 93117-4904 May 29, 2013 Shaydanay Urbani Los Angeles, CA 90024

May 29, 2013	
Marissa Bills Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 29, 2013	
Ashley Audycki Goleta, CA 93117 May 29, 2013	
Sabina Roan Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 29, 2013	
Alena Marie Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 29, 2013	
Bonnie Lassen Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 29, 2013	
Caroline White Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 29, 2013	
Donna Santa barbara, CA 93111 May 29, 2013	
Abby Wolff Goleta, CA 93110 May 29, 2013	
Victor Viard Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 29, 2013	
Chris Tittle Philatelic Center, CA 94612 May 29, 2013	
Quentin Gee Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 29, 2013	

Hunter Flynn Willits, CA 95490 May 29, 2013 molly gordon goleta, CA 93117 May 29, 2013

Cristina Cook Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 29, 2013

We have a responsibility to protect these last natural areas of the CA coast.

Jasmine Eskandari-Qajar Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 29, 2013

Johnny Sacko Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 29, 2013

Ginger Beebe Summerland, CA 93067 May 29, 2013

astrid nelson goleta, CA 93117 May 29, 2013

SoCal Gas seeks amendments to the Santa Barbara County Code (Coastal Zoning Ordinance) in order to directionally drill 4 natural gas wells at their aging Goleta gas storage facility on the California coast. The drilling locations are in close proximity to a wildlife rich tidal slough, 200 space mobile home park, major airport, recreational beach and state university (UCSB). SoCal Gas has already paid for structural supports for two homes in the park due to gas compressor vibrations. What could happen during and after drilling?

Philip LeVasseur Santa Barbara, CA 93121 May 29, 2013

Cassie Belden Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 29, 2013

Chellsee Lee Manteca, CA 95337 May 29, 2013

there is no planet B.

melissa cohen goleta, CA 93117 Stephen Stirling Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 29, 2013

Please peruse the Policy Guide on Planning for Sustainability (adopted back in 2000!), from the American Planning Association, at http://www.planning.org/policy/guides/adopted/sustainability.htm. Specially focus on section III. Policy Positions -- A. GENERAL POLICY OBJECTIVES. I urge you to compare your decisions against the four non-negotiable principles of the sustainability of the relationship biosphere-human societies--which are based on sound science and known to humankind since the 1980s. Do not fail nature and humans in Goleta!

Miguel Checa United States 93013-1817 May 29, 2013

Mignon Bradley Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 29, 2013

Vera Bensen Carpinteria, CA 93014 May 29, 2013

Betty Songer Carpinteria, CA 93013-2514 May 29, 2013

Help us stop this action!

Amrita Salm Carpinteria, CA 93014 May 29, 2013

Dr. Franco Cosco Santa Barbara, CA 93108 May 28, 2013

Dr. Elisabeth Courtenay Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 28, 2013

Susan Wang Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 28, 2013

anne holland Pacific Grove, CA 93950 Molly Armanino Santa Cruz, CA 95060 May 28, 2013

Barbara Flanigan Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 28, 2013

Marisa Brownfield Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 28, 2013

Michael Loman Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 28, 2013

Beth Wiesner Summit, NJ 07901 May 28, 2013

Forrest Hausz seattle, WA 98105 May 28, 2013

Timothy Urso Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 28, 2013

Paul Freeman Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 28, 2013

this is an invasion of a nessacary ecosystem to the california coast. disgusting

eric Smith warner santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 28, 2013

Julio Valdez GOLETA, CA 93117 May 28, 2013

Dustin Dalee Santa Barbara, CA 93109 May 28, 2013

Cheyenne Huerta Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 28, 2013 Benjammin Carpinteria, CA 93013 May 28, 2013 Arain VIlla Carpinteria, CA 93013 May 28, 2013 dont luc Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 28, 2013 Marguerite Gregston Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 28, 2013 Avery Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 28, 2013 Zach Nett Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 28, 2013 George Croton Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 28, 2013 aida asgari Santa Cruz, CA 95060 May 28, 2013

Please don't disturb all the wild animals and open spaces that I grew up calling my home! My life would not be nearly so rich without them. Please!

Brett Stormoen Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 28, 2013

Cody Cammbell Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 28, 2013

David Courtenay Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 28, 2013 Jonathan Brownfield Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 28, 2013 Casey Roberts Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 28, 2013 Olivia Gutkin Goleta, CA 93117 May 28, 2013 Will Reynolds GOLETA, CA 93117 May 28, 2013 Tom Miller Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 28, 2013 Aimee Miller Goleta, CA 93117 May 28, 2013 Nil Roda Goleta, CA 93117 May 28, 2013 Michael Arana Beaverton, OR 97008 May 28, 2013 Albert W Oaten Goleta, CA 93117

May 28, 2013

1969 Santa Barbara oil spill From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia Location: Pacific Ocean; Santa Barbara Channel Date: Main spill January 28 to February 7, 1969; gradually tapering off by April Cause: Well blowout during drilling from offshore oil platform Spill characteristics: Volume 80,000 to 100,000 barrels (13,000 to 16,000 m3) within a 10 day period Shoreline impacted: Southern California - Pismo Beach to the Mexican border, but concentrated near Santa Barbara The Santa Barbara oil spill occurred in January and February 1969 in the Santa Barbara Channel, near the city of Santa Barbara in Southern California. It was the largest oil spill in United States waters at the time, and now ranks third after the 2010 Deepwater Horizon and 1989 Exxon Valdez spills. It remains the largest oil spill to have occurred in the waters off California. The source of the spill was a blow-out on January 28, 1969, 6 miles (10 km) from the coast on Union Oil's Platform A in the Dos Cuadras Offshore Oil Field. Within a ten-day period, an estimated 80,000 to 100,000 barrels (13,000 to 16,000 m3)[1] of crude oil spilled into the Channel and onto the beaches of Santa Barbara County in Southern California, fouling the coastline from Goleta to Ventura as well as the northern shores of the four northern Channel Islands. The spill had a significant impact on marine life in the Channel, killing thousands of sea birds, as well as marine animals such as dolphins, elephant seals, and sea lions. The public outrage engendered by the spill, which received prominent media coverage in the United States, resulted in numerous pieces of environmental legislation within the next several years, legislation that forms the legal and regulatory framework for the modern environmental movement in the U.S.

STEINUNN DANLEY SANTA BARBARA, CA 93111 May 28, 2013

Susanne Barrymore Santa Barbara, CA 93105-9726 May 28, 2013

Dr. Karen Davidson Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 28, 2013

Yoel Haller Santa Barbara, CA 93108 May 28, 2013

Anabel Ford Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 28, 2013

Barbara Coventry Goleta, CA 93117 May 28, 2013

Christel B. Wittenstein Santa Barbara,, CA 94110 May 28, 2013

Jeffrey Burstein Santa Barbara, CA 93106 NO

Johnny Nghiem Santa Barbara, CA 93106 May 27, 2013

Faye Nennig Santa Barbara, CA 93105-3787 May 27, 2013

Dave De Heras Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 27, 2013

As a former UCSB student with family living in Goleta, I know that the area for this proposed drilling expansion is sensitive and critical to the area's natural, commercial, and recreational interests. It needs to be preserved and protected from drilling.

Nick Dikas San Luis Obispo, CA 93401 May 27, 2013

Janet Head Goleta, CA 93117 May 27, 2013

Christine Emanuel Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 27, 2013

Brigitte Wright Santa Barbara, CA 93109 May 27, 2013

Corrie Ellis Goleta, CA 93117 May 27, 2013

Elizabeth Muraoka Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 27, 2013

Henry Null Santa Barbara CA, CA 93105 May 27, 2013

Julia Woolley San Luis Obispo, CA 93401 May 27, 2013 Martha Jane Rudolph Goleta, CA 93117 May 27, 2013 Claudia Sobel Santa Barbara, CA 93108 May 27, 2013 Alexis Vandenberg Village, CA 93436 May 26, 2013 Joseph Reynolds Brooklyn, NY 11203 May 26, 2013 Hunter Reardon Lompoc, CA 93436 May 26, 2013 Lydia Colorado Springs, CO 80909 May 26, 2013 Bene Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 26, 2013 **Howard Winant** Santa Barbara, CA 93101-1031 May 26, 2013 Jim Farned Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 26, 2013 Denckla Tower Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 26, 2013 Jerry Flores Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 26, 2013

Max golding is basically a really great guy and I'm glad I saw his post on couch surfing. You know, I got gout recently and he gave some nice advice on the phone. Yay to max. No to gas drilling.

Alexander Johnson Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 26, 2013

roxane

Aptos, CA 95003 May 25, 2013

Reuben Cohen Los Angeles, CA 90046 May 25, 2013

Edward E Myers Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 25, 2013

Nick Long Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 25, 2013

Pamela Emerson Arlington, MA 02474-5512 May 25, 2013

Mark Sherwin Goleta, CA 93117 May 25, 2013

Catherine Weinberger Goleta, CA 93117 May 25, 2013

Reginald Daniel Goleta, CA 93117 May 25, 2013

Christine McReynolds Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 25, 2013

Hayden Kaden Gustavus, AK 99826-0138 May 25, 2013 Ed Maschke Lompoc, CA 93436 May 25, 2013

Elenita Mathew Aptos, CA 95003 May 24, 2013

Do not let this happen please

Teresa Alfino Goleta, CA 93117 May 24, 2013

Good grief, people, this is California, the land of faults and fractures! Don't risk extracting from the Earth's crust.

Fran Salisbury San Jose, CA 95132-1205 May 24, 2013

Stop drilling in Goleta!!!

Steph Reinish Chicago, IL 60654 May 24, 2013

Maia Maia Isla Vista, CA 93117-4519 May 24, 2013

Timothy Grigsby Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 24, 2013

Emily Williams Goleta, CA 93117 May 24, 2013

Ellen North Chatham, MA 02650 May 24, 2013

daniela soleri Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 24, 2013

The Rev. Nicole Janelle Isla Vista, CA 93117 May 24, 2013 David Cleveland Santa Barbara, CA 93106 May 24, 2013 Ketury Stein Santa Cruz, CA 95065 May 24, 2013 Betsy Brenner Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 24, 2013 Jeanette Warren Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 24, 2013 Susan Johnson Goleta, CA 93117 May 24, 2013 Tyler Bushnell los angeles, CA 90026 May 24, 2013 Sarah Cline Goleta, CA 93117 May 24, 2013 Lets be responsible for the future of our earth and everything that calls it home, including ourselves! Sean Anderson Carpinteria, CA 93013 May 24, 2013 Claire Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 24, 2013 Eric A Meece San Jose, CA 95124 May 24, 2013

CFROG.org John Brooks Oak View, CA 93022 May 24, 2013 Nicholas Jialei Su Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 24, 2013 Marwan El Abbadi Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 24, 2013 Doyle Barnett Goleta, CA 93117 May 24, 2013 Nikolai Giefer Santa Barbara, CA 93108-1641 May 24, 2013 Monica Orozco Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 24, 2013 Kate Hodges Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 24, 2013 Eva Anda Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 24, 2013 as a resident of Ventura County, I share Santa Barbara County's coastline and I want to see it protected.

RL Miller Agoura Hills, CA 91377 May 24, 2013

Matthew Block Vandenberg Village, CA 93436 May 24, 2013

laura kalman goleta, CA 93117 May 24, 2013

Ernie Tamminga Goleta, CA 93117 May 24, 2013 Nancy squires Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 24, 2013 Dianne Saichek San Jose, CA 95125 May 24, 2013 Elena Kucherenko Russia May 24, 2013 Alexandra Stimson FAIRFIELD, IA 52556 May 24, 2013 Damien Luzzo dixon, CA 95620 May 24, 2013 Please make a right decision today that you'll not regret in a future! B Tayakin Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 24, 2013 neeru mehra Goleta, CA 93117 May 23, 2013 Augusto Aguilera Westlake Vlg, CA 91362 May 23, 2013 **JESSE SERET** Los Angeles, CA 90039 May 23, 2013 corey vickery Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 23, 2013 Ingrid Tseng

Santa Barbara, CA 93110

May 23,	2013
Sarah Santa Ba May 23,	arbara, CA 93117 2013
	tte Mangaser CA 93117 2013
ieva auz Goleta, 0 May 23,	CA 93117
	McIsaac berg Village, CA 93436 2013
Jennie R Santa Ba May 23,	arbara, CA 93111
	Montgomery CA 93117 2013
Kelly Santa Ba May 23,	arbara, CA 93117 2013
Justin Di Goleta, (May 23,	CA 93117
Anna Pe Webster, May 23,	, TX 77598
Ekaterina Santa Ba May 23,	urbara, CA 93110
Cortland Goleta, O May 23,	CA 93117

Elie Katzenson Goleta, CA 93117 May 23, 2013 Jeanette Nadeau Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 23, 2013

Amanda Mardon Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 23, 2013

Joshua Redman Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 23, 2013

Joanna Kaufman San Luis obispo, CA 93405 May 23, 2013

Don't frack my mother!

Ally Arganbright Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 23, 2013

Colin Loustalot Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 23, 2013

Genevieve K. Kemp Berkeley, CA 94707 May 22, 2013

Julie Anderson Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 22, 2013

Shoshana Wechsler Kensington, CA 94708-1024 May 22, 2013

Not only are the risks of fracking local, but global. Time to spend money on renewable sources of energy instead of adding fossil fuel catastrophe. Thank you.

Stephanie Flaniken San Jose, CA 95130 May 22, 2013 Fracking in these sensitive areas should require a full EIR prior to approval. Please do not approve this permit unless you are fully informed as to the environmental risks and any possible mitigation.

Cameron Van Tassell, Esq. Goleta, CA 93117 May 22, 2013

Charlene Little Santa Barbara, CA 93108 May 22, 2013

Cameron Clark Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 22, 2013

do the math movie

John Broberg santa barbara, CA 93140 May 22, 2013

Katie Davis Goleta, CA 93117 May 22, 2013

Tammy Carpinteria, CA 93013 May 21, 2013

Nancy Snyder Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 21, 2013

Pope Freeman Goleta, United States 93117-2413 May 21, 2013

Leslie Kearney Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 21, 2013

Protect our very special environment that makes our Central Coast a very special place. Don't let SoCal put gas drilling over safety and the environment.

Annalee Champion Santa Barbara, CA, CA 93110 May 21, 2013 Rose Gray Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 21, 2013

Fracking is dangerous and must be stopped.

Laura DeYoung Millbrae, CA 94030 May 21, 2013

Nicole Schauser Santa Barbara, CA 93115 May 21, 2013

Judy Williams Berkeley, CA 94709 May 21, 2013

David Field Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 21, 2013

Corie Radka CA, CA 92683 May 21, 2013

Hanna Yi Fullerton, CA 92833 May 21, 2013

anita samaha Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 21, 2013

This is absolutely ridiculous! We moved to California for the beauty and environmental protection...I cannot believe that this is even a potential issue.

Kevin Kidd Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 21, 2013

eva santa barbara, CA 93105 May 21, 2013

Why do so few of us know about this? Stop til we do!

Sharon M. Stewart Goleta, CA 93117 May 21, 2013 Omer Harari Studio City, CA 91604 May 21, 2013 Patricia Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 21, 2013 Suryendra Doron Sherman La Jolla, CA 92037 May 21, 2013 Afaf Turjoman Goleta, CA 93117 May 20, 2013 Evan Sherwin Berkeley, CA 94709 May 20, 2013 Florian Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 20, 2013 Yu-Chia Chang Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 20, 2013 Arriana Goleta, CA 93117 May 20, 2013 Lorenzo martinez Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 20, 2013 Treena Diehl Mountain View, CA 94043 May 20, 2013 Xiao-bin Ji

Goleta, CA 93117 May 20, 2013 Suzanne Belden Cobb, CA 95426 May 20, 2013

Brad Sherman Sherman Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 20, 2013

Where are scientist at UCSB Bren School, The Walton Family \$800,0000 to Study Water, Arturo Keller and his Ecosystem Services? How about stopping the pollution before it starts instead of spending billions cleaning it up?

Marika Davidek Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 20, 2013

robyn duby Palo Alto, CA 94306 May 20, 2013

Ally E Gialketsis Goleta, CA 93117 May 20, 2013

Jeff Goby Redwood City, CA 94061 May 20, 2013

Dr. James McFadden Berkeley, CA 94703 May 20, 2013

SoCal Gas Co should not be allowed to drill or expand their storage facilities in this location. In addition to the nearby homes, wildlife areas, etc. there is a heavily used bike/walking path connecting Goleta/USSB and Santa Barbara that goes by this area.

Linda Hill Goleta, CA 93117 May 20, 2013

Correy Koshnick Goleta, CA 93117 May 20, 2013

Ildiko Polony San Francisco, CA 94114 May 20, 2013 Debbie Mytels Palo Alto, CA 94303 May 20, 2013

Fracking in CA is the stupidest idea I"ve ever heard. Do NOT frack oil OR gas in CA! Or anywhere else, either.

Frances Aubrey Kensington, CA 94707 May 20, 2013

Diane Sylvester Goleta, CA 93117 May 20, 2013

Theodora Crawford Berkeley, CA 94703 May 20, 2013

Jess Dervin-Ackerman Oakland, CA 94609 May 20, 2013

NO GAS DRILLING IN GOLETA

Christina Moreno Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 20, 2013

Seems obvious that Santa Barbara's position in a geologic basin makes it's groundwater especially vulnerable to fracking poisons.

Steve Murtaugh Hayward, CA 94542 May 20, 2013

Davis Freeman goleta, CA 93117 May 20, 2013

Erik Nielsen Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 20, 2013

Sahar El Abbadi Goleta, CA 93117 May 20, 2013 Mary Foster Santa Barbara, CA 93116 May 20, 2013

Drake

Santa Barbara, CA 93105

May 20, 2013

Todd Fincannon

Berkeley, CA 94702-1435

May 20, 2013

Karen Rusiniak Berkeley, CA 94710

May 20, 2013

Not in my backyard- or anyone's for that matter- CLEAN ENERGY JOBS NOW!

Jami Nielsen Goleta, CA 93117 May 20, 2013

Peter Fettis

Carmel, CA 93923

May 20, 2013

Mike Vergeer

Santa Barbara, CA 93103

May 20, 2013

Goleta is my hometown. I object to expansion of drilling activities in the coastal environment. Please make the responsible decision.

Maria Potter

Occidental, CA 95465

May 20, 2013

Justine Sutton

Santa Barbara, CA 93105

May 20, 2013

Jessica Bortman Santa Barbara, CA 93108

May 20, 2013

This plan goes against all common sense and knowledge about the environmental situation on both a local and planetary level. This MUST be prevented. Thanks in advance.

Peter Lackner Santa Barbara, CA 93109 May 20, 2013

KATHLEEN Morris ventura, CA 93003 May 20, 2013

Thomas Malzbender Palo Alto, CA 94306 May 19, 2013

Julia Chen Sunnyvale, CA 94087 May 19, 2013

The environment HAS to be a priority!

stephen nichols San Jose, CA 95127 May 19, 2013

Eric Danner Goleta, CA 93117 May 19, 2013

Megan Dawe Sacramento, CA 95816 May 19, 2013

California must not put the asset of its beautiful and life sustaining natural environment at risk for the short term gain of oil or natural gas extraction. Conservation, wind and solar must be our path to increased and sustainable energy sources.

Edie Keating Palo Alto, CA 94306 May 19, 2013

The stakes are too high. Our natural resources, water and the farming and land in the "Good Land" of Goleta, not to mention the most densely populated square mile west of the Mississippi (Isla Vista and UCSB), packed full of young people, are too precious to risk drilling accidents, spillage, or contamination.

Nancy Black Santa Barbara, CA 93105-3718 May 19, 2013 George Cammarota San Jose, CA 95129 May 19, 2013

David E Russ Santa Barbara, CA 90068 May 19, 2013

Janice Thurston Scotts Valley, CA 95060 May 19, 2013

Michelle Goleta, CA 93117 May 19, 2013

I love visiting Goleta to swim in the ocean and spend time on the beach and nearby restaurants. I'll have to go elsewhere to swim if SoCal starts drilling there.

Michael Pelizzari Sunnyvale, CA 94085-3171 May 19, 2013

Stephen Rosenblum Palo Alto, CA 94301 May 19, 2013

Fracking is not the way to generate new energy sources: renewables: water, wind, and sunlight

Gary Latshaw Cupertino, CA 95014 May 19, 2013

Fracking is dangerous and detrimental. Focus on sustainable energy projects instead.

Linda Busek Redwood City, CA 94061-3626 May 19, 2013

Patricia Murdy Carpinteria, CA 93013 May 19, 2013

julea bacall campbell, CA 95008 May 19, 2013 Don't drill! Use space for Mixed Wind Turbine/Solar Field instead! Be the first in the neighborhood to create a SUSTAINABLE power assembly and gain friends and supporters! Thank you for doing the right thing! A. Davis Santa Barbara

Adrianne Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 19, 2013

Steve Danner Morgan Hill, CA 95037 May 19, 2013

BRIAN HABERLY Santa Clara, CA 95054 May 19, 2013

Denise East Permanente, CA 95014 May 19, 2013

Caroline Yacoub Sunnyvale, CA 94085 May 19, 2013

Bill Hilton Sunnyvale, CA 94087 May 19, 2013

Glen Shaffer San Jose, CA 95124 May 19, 2013

Pierre Delforge SARATOGA, CA 95070 May 19, 2013

Richard Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 19, 2013

lucy mae sunday los angeles, CA 90026 May 19, 2013

Heather Boyd Santa Barbara, CA 93109 May 19, 2013 Mary Gibson Santa Barbara, CA 93109 May 19, 2013

The Goleta Slough Estuary has been listed as a California State Impaired Water for more than ten years and yet still does not have a completed TMDL! How can gas drilling possibly be legal under these circumstances?

Laura Funkhouser Goleta, CA 93117 May 19, 2013

Matthew Tavianini Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 19, 2013

ted mills santa barbara, CA 93101 May 19, 2013

Dante Landaverde Goleta, CA 93117 May 19, 2013

Brittany Tse Goleta, CA 93117 May 19, 2013

ALEX HENTELOFF Santa Barbara, CA 93109 May 19, 2013

FRACK THIS!!!!!!!

Gary Cartzdafner Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 19, 2013

Colleen Kelly Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 19, 2013

As an alumni of UCSB and former 20 year resident of Goleta & Santa Barbara, I find this proposal a step closer to the final ruination of the SB coastline.

Kelly A. Burnett San Rafael, CA 94903 May 19, 2013 Donna goleta, CA 93111 May 19, 2013

Please stop it now! this area is too precious and delicate and there are too many people who would be hurt by this which includes members of my family.

Claudia Bratton Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 19, 2013

The destruction done by drilling for natural gas makes this process not worth it.

Sydney Roberts Rockefeller Seal Harbor, ME 04675 May 19, 2013

Please do not despoil a perfectly fine nighborhood.

Margo Kline Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 19, 2013

Please save our environment from drilling--especially 4 places. There are also too many people who will be impacted as well as the birds and beasts. Thank you.

Barbara H. Burger Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 18, 2013

patricia clarke Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 18, 2013

Drilling in Goleta is a bad idea. Not only will it impact the residents who live close to the proposed drill site, but the area is rich in wildlife that will be impacted by drilling. We value our rich wildlife and the slough, beach and parks do not need the negative impact of this awful drilling proposal.

Jennifer Reinish Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 18, 2013

Lisa Piltz Carpinteria, CA 93013 May 18, 2013

Nancy Nufer Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 18, 2013

Kathy Dervin Berkeley, CA 94707 May 18, 2013

Pamela Los Olivos, CA 93441 May 18, 2013

Cynthia Otter Creek, ME 04660 May 18, 2013

Please - no drilling along the Goleta coastline by So CalGas or any other company!!!

Bonnie Blau Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 18, 2013

Barton Woolery Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 18, 2013

Dru Colbert Seal Harbor, ME 04675 May 18, 2013

Dan Yocum Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 18, 2013

It isn't safe at all

Erica dibartolomeo Santa Barbara, CO 93111 May 18, 2013

save goleta

gregory glasby santa barbara, CA 93101 May 18, 2013

Lynn Brookline, MA 02445 May 18, 2013 I witnessed the San Bruno, CA fire when the gasoline line exploded. No new major gas lines or storage should be permitted near heavily populated areas..

Ted W Wuerthner Santa Barbara, MI 93111 May 18, 2013

john lengyel Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 18, 2013

Allison Fradkin Northbrook, IL 60062 May 18, 2013

Dennis DeMarco Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 18, 2013

Andrea Lepcio New York, NY 10026 May 18, 2013

Elizabeth Teare Goleta, CA 93117 May 18, 2013

SUZANNE GERAGHTY SANTA BARBARA, CA 93111 May 18, 2013

Kristen Vassaur Isla Vista, CA 93711 May 18, 2013

Joan Roche Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 17, 2013

MARY ROTHMAN Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 17, 2013

David Petit Santa Barbara, CA 93109 May 17, 2013 LEAH DOUGHERTY SANTA, BARBARA, CA 93109 May 17, 2013

Jeffrey Bryan Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 17, 2013

John Burgee Santa Barbara, CA 93108 May 17, 2013

Yvonne B Ferguson, MD Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 17, 2013

Don't pollute my child's school or the fragile coast above Santa Barbara. It's time to protect and defend. Not Drill Baby Drill.

Claire M. Hoffman Topanga, CA 90290 May 17, 2013

Isabel Atkinson Isla Vista, CA 93117 May 17, 2013

I am opposed to this drilling.

Patricia Knight Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 17, 2013

Megan Lim Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 17, 2013

Lets not destroy the natural beauty of the area!

Kathryn Arthur Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 17, 2013

Anthony Lopez Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 17, 2013 The Goleta coast is one the most preserved and pristine parts of the California coastline. It's beauty is already obstructed by oil derricks offshore and does not need any more drilling to be done. Please don't drill for natural gas.

Simone

Joshua Tree, CA 92252

May 17, 2013

elizabeth rosbottom

Goleta, CA 93117

May 17, 2013

Shiona Davene

Goleta, CA 93117

May 17, 2013

Ross Zelen

Santa Barbara, CA 93117

May 17, 2013

Adam Rothman

Santa Barbara, CA 93111

May 17, 2013

No Drilling in our beautiful beach town!

Tessa Balboni

Goleta, CA 93117

May 17, 2013

Mike Tran

Goleta, CA 93117

May 17, 2013

Izzy P-W

Santa Barbara, CA 93117

May 16, 2013

Brett Warner

Santa Barbara, CA 93103

May 16, 2013

Cecelia Marie Osborn

Santa Barbara, CA 93107

May 16, 2013

Robert Peed

Carpinteria, CA 93013

N	May 16, 2013
S	Stephen Lewis Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 16, 2013
C	Michelle McCarthy Goleta, CA 93117 May 16, 2013
S	Vancy Withington Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 16, 2013
S	Catherine Weeks anta Barbara, CA 93105 May 16, 2013
S	Aichel Saint-Sulpice anta Barbara, CA 93108 May 16, 2013
go	lussell russo oleta, CA 93117 May 16, 2013
G	lex Hoffman foleta, CA 93117 Iay 16, 2013
Is	orest McMillin da Vista, CA 93117 fay 16, 2013
Sa	Iurphy anta Barbara, CA 93107 Iay 16, 2013
G	enny Marshall oleta, CA 93117 Iay 16, 2013
La	ra Karamian a Crescenta, CA 91214 Iay 16, 2013

Emily Chase Ventura, CA 93001 May 16, 2013 scott pearson Santa Barbara, CA 93109 May 16, 2013

I went to school at UCSB and remember how beautiful the Goleta area is. It's so important that the natural beauty isn't spoiled for everyone so that one company can profit.

Lisa Nicholson Santa Rosa, CA 95404 May 16, 2013

The proposed drilling in Goleta is an example of an unsustainable practice. The environmental costs of acquiring this energy far outweigh. the benefits. In addition, this drilling in Goleta will set a precedent, making it easier for unscrupulous energy firms to justify exploitation and more widespread destruction of our ecosystem. Further, I am confident that a majority of Santa Barbara residents share my sentiment that energy firms are not properly motivated to maintain safe operating conditions of their equipment, as that would mean further expenditures on the part of the company. In the wake of numerous domestic environmental disasters in the last couple years - indeed months - which were preventable, I am less than reassured that SoCal Gas is capable of pursuing the storage of fuels without incident. In the event of an unfortunate incident, I doubt their willingness to hold themselves accountable for any negative consequences without significant expenditures upon legal proceedings, paid for of course by us, the potential victims of any mishaps. Further, it would be maddeningly irresponsible of the SBCo Planning Department to permit such development in light of recently reported record-high numbers of carbon dioxide existing on our planet. Carbon based fuels are not the answer. Santa Barbara County has been a pioneer in promoting sustainable practices, be it the founding of Earth Day celebrations, solar panels at SBCC, or the "Green" Albertsons in Carpinteria. Permitting this drilling would be morally repugnant and a backward step in the progress we have made locally, and inspired nationally in sustainable energy. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Santa Barbara County Planning and Development department, Santa Barbara begs you to think long and hard about the implications of such work.

Jonah Norton Santa Barbara, CA 93108 May 16, 2013

no drilling in goleta!

Emma Fujii Walnut Creek, CA 94596 May 16, 2013

Cynthia Dunn Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 16, 2013

Kathelee Banister Santa Barbara, CA 93130 May 16, 2013

Brad Smith Santa Barbara, CA 93101 I am signing against Goleta gas drilling

Mei-Mei Or Goleta, CA 93117 May 16, 2013

Barbara Wishingrad Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 16, 2013

Stuart Sherwin Goleta, CA 93117 May 16, 2013

Andrew Dunn Goleta, CA 93117 May 16, 2013

Mario J. Escamilla Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 16, 2013

Susan West Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 16, 2013

Kaz Seilkhan Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 16, 2013

Natural gas and the trend to utilize fracking to obtain it, are a very grave danger to our coast and ecosystem.

Richard Schwager Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 15, 2013

Dasha Russ Goleta, CA 93117 May 15, 2013

Pei-te Lien Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 15, 2013

Sylvia Sullivan Goleta, CA 93117 May 15, 2013 Gerardo Aldana Goleta, CA 93117 May 15, 2013

Get on with solar energy! Stop this non-sens.

Jackie Dearth Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 15, 2013

Totally opposed to this, ridiculous.

wendy dishman Santa Barbara,, CA 93105 May 15, 2013

Wendy Wittl Santa Barbara Ca, CA 93105 May 15, 2013

This is certainly NOT the time to amend the Coastal Zoning Ordinance to allow more drilling.

Stephen Pope Santa Barbara, CA 93105-3715 May 15, 2013

Antonio Cortijo-Rodgers, Jr. Goleta, CA 93117 May 15, 2013

Antonio Cortijo Goleta, CA 93117 May 15, 2013

Julie Rodgers Goleta, CA 93117 May 15, 2013

Joan Lloyd Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 15, 2013

Emily Zinn Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 15, 2013

Adam Garth Lane Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Francesco Bullo Goleta, CA 93117 May 15, 2013

Sharon Rose

James R. Richard Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 15, 2013

Keep drilling operations away from residential, educational, and recreational areas. Promote alternative energy solutions, instead of carbon based gas & oil resources. This is the 21st century, and we already have the alternative technologies.

Juan E. Campo Goleta, CA 93117 May 15, 2013

Jose Cabezon Goleta, CA 93117 May 15, 2013

Laurence Miller Santa Barbara, CA 93108 May 15, 2013

Rick Jones Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 15, 2013

Jerilynne Nibbe Santa Barbarb, CA 93111 May 15, 2013

Anny Eastwood Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 15, 2013

Chris Kolendrianos Goleta, CA 93117 May 15, 2013

Leslie Brueckner Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 15, 2013

Galen Stucky Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 15, 2013 Judith Geiger Goleta, CA 93117 May 15, 2013 Michael Goleta, CA 93117 May 15, 2013 Steven Gaulin Goleta, CA 93117 May 15, 2013 Cynthia Kaplan Goleta, CA 93117 May 15, 2013 Lysbeth Chuck Carpinteria, CA 93013 May 15, 2013 Joanna Murphy Goleta, CA 93117 May 15, 2013 selvi raju goleta, CA 93117 May 15, 2013 Marge Santa Barbara, CA 93121 May 15, 2013 Stop proposed natural gas drilling in Goleta. It's our generation's responsibility to let our town stay a unique one in its beauty, for us AND the following generations to come. Julia Ovod Goleta, CA 93117

Raymond C. Smith Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 15, 2013

May 15, 2013

Barbara Massey Goleta, CA 93117 May 15, 2013

Jay Johnson Santa Barbara, CA 93121 May 15, 2013

Leise Thomason Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 15, 2013

thomas bain santa barbara, CA 93105 May 15, 2013

Michael Starks Santa Barbara, CA 93106 May 15, 2013

Martin Henderson Goleta, CA 93117 May 15, 2013

Men Bruer Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 15, 2013

This area is where many creeks merge into the slough and ocean nearby. The slough is a nursery for birds and protects the ocean from harmful toxins. This drilling can be detrimental to the ecosystem.

Teri Briggs Santa Barbara, CA 93109 May 15, 2013

Drilling is not compatible in that sensitive environment, and in the highly populated area.

Madlyn Monchamp Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 15, 2013

The fragile ecosystem of the Santa Barbara could suffer a terrible negative impact from natural gas drilling and storage on the Goleta coastline!

Margo W Krystian PhD Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 15, 2013

Donna Mason Santa Barbara, CA 93108 May 15, 2013 Laura S. Rasmussen Santa Barbara, CA 93109 May 14, 2013 Lorna Moore Santa Barbara, CA 93105-2111 May 14, 2013 Lisa Tomlin Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 14, 2013 yes, absolutely! this is greedy and unnecessary. look into other energy options.... sharon leeds santa barbara, CA 93111 May 14, 2013 Aida Aminzai

Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 14, 2013

Lorna Santa Barbara, CA 93108 May 14, 2013

anita bradford goleta, CA 93117 May 14, 2013

judi muller Santa Barbara, CA 93105-2001 May 14, 2013

NO FRICKING FRACKING!!

shawn thies Santa barbara, CA 93190 May 14, 2013 I live here. I am aware of natural risks like fires & the occasional earthquake. Fracking, however, seems like an unnatural & unacceptable risk.

Arran DeArmond Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 14, 2013

We visit Goleta all the time and would be so sad if the Planning Department allowed these gas lines to be pursued. Please stop SoCal gas!

Zak Landrum Canyon Country, CA 91387 May 14, 2013

We must protect what natural lands we have left and should not harm the land and wildlife in pursuit of energy which is what is destroying our planet. We must find less harmful ways to obtain our energy sources.

George Ball Inglewood, CA 90301 May 14, 2013

Susan Jackson Goleta, CA 93117 May 14, 2013

Mimi A Simson Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 14, 2013

Denise Anderson Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 14, 2013

Meg Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 14, 2013

Please protect the residents and children future. Thanks.

Lydia Agadjanova Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 14, 2013

Cynthia Jacobson United States 98730 May 14, 2013

Florence Cooley Santa Barbara, CA 93109 May 14, 2013 MARK TOVAR ventura, CA 93004 May 14, 2013 Isabel M Ayala shafter, CA 93263 May 14, 2013 Mark Kern Goleta, CA 93117 May 14, 2013 Heather Blancho Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 14, 2013 Doug Ingoldsby Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 14, 2013 Dan Leahy Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 14, 2013 Neal Rosenthal Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 14, 2013 James spellman Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 14, 2013 Theresa Yandell Santa Barbara, CA 93105-4421 May 14, 2013 Christina Eliason Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 14, 2013 Fran Koort Santa Barbara, CA 93111

May 14, 2013

Brian Cole Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 14, 2013

No Natural Gas Drilling in our community. Please.

Debbie McQuade Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 14, 2013

Mike Hedrick Santa barbara, CA 93105 May 14, 2013

Mary Sue Miller Ssanta Barbara, CA 93108 May 14, 2013

A very bad idea. Do the responsible thing!

Valerie Yova Goleta, CA 93117 May 14, 2013

P Taber SB, CA 93101 May 14, 2013

Natural Gas Drilling keep away from Goleta!

Nataliya Tinyayeva Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 14, 2013

Rodger Dawson Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 14, 2013

Didn't the oil spill teach the Santa Barbara planning commission what happens when you shock Mother Nature to do what is unnecessary? Stop this nonsense and leave our coastal city to those of us who love nature and the beauty of other means of energy.

Mary Ayers Santa Barbara, CA 93109-1550 May 14, 2013

Robert Jones Santa Barbara, CA 93111 ken yamamoto santa barbara, CA 93101 May 14, 2013

Michael Freeston Goleta, CA 93117 May 14, 2013

Rose Stuart Goleta, CA 93117 May 14, 2013

John Rose Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 14, 2013

Jean Krag Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 14, 2013

Roberta Weissglass Santa Barbara, CA 93130 May 14, 2013

More drilling for natural gas and drilling for fracking should be illegal.

Frances Scafidi Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 14, 2013

We definitely need to stop any such drilling, but I think the petition needs to be STRONGER

jean Sturgeon Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 14, 2013

Stop.

K Mishina goleta, CA 93117 May 14, 2013

Diane Siegel Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 14, 2013

John Klett Santa barbara, CA 93101 May 14, 2013 Sally Ruhl Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 14, 2013 Sage Wallower Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 14, 2013 Brenda J Wilson Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 14, 2013 Allen D. Leydecker Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 14, 2013 Philip Gantt Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 14, 2013 Ryan Hechinger Goleta, CA 93117 May 14, 2013 Natalya Mishina Goleta, CA 93117 May 14, 2013 Melissa Colborn Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 14, 2013 Sofia mata Buellton, CA 93427 May 14, 2013 **David Adams** Univ of Cal Santa Barbara, CA 93106

May 14, 2013

I am totally opposed to this project because of what could happen during and after drilling. The Goleta coastline is too fragile, and is in fact eroding and falling into the ocean. The beach is shrinking and falling back almost to its parking lot. There is a bike path to UCSB that is threatened. The rich tidal slough where wildlife lives is threatened, as is the road leading to UCSB. There are important projects of the university that are threatened, including part of the Marine Science Institute. The residents, including homes in a trailer park have already received structural supports, paid for by SoCal Gas, because of gas compressor vibrations. The gas storage facility is aging and could easily spring a leak. How could SoCal Gas perpetrate this on our environment and the residents of Santa Barbara County and expect us to continue to use its natural gas in our homes. Frankly, I am seriously thinking of switching to electricity, and solar power when I can afford the installation

Patrice Summers Santa Barbara, CA 93101-2228 May 14, 2013

Rachel Sloan Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 14, 2013

Adrian Lopez Santa ynez, CA 93460 May 14, 2013

Marcella Cervantes Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 14, 2013

Denna M. Zamarrn Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 14, 2013

Think of OUR future enough! is enough! of desrtoying the future of US WE have to stop this greedy way of being

jimmy joe santa barbara, CA 93103 May 14, 2013

Helen Clancy San Francisco, CA 94109 May 14, 2013

Francesca Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 14, 2013

Aileen Campbell Santa Barbara, CA 93110

May	14, 2013
Sant	thew Wright a Barbara, CA 93117 14, 2013
Gole	is Roads sta, CA 93117 14, 2013
Santa	Leslie a Barbara, CA 93110 14, 2013
santa	am baldwin barbara, CA 93108 14, 2013
SAN	BROPHY TA BARBARA, CA 93105-9761 14, 2013
	ea barbara, CA 93109 14, 2013
Santa	Buttny Ynez, CA 93460 14, 2013
Santa	ia Burtt Barbara, CA 93101 14, 2013
Santa	camiel Barbara, CA 93105 14, 2013
Santa	Graham Barbara, CA 93111

Richard A. Nielsen Santa Barbara, CA 93103-1826 May 14, 2013

Matthew Tucker-Simmons Goleta, CA 93117 May 14, 2013

May 14, 2013

As a Goleta home owner this is particularly important.

Steve Nelson Goleta, CA 93117 May 14, 2013

Gail Esola Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 14, 2013

nancy g SB, CA 93111 May 14, 2013

Please do not change the Coastal Zoning Ordinance to appease the SoCal Gas Co. Keep and protect our fragile and precious environment. Keep the citizens living right next door safe and protected. Maintain the quality of life for humans and animals/birds living in this wonderful area.

Gary Pearce Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 14, 2013

Tina Love Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 14, 2013

Jaqueline Lee Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 14, 2013

Erin Ingalls Goleta, CA 93117 May 14, 2013

Geri Benner Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 14, 2013

Clarence Barlow Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 14, 2013

Carole Santa Barbara, CA 93109 May 14, 2013

Joan Ariel Santa Barbara, CA 93105

Stop drilling in Goleta

joseph lebenzon md dlfapa CA, United States 03277 May 14, 2013

ryan barnes Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 14, 2013

Sharon Rumberger Goleta, CA 93117 May 14, 2013

Diane Martinez Santa Paula, CA 93060 May 14, 2013

Patti Maurice Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 14, 2013

In addition to wildlife concerns, there are human health considerations as well. As it is, Veneco often releases something that causes a horrible odor. I can't image any positive health benefits from said release.

Thomas Triggs Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 14, 2013

ABSOLUTELY!

Robert M. Ornstein Santa Barbara, CA 93108 May 14, 2013

Michael Jameson Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 14, 2013

Maureen Sullivan Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 14, 2013

Lisabette Brinkman Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 14, 2013 I think this drilling would have an adverse effect on the property owners in the mobile home park adjacent to this.

Catherine Hedrick Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 14, 2013

J. Wesley Brown Portland, OR 97222 May 14, 2013

lisa montecito, CA 93108 May 14, 2013

Nancy Michelson Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 14, 2013

A Thomas Hunt Goleta, CA 93117 May 14, 2013

Glenn Miles Surrey, CA 93101 May 14, 2013

thomas burton Santa Barbara, CA 93109 May 14, 2013

Nicole Llamas Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 14, 2013

Jeeva Rakkiannan Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 14, 2013

Dyan Wirt Goleta, CA 93117 May 14, 2013

Christopher Horner Montecito, CA 93108-2818 May 14, 2013

Tyler a Moselle Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 14, 2013 Chris Latham Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 14, 2013 Shannon Pearce Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 14, 2013 roberta santa barbara, CA 93105 May 14, 2013 Please stop SoCal Gas Company from drill the 4 natural gas wells in Goleta. Carol Atkinson Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 14, 2013 Save our Channel! Save our Wildlife! Prohibit fracking now! Frederick R. Campion Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 14, 2013 Nancy Wagner Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 14, 2013 Angela Bell Santa Barbara, CA 93109 May 14, 2013 JoAnn Winter Santa Barbara, CA 93108 May 14, 2013 George Relles Goleta, CA 93117

Dennis Allen santa barbara, CA 93105-2138 May 13, 2013

May 14, 2013

Erin Finnegan Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 13, 2013

No more drilling

Jon mates Santa Barbara, CA 93109 May 13, 2013

Lawrence Duff Santa Barbara, CA 93109 May 13, 2013

Onur Kapdan Santa Barbara, CA 93112 May 13, 2013

glorianna buynak santa barbara, CA 93105 May 13, 2013

Mary E. Howe-Grant Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 13, 2013

Please do not allow this drilling to take place.

Janet F Patruno Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 13, 2013

Alyssa Hall Goleta, CA 93117 May 13, 2013

The santa Barbara County Planning Department needs to protect the coastline from natural gas drilling & storage expansion by Gas Company. Our coast is precious. And the Gas Company is going to disturb wildlife and sensitive nesting areas with this project. Monic de Wit

Monie de Wit Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 13, 2013

Kori Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 13, 2013 Barbara Hirsch Santa Barbara, United States 93101-4654 May 13, 2013

I urge restraint in drilling in this fragile location on the South Coast. We have learned that this type of development has been harmful to the environment, and I would sincerely appreciate this not happening in our location.

Email* Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 13, 2013

The Goleta Slough is a precious habitat for birds and other wildlife. Every effort should be made to protect that area from disruption and development.

I. Eden Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 13, 2013

Susan Dixon Goleta, CA 93117 May 13, 2013

Goleta Beach is not a place to drill . I would not want my son to attend UCSB under those circumstances. No drilling in a tourist area.

Judith Newton, MA 02461 May 13, 2013

Bjorn Birnir Goleta, CA 93117-4342 May 13, 2013

Stephanie Baker Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 13, 2013

No Fracking! They reap the profits and we reap the consequences!

Margie Borchers santa barbara, CA 93101 May 13, 2013

Angela Moore Australia May 13, 2013

Megan Diaz Alley Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 13, 2013 Chelsea Pearson Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 13, 2013 Leslie Edgerton Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 13, 2013 Leslie Brtek Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 13, 2013 mady Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 13, 2013 Cathi Lamberti Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 13, 2013 Protect this invaluble natural habitat from such invasive and destructive drilling! Linda Bernson Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 13, 2013 We have the right to protect our environment from corporate greed! Rachel Scarlett Goleta, CA 93117 May 13, 2013 Elisa Merkl Goleta, CA 93117 May 13, 2013 Michael Guthrie Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 13, 2013 Elaine Forester Goleta, CA 93117

May 13, 2013

scott pearson santa barbara, CA 93109 May 13, 2013

Debra Barringer Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 13, 2013

Brent Millhollen Goleta, CA 93117 May 13, 2013

Protect the residents and fragile environment along the Goleta coastline. Please prevent the expansion of natural gas drilling and gas storage by the SoCal Gas Company.

Benjamin Reese Goleta, CA 93117 May 13, 2013

not another Clearview drilling project!

Aaron Marcuse-Kubitza Goleta, CA 93117 May 13, 2013

Katharine Metropolis Goleta, CA 93117-4340 May 13, 2013

Eileen Joy Cincinnati, OH 45220 May 13, 2013

Michael Emmerich Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 13, 2013

Brendon Evans Golcta, CA 93117 May 13, 2013

Kathryn Allen Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 13, 2013

Elizabeth MacKenzie Goleta, CA 93120 May 13, 2013

Hilary Bernstein Goleta, CA 93117 May 13, 2013 Deane Plaister Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 13, 2013 Aranye Fradenburg Goleta, CA 93117 May 13, 2013 Let's build our sustainable energy industries, not this! Margaret Lazarus Santa Barbara, CA 93108 May 13, 2013 Kate Ingalls Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 13, 2013 Christine Green Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 13, 2013 Sylvia Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 12, 2013 David Billesbach Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 12, 2013 Patricia Craychee Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 12, 2013 James H. Bailey Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 12, 2013

Barbara Bates

Barbara Bates Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 12, 2013 Kara Petersen Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 12, 2013

Kristin McWilliams Santa Barbara, CA 93102 May 12, 2013

Andrea Sutherland Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 12, 2013

Stanley Naftaly Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 12, 2013

Barbara Cole Goleta, CA 93117 May 12, 2013

Evelyn Clements Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 12, 2013

Kathryn O'Brien Goleta, CA 93117 May 12, 2013

of all the environments to risk before understanding the deleterious effects it is the fragile coast in Goletafor goodness sake what are you thinking

Judith S. Santa Barbara, CA 93102 May 12, 2013

Phyllis M. Keimach Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 12, 2013

Thomas Turner Goleta, CA 93117 May 12, 2013

This is absolutely the wrong place to drill gas wells! I live in SB, I know the area well. Keep further industrialization OUT of the area!

Angela Bates Santa Barbara, CA 93101

May 12, 2013	
Constance Penley Goleta, CA 93117 May 12, 2013	
Trish Holden Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 12, 2013	
Anna Martinez Goleta, CA 93117 May 12, 2013	
Frank and Linda Ferratta Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 12, 2013	
Edward E Myers Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 12, 2013	
Amr El Abbadi Goleta, CA 93117 May 12, 2013	
Hunter Lenihan Goleta, CA 93117 May 12, 2013	
Julie McLeod SAntA barbara I, CA 93108 May 12, 2013	
Kurt and Beth Pyle Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 12, 2013	
Judy Benton Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 12, 2013	
Carter Morgan Goleta, CA 93117 May 12, 2013	

Ciara Butterfield Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 12, 2013

Rob Wilkinson Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 12, 2013 Kathy Hays Goleta, CA 93117 May 12, 2013 Linda Perry Goleta, CA 93117 May 12, 2013 Lila Wilbarger Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 12, 2013 Susan Horne Santa Barbara, CA 93109 May 12, 2013 Henry Weinberg Santa Barbara, CA 93110-2032 May 12, 2013 Luis Prat Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 12, 2013 Karen Urrutia

Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 12, 2013

I own property in Goleta, rather near to the proposed drilling site. I am very concerned about the negative impact this proposed drilling will have on the health and safety of the area residents and visitors, as well as the area's environment as a whole.

Helen I. O'Brien-Briggs Bronx, NY 10461 May 12, 2013

Marian Shapiro Goleta, CA 93117 May 12, 2013

Isabel Downs Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 12, 2013

Randall Rightmire Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 12, 2013 Karen Holdrege Goleta, CA 93117 May 12, 2013 Barbara Boros Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 12, 2013 steven rosenthal Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 12, 2013 Jane S. De Hart Santa Barbara, CA 93108 May 12, 2013 Arnette Zerbe

Arnette Zerbe Santa Barbara, CA 93108 May 12, 2013

Barbara A. Holdrege Goleta, CA 93117 May 12, 2013

Goleta is next door to Gaviota, the last remaining "undeveloped" CA Central Coast section. It would be irresponsible in the extreme to permit drilling there.

John Kay Santa Barbara, CA 93108 May 12, 2013

Gordon Gerbitz Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 12, 2013

Please don't allow this to happen in our beautiful Goleta

Patricia Jo Haggard Goleta, CA 93117 May 12, 2013

Bill Hurst Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 12, 2013 Jonathan Bixby Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 12, 2013

Linda Adams Goleta, CA 93117 May 12, 2013

Stop SoCal Gas from ruining this area.

Jeff Oien Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 12, 2013

micahel mullin Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 12, 2013

Rob McGee Montecito, CA 93108 May 12, 2013

Carol Bason Santa Barbara, CA 93108 May 12, 2013

Marsha McIntire Goleta, CA 93117 May 12, 2013

Valerie Janssens Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 12, 2013

Jessica cashman Santa barbara, CA 93105 May 11, 2013

Emily Cesca Goleta, CA 93117 May 11, 2013 This is outrageous. I recommend everyone signing this petition should also contact the office of any city and county official you can think of to voice your anger. Make your voice heard! Tell them that, if they side with an oil company over the interests of their constituents, you will be going door to door working against them in the next election, and that you will donate money to their rivals.

Sean Kelly Goleta, CA 93117 May 11, 2013

Lenor de Cruz Victorville, CA 92392 May 11, 2013

Sally Hughes Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 11, 2013

We need to leave fossil fuels in the ground to slow global warming. Priority should be to leave reserves untapped in sensitive and beautiful recreation areas!

Harold Marcuse Goleta, CA 93117 May 11, 2013

Carole Wasserman Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 11, 2013

Keep Goleta beaches safe for all residents and visitors, human and nonhuman!

Zeynep Korkman Williamsburg, VA 23185 May 11, 2013

Kathleen Clancy San Francisco, CA 94109 May 11, 2013 It was announced this week that atmospheric concentrations of CO2 levels in the atmosphere surpassed the 400 ppm milestone, causing many concerned climate scientist to issue warnings about the likely impacts of global warming. As a coastal community, and as "ground zero" for the environmental movement after the 1969 oil spill, we should be taking a strong leadership position in transforming American energy production to renewable sources. 350.org founder Bill McKibben has said, "The only question now is whether the relentless rise in carbon can be matched by a relentless rise in the activism necessary to stop it." As a community, we must stand opposed to the "easy money" generated by permitting natural gas production on our coastline and champion long-term environmental security for the human race over short-term profits for corporations.

Eric L Dahl GOLETA, CA 93117 May 11, 2013

Jan Oldham Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 11, 2013

Alexander Dunn Goleta, CA 93117 May 11, 2013

Ashleigh Valenti Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 11, 2013

lynne shaw santa barbara, CA 93108 May 11, 2013

Paul Spickard Isla Vista, CA 93117 May 11, 2013

I live at West Campus Point and am deeply concerned about the impact of this plan on the safety of my family and the surrounding environment.

Patricia M Clancy Goleta, CA 93117 May 11, 2013

Esther J. Borah SANTA BARBARA, CA 93105 May 11, 2013

Annie Guillemette

Annie Guillemette

Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 11, 2013

Muffett Kaufman Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 11, 2013

Lori Fuller Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 11, 2013

This is way too dangerous, irresponsible, and thoughtless in terms of the human and natural environments that would be affected. When will fossil fuel corporations change their business model? When we force them to. More at 350.org

John Foran Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 11, 2013

Barbara Hannelore Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 11, 2013

Shelley Nakano Goleta, CA 93117 May 11, 2013

Penelope Cooper-Kelley Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 11, 2013

We can't drill for ever.

Joseph Burbine melbourne, FL 32901 May 11, 2013

Jenny Horvath Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 11, 2013

Sara Hays Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 11, 2013

Bob Bronfen Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 11, 2013 Mary Stanley Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 11, 2013

R Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 11, 2013

Christopher Willcox Santa Barbara, CA 93102 May 11, 2013

ABSOLUTELY a BAD idea. There are SO many problematic issues but at the top of my concerns -which i believe should be ALL of our concern, is the fact that the product slated for use in the event of a spill -which has been used in the Exxon Valdez spill, and the BP Deepwater horizen spill and is slated for use in ever drilling locale in the United States, is an environmental and health nightmare waiting to happen (and indeed unfolding tragically now for the people of the gulf region and the entire seafood chain there) It is called Corexit. A couple of recent peer reviewed studies, one out of Georgia Tech, another out of Florida State, prove this oil dispersent, supposedly made to clean oil spills up, actually make the oil more than 50 times more toxic than the oil alone...and is devastatingly toxic to sea and human life. The EPA decision to use this product was called into question in 2013 following a report by the Government Accountability Project alleging "devastating long-term effects on human health and the Gulf of Mexico ecosystem" stemming from the use of Corexit.(see wikipedia article on Corexit for original sources) Corexit has been banned for use on oil spills in the UK since 1988.UK authorities have an approved list of products which must pass both "sea/beach" and "rocky shore" laboratory toxicity tests; Corexit did not pass the rocky shore test. The country of Sweden has also banned its use. Bottom line, this will be used here in Goleta too in the event of a spill and that is simply a matter of WHEN it happens, not IF it happens. And I haven't even touched the potential impacts to wildlife, water and humans simply by the drilling-prior to the use of the clean up "dispersant"

Grace Feldmann Santa Barbara, CA, CA 93105 May 11, 2013

MIKE GLICK Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 11, 2013

The last thing we need in a populated area and an area with unique flora and fauna is drilling for gas.

David Litschel Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 11, 2013

Larry Patterson Santa Barbara, CA 93102 May 11, 2013

kristena west

Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 11, 2013 Lynn Stadler Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 11, 2013 Sharon Applegate Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 11, 2013 Sherry Holland Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 11, 2013 Virginia F. Gibson Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 11, 2013 Protect wildlife and people. No amendments to the Code = NO DRILLING! Jo Anne Sharpe Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 11, 2013 Kristine Ollikkala Goleta, CA 93111 May 11, 2013 Reid Wagner Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 11, 2013 Nancy Herrmann Santa Barbara, CA 93109 May 11, 2013 Jane Ellison Santa Barbara, CA 94108 May 10, 2013 Diane Knowles Carpinteria, CA 93013

May 10, 2013

Citizens of our county have the right to clean air and water. Let's put our money and efforts into clean energy in the interests of our health and wellbeing and a sustainable planet.

Connie Stomper SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101 May 10, 2013

There is no solid science behind whether drilling in Goleta is safe or not. Whether what they propose is "fracking" or not, the county needs to wait for the legislation going through the Senate & Assembly to take place before any other action is taken. To move forward and this point is a waste of money because drilling in Goleta might become a moot point.

Kristina De Heras Santa Barbara, CA 93111-2967 May 10, 2013

Janeen Glenn Albuqueruque, NM 87114 May 10, 2013

JENNIFER FAGAN SANTA BARBARA, CA 93111 May 10, 2013

Richard worth Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 10, 2013

Max Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 10, 2013

Jerry Pearson Goleta, CA 93117 May 10, 2013

Je Goolsby Santa Barbara, United States 93109-1264 May 10, 2013

sherry fouke santa barbara, CA 93111 May 10, 2013

Heather Barber Solvang, CA 93463 May 10, 2013 Susan Dempsay Santa Barbara, CA 93109 May 10, 2013

Sylvia Abualy Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 10, 2013

Jill Dexter Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 10, 2013

no drilling near my home!!!

michael mccrary Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 10, 2013

Stop Proposed Natural Gas Drilling in Goleta

Jorge Gomez Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 10, 2013

Christine Knight Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 10, 2013

Sarah Hearon Santa Barbara, CA 93130 May 10, 2013

Cheryl Snell Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 10, 2013

jane packard bryant williston, VT 05495 May 10, 2013

If you let this happen in Goleta, next thing you know it will spread throughout the county. Say no!! People are already getting sick in Colorado. This is very, very bad for people and for the environment!

Diana Diaz Lompoc, CA 93436 May 10, 2013 Jan Clouse Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 10, 2013

Matthew McKenzie Goleta, CA 93117 May 10, 2013

Nicole Mckenzie Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 10, 2013

Barbie Deutsch Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 10, 2013

Sarah Carr Santa Barbara, CA 93109 May 10, 2013

Julia Elliott Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 10, 2013

Actually, I've already signed this petition and forwarded to several friends, who were happy to sign. So yes, this should get MoveOn's support.

Chas. Clouse Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 10, 2013

please, no drilling

sam stein santa barbara, CA 93111 May 10, 2013

Please do not sacrifice our wildlife, water quality, safety, health, recreation and homes to getting a little more fossil fuel out of the ground. We need to turn to renewable energy now and turn our attention to removing C02 from the atmosphere, not adding more.

Carol Schwyzer Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 10, 2013

Andrew Morrison Altadena, CA 91001 May 10, 2013 Wm. Sumner North Benn., VT 05257 May 10, 2013

Robert La Prad Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 10, 2013

Stop the permitting NO further drilling If the Planners are willing OUR request is for killing Expansion into horizontal drilling Stop their Amending Protect OUR public coastal zoning.

Jan Kettler Santa Barbara, CA 93109 May 10, 2013

William Friedman Santa barbara, CA 93111 May 10, 2013

The impact is too great, affecting too many people and homes.

Melissa Compton Goleta, CA 93116 May 10, 2013

Joseph Beck Goleta, CA 93117 May 10, 2013

Eric Allen Goleta, CA 93117 May 10, 2013

Poisoning kids to get at something we don't really need is a really, really awful idea.

David K Stewart Los Angeles, CA 90066 May 10, 2013

Melissa Erickson Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 10, 2013

wendy simons Santa barbara, CA 93110 May 10, 2013 Nancy Murdock Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 10, 2013

Lisa Van Dillon Goleta, CA 93117 May 10, 2013

Miriam Marcuse-Kubitza Goleta, CA 93117 May 10, 2013

Barbara Herring Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 10, 2013

Hyun Yu Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 10, 2013

Madlyn Monchamp Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 10, 2013

This is an insane threat to our local environment.

Elizabeth Hanning-Yu santa barbara, CA 93111 May 10, 2013

Too big and too close to residential and wildlife areas. Vibrations have bothered us for years and this would only make it worse.

Rachel McDonald Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 10, 2013

Please reconsider the drilling location as it is too close to our homes. This will affect our peace of mind, our property values and our safety. Thank you.

Catherine Villani Baarsch Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 10, 2013

Jan Wright Mancos, CO 81328-8104 May 10, 2013 Anette Kubitza Goleta, CA 93117 May 10, 2013

This is just one step closer to the Los Angelization of our community--the onslaught of the Concrete Jungle. Stop desecrating what little nature we have left around here. Leave the slough alone.

James Watson Jr Santa Barbara, CA 93109 May 10, 2013

Martina michenfelder Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 10, 2013

John Roshell Santa Barbara, CA 93105 May 10, 2013

This is of the utmost importance. Please do your duty and protect the people and the environment.

Charlene Little santa barbara, CA 93108 May 10, 2013

florence klein goleta, CA 93117 May 10, 2013

Pam Covington Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 10, 2013

Maureen "Mo" McFadden

Mo McFadden Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 10, 2013

Birdie Newborn Santa Barbara, CA 93103 May 10, 2013

Stop the gas drilling in Goleta and protect the fragile environment.

Magda Campo Goleta, CA 93117 May 10, 2013 I strongly oppose drilling of any sort. We fought this battle years ago re oil. Enough!

Gail humphreys Goleta, CA 93117 May 10, 2013

Patrick Franklin Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 10, 2013

Mariko Tabar Santa Barbara, CA 93117 May 10, 2013

I have visited this beautiful location. It is important to wildlife, local residents and members of the UCSB community. It should not be developed further.

Steven Katona BAR HARBOR, ME 04609 May 10, 2013

Susan Lerner BAR HARBOR, ME 04609 May 10, 2013

bill Herrington pittsford, VT 05763 May 10, 2013

Drilling in this area would be a threat to the health of both humans and the marine and estuarine wildlife communities. Sub-lethal effects need to be studied well in advance of drilling!

Carol Roe Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 10, 2013

Robert Battaglia VA Beach, VA 23451 May 10, 2013

Gary Shapiro Santa Barbara, CA 93110 May 10, 2013

Dominique Jullien Goleta, CA 93117 May 9, 2013 suzanne saunders santa barbara, CA 93111 May 9, 2013

Jeannie Barbieri-Low Goleta, CA 93117 May 9, 2013

Susan DuPont santa barbara, CA 93105 May 9, 2013

Rebecca Claassen Santa Barbara, CA 93101 May 9, 2013


Protect the environment not the profit of corporations

Inga Dora Bjornsdottir Goleta, CA 93117 May 9, 2013

Ken Volok Goleta, CA 93117 May 9, 2013

Naneki Elliott Santa Barbara, CA 93111 May 9, 2013

PETITION April 27, 2013



We oppose SoCal Gas Company's permit request to drill for natural gas and expand gas storage at their Goleta facility across the slough from Goleta Beach.

	NAME KAROLYN	REMARN	SANTA B	TRBARA 9	ADDRESS	Emaj!	1000 SNA
5	Charlene		/	141 Cince	Linda Ln.	Santa Barbo	era,
Inga)	Chevy 1	Shell 17 Pijonsolo	US Prosp	ect 5	69-2956 B 9310	3	<u> </u>
	My D.	Bonso	Ur 325	W. Cau	ipus on	. Golda,	
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
							<u> </u>
					·		
			0.50 MHz la	V 12 MF (1504)	,, <u></u>	-	
			AP-				_



Facility storage	We the undersigned oppose Sou y request for permission to dril e facility on More Ranch Road	l for natur in Santa l	ral gas and enlarge their Barbara County. Date 4/27/2013
Name: Phone: email:	Eric Cohela Chola Oyaloo.co	Address	314 E Fynera 31301
Name: Phone: email:	Kathanine A. Foster 805 636 1090 Kafsoter @ yahoo.com	Address	3049 Paseo del Refugio SB, CA 93105
Name: Phone: email:	Emily Waniuk 805-964-4329 Ewaniuk@earthlink	Address (. <u>ne</u> f	193 San Federico Av Santa Barbara, CA 93111
Name: Phone: email:	Leslie Pearce	Address	
Name: Phone: email:	FRANCISCO MARTINEZ (805) 568-1129	Address	1024 E. HALEY ST. SANTA BARBARA, CA 93103
Name:		Address	

-	Southern California Gas LaGoleta Storage drill for natural gas and enlarge their oad in Santa Barbara County. Date 4/27/20/3
Name: Robert Hoenig Phone: 785-760-351, email: bobtwenig & cov. com	Address 4722 Calle Reina
Name: <u>1515</u> W16L5 Phone: 805-700-0505 email: 1515W16652 6 mA	Address 1728 Off Porier 5. B., G. 73101
Name: Amy Blevins Phone: 305: 453-662 email: Amy Mutxg. Com	Address 5129 Covisiana Po
Name: Grs Vanceur Phone: 605 455 7459 email:	Address 250 Novel de Scenta Barbara
Name:	Address $\frac{386RIVE}{500ETA}$
Name: (805) 562-8744	Address Sb24 Amiles Ava Gcleta, 93117

We the undersigned oppose Southern California Gas LaGoleta Storage Facility request for permission to drill for natural gas and enlarge their
storage facility on More Ranch Road in Santa Barbara County. Date 4/27/20/3
Name: Grovaina Snavid Address 1107 De la Vina #3 Phone: (805) 450-2147 email: Giocabrini e hotmail.com
Name: VESS CHART & PAUL SCHMITTADDRESS 711 BOLTON WALK #201 Phone: 805, 364-3078 GOLETA CD 93117 email: VESSICA, CHARTO GMAIL. COM.
Name: Stephane Hampton Address 205 W. Valerio St. Phone: 805.453-2533
Name: 130000 Address 550 VIAEL CUADA Phone: 805-967-2531 email: 0100000000000000000000000000000000000
Name: ALLEYDECKER Address 3740 ALCAVRO PLA Phone: 805-569-1746 SB, CA. 93105 email: ALLEYDECKER C. COX. NET
Name: Day JONES Address 945WARD DRAGR Phone: Address 945WARD DRAGR Unlike 93111

Facility storage	request for permission to drill facility on More Ranch Road	for natura in Santa B	al gas and enlarge their sarbara County. / Date 4/27/20/3
	Sergarmtz 61996 Qyahov.co		855 Empurcadero del mar. 71
Phone:	Aleksandr Arkhipov	-	5049 Yaple Ave SB
i mone.	Viktoria Felmetsger	-	5099 Taple Ave, SB
Name: Phone: email:	JEFFREY M. OIEN 895-2944 JEFF @ UILLAGE SITE. COM	Address	945 WARD Drive#25 SANTA BARBARA CA 9311/
Name: Phone: email:	John Schwin	Address	4161 Vieja Or SB
Name: Phone: email:	Pame la Mays	Address	4161 Vieja Dr. SB

We the undersigned oppose Southern California Gas LaGoleta Storage

We the undersigned oppose Southern California Gas LaGoleta Storage Facility request for permission to drill for natural gas and enlarge their storage facility on More Ranch Road in Santa Barbara County.

Date 4-21/2013

	Hatie Phan		1836 Viewmost 510, CA 98401
	JAMES ANDERSON	Address	1195 ANTABARANA CH.9311
Name: Phone: email:	Alan Lipsky	Address	393 Spruce Dh. 601014, CA. 93115
Name: Phone: email:	Emily Wilson	Address	4521 Hollister Ave Frete Santa Darbara, CA 931
Name: Phone: email:	Rosalie Romo	Address	213 GUANTE CIRcle SB CA 93111
Name: Phone: email:	Victor Roma	Address	213 BUANTE Circle SB CA 93111

We the undersigned oppose Southern California Gas LaGoleta Storage Facility request for permission to drill for natural gas and enlarge their storage facility on More Ranch Road in Santa Barbara County.

Date

Name: [Phone: email:	MUA Schutssur 4-27-13	Address \	1019 VIA OMULANDO MA, CAL 93003
Name: Phone: email:	Mykel Schnessler	Address	1019 Via Ondulando VTA, CA 93003
Phone:			·
Phone:			
Phone:			
Name: Phone: email:		Address	

Facility	e the undersigned oppose Sour request for permission to drill facility on More Ranch Road	for natura	al gas and enlarge their
	Ann Conway 805-667-1607	Address	1530 Roble Dr. 3B CA 93/10
Name: Phone: email:	Bill Cline 803.964-1222	Address	690-ABDMORF-DB. CAOLESA, CA. 93/17
Name: Phone: email:	RICHARD GARENZ 9684065	Address	7194 ALEMON AUZ. COLETA, CA. 93117
Name: Phone: email:		Address	
Phone:			
Name: Phone:		Address	

Facility	Te the undersigned oppose Sout request for permission to drill facility on More Ranch Road is	for natura	al gas and enlarge their
Name: Phone: email:	Sarah Frost suf a vignt scale co	Address	•
Name: Phone: email:	Hearner Ayer Hearnermichellez agma	Address <u>l. com</u>	1818 Prospect Ave. Santa Banbara, (A 93103
Name: Phone(email:	James Linter 964-3082	Address	528 LADO DR 5.B. 93111
Name: Phone: email:	Jos. 680.953C	Address	300 B. MOUNTA.W DR SBCA 93108
Name: Phone: email:	Michael Terry MikeTerry 10 @ Gmail. (Address	633 Island View Dr Santa Barbera CA 93109
Name: Phone:	Wager Tambini 855 448-4285	Address	2478 Baseline Ave Solvang Og 93463

We th	ne undersigned oppose Sout	hern Cali	fornia Gas LaGoleta Storage
Facility red	quest for permission to drill fility on More Ranch Road i	for natura	al gas and enlarge their Barbara County.
Name: Phone: email:	Jan Corser 1805-733-1171	Address	Date Apr. 27/3 253 Orion Ave Lompoe CA 93434
Name: 1/2 Phone: 5/2 email: 1/2	PANIA COPSUR- 18-257-0545 PROPSUR ADLICE	Address	THEYET AddiSA Mission Vico, CA 9267
Name: Phone: email:	TYONA CORSER	Address	24442 ARDISA MISSION VIEJO CA 9269
Name: // Phone: email:	PAM CORRECT	Address	263 ORION 19VES LOMPOUCH 95454
• •	ATTHED		30765 PCH MUBU CA 9021
Name: /// Phone: email:	MARTIN TAIT	Address	748 SAN FERNANDO S.B.

Facility	request for permission to drill	hern California Gas LaGoleta Storage for natural gas and enlarge their n Santa Barbara County. Date 5/26//3
		Address 5538 Berkeley Bd 5B. 93111
Name: Phone: email:	Michael Com	Address 538 WhoreAF LAWE COLUTA, DA 93117
Name: Phone: email:	Windy AM Carty	Address 315 Mein Rd 5B CA 93109
Name: Phone: email:	Jim Garnos	Address 133 Santa 1205a 11 5.13. CA 93.09
Name: Phone: email:	Michael Mihalic	Address 47 Arroys Vista Drive Ooleta, CA 93117
Name: Phone:	BARNKAN = 964-1667	Address 4263 SIDIEST APT 5B93110

W	e the undersigned oppose Sout	thern California Gas LaGoleta Storage
Facility storage	request for permission to drill facility on More Ranch Road	for natural gas and enlarge their in Santa Barbara County. Date 5/26/3
Name: Phone: email:	John a Arry IP	Date 5/26/13 Address \$1027 B Senda Vento 53 (07 83)05
Name: Phone: email:	Eric Contlay	Address 252 Brentwood leave Golota Ca. 93/17
Name: Phone: email:	Kris Janney	Address 3868 Puello Ave. 5B, CA 93110
Name: Phone: email:	Cheryl Schaff	Address 780 Glen Annie Pd Cool. 93117
Name: Phone: email:	Noene Baird	Address 180 Mateu Ct SantaBarbara 93
Name: Phone: email:	Ellen Araujo	Address 409 North gate Dr. Apt D Goleta, CA 93117

W	The the undersigned oppose South request for permission to drill	hern Cali	fornia Gas LaGoleta Storage al gas and enlarge their
storage	facility on More Ranch Road	in Santa B	Barbara County. Date 5/26
Name: Phone: email:	MARY STOOL	Address	FOO MISSIGN Ripo
Name: Phone: email:	1000 BEMIS 963-3437	Address	105 N. SOLA #310 513 04. 93101
Name: Phone: email:	Latie Page	Address	333 Old Will Pd #19 Santa Barlara, CA91511
Name: Phone: email:	Very Frost	Address	333 old MIR L#1 SB, CA 93110
Name: Phone: email:	Ben Ray	Address	3A Del Playa
Name: Phone: email:	SABINA TUNK	Address	278 ROSARIO PARK 3B, CA 93105

We the undersigned oppose Southern California Gas LaGoleta Storage Facility request for permission to drill for natural gas and enlarge their storage facility on More Ranch Road in Santa Barbara County. Date 1(ay 26,30/3 Name: LK. Prince Address 22W. Padregosa St. Sb. CA 93101 Phone: email: Address 6581 Trigo Rd #2
Goleta (A 93117 Name: Kelly Lippitl
Phone: email: Name: Joe. Conwell Address (581 Trigo P) #2 Phone: email: Name: <u>Csron Ceates</u> Phone: Address 331 West Anapamer St Santa Barbara CA 9310 email: Name: Cristal Donnelly Address 5751 Encina Rol.

Phone: 606ta, CA9317 email: Name: Chris Rosen
Phone: Address 7323 Bassano DC Goleta, CA \$3117

email:

Zacility	Ve the undersigned oppose Sout request for permission to drill facility on More Ranch Road is	for natura	al gas and enlarge their
Name: Phone: email:	Lois Moore 1098 0394 10/0/ane @ ap/, C	Address	7281 Padova For, Cal 9311)
Name: Phone: email:	Zah Low 7/4 64 441/4 TRON 57 @ ghail, com	Address	Z679 Presta Del S.1 73NS
Name: Phone: nail:	Mila Gaffney	Address	2890 Sau Marcos pa
Name: Phone: email:	Rachel Harry	Address	2019 Prost del So SB CA 93105
	Magnolia Tork	Address	2810 Outiveros Ed Santa Yue Z
Name: Phone: email:	Catherine Gantier	Address	1637 Loma St SB

acility	The undersigned oppose South request for permission to drill facility on More Ranch Road in	for natura	al gas and enlarge their
wase	idollity on more realizations		Date
	Cail Zanna 805-9651159 Zanna CSb Pistachio		
Vame: Phone: mail:	He On Frey Sto 200 9711 hugch 1072 Daghal	Address	328 Salino SB 93103
hone	KEUIN SNOW hayborn @ cox. net	Address	980 TORNOE LD
hone.	MAPF WILKINSON Sond		650 ASH AVE #B CARPINTERIA CA 93013
	Jesse Smith Jesse Smith sto Qyahoo.com		1528 Mission Cyn. Rd., SB, CA 93105
Name: Phone: Pmail	Mile Jan 18 @ Very	Address	327 EMichelform

Facility	Ve the undersigned oppose Souver request for permission to drill facility on More Ranch Road	thern California Gas LaGoleta Stora for natural gas and enlarge their in Santa Barbara County. Date May 25/15-
Name: Phone: email:	- Laune Schneider	Address 11.37 Lona 87 93103
Phone:	MARGIE BUSHMAN	Address POBOX 92156 5B, CA 93190
Name: Phone:	RYAN BEAUREAARD	Address 28 Bdy 9123 313 CA 93/90
Name: Phone: email:	Alvaro Modrano 619 - CelCo-0878 medrano. a920 y mailo co	Address
Name: Phone: email:	Jess Riegel 916-897-4886 jessriege/ Rgmail . Tom	Address 3950 Kneven Rd Salte Bar Large CA 931
Name: Phone: email:	Gretchen Brinsv 569-9743 88erght 8@ Gox, next	Address 446 PD Dexambo 5893105

acility re	the undersigned oppose Sou equest for permission to dril acility on More Ranch Road	l for natural g	gas and enlarge th	eir
lame: _ hone: _ mail: _	Moule Boffatt (858) 335-8314	Address		
Vame: _ Phone: _ mail: _	Pric Bates 805-455-5119 just.tasy@gnail.com	Address		
Name: _ Phone: _ mail: _	Monica Ford 925.708.5762 monimeilin@gmail.a	Address		
hone: _	Tom Port	Address _		
Phone: _		•		
		Address _		

storage	facility on More Ranch Road	in Santa Barbara County. Date May 31, 301
Phone: email:	Mary Jones mjonessbegn	Address
Name: Phone: email:	Josh Fox	Address BOX 57 Milanvi Movie. Tom 18443
		Address f.D Box 549 Joshna Tull, CA 92252
Name: Phone: email:	Kathy Swift 1 805-961-40 Kswift@umail	Address <u>7210 Alaneda Ave</u> . 92 <u>Goleta CA 93117</u> .ucsh-edu
		Address
Phone:		Address

We the undersigned oppose Southern California Gas LaGoleta Storage

Facility request for permission to drill for natural gas and enlarge their

Foster, Sharon

Subject:

FW: Proposed Natural Gar Drilling in Goleta

京覧の差FY場で MAY 30.2013 S.S. COUNTY PLANNING A OFFICIONATIOT

6-5-13

Dear Mr Villalobos,

As a concerned resident of Santa Barbara, I urge you stop proposed natural gas drilling in Goleta To directionally drill 4 natural gas wells aging Goleta gas storage facility on the California coast is a mistake. The drilling locations are in close proximity to a wildlife rich tidal slough, 200 space mobile home park, major airport, recreational beach and state university (UCSB). SoCal Gas has already paid for structural supports for two homes in the park due to gas compressor vibrations. What could happen during and after drilling? A LOT. Its not a matter of IF a leak occurs or a malfunction, it's a matter of when and we do not have any safe way to clean up spills. I urge you

to protect the residents and fragile environment along the Goleta coastline from natural gas drilling and gas storage expansion by the SoCal Gas Company.

Respectfully,

Grace Feldmann

Grace Feldmann MSW

Grace Feldmann MSW

Villalobos, David

From:

Matthew Tucker-Simmons [tucker.simmons@gmail.com]

Sent:

Monday, May 20, 2013 6:36 AM

To:

Villalobos, David

Subject:

re: proposed natural gas drilling in goleta

B

Categories:

Purple Category

6-5-13

Dear Mr. Villalobos,

I am a resident of Goleta, and I want to voice my opposition to the SoCal Gas Enhancement Project. I am worried that the project will have negative environmental consequences both for residents as well as for the rich plant and animal life that we are so lucky to have in our town. I urge you not to continue with this project.

Best,

Matthew Tucker-Simmons

RECEIVED

MAY 2 0 2013

S.B. COUNTY
PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
HEARING SUPPORT

RECEIVED

2013

S.B. COUNTY PLANNING & DEVILOPMENT HEADING SETWOOD

Villalobos, David

From:

max golding [maxgolding1@gmail.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, May 22, 2013 9:59 PM

To: Subject:

Villalobos, David SoCal Gas Drilling

Categories:

Purple Category

問題維養工

3

MEETING

6-5-13

Hi there,

I'm opposed to any new drilling. Our current levels of consumption of energy are already far too high and arguments about energy demands are not compatible with actual energy needs. People do not "need" several cars, flat screen TVs, new phones, a new pair of shoes every month, and so on. These assumptions are what the energy industry bases their demands on. This is not a sustainable culture, let alone way to use the energy which we do actually need.

The US uses 25% of the entire world's energy. That is morally wrong.

It is absurd to think that continued drilling is permissible because there is a demand. If the tobacco industry proposed to take a bunch of land for growth of tobacco because of growing California demand the county would laugh hysterically - why allow profits to increase at the public's expense? Absurd! Yes, our energy needs are also absurd. Please do not let them drill. It is absurd.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IsIfokifwSo

Thanks.

Max Golding 350sb.org

RECEIVED

E102 22 YAM

S.B. COUNTY
PLANNING & DEVELOPMEN:
HEARING SETFOR®

La Goleta Gas Storage Questions Answered

By Matt Kettmann (Contact)

Southern California Gas Company Officials Detail Elements of Expansion Project

3

RECEIVED

MAY 23 2013

Thursday, May 23, 2013

S.B. COUNTY PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT HEARING SUPPORT

By Matt Kettmann (Contact)

The Southern California Gas Company would like to add a few billion more cubic feet to its La Goleta Gas Storage Field, a reservoir in use since 1941 that already holds 21.5 billion cubic feet of natural gas and is located between Atascadero Creek and Goleta Beach near More Mesa and the Santa Barbara Airport. Along the way, the company hopes to extract one to five billion cubic feet of previously untapped natural gas as well.

All this and more is detailed in a feature story here. But for those who are seeking more details, what follows is a Q&A that was conducted last month via email with Denise King, the Gas Company's spokesperson.

La Goleta is one of a handful of storage facilities located around the southern half of the state. Can you explain why these are critical parts of the energy infrastructure?

Southern California Gas Co. (SoCalGas) delivers on average 2.8 billion cubic feet of natural gas daily to nearly 5.8 million customers (representing 20.9 million consumers) throughout central and Southern California. More than 80 percent of the natural gas consumed by our customers is transported into California from out of state, with about 20 percent of the natural gas supply purchased from in-state suppliers. Our pipeline system has the capacity to receive 3.7 billion cubic feet of natural gas per day, but often the amount is much less.

On a cold winter day, natural gas consumption can peak at 5 billion cubic feet per day or more (our record delivery was 5.3 billion cubic feet in a single day in 1991.) During these periods of high demand for natural gas, our pipeline system alone cannot serve the entire customer load. And, when out of state pipelines can't deliver enough natural gas to meet heavy demand, which might occur on a cold winter day, we must withdraw natural gas stored underground to supplement pipeline supplies.

Historically, how did this site become a storage area?

The La Goleta underground storage field was originally discovered by the General Petroleum Corporation in 1929 (predecessor to Mobil Oil) while drilling for oil. After five years and drilling six wells with no oil found, the oil companies lost interest in the field, but the operator turned to the sale of natural gas.

The first natural gas deliveries from the La Goleta Field were made in 1931 to the Southern Counties Gas Co. From 1931 until the fall of 1941, 15.3 billion cubic feet of natural gas was produced. In the summer of

1939, a small compressor station was constructed and test gas was injected into the underground reservoir. The tests showed the reservoir sand was permeable enough to permit the successful injection of natural gas.

Southern Counties Gas Co. then purchased the surface and mineral rights and built the permanent compressor station by 1941.

Is strategically located? Or more of a historical accident that it came to be here?

The La Goleta storage field is strategically located. It serves to balance the coastal natural gas demand from West Los Angeles (Woodland Hills/ Simi Valley) to San Luis Obispo and is a receptor for coastal natural gas production (both onshore and offshore). It also serves to help meet the instantaneous demand of high power plant load. All of the storage fields are depleted oil and gas fields. La Goleta field was a producing gas field and due to the integrity of the field to hold natural gas and the support it provides on the northern end of the transmission pipeline system, it was tested and placed in service.

Why is now the time to extract virgin gas and expand storage at the facility? How long has this plan been in the works?

This plan was conceptualized and presented to the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) for review and approval as a part of the Native Gas Decision. The CPUCapproved the plan in 2006. The opportunity to extract native gas and increase the storage capacity was put in place to provide native gas to local residents as well as support growth and demand for natural gas supplies.

How does the benefit the average ratepayer?

The CPUCNative Gas Decision provided a sharing mechanism that would provide a 50/50 split of revenues once the project costs are covered. Ratepayers — our customers — will receive 50 percent of the revenue from the natural gas produced, which will go to help reduce rates. The added storage created will be sold to third parties on an annual or seasonal basis and the proceeds will go to help moderates rates for our customers.

Is there anything to be concerned about related to public safety with this project? Any foreseeable harm to neighbors/beach-goers/etc., or worst case scenarios?

The safety of our customers, employees, and the communities we serve is our top priority. A complete and independent quantitative risk assessment (QRA) was conducted for this project by the County of Santa Barbara through the environmental review process. The QRAidentified and evaluated worst case scenarios and determined that events large enough to impact neighbors or the public are very unlikely to occur. The risk was determined to be less than significant.

In 1994, a few Rancho Goleta residents recall a loud noise like a "jet engine" and a "hazy plume" shooting 200 feet into the air. Some evacuated the park. They never heard an explanation. Any idea what that event may have been?

We do not recall an unusual plant operation. We are required by the CPUCto test our emergency shutdown system periodically. More than likely that is what occurred. Two of our supervisors here were working at the plant in 1994 and don't remember anything unusual that could fit the event described.

People point to the bubbles that are in Atascadero Greek and wonder if they are at all related to the storage reservoirs. Are they?

No. Lab tests have shown it to be a different composition than the natural gas in our reservoir.

There is still no fracking proposed for this project, correct?

Fracking was never planned as a part of this project, and SoCalGas has committed in writing that fracking will not occur in the future.

What type of gas extraction technique will be used?

Gas will flow through a dehydration facility and into a low pressure pipeline system. No artificial lift will be needed since the wells should flow without assistance.

There are concerns that the site relies on old pipes and infrastructure. Is that a valid concern? Are there any plans to upgrade the site at all?

The CPUCtakes numerous steps to ensure public safety in the immediate and longer term. The CPUCregularly performs physical inspections, and audits operating/inspection practices and procedures developed by SoCalGas. An annual CPUCaudit is performed each year. In addition, the California State Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources (DOGGR) provides direction and oversight with respect to the field gathering lines and well design, as well as drilling and completion processes. SoCalGas is confident the field is operating in a safe and reliable manner.

The project explains that the production is expected to take five years, if the new wells are successful, but that it would be allowed to go on until the gas is properly depleted. That has some residents worried. Could the production go on forever if the gas was there?

No, the reservoir is limited in size, as gas is produced from the reservoir the pressure will be reduced. Once the pressure drops to the approximate low pressure pipeline pressure, the gas will not flow from the well and the wells will be converted to storage operations.

What's the dehydration plant like? Loud, large, or?

It is relatively quiet. There are no large engines or compressors. Pumps, fans, and the sound of gas passing through pipe, fittings, and vessels may be heard. The new dehydration plant will be much smaller than the current plant. The new plant is sized for 20 million cubic feet per day of natural gas (MMCFD), while the current plant has a capacity of 420 MMCFD.

I read a document from last year related to a new agreement with State Lands that appeared to be about rent of the resource and payments related to natural gas that SoCal Gas extracts due to "drainage." Can you explain that more, and how drainage is tracked?

There is a portion of the field that is operated under a lease agreement with the California State Lands Commission (CSLC). The lease payments are based on the percentage of land held by the CSLCwith respect to the entire reservoir. CSLCreceives a royalty based on the natural gas withdrawn from the field as well as gas injected into the field. The gas is measured in and out of the field and revenues are calculated

and paid.

Has the airport expressed any concerns over the height of the tower?

No, however they do have procedures in place that must be followed to address this issue. Because of our close proximity, we routinely work with airport personnel whenever we use tall equipment (rigs, cranes, etc.) on the property. Specifically for this project, the required information and documentation has been submitted to the FAA, and the required mitigations are included in the environmental document. It's also worth noting that the height of the rig is significantly lower that the height of the existing fixed radio tower antennas on the property.

Regarding the reported vibrations of some neighbors, SoCal Gas has added bracing to a few homes in the mobile home park. Is it true those are caused by the compressors?

Yes, SoCalGas has installed foundation support structures to address subtle vibration issues caused by our compressors.

Are those fixes handled on a complaint-driven basis?

We are committed to being good neighbors, and have worked closely to address these issues with those neighbors who have contacted us.

Is anything being done in this project to address those compressors? Which is to say, will the proposed project increase or decrease the compressor-related shaking?

The proposed project will have no impact on the current operation of the compressors and the associated vibration. Compression will not be required to inject gas into the new storage reservoir. The reservoir will operate below the high pressure transmission system and therefore gas will be injected directly from the pipeline.

From my reading, the compressors will not be used as part of the future storage. Is that correct?

That is correct. The proposed new storage zone will operate between the low pressure pipeline system and the high pressure pipeline system at a lower pressure than the existing storage zone. This will allow natural gas to be withdrawn into the low pressure system and placed into storage directly from the existing high pressure pipeline system without compression.

Will the lighting on the drill rigs, which I understand will be operating 24/7, illuminate the mobile home park?

Drill rig lighting will likely be visible from certain areas within the park. However, due to the significant distance and the screening from vegetation/trees on the SoCalGas property, we do not expect any impacts to the park from illumination.

Read 511-page environmental report here.

Related Links

- March 2010 Report on the Project
- December 2012 Notice of Project Hearing

to a second contract of the second contract o

Villalobos, David

From:

Virginia F. Gibson [vfgranchogoleta@yahoo.com]

Sent:

Friday, May 17, 2013 10:25 AM

To:

Villalobos, David

Subject:

Categories:

Southern California Gas Company drilling

Purple Category

Dear Mr. Villalobos:

I write to express my grave concern at the proposed drilling and expansion of storage facilities next door to the mobile home park where I live.

I believe we will experience ongoing noise and possibly air pollution; I'm concerned that slant drilling may take them under our property. I am more than a little concerned that the value of our property and homes will be greatly diminished by their I'm greatly fear the danger from a massive expansion. My home, in particular, is sited within the circled explosion. "red zone" of likely to be greatly damaged/demolished.

I will try to attend the Public Meeting on Wednesday, June 5th, but I'm not always in a physical condition to attend such a gathering due to some changes in lifestyle necessitated by the normal aging process and the fact that I have Lupus.

I subsist on Social Security for my income. About four years ago, I was "taken" by a very clever con man, who misspent nearly all of my retirement nest egg of \$400,000. Though I recovered some of my savings as a result of a lawsuit and returning to work for a while, I am no longer sure I will always be able to remain self-sufficient.

Therefore, any diminution in property values would be a further blow to my already thready financial situation. Though I planned well for my retirement, I (along with several others) was taken in by a slick "financial counselor" and am very concerned that the money I have been able to rebuild will be insufficient to sustain me as I age. Like most of us, I would never want to become a burden to my children.

RECEIVED

Thank you for considering voting NO on the Southern California MAY 17 2013 Gas expansion project.

Sincerely yours,

Virginia F. Gibson 945 Ward Drive, Space 53 Santa Barbara, CA 93111