# ifplicant appeal or Aroyo longo Sjesjisag passage project 

## CEFE $10=0510100000=00033$

## 

## Planning Commission Recommendation

- Approve project \& revised conditions - Consider the Negative Declaration
- Adopt the required findings - CEQA


## Appellant Issues

## 3:1 Mitigation Ratio: Cond. \#69

## Construction Days \& Hours: Cond. \#72

 Bi-annual Bio Reports: Cond. \#78
## Appellant Issues

## 3:1 Mitigation Ratio

- 3:1 ratio is "extraordinary"
- Not applicable to restoration projects
- Insufficient site space \& funding
- Replace 3:1 with 1:1


## Response

## Standard ratio for temporary impacts

## Purpose - achieve long-term

 restoration of area (1:1)No differential treatment based on project intent or type

## Appellant Issues

## Construction Days \& Hours

Construction M-F, 7:30-4:30 only
No noise sensitive receptors
Allow 6 day work week during daylight
No County permission/ oversight

## Response

## Condition revised by PC - 6 days $\&$ extended hours

County oversight - ensure effective monitoring \& compliance

County - discretionary judgments
Staff supports revision per Board Letter

## Appellant Issues

## Bi-annual Reports

## Bi-annual reports excessive

## County-approved biologist not needed

 Condition \#78 not necessary
## Response

## Bi-annual reports:

Timely identification \& resolution of problems

Assessment of compliance with plan Countr-approved biolocist:

Standard condition
Competency \& expertise
Consistency with policies \& conditions

## Staff Recommendation

## (Board Letter page 1)

1. Adopt the required findings for the project, as specified in the PC action letter, including CEQA findings
2. Consider the Mitigated Negative Declaration prepared by CDFG for purposes of approving the project and adopt the mitigation monitoring program contained in the conditions of approval
3. Deny the appeal, upholding the Planning Commission's approval with conditions of Development plan 06DVP-00000-00010
4. Grant de novo approval of the project subject to the conditions included in the Planning Commission's action letter
