



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
AGENDA LETTER

Agenda Number:

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors  
105 E. Anapamu Street, Suite 407  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101  
(805) 568-2240

**Department Name:** Planning &  
Development  
**Department No.:** 053  
**For Agenda Of:** February 16, 2016  
**Placement:** Administrative, Set  
hearing for 3/1/16  
**Estimated Tme:** 45 minutes  
**Continued Item:** No  
**If Yes, date from:**  
**Vote Required:** Majority

---

**TO:** Board of Supervisors

**FROM:** Department Planning and Development  
Director(s) Glenn Russell, Ph.D., Director, 568-2084

Contact Info: Kevin Drude, Deputy Director, Energy and Minerals Division,  
568-2519

**SUBJECT:** Hearing to Allocate Year 2016 Coastal Resource Enhancement Fund (CREF)  
Grants

---

**County Counsel Concurrence**

As to form: Yes

**Auditor-Controller Concurrence**

As to form: N/A

**Other Concurrence:** N/A

As to form: No

**Recommended Actions:**

That the Board of Supervisors set hearings on March 1, 2016 to:

- a) Receive staff's recommendations for the 2016 CREF awards and take public testimony;
- b) Approve 2016 CREF budgeting of funds as proposed on pages 7 and 8 of the attached staff report (Attachment A);
- c) Determine that the budgeting of CREF funds for the recommended projects is exempt from CEQA pursuant to Sections 15061(b)(3) and 15378(b)(4) of the State Guidelines and Project No. 6 - Archaeological Research and Excavation, is also exempt from CEQA pursuant to Section 15331; and
- d) Direct staff to prepare the proposed contractual agreements with non-County grantees, including final grant conditions, and bring any contractual agreements that are over \$100,000 for Board consideration and approval, including appropriate CEQA compliance.

### **Summary Text:**

A total of \$337,750 is available for coastal acquisition and \$313,875 is available for general allocation in the 2016 Coastal Resource Enhancement Fund (CREF) cycle. Staff recommends granting awards to six proposals (one for coastal acquisition and five for general allocation). Please refer to the staff report in Attachment A that evaluates each CREF proposal pursuant to the CREF criteria and recommends grants for the 2016 CREF cycle.

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Section 15061 (b)(3) states that CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment. CEQA Section 15378 (b)(4) defines a "Project" as not including the creation of government funding mechanisms. Allocation of CREF awards is a proposed budget allocation, and therefore is not considered a "Project" nor is it considered to cause a significant effect on the environment. In regards to one of staff's recommendations, Project No. 6 - Archaeological Research and Excavation, the County of Santa Barbara permitted the project in 2011 and exempted the project from further environmental review requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), as defined in Section 15331 – Historical Resource Restoration/Rehabilitation.

### **Background:**

The County established CREF as a condition of permits to mitigate environmental impact of offshore oil and gas development and transportation projects. Mitigation is provided through CREF for impacts to four categories of coastal resources: recreation, tourism, aesthetics, and environmentally sensitive resources. The County must ensure that CREF fees are used to mitigate those impacts, according to condition of approval on projects and the CREF Guidelines.

On October 6, 2015, the Board of Supervisors directed staff to commence the 2016 CREF cycle.

### **Fiscal and Facilities Impacts:**

The Coastal Resource Enhancement Fund is funded by mitigation fees paid by developers of offshore oil and gas reserves. Fees to fund grants are received annually. Funding is budgeted on page D-291 of the FY 2015-16 budget in the Coastal Mitigation Budget Program, and is fully supported by funds in the Coastal Resource Enhancement Fund. Administration of CREF is budgeted at \$23,875 for FY 2015-16. Administration includes solicitation and evaluation of proposals, preparation of an annual status report, and preparation and monitoring of contracts to complement CREF awards.

### **Attachments:**

Attachment A: 2016 CREF Staff Report

**Attachment A**

**2016 CREF Staff Report**

**2016 CYCLE  
COASTAL RESOURCE ENHANCEMENT FUND  
(CREF)**

**Board of Supervisors Hearing  
March 1, 2016**

**County of Santa Barbara  
Planning & Development Department  
Energy & Minerals Division**

## Table of Contents

Background.....	1
Future Revenues.....	3
Evaluation Process.....	3
2016 CREF Cycle.....	4
Proposals Recommended for Funding.....	7
Appendix 1 – Evaluations of Year 2015 CREF Proposals.....	9
Project #1 – West of the West: Tales from California’s Channel Islands In-School Curriculum ...	10
Project #2 – North Campus Open Space (NCOS) Public Access Design Project.....	12
Project #3 – Coal Oil Point Reserve Education and Conservation Center.....	15
Project #4 – Gaviota Cove Acquisition.....	18
Project #5 – Jalama Beach Restroom Replacement.....	22
Project #6 – Archaeological Research and Excavation.....	24
Appendix 2 – 1988-2015 CREF Awards by District.....	27

## BACKGROUND

The County established the Coastal Resource Enhancement Fund (CREF) through conditions of approval when granting permits for the Point Arguello, Point Pedernales, Santa Ynez Unit, Gaviota Oil Terminal, and Molino Gas projects. These permits require payment of mitigation fees to CREF for the life of each project. (The Gaviota Oil Terminal and Molino Gas projects, since dismantled, do not contribute to CREF anymore.) The fund represents one of several measures that the County applied to help mitigate significant adverse impacts to coastal recreation, coastal visual aesthetics, coastal tourism, and environmentally sensitive coastal resources to the maximum extent feasible. Since impacts on these projects could not be mitigated entirely through direct measures, the fund offsets these impacts by enhancing coastal resources through various strategies not directly tied to the projects. Allocation of grants or loans from CREF must be directed at mitigating the specific types of impacts for which the permit conditions were crafted to address.

Since 1988, the Board of Supervisors has awarded 297 CREF grants for a total of \$22,365,200. Table 1 shows the distribution of past CREF dollars among the various categories (i.e., coastal acquisitions, capital improvements, education, equipment and planning and research). Prior to 1990, the CREF Guidelines rated capital projects as the highest priority use of CREF. In 1990, the Board amended the criteria to add coastal acquisitions as a higher priority use of CREF and devoted at least one half of each year's CREF fees to such acquisitions. Between 2007 and 2014, the Board of Supervisors amended the CREF Guidelines in regards to the percentage of CREF fees allocated to acquisitions from 0% to 65%. Since the 2015 CREF cycle, the percentage of funds for coastal acquisitions has returned to a minimum of 50%.

Public agencies, municipalities, special districts, and non-profit organizations may compete for CREF awards. Table 2 illustrates the five categories of previous CREF grantees, and Tables 3 and 4 show which cities and County agencies received grants, respectively.

**Table 1: CREF Allocations by Type of Project**

<b>PROJECT CATEGORIES</b>	<b>DOLLAR AMOUNT</b>	<b>PERCENTAGE</b>
<b>Acquisitions</b>	\$10,181,462	46%
<b>Capital Improvements</b>	\$8,536,435	38%
<b>Planning &amp; Research</b>	\$2,545,229	11%
<b>Educational</b>	\$959,648	4%
<b>Equipment</b>	\$142,426	< 1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$22,365,200</b>	

**Table 2: CREF Allocations by Type of Grantee\***

<b>GRANTEE</b>	<b>AMOUNT</b>	<b>PERCENTAGE</b>
<b>Cities</b>	\$1,663,281	7%
<b>County Agencies</b>	\$10,281,150	46%
<b>Non-Profit Agencies</b>	\$9,713,408	43%
<b>State &amp; Federal Agencies</b>	\$7,500	<1%
<b>Educational Institutions</b>	\$699,861	3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$22,365,200</b>	

\* Some projects have partnerships between a Non-Profit Agency and a Governmental Agency.

**Table 3: Total CREF Allocations to Cities**

<b>CITY</b>	<b>AMOUNT</b>	<b>PERCENTAGE</b>
<b>Santa Barbara</b>	\$602,931	36%
<b>Carpinteria</b>	\$414,629	25%
<b>Santa Maria</b>	\$55,000	3%
<b>Lompoc</b>	\$142,126	9%
<b>Guadalupe**</b>	\$25,000	2%
<b>Goleta</b>	\$423,595	25%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,663,281</b>	

\*\* The City of Guadalupe co-partnered with non-profit agencies on various CREF awards for a total of \$170,000 which is figured into the non-profit category in Table 2.

**Table 4: Total CREF Allocations to Santa Barbara County Departments**

<b>COUNTY DEPT.</b>	<b>AMOUNT</b>	<b>PERCENTAGE</b>
<b>Community Services/Parks</b>	\$5,663,611	55%
<b>Public Works</b>	\$1,336,389	13%
<b>P&amp;D/Long Range Planning</b>	\$2,741,600	27%
<b>County Administrator</b>	\$281,162	3%
<b>General Services</b>	\$120,000	1%
<b>Fish &amp; Game Commission</b>	\$3,000	<1%
<b>Third District Supervisor</b>	\$45,000	<1%
<b>Ag. Commissioners Office</b>	\$90,388	<1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$10,281,150</b>	

## FUTURE REVENUES

Impacts and corresponding fee amounts are to be reassessed at five-year intervals. As impacts may not actually occur as predicted by the environmental analysis used for the initial CREF assessments, fee amounts are to be reassessed throughout the duration of the remaining oil and gas projects that currently contribute to CREF. In August of 2012, the Board of Supervisors approved the sixth five-year (2013-2017) assessment of payments; the CREF fee schedule for the remaining year, 2017, appears in Table 5. At the beginning of spring 2017, staff will assess the seventh five-year (2018-2022) assessment of payments. This assessment will consider reduced fees to two oil and gas projects (Point Arguello and Santa Ynez Unit) since they are not producing oil on their offshore platforms and have or will have purged oil from their offshore pipelines and onshore storage tanks, thus significantly reducing the potential risks of an oil spill.

**Table 5: CREF Fees\* for 2016 and 2017**

<b>PROJECT</b>	<b>2017</b>
<b>Point Arguello</b>	\$250,900
<b>Santa Ynez Unit</b>	\$231,600
<b>Point Pedernales</b>	\$193,000
<b>CREF Fees Per Year</b>	<b>\$675,500</b>

\* Assessed at \$38,600 per point, pursuant to CREF Guidelines to reflect 1988 dollars.

Additional revenue for new grants becomes available for allocation in future years if previously approved CREF awards do not materialize or move forward in a timely manner. In such cases, these awards revert back to the uncommitted CREF balance.

## EVALUATION PROCESS

Staff annually solicits and evaluates proposals for CREF awards, then submits recommendations to the Board of Supervisors for consideration in a duly noticed public hearing.

Staff follows two steps to evaluate the proposals: (1) determine the extent to which each proposal meets the eight Board-approved CREF criteria, and (2) determine the competitive advantage of each proposal over other proposals.

The following criteria guide CREF recommendations:

Criterion 1. *Enhancement projects must be located in the coastal area or have a coastal relationship, and must be consistent with the County's Local Coastal Program and Comprehensive Plan or other applicable local coastal/general plans. Enhancement projects should be located within geographical proximity to oil and gas onshore/offshore development activities while still providing for the broadest public benefit.*



Criterion 2. *Projects should compensate for coastal impacts due to oil and gas development, specifically for sensitive environmental resources, aesthetics, tourism, and negative effects on coastal recreation in the County.*

Criterion 3. *Projects should provide a level of broad public benefit.*

Criterion 4. *The intent of the CREF program is to fund coastal acquisition and capital improvement projects; therefore, projects which offer coastal acquisition and capital improvements will receive higher priority than those projects which do not.*

Criterion 5. *Projects should utilize matching funds and/or in-kind services to the maximum extent possible.*

Criterion 6. *Projects should be self-supporting or should require minimum on-going County operations/maintenance costs once the project is completed and implemented.*

Criterion 7. *Projects to be funded should lack other viable funding mechanisms to complete the project.*

Criterion 8. *The feasibility of implementing and completing the project shall be considered. Projects with a high probability of success should be given preference.*

Along with the above criteria, staff may also consider the following factors in determining its recommendations for CREF funding:

- (a) the time-critical importance of the proposal compared to other competing proposals;
- (b) the relative ranking which the applicant gives a particular proposal, if submitting more than one proposal;
- (c) future investments, beyond on-going operations and maintenance that may be required by the County if the proposal is implemented;
- (d) performance on previous CREF grants;
- (e) timing of the CREF request in relation to the anticipated commencement of the project (i.e., the CREF request may be premature);
- (f) the extent to which a proposal compliments or conflicts with other similar ongoing projects in the community (particularly projects funded with CREF grants); and
- (g) benefits distributed throughout the County.

## **2016 CREF CYCLE**

**Amount of Funds Available.** The 2016 cycle represents the twenty-seventh CREF cycle. The County received a total of \$675,500 in CREF fees for the 2016 cycle. Pursuant to the Fund Deferral Program in the CREF Guidelines, half of the annual fees (in this cycle, \$337,750) is designated for exceptional acquisitions. Of the remaining other half of the CREF fees, \$313,875<sup>1</sup> is available to fund all types of proposals that enhance coastal recreation, visual aesthetics, tourism, and environmental resources, including coastal acquisitions.

---

<sup>1</sup> \$23,875 was budgeted for CREF administration costs in the FY 15/16.

**Table 6: Funds Available in the 2016 CREF Cycle**

SOURCE OF FUNDING	ACQUISITION	GENERAL ALLOCATION
2016 CREF fees	\$ 337,750	\$ 313,875
<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE PER CATEGORY</b>	<b>\$ 337,750</b>	<b>\$313,875</b>

*Amount of Funds Requested.* The County received six proposals for this cycle, seeking cumulative awards of 790,815 (\$453,065 seeking General Allocation monies and \$337,750 seeking Acquisition monies). Tables 7 and 8 show types of proposals and types of applicants, respectively, in the 2016 cycle. Table 9 lists the proposals, applicants, amounts requested, and types of proposals.

**Table 7: Types of Proposals in the 2016 CREF Cycle**

CATEGORIES	AMOUNT
Acquisitions	\$ 337,750
Capital Improvements	\$ 300,000
Education	\$ 153,065
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 790,815</b>

**Table 8: Types of Applicants in the 2016 CREF Cycle**

CATEGORIES	AMOUNT
County Agencies	\$ 150,000
Non-Profit Agencies	\$ 490,815
Educational Institutions	\$ 150,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 790,815</b>

**Table 9: 2016 CREF Proposals**

DISTRICT	NO.	PROPOSAL TITLE	APPLICANT	AMOUNT REQUESTING	TYPE OF PROPOSAL
<b>1<sup>st</sup> District</b>	No proposals submitted				
<b>County-Wide</b>	1	West of the West: Tales from California's Channel Islands In-School Curriculum Component	Santa Cruz Island Foundation	\$25,000	Educational
<b>3<sup>rd</sup> District</b>	2	North Campus Open Space (NCOS) Public Access Design Project	The Regents of the University of California, Santa Barbara	\$50,000	Capital Improvement
	3	Coal Oil Point Reserve Education & Conservation Center	The Regents of the University of California, Santa Barbara	\$100,000	Capital Improvement
	4	Gaviota Cove Acquisition	The Trust for Public Land	\$337,750	Acquisition
	5	Jalama Beach Restroom Replacement	County Community Services Department	\$150,000	Capital Improvement
	6	Archaeological Research and Excavation	Guadalupe-Nipomo Dunes Center	\$128,065	Educational
<b>4<sup>th</sup> District</b>	No proposals submitted				
<b>5<sup>th</sup> District</b>	No proposals submitted				
<b>Total Requested</b>				<b>\$790,815</b>	[ \$453,065 (Gen. Alloc.) \$337,750 (Acquisition)

## PROPOSALS RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING

In this cycle, six proposals seek approximately \$800,000, and approximately \$650,000 is available. Table 10 shows staff's recommendations, giving full or partial grants to all six applicants. These six awards provide exceptional benefits to different communities and the coastal environment throughout the County in a timely manner.

Staff recommends one \$337,750 CREF grant from the acquisition sub-fund to The Trust for Public Land to help purchase the Gaviota Cove property on the Gaviota Coast. Staff believes the proposed acquisition would be an excellent use of CREF monies. The proposal can be considered a bit premature in that the purchase of this property is estimated to be three years away and the applicant has not identified a long-term steward for the property. However, a CREF grant shows the County's support for preserving this important piece of coastal land and its environmentally sensitive habitats for future generations. Purchase of the property would lead to some form of public use. This local support of seed money will help the applicant as it fund-raises locally, regionally and nationally. Staff recommends that the applicant secure a purchase agreement within a year to secure a 2016 CREF grant. Otherwise, the funds would be available for reallocation in the 2017 CREF cycle.

Staff recommends five CREF grants from the general allocation sub-fund; three of the recommended proposals are for capital improvement projects:

- One proposal is to help design and engineer trails, boardwalks and bridges around a soon-to-be restored 136 acres near Devereux Slough and connecting this area with its surroundings, including Coal Oil Point and Sperling Preserve in Goleta.
- Another proposal would restore a building at Coal Oil Point Reserve that would benefit visitors.
- The final capital improvement proposal would help fund an improved bathroom at the very popular Jalama County Beach Park. A 2015 CREF grant is already dedicated to this bathroom, and a 2016 CREF grant would make this new bathroom a reality.

Two of the recommended general allocation proposals are educational projects:

- One proposal is to prepare an in-school curriculum on the Channel Islands, including 20 lesson plans, study guides, review questions, and 20 video clips. This curriculum would be available to all students in Santa Barbara County from grade four to college level. This curriculum would build upon the 2015 CREF grant that helped fund the Channel Island films.
- The other proposal is to excavate and refurbish another half of a plaster sphinx from the movie set, *The Ten Commandments*, that was buried at Guadalupe Dunes.

An evaluation of each proposal appears in Appendix 1. The *Staff Recommendation* section of each evaluation contains preliminary conditions that staff believes necessary prior to award of each proposal. Staff recommends that the Board of Supervisors acknowledge these conditions as general direction to staff and grantees when preparing final grant agreements, or as basic conditions on grants awarded to County departments. Conditions imposed on awards are necessary to provide sufficient safeguards for the required use of CREF.

**Table 10: Staff Recommendations for the Year 2016 CREF Cycle**

<b>Proposal Title</b>	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>Amount Requested</b>	<b>Amount Recommended for General Allocation</b>	<b>Amount Recommended for Acquisition</b>
West of the West: Tales from California's Channel Islands In-School Curriculum Component	Santa Cruz Island Foundation	\$25,000	\$25,000	
North Campus Open Space (NCOS) Public Access Design Project	The Regents of the University of California, Santa Barbara	\$50,000	\$40,000	
Coal Oil Point Reserve Education & Conservation Center	The Regents of the University of California, Santa Barbara	\$100,000	\$50,000	
Gaviota Cove Acquisition	The Trust for Public Land	\$337,750		\$337,750
Jalama Beach Restroom Replacement	County Community Services Department	\$150,000	\$150,000	
Archaeological Research and Excavation	Guadalupe-Nipomo Dunes Center	\$128,065	\$48,875	
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$790,815</b>	<b>\$313,875</b>	<b>\$337,750</b>

## **Appendix 1**

### **Evaluations of Year 2016 CREF Proposals**

**PROJECT # 1**  
**WEST OF THE WEST: TALES FROM CALIFORNIA'S CHANNEL ISLANDS**  
**IN-SCHOOL CURRICULUM COMPONENT**

*County-Wide*  
*Santa Cruz Island Foundation*  
*Requests \$25,000*  
*Total Project Costs: \$75,000*

---

**Staff Recommendation:** Recommend full funding of the applicant's request - \$25,000, contingent on the applicant securing all necessary funds to complete the project.

**Summary of Proposal:** The applicant requests funding to help adapt the applicant's West of the West: Tales from California's Channel Islands films into a teacher-friendly format. The adapted films would be used along with curriculum that is being developed by a project team that consists of representatives from the Santa Barbara County Education Office, Santa Barbara Middle School, Santa Cruz Island Foundation, Antioch University, Santa Barbara Maritime Museum and Channel Islands National Park. The curriculum and adapted films, which are referenced as the "in-school curriculum," would be developed to meet California Standards for grades four to college level. Specifically, the applicant would:

- Edit 20 video clips from the West of the West films for the in-school curriculum.
- Prepare 20 lesson plans, study guides and review questions to accompany the 20 video clips.
- Create a website for teachers and the public to access and download: (1) the 20 video clips and lesson plans; (2) existing teaching resources already developed by the Channel Islands National Park; and (3) 3D-printing files for artifacts from the islands.

The applicant states that the project team will collaborate as they design the curriculum, analyze video clips and determine how the themes of the films match themes in the California Standards, and pilot test the in-school curriculum in their classrooms.

**Background:** The applicant received a \$30,000 grant in the 2015 CREF cycle to create the West of the West: Channel Islands films. During the spring of 2015, small grants were offered to teachers throughout Santa Barbara County to design curriculum around the films. A group of eight educators from this project spent two nights on Santa Cruz Island in the summer of 2015, working with the National Park education staff on brainstorming about the project.

The Santa Cruz Island Foundation, Santa Rosa Island Chapter has received a \$9,250 grant in the 1993 CREF cycle to prepare a portable public display and a written publication, portraying the cultural and natural history of Santa Rosa Island.

---

**Satisfaction of CREF Criteria:**

*[The eight CREF criteria can be found on page 3 of the staff report. The symbol (+) means the proposal satisfies the criterion; the symbol (-) means it doesn't satisfy; and the symbol (+/-) means it partially satisfies.]*

- (+) **Criterion #1.** The focus of the proposal – the Channel Islands – possesses a coastal relationship in that the islands are off the coast of California and almost every aspect of the islands are connected with and influenced by their surrounding ocean. The proposed in-school curriculum aims to educate students of many aspects of the Channel Islands, including the islands’ sensitive coastal habitats, history and recreational uses.
- (+) **Criterion #2.** Four of the eight Channel Islands are off the coast of Santa Barbara County. The proposed in-school curriculum would enhance awareness of the islands, which in turn could enhance coastal recreation, tourism and environmentally sensitive coastal resources.
- (+) **Criterion #3.** The applicant plans to make its in-school curriculum available to all education institutions in Santa Barbara County. The curriculum would be designed for students in grades four through college level. The in-school curriculum would be available on the internet, so it would be available to anyone who accesses it.
- (-) **Criterion #4.** The proposal is considered educational, which is not one of the higher priorities of CREF (coastal acquisitions and capital improvements).
- (+) **Criteria #5 and #7.** The applicant seeks a third of the \$75,000 budget from CREF. The applicant has secured \$20,000 from Kirby-Jones Foundation and is seeking \$15,000 from Sage Publications. The applicant plans to seek the remaining funds from Montecito Bank and Trust, film donors, MarBorg, and QAD, among others. The entire project team’s contribution to developing curriculum is estimated to be approximately \$40,000 as in-kind services.
- (+) **Criterion #6.** There would be no ongoing County operations or maintenance involved with this proposal. The Santa Barbara Maritime Museum (SBMM) has offered to maintain the in-school curriculum website. The applicant states that SBMM and it will consult with educators annually to determine if changes need to be made to the site.
- (+) **Criterion #8.** The proposal is considered to be completed successfully. The applicant successfully completed its West of the West films. There seems to be a lot of enthusiasm with the project team and participating schools in developing curriculum to accompany video clips of the films.

**Other Considerations:** None.

---

---



**PROJECT # 2**  
**NORTH CAMPUS OPEN SPACE (NCOS)**  
**PUBLIC ACCESS DESIGN PROJECT**

*3<sup>rd</sup> District*  
*The Regents of the University of California, Santa Barbara*  
*Requests \$50,000*  
*Total Project Costs: \$13 million*

---

**Staff Recommendation:** Fund a partial request of \$40,000, contingent on the applicant securing the remaining funds needed to design and engineer the trails, boardwalk and bridge component of the restoration. The applicant has secured the funds to construct and renovate the trails, boardwalk and bridges (approximately \$2.5 million). There is a time criticalness to this project: \$1 million of the secured construction funds has to be used by March of 2017 or it returns to the grantor.

**Priority Ranking:** The applicant ranks this proposal second of the two it submitted. The applicant states that both are equally important but the other proposal was ranked first since this is the second attempt to secure a CREF grant for the other proposal.

**Summary of Proposal:** The applicant requests a CREF grant to help complete planning and permitting for the restoration of the 136-acre North Campus Open Space (NCOS), which includes the Upper Devereux Slough and its immediate watershed. A CREF grant would fund engineering plans for public access trails, bridges and boardwalks proposed for the 136-acre NCOS. The restoration project includes the 63-acre Ocean Meadows property that the County helped purchase with two grants from the 2010 and 2011 CREF cycles and the 69-acre South Parcel, held in a conservation easement by The Land Trust for Santa Barbara County.

The restoration project includes restoring 136 acres of diverse habitat, including a tidal connection to Devereux Slough, intermittently flooded mudflats, plover breeding habitat, salt marsh and a variety of upland and freshwater habitats. The project includes excavation and replacement of approximately 360,000 cubic yards of fill that was taken from the South Parcel and transferred to the Ocean Meadows property, filling in the wetland to construct a golf course in 1965. The proposed trail system was developed through a community based planning process in 2013-2014.

**Background:** The County awarded the Trust for Public Land two CREF grants (\$438,500 in the 2010 cycle and \$311,500 in the 2011 cycle) to help purchase the 63-acre Ocean Meadows property and then gave the property to the applicant. The Ocean Meadows property is part of this restoration site. To the west of the restoration site is the 230-acre Sperling Preserve at Ellwood Mesa, owned by the City of Goleta. To the south and east is the 170-acre Coal Oil Point Reserve, which is part of the University of California's Natural Reserve System. To the north is an area slated for University housing development. The restoration site is located within the Devereux Slough watershed, with Devereux Creek running through its property as a channelized stream.

**Satisfaction of CREF Criteria:**

*[The eight CREF criteria can be found on page 3 of the staff report. The symbol (+) means the proposal satisfies the criterion; the symbol (-) means it doesn't satisfy; and the symbol (+/-) means it partially satisfies.]*

- (+) **Criterion #1.** The proposed property has a coastal nexus. The proposed project would restore 136 acres of a historic wetland and the upland habitat. It is a critical part of the Devereux Slough, which is an important coastal estuarine area that drains to the Pacific Ocean. Many publications and policy work support the restoration of this property: Joint Proposal for the Ellwood-Devereux Coast, Ellwood-Devereux Coast Open Space and Habitat Management Plan, University of California, Santa Barbara Campus Wetlands Management Plan, Southern California Wetlands Recovery Project Regional Strategy and Work Plan, UCSB Natural Areas Plan: Classification, Inventory and Management Guidelines, Devereux Slough Restoration Plan, Coal Oil Management Plan, and Santa Barbara County Conservation Element.
- (+) **Criterion #2.** The proposed project would enhance environmentally sensitive coastal resources and provide coastal recreation. The project site once had a 57-acre wetland and upland and transitional habitat onsite. Eight wetland communities would be restored onsite, including mudflats, open water, salt marsh and emergent wetland habitat. The applicant states that restoring the site would benefit a number of special status species, including federally-listed endangered, federally-listed threatened and State-listed endangered species. The site would have trails, boardwalks and bridges open to the public.
- (+) **Criterion #3.** The site would be open for passive recreation and linked with adjacent open space areas (e.g. Sperling Preserve at Ellwood Mesa and Coal Oil Point). The site is located near dense residential areas: the City of Goleta, Isla Vista and the UCSB campus. The surrounding open space areas are open and enjoyed by many residents and tourists.
- (+) **Criterion #4.** The proposal is a first step towards a capital improvement; therefore, it satisfies the higher priority of CREF.
- (+) **Criteria #5 and #7.** The applicant seeks less than 1% of the total budget costs from CREF for restoring NCOS. The applicant has secured a total of \$6,185,000 from CalTrans, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, California Natural Resources Agency, County Flood Control and US Fish and Wildlife. The applicant is seeking over \$6 million from the Associated Student Coastal Fund, the Goleta West Sanitation District, the Wildlife Conservation Board, the State Coastal Conservancy, and another grant from both the California Department of Fish and Wildlife and the US Fish and Wildlife. The applicant has put in over \$500,000 as in-kind services for planning, budgeting, counsel advice, and restoration costs.
- (+/-) **Criterion #6.** The project site is owned, maintained and operated by UCSB. UCSB is in the process of initiating an endowment fund that will contribute to the long-term stewardship of the project site.
- (+/-) **Criterion #8.** The applicant has secured half of the \$13 million budget for the restoration. The applicant is seeking the remaining half from a number of sources. If fully funded, it is believed that the applicant can successfully complete the restoration of the project.

***Other Considerations:*** There is a time limit on the \$1 million California Natural Resources Agency grant. If the money is not spent by March of 2017, legislation on the funding requires that it revert back to state coffers.

---

---

**PROJECT # 3**  
**COAL OIL POINT RESERVE EDUCATION**  
**& CONSERVATION CENTER**

*3<sup>rd</sup> Districts*  
*The Regents of the University of California Santa Barbara*  
*Requests \$100,000*  
*Total Project Costs: \$1,434,224*

---

**Staff Recommendation:** Fund a partial grant of \$50,000, contingent on the applicant securing the necessary funds to complete the project.

**Priority Ranking:** The applicant ranks this proposal first of the two it submitted.

**Summary of Proposal:** The applicant requests funding toward renovation of an existing 5,390 square-foot building, adjacent to the University of California, Santa Barbara's (UCSB) Coal Oil Point Reserve (COPR), into a new Education & Conservation Center. A CREF grant would go towards renovating the building, and repurposing interior spaces. The interior spaces would include a large meeting room for lectures and events, a classroom, wet lab, library, conference room, kitchen, public restrooms and offices for the Nature Reserve Systems (NRS) staff. The building would house exhibits, such as a topographical model of the Devereux watershed, terrarium with beach anthropods and snowy plover chicks, endangered and threatened species short movies, an adaptation exhibit, and an aquarium with plankton and microscopes. An existing courtyard would be converted into an outdoor meeting room and classroom. Existing paths, grass, shrubs and other small plants would be removed, and new concrete paths that would be compliant with Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and native plant demonstration gardens would be installed.

COPR is a protected natural area with important coastal wetland resources. UCSB's NRS focuses on protecting these coastal resources with conservation, restoration, education and research. The proposed Education & Conservation Center would provide the infrastructure needed to expand the conservation and restoration programs even further by supporting NRS staff members and volunteers who lead and run these program and activities (offices, space for storing seeds of native vegetation, resources needed to maintain the GIS database for mapping vegetation types and restoration projects). The Audubon Society is working with NRS staff for opportunities for the Audubon Society to use the new center for starting points for field trips, meeting location for various bird programs to the public and training sessions, conducting summer camps, and staffing the center with interpretative guides. In addition, the Center would provide space for lectures, slide shows, videos, training of docents, summer nature day-camps for students, indoor classes, and public restrooms.

**Background:** On the project site are a number of existing buildings owned by UCSB. There is a conference center onsite and is used by UCSB, church groups, and NRS staff as a space for training docents. There is a classroom with an after school program for kids. Some buildings are still used by the Devereux Foundation for clients that need special assistance. There are also some cottages that are for rent (typically to visiting scholars). The proposed building was used as a dormitory for clients of the Devereux Foundation, until UCSB purchased it in 2007. Once renovated, the building would be

assigned solely to COPR activities. There are parking spaces available for the proposed Center; however, they are subject to a fee, similar to all campus parking for the public.

Currently, NRS has a small office in an un-insulated shed, which would become a storage building once the building for the Center is restored. NRS rents UCSB's conference center once a month for training docents. The applicant explains that currently there is a portable toilet onsite (for NRS staff and the public), but there is no space available for wet labs and a large room for lectures, videos, and slide shows. The applicant explains that the duration of tours and other activities often have to be limited due to the fact that there are no other public restrooms other than the portable toilet.

---

**Satisfaction of CREF Criteria:**

*[The eight CREF criteria can be found on page 3 of the staff report. The symbol (+) means the proposal satisfies the criterion; the symbol (-) means it doesn't satisfy; and the symbol (+/-) means it partially satisfies.]*

- (+) **Criterion #1.** The proposal is coastal-related; the COPR is located on the coast and protects important coastal natural resources (e.g., snowy plover, tide pool habitats, Devereux Slough, coastal native vegetation and coastal dunes, etc). The proposed Center would be for COPR use only, including public and UCSB groups doing research or education at COPR.
- (+) **Criterion #2.** The proposed project would enhance environmentally sensitive coastal resources, recreation and tourism. Currently, the existing buildings onsite are able to accommodate some of what the proposed restored building would accommodate, and it would allow for all of COPR's activities to be under one roof and in an improved building. The proposed building would allow new uses onsite, such as improved public restrooms, a wet lab, insulated offices for NRS staff, and for the applicant to expand COPR's conservation and restoration programs (e.g., holding events such as lecturers and slideshows, etc). As noted above, the Audubon Society is working with NRS staff for opportunities for the Audubon Society to use the new center for various bird programs to the public, conducting summer camps, and staffing the center with interpretative guides.
- (+) **Criterion #3.** The project would benefit the staff at COPR and the public visiting COPR. The applicant states that COPR formally engages about 2,000 people annually, participating in COPR's programs, and that approximately 40,000 tourist, students and local residents visit COPR each year and engage in educational and recreational activities. The applicant explains that the duration of tours and other activities often have to be limited due to the fact that there are no other public restrooms onsite other than the portable toilet.
- (+) **Criterion #4.** The proposal is considered a capital improvement; therefore, the proposal satisfies the higher priorities of CREF (capital improvements and acquisitions).
- (+) **Criteria #5 and #7.** The applicant is seeking less than 1% of the budget from CREF. The applicant has secured all other funding: \$185,000 from donors to COPR, a \$300,000 grant from the Coastal Conservancy, a \$505,000 grant from the Wildlife Conservation Board, \$61,000 from the US Fish and Wildlife Service and \$283,224 from UCSB.

- (+) **Criterion #6.** The proposal would not increase the County's ongoing operation or maintenance costs. The applicant has its own budget for maintenance and operations and will cover the maintenance and operation of the proposed new facility.
  
- (+) **Criterion #8.** It appears the proposal has a very good chance to be completed successfully; the applicant has secured all the funding except for what it is asking from CREF. The building is already onsite, UCSB has paid for safety improvements for the building, and the applicant has completed schematic plans.

**Other Considerations:** None.

## **PROJECT # 4** **GAVIOTA COVE ACQUISITION**

*3<sup>rd</sup> District*  
*The Trust for Public Land*  
*Requests \$337,750*  
*Total Project Costs: \$3 million*

---

**Staff Recommendation:** Grant the full request of \$337,750 from the acquisition sub-fund, contingent on the applicant entering into a signed contract with the landowner, agreeing on a purchase price that shall not exceed the fair market value, and submitting an appraisal for the properties within one year or the grant monies return to the unallocated acquisition sub-fund in the CREF fund.

In addition, a CREF grant would be contingent on the following:

- The applicant holding a meeting with interested parties to identify future public use and amenities for the site within two years.
- Any transfer of property ownership must be approved by County.
- The applicant shall secure all necessary funds to complete the purchase.
- The applicant shall record a conservation easement, stipulating that all the purchased land shall be dedicated to habitat preservation and passive recreation in perpetuity. Specific passive recreational uses shall be limited to those uses that do not:
  - impact the onsite habitats; and
  - conflict with others who passively recreate.
- The property itself cannot be used as collateral for any loans, including loans required to purchase the property.

A CREF grant would show the County's support behind preserving this important coastal bluff top land and its environmentally sensitive habitats. This local support of seed money would help the applicant as it fund-raises locally, regionally and nationally. Staff believes the proposed acquisition would be an excellent use of CREF monies.

There are still some uncertainties with this proposal (see *Other Considerations* below); however, the applicant remains steadfast in trying to acquire the property and preserve it in some form for open space. A couple of significant activities have occurred recently that will allow the applicant to move closer to purchasing the property. One of the most significant activities that occurred is that the Regional Water Quality Control Board approved the site's Remediation Action Plan (RAP) in January of 2016, allowing contaminated soils onsite to be cleaned up to a specific level. The applicant states that an unapproved RAP has been a major obstacle to entering into a purchase agreement with the landowner. With an approved RAP, the applicant plans to enter into a purchase agreement with the landowner in early 2016. In addition, the site's landowner has submitted a Demolition and Reclamation Plan to the County's Planning and Development Department, Energy & Minerals Division to restore the site to its natural condition.

**Summary of Proposal:** The applicant requests a CREF grant to help purchase 42.44 acres of coastal, bluff-top property along the Gaviota Coast, commonly known as the Gaviota Terminal property, and referenced herein as the Gaviota Cove property. The site is surrounded on the east and west by the Gaviota State Park

and a privately owned undeveloped parcel, on the south by the Pacific Ocean, and the north by Highway 101 and the Point Arguello oil pipeline terminal. The property is bisected by the railroad corridor that is owned by the Union Pacific Corporation, leaving approximately 10 acres on the ocean side and 32 acres in between the railroad and Highway 101. The two properties together are one of the few rural coastal properties along the Gaviota Coast that is accessed from U.S. 101 by a modern interchange.

Upon acquisition of the two properties, The Trust for Public Lands (TPL) plans to transfer ownership to a long-term public steward, as it has with several other previous acquisitions. In this case, no long-term public steward has been identified. TPL states that it has had preliminary discussions with the California State Department of Parks and Recreation and the County of Santa Barbara. TPL's goal is to facilitate public access to this property with a long-term steward overseeing public use and protection of sensitive coastal resources.

**Background:** The applicant is currently negotiating the terms of an option to purchase the properties. Once a purchase agreement is executed, the applicant would appraise the land.

The site was most recently the site of the Gaviota Oil Terminal. In 2005, the storage of oil was moved to the Point Arguello facility across Highway 101. Since that time, the Gaviota Terminal Company (GTC), current owner of the properties, has removed most of the above ground equipment (e.g., tanks) and some pipelines under the authority of a County permit. GTC has been working with the Regional Water Quality Control Board on cleanup strategies for the site, and the County issued a coastal development permit for the excavation of 300 cubic feet of contaminated soil to conduct a soil remediation pilot project. GTC has submitted a Demolition and Reclamation permit application to Planning & Development in July of 2015, and is currently compiling additional information for a complete application.

The applicant has received six CREF grants in the past, totaling \$3,528,901:

- \$1,000,000 in the 1994 cycle to help purchase the Douglas Family Preserve (Wilcox property);
- \$367,963 in the 2004 cycle to help purchase the Ellwood Mesa Sperling Preserve;
- \$50,000 in the 2005 cycle to help purchase the Ellwood Mesa Sperling Preserve;
- \$1,360,938 in the 2008 cycle to help purchase the Gaviota Village property;
- \$438,500 in the 2010 cycle to help purchase the Ocean Meadows property;
- \$311,500 in the 2011 cycle to help purchase the Ocean Meadows property; and
- \$300,000 in the 2015 cycle to help purchase the Arroyo Burro Creek property.

**Satisfaction of CREF Criteria:**

*[The eight CREF criteria can be found on page 3 of the staff report. The symbol (+) means the proposal satisfies the criterion; the symbol (-) means it doesn't satisfy; and the symbol (+/-) means it partially satisfies.]*

- (+) **Criterion #1.** The subject properties are a coastal bluff top terrace, offering sweeping views of the Santa Barbara Channel. The properties are home to many environmentally sensitive coastal-related species (e.g., native grasslands and coastal scrub). The subject properties would offer beach access. The project is consistent with the Local Coastal Program.
- (+/-) **Criterion #2.** This proposal has the potential to enhance coastal recreation and coastal tourism, although future potential use would depend upon the desires of the long-term steward. The site is



currently inaccessible since it is privately owned. Purchase of the site could make 42 acres of coastal bluff top property available for recreational use for the public. However, it remains unclear at this point what public access may be allowable across the Union Pacific property in order to access the beach (see *Other Considerations* below). The proposal could also ensure protection of environmentally sensitive coastal species since Canada del Cementerio and Alcatraz Creek run through the site, sustaining riparian woodland habitat. Oak woodlands, chaparral, coastal bluff/sage scrub and native perennial and introduced annual grassland communities are onsite. This result again depends upon the future use of the properties, which is uncertain, but has generally been described in the proposal as protecting environmental resources and providing both active and passive public recreation.

- (+/-) **Criterion #3.** The proposed properties have the potential to benefit present and future generations, depending on the specific future use of the properties. The applicant would open the properties' scenic and recreational amenities to the public; however, lack of potable onsite groundwater (see *Other Considerations*, below) could be a limiting factor for certain future uses, as could issues with access across the Union Pacific. Soils onsite will be cleaned up to a specific level by the landowner, but limitations on uses will apply. What specific uses would be allowed and would not be allowed onsite have not been identified yet.
- (+) **Criterion #4.** The project is a coastal acquisition, thereby satisfying the higher priority of CREF.
- (+) **Criteria #5 and Criterion #7.** The applicant seeks approximately 11% of the total budget from CREF. The applicant is seeking the remaining 89% from various public sources: State Department of Parks, State Coastal Conservancy, and CalTrans' Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation Program. Private individuals and foundations will be targeted for fund-raising also. The applicant offers in-kind services for its fund-raising efforts.
- (+/-) **Criterion #6.** The applicant has not identified the long-term managing entity for the properties. The applicant states it has had preliminary discussions with the State Department of Parks and Recreation and the County of Santa Barbara. Therefore, it remains uncertain if the County would incur long-term operational and maintenance costs.
- (+/-) **Criterion #8.** In the past 40 years, the applicant has completed more than 4,484 land conservation projects in 48 states, protecting more than 3.2 million acres. Locally, the applicant led the successful campaigns for Ocean Meadows, Gaviota Village, Ellwood Mesa, El Capitan Beach, the Douglas Family Preserve (Wilcox Property), and most recently, the Arroyo Burro Creek.

In this case, the landowner has stated its willingness to negotiate with The Trust for Public Land for sale of the properties. A purchase price has not been negotiated. The applicant hopes to have a signed purchase option agreement by early 2016. Until a purchase agreement has been entered into and an appraisal has been prepared, it is uncertain if this project can be successfully completed.

**Other Considerations:** The applicant has sought a CREF grant in the past for this property in the 2007-2009 CREF cycles and the 2014 CREF cycle. In the past, staff has not recommended that the Board award a CREF grant because there were too many uncertainties with the purchase. The following uncertainties remain with the proposal. First, as mentioned above, it remains unclear to what extent the public could legally access the southern property, because that requires crossing the railroad corridor, owned by the Union Pacific Corporation. However, the applicant states that it is looking into who owns the land under the

railroad trestle, believing that the landowner owns the land and there is an easement for the railroad. Second, it remains unclear what entity would accept ownership of the properties for long-term stewardship, and therefore, what the precise future use of the properties would be. Third, it remains uncertain to what extent the shortage of potable water onsite would hinder potential future use of the site. Fourth, it remains unclear how much longer Freeport-McMoRan would operate its desalination facility, which relies on infrastructure that is located on the southern property of the Gaviota Terminal, and what restrictions from public access it may seek to protect that infrastructure. However, as noted above in *Staff's Recommendation*, progress is being made to clean up and restore the site. This has been a major barrier to the applicant and the landowner entering into a purchase agreement.

---

---

**PROJECT # 5**  
**JALAMA BEACH RESTROOMS REPLACEMENT**

*3<sup>rd</sup> District*  
*County Community Services Department*  
*Requests \$150,000*  
*Total Project Costs: \$335,000*

---

**Staff Recommendation:** Fund the applicant's full request of \$150,000.

**Summary of Proposal:** The applicant requests \$150,000 to design and construct one large restroom facility at Jalama County Park, located on the coast north of Point Conception and southeast of Point Arguello. The applicant states the restroom facility is approximately 30 years old and is not up to the current Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) standards. The restroom needs to be replaced since the facility is deteriorating, and the facility needs to meet the updated ADA standards. The new and improved facility would be more energy and water efficient.

**Background:** Currently, five restroom facilities exist at the County Park (two large and three smaller ones). The applicant is using Development Impact Fees to replace and upgrade one of the smaller restroom facilities, a \$280,000 CREF grant from the 2014 cycle to fund the other large restroom, and a \$170,752 CREF grant from the 2015 cycle to help fund this proposal for a large restroom.

Jalama County Park encompasses 23 acres along the coast. It has 109 campsites and cabins that all either are on or overlook the beach. The park has a natural trail, life guard facilities in the summer, restroom facilities, and a small market and deli.

---

**Satisfaction of CREF Criteria:**

*[The eight CREF criteria can be found on page 3 of this staff report. The symbol (+) means the proposal satisfies the criterion; the symbol (-) means it doesn't satisfy; and the symbol (+/-) means it partially satisfies.]*

- (+) **Criterion #1.** The proposal's coastal relationship is improving one of the restroom facilities at a popular coastal park. Jalama Beach provides beach access and camping in a unique and isolated location along the coast. The proposal is consistent with the County's Local Coastal Program.
- (+) **Criterion #2.** The proposal would enhance coastal recreation and coastal tourism at a popular County beach by replacing one of the aging restroom facilities. The facilities are approximately 30 years old.
- (+) **Criterion #3.** The proposal would provide a benefit to visitors and campers at Jalama Beach by replacing one of the restroom facilities. The new restroom would meet current ADA standards and would benefit people using wheelchairs.

- (+) **Criterion #4.** This proposal is a capital improvement, which along with coastal acquisitions is a high priority for CREF.
- (-) **Criteria #5 and Criterion #7.** The applicant seeks 96% of the proposal's budget from CREF (including the 2015 CREF grant) and offers 4% as in-kind for project management. The applicant unsuccessfully sought a grant from the Land and Water Conservation Fund.
- (+/-) **Criterion #6.** Since the proposal would be replacing the restroom facilities, the maintenance and operational budget would remain the same. There would be no increase in the County on-going funds.

However, if successful with a CREF grant from this cycle for the full request, the applicant would still have two small restrooms to fundraise for in the future. The applicant may be seeking more CREF funds in future cycles for these two remaining restrooms.

- (+/-) **Criterion #8.** The proposal is considered to have a good probability of being completed successfully if sufficient funds can be secured. The applicant has improved restroom facilities at some of its other parks successfully. However, the applicant has not secured funding yet.

**Other Considerations:** None.

---

---

**PROJECT # 6**  
**ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH AND EXCAVATION**

*3<sup>rd</sup> District*  
*Guadalupe-Nipomo Dunes Center*  
*Requests \$128,065*  
*Total Project Costs: \$128,065*

---

**Staff Recommendation:** Fund a partial request of \$48,875, contingent on the applicant securing the necessary funds to complete the project.

**Summary of Proposal:** The applicant request funds to excavate half of a plaster-of-paris sphinx body from *The Ten Commandments* 1923 movie set, which is now partially buried at Guadalupe Dunes, along the northern coast of Santa Barbara County. After excavation, the applicant would restore the sphinx. Exhibition costs of this sphinx are not included in this CREF request. Exhibition differs from the previous sphinx exhibition in that this sphinx would be placed in other museums; the pieces would travel to San Francisco and Los Angeles as part of a traveling exhibit created by the California Historical Society.

**Background:** Cecil B. DeMille filmed the movie, *The Ten Commandments*, at the Guadalupe Dunes in 1923 and then buried his movie set in the dunes. The movie set was 120 feet high by 720 feet long. Archaeological excavations of the movie set have been taking place during the 1990's and as recent as October 2012. Because of the movie set and the archaeological nature of it, the Guadalupe-Nipomo Dunes meets the criteria for the National Register of Historic Places.

The applicant received a 2014 CREF grant to excavate, renovate and exhibit a sphinx. While excavating, the team found another buried sphinx that was preserved in better shape. The applicant excavation teams turned its attention to the sphinx that was better preserved but could only excavate half of the sphinx with its budget. Therefore, the applicant is submitting this proposal for excavation of the other half.

The Dunes Center is a visitor educational and research center supporting the Guadalupe-Nipomo Dunes. The Dunes Center (and the Nature Conservancy) has received a number of CREF grants in the past for a total of \$380,222, as follows:

- \$33,222 grant in the 1994 cycle to update the Guadalupe Dunes master plan;
- \$120,000 grant in the 1995 cycle to design and fabricate exhibits and displays for the Dunes Center;
- \$5,000 grant in the 1996 cycle to purchase an interpretative trailer;
- \$22,500 grant in the 1999 cycle to develop and implement an educational package for teachers and students to visit the Dunes Center;
- \$22,000 grant in the 1999 cycle to produce a 20-minute video of the Guadalupe-Nipomo Dunes; and,
- \$21,500 grant in the 2001 cycle to create an interactive computer program about the life history of Guadalupe Dune's land and sea mammals.
- \$80,000 in the 2014 cycle to excavate a sphinx body, which is partially buried at Guadalupe Dunes and was from *The Ten Commandments* 1923 movie set.

- \$76,000 in the 2015 cycle to fabricate and install four exhibits and create a public open space at the Dunes Center.

In addition, the Board awarded a \$50,000 grant in the 1994 cycle and a \$166,836 grant in the 2000 cycle to construct a building to house exhibits. The Dunes Center returned the awards to CREF because the Center could not secure the additional monies to complete the project.

---

**Satisfaction of CREF Criteria:**

*[The eight CREF criteria can be found on page 3 of the staff report. The symbol (+) means the proposal satisfies the criterion; the symbol (-) means it doesn't satisfy; and the symbol (+/-) means it partially satisfies.]*

- (+/-) **Criterion #1.** Although the historic movie set was filmed at the Guadalupe Dunes, staff believes the proposed retrieval of portions of the movie set does not have a direct relation to coastal resources. However, the Board of Supervisors awarded a CREF grant in 2014 to the applicant for a similar project, affirming its belief in a coastal nexus.
- (+/-) **Criterion #2.** Although the historic movie was filmed at the Guadalupe Dunes, staff believes the proposed retrieval of portions of the movie set does not enhance coastal resources. However, the Board of Supervisors awarded a CREF grant in 2014 to the applicant for a similar project, affirming its belief in a coastal nexus.
- (+) **Criterion #3.** The applicant states that the Dunes Center serves many visitors a year, especially local residents, tourist, and school groups. It serves a community that is economically and educationally disadvantaged. The previous sphinx excavation project is exhibited at the Dunes Center and helps draw visitors to the area, thereby economically benefiting the community of Guadalupe. This proposed sphinx excavation would be a traveling exhibit in Los Angeles and San Francisco. The applicant states that this traveling sphinx exhibit would promote Santa Barbara County's coastline. Once the traveling exhibit is completed, the sphinx would return to Santa Barbara and hopefully be included in the existing exhibit.
- (-) **Criterion #4.** This project is considered educational, which does not satisfy the higher priorities of CREF (capital improvements and acquisitions).
- (-) **Criteria #5 and #7.** The applicant seeks 100% of the proposed costs from CREF. The applicant offers approximately \$8,000 as in-kind services from volunteers helping with excavation of the sphinx.
- (+) **Criterion #6.** The project would not require any ongoing County operational or maintenance costs.
- (+/-) **Criterion #8.** The applicant has successfully completed seven CREF grants in the past, one project that was very similar to the one proposed. Staff believes that the applicant can successfully complete the excavation of the sphinx if it receives a full CREF grant (since the applicant is seeking 100% of the budget from CREF).

**Other Considerations:** The applicant states that it holds a curation agreement with Santa Barbara County to house artifacts from the 1923 movie set for Santa Barbara County residents into perpetuity for educational and exhibition purposes.

---

---

## **Appendix 2**

### **1988-2015 CREF Awards by District**



**Table 1: First District<sup>2</sup>**

<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Adjusted Amount</b>	<b>Approved</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>ANDREE CLARK BIRD REFUGE</b>	\$ 170,000	1988	Cap. Improve. <sup>3</sup>
Andree Clark Bird Refuge Wetlands Margin Restore Project	30,901	2014	Cap. Improve
Carpinteria Swimming Pool	150,000	1988	Cap. Improve.
Carpinteria Salt Marsh Land Acquisition	83,000	1990	Acq. <sup>4</sup>
Carpinteria Salt Marsh Land Acquisition	150,000	1993	Acq.
Carpinteria Salt Marsh Land Acquisition	25,000	1995	Acq.
Carpinteria Salt Marsh Nature Park Interpretative Signs	38,500	2002	Cap Improve.
Carpinteria Salt Marsh, Basin I and So. Marsh Improve. Plan	50,000	2003	Cap Improve.
Santa Barbara Zoo – Sea Lion Exhibit	25,000	1990	Cap. Improve.
Santa Barbara Harbor Boat Launch	150,000	1990	Cap. Improve.
Carpinteria Bluffs Appraisals	20,000	1991	Acq.
Carpinteria Bluffs Appraisals	15,000	1992	Acq.
Carpinteria Bluffs Appraisals	15,000	1997	Acq.
Carpinteria Bluffs Acquisition	100,000	1998	Acq.
Carpinteria Bluffs Acquisition	350,000	1999	Acq.
Carpinteria Bluffs Restroom/Storage Facility	30,000	2004	Cap. Improve.
Carpinteria Creek Appraisals	5,000	1992	Acq.
Loon Point Beach Access Easement	2,872	1990	Acq.
Loon Point Beach Access Easement Realignment	65,519	1994	Cap. Improve.
Lookout Park Accessibility Modifications	30,000	1994	Cap. Improve.
Lookout Park Arundo Removal	40,000	2007	Cap. Improve.
Lookout Park Arundo Removal	8,500	2010	Cap. Improve.
Carpinteria Lions Community Building	25,000	1995	Cap. Improve.
Oceanview Park (Careaga) Acquisition	200,000	1995	Acq.
Channel Drive/Butterfly Beach Stair Refurbishment	27,000	1995 (1999 <sup>5</sup> )	Cap. Improve.
Pedestrian Improvements at Butterfly Beach	0	2005	Cap. Improve.
Coastal Bikeway, North Jameson Lane	95,000	1995	Cap. Improve.
Summerland Greenwell Park Improvements, Phase 1	20,000	1996	Cap. Improve.
Phase 2	16,000	2001	Cap. Improve.
Seed Storage/Demonstration Garden	10,000	2005	Cap. Improve.
Bikeway Studies: Santa Claus Lane/Carp. Ave & Ortega Hill	50,000	1996	Cap. Improve.
Hammonds Meadows Beach Access Stairs	10,500	1996	Cap. Improve.
Ocean Recreation Center	60,000	1997	Cap. Improve.
Rincon Beach Access	29,000	1997	Cap. Improve.
Rincon Beach Day Use Area Planning	28,500	2001	Cap. Improve.
Rincon Beach Day Use Area Implementation	7,720	2002	Cap. Improve.
Rincon Beach Day Use Area, Phase I	37,037	2003	Cap. Improve.
Rincon Beach Day Use Area, Phase II	40,000	2006	Cap. Improve.
Rincon Beach Day Use Area, Phase II	92,000	2007	Cap. Improve.
Rincon Creek Arundo Removal	20,000	2010	Cap. Improve.
Finney Street Beach Access	21,413	1997	Cap. Improve.
Surfrider Extension Trail	6,440	2000	Acq.

&lt; Table Continues &gt;

<sup>2</sup> Grants listed reflect the district boundaries in effect at the time of award.<sup>3</sup>Capital improvement<sup>4</sup>Acquisition<sup>5</sup>Reallocated in the 1999 cycle

<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Adjusted Amount</b>	<b>Approved</b>	<b>Type</b>
Santa Claus Lane Preliminary Beach Access	\$ 26,000	2000	Acq.
Santa Claus Lane Beach Access, Phase I	22,500	2004	Acq.
Santa Claus Lane Streetscape Plan	73,889	2010	Plan/Rsch
Santa Claus Lane Streetscape Plan	69,559	2011	Plan/Rsch
Santa Claus Lane Streetscape Plan	96,404	2012	Plan/Rsch
Design Guidelines for Hwy 101 Landscaping and Structures	10,000	1998	Plan/Rsch. <sup>6</sup>
Carpinteria Creek Watershed Outreach	14,671	2002	Edu <sup>7</sup>
Carpinteria-Rincon Coastal Multi-Use Trail, Feasibility Study	49,622	2003	Plan/Rsch
Harbor Seal Sanctuary Improvement	12,629	2004	Cap. Improve.
Lifeguard Facility at Ash Avenue/Beach	20,000	2005	Cap. Improve.
Carpinteria Old Town (Palm to Linden) Trail Segment	24,500	2006	Plan/Rsch
Franklin Trail	0	2007	Cap. Improve.
Summerland Community Plan Update	68,791	2010	Plan/Rsch
Circle G Ranch Fish Passage Restoration Project	42,417	2015	Cap Improve.
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 2,880,884</b>		

---

<sup>6</sup>Planning & Research

<sup>7</sup>Education

**Table 2: Second District<sup>8</sup>**

<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Adjusted Amount</b>	<b>Approved</b>	<b>Type</b>
Arroyo Burro Beach, Tot Lot	\$ 0	1988	Cap. Improve.
Parking Lot	50,000	1991	Cap. Improve.
Parking Lot Appraisals/Negotiations	6,000	1996	Acq.
Coastal Overlook	26,300	1998	Cap. Improve.
Wheelchair Accessible Coastal Overlook	14,762	2002	Cap. Improve.
Pampas Grass Removal	21,888	2003	Cap. Improve.
Estuary Restoration	12,930	2005	Cap. Improve.
Estuary Restoration	75,000	2006	Cap. Improve.
Beach Restrooms	402,500	2013	Cap. Improve.
Tide Pool Care Interpretative Sign	2,500	2014	Edu.
Sea Center, Renovation/Expansion	115,000	1988	Cap. Improve.
Touch Tank Shade Canopy	23,523	1994	Cap. Improve.
Wharf Improvements	50,000	2003	Cap. Improve.
Shark Exhibit	13,000	2005	Cap. Improve.
Generator for Aquariums	52,925	2009	Cap. Improve.
Coastal Immersion Zone Exhibits	30,000	2014	Cap. Improve.
SB City College Improvements, La Playa Stadium Renovation	150,000	1990	Cap. Improve.
Restoration of Chumash Point	15,000	1992	Cap. Improve.
West Campus Walkway	19,470	1995	Cap. Improve.
Bikeway	0	1997	Cap. Improve.
More Mesa Vehicle Restriction	3,649	1992	Cap. Improv
Goleta Beach, Parking Lot	28,274	1990	Cap. Improve.
Revetment	0	1992	Cap. Improve.
Fireline	202,500	1993	Cap. Improve.
Master Plan	55,000	1993	Plan/Rsch.
Irrigation	70,000	1994	Cap. Improve.
Pier Structural Rehabilitation	90,000	1994	Cap. Improve.
Restrooms	37,500	1997	Cap. Improve.
Carrying Capacity	15,000	1999	Plan/Rsch.
Coastal Data Collection	36,500	2001	Plan & Rsch.
Winter Sand Berm, Phase I	15,000	2003	Cap. Improve.
Coastal Data Collection	55,000	2004	Plan & Rsch.
Coastal Data Collection	63,700	2005	Plan & Rsch.
Goleta Slough Mouth Hydrologic Modeling Study	30,000	2014	Plan & Rsch.
Los Marineros Marine Education	20,000	1992	Edu.
Los Marineros Marine Education Expansion	11,723	1995	Edu.
Santa Barbara Waterfront Aquatic Park Dredging	15,000	1992	Cap. Improve.
Santa Barbara Waterfront Aquatic Park Dredging	0	2001	Cap. Improve.
Los Banos del Mar Pool	15,000	1992	Cap. Improve.
Los Banos del Mar Pool	30,000	1993	Cap. Improve.
Oral History of Santa Rosa Island	9,250	1993	Edu.
Douglas Family Preserve (Wilcox Property) Acquisition	1,000,000	1994	Acq.
Los Positas Park Master Plan	50,000	1995	Plan/Rsch.
Los Positas Park Expansion/Acquisition	175,000	1995	Acq.
Los Positas Park Expansion/Acquisition	25,000	1997	Acq.
Los Positas Park Expansion/Acquisition	325,000	1998	Acq.

&lt; Table Continues &gt;

<sup>8</sup> Grants listed reflect the district boundaries in effect at the time of the award.

<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Adjusted Amount</b>	<b>Approved</b>	<b>Type</b>
Santa Barbara Maritime Museum, Museum Construction	\$ 30,000	1996	Cap. Improve.
-- Auditorium Construction	15,172	1998	Cap. Improve.
-- Outreach Library	8,850	1999	Cap. Improve.
-- Increase Visibility Project	0	2004	Edu.
-- Surf Exhibit	50,000	2007	Cap. Improve.
-- Presentation Wall Upgrade	30,000	2014	Cap. Improve.
Santa Barbara County Veterans Memorial	20,000	1996	Cap. Improve.
Lower Westside Bikeway	29,720	1997	Cap. Improve.
South Coast Watershed Resource Center (WRC)	50,000	2000	Cap. Improve.
WRC & Arroyo Burro Firehydrant/Underground Utilities	29,883	2001	Cap. Improve.
WRC Improvements and Exhibits	19,861	2003	Edu
Shoreline Drive Enhancement	50,281	2000	Cap. Improve.
Shoreline Park Stairs Beach Access	30,000	2002	Cap. Improve.
Shoreline Park Improvements	40,000	2010	Cap. Improve.
Audubon Goleta Slough Restoration	15,500	2000	Cap. Improve.
Atascadero Mutt Mitt Stations	4,800	2002	Cap. Improve.
Atascadero Creek Trail Bridge Decking (near Patterson Ave.)	5,118	2004	Cap Improve.
Atascadero Creek Trail Bridge Decking (near Turnpike Road)	19,000	2006	Cap. Improve.
Shade Structure for Native Plants <sup>9</sup>	15,000	2002	Cap. Improve.
Lifeguard Towers at Arroyo Burro, Goleta, and Jalama Beaches <sup>10</sup>	57,505	2002	Cap. Improve.
San Jose Creek Bikeway	0	2004	Cap. Improve.
Santa Cruz Island Habitat Restoration	40,000	2014	Cap. Improve.
The Story of California's Channel Island Film	30,000	2015	Edu
Arroyo Burro Creek Acquisition	300,000	2015	Acq.
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$4,349,584</b>		

<sup>9</sup> Benefits both the Second and Third Districts.

<sup>10</sup> Benefits both the Second and Third Districts.

**Table 3: Third District<sup>11</sup>**

Project Name	Adjusted Amount	Approved	Type
Isla Vista, Camino Corto Acquisition	\$ 550,000	1988	Acq.
Isla Vista Redevelopment Agency -- \$250,000 Loan	0	1991	Acq.
Del Playa Land Swap	10,300	1996	Acq.
Blufftop Acquisition	57,500	2001 (2005) <sup>12</sup>	Acq.
Blufftop Acquisition	493,159	2003 (2005) <sup>13</sup>	Acq.
Camino Corto Master Plan & Implementation	17,355	1994	Plan/Rsch.
Camino Corto and Del Sol Vernal Pool Reserve	30,311	1996	Cap. Improve.
Camino Corto and Del Sol Vernal Pool Reserve – Irrig.	30,000	1997	Cap. Improve.
Estero Park Lathhouse for Propagating Natives	24,000	1998	Cap. Improve.
Pescadero Blufftop Improvement	25,000	1999	Cap. Improve.
Del Playa Pelican Park – Water Meter	10,000	2001	Cap. Improve.
Camino del Sur Stairway Improvements	25,000	2001	Cap. Improve.
Bathrooms, Preliminary Planning & Permitting	30,000	2003	Cap. Improve.
Blufftop Acquisition	215,350	2005	Acq.
Improvements to Three Beach Accesses	210,000	2006	Cap. Improve.
Improvements to Walter Capps Park	54,305	2007	Cap. Improve.
Improvements to Walter Capps Park	130,800	2008	Cap. Improve.
Improvements to Walter Capps Park	90,125	2009	Cap. Improve.
Goleta Valley Transfer Development Rights	10,500	1988	Plan/Rsch.
Goleta Beach Slough Revetment	100,000	1988	Cap. Improve.
Santa Barbara Shores/Ellwood Mesa, Acquisition (SB Shores)	1,000,000	1988	Acq.
Acquisition (SB Shores)	140,000	1991	Acq.
Improvements	280,000	1991	Cap. Improve.
Improvements	49,981	1991	Cap. Improve.
Improvements	201,724	1991	Cap. Improve.
Debt Repayment (on Santa Barbara Shores loan)	115,217	1996	Acq.
Improvements	46,351	1997	Cap. Improve.
Regional Plan	50,000	2000	Plan/Rsch.
Regional Plan	31,599	2002	Plan/Rsch.
Acquisition (Ellwood Mesa/Sperling Preserve)	367,963	2004	Acq.
Acquisition (Ellwood Mesa/Sperling Preserve)	50,000	2005	Acq.
Trails Habitat Restoration Plan	35,000	2014	Plan/Rsch.
More Mesa Appraisal and Hazardous Waste Survey	25,000	1990	Acq.
More Mesa Management Plan	10,000	1991	Plan/Rsch.
Mission Santa Ines and Its Harbors Project	8,723	1995	Edu.
Phase II – El Capitan Bikeway and Trail	50,000	1996	Cap. Improve.
Gaviota Creek Fish Passage	50,000	1991 (1996) <sup>14</sup>	Cap. Improve.
Gaviota Creek Fish Passage	20,000	1993 (1996) <sup>15</sup>	Cap. Improve.
Gaviota Creek Fish Passage	30,000	1996	Cap. Improve.

&lt;Table Continues&gt;

<sup>11</sup> Grants listed reflect the district boundaries in effect at the time of the award.<sup>12</sup> Reallocated in the 2005 cycle<sup>13</sup> Reallocated in the 2005 cycle<sup>14</sup> Reallocated in the 1996 cycle<sup>15</sup> Reallocated in the 1996 cycle

<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Adjusted Amount</b>	<b>Approved</b>	<b>Type</b>
Conservation Efforts Along the Gaviota Coast, Phase IV	\$ 14,452	1994	Plan/Rsch.
Phase V	25,000	1995	Edu.
Gaviota Coast Resource Study	20,000	1997	Plan/Rsch.
Gaviota Coast Resource Study	27,000	2000	Plan/Rsch.
Agricultural Conservation Easement Appraisals	32,810	1997	Acq.
Easement Fund	25,000	1998	Acq.
Easement Fund	100,000	1999	Acq.
Easement Fund	303,268	2000	Acq.
Easement Fund	204,732	2002	Acq.
Suitability/Feasibility Study	10,000	1999	Plan/Rsch.
Suitability/Feasibility Study	15,000	2002	Plan/Rsch.
Facilitation of Common Ground Process	15,000	1999	Plan/Rsch.
Facilitation of Common Ground Process	45,000	2003	Plan/Rsch.
Arroyo Hondo Ranch Acquisition	208,929	2001	Acq.
Gaviota Ranch/Brinkman Estate Conservation Easement	0	2003 (2005) <sup>16</sup>	Acq.
Gaviota Ranch/Brinkman Estate Conservation Easement	0	2005	Acq.
Jalama Beach County Park Expansion	616	1996	Acq.
Jalama Beach Restrooms	280,000	2014	Cap. Improve.
Jalama Beach Restrooms	170,752	2015	Cap. Improve.
Coronado Acquisition	43,005	1998	Acq.
Coronado Acquisition and Restoration	25,000	1999	Acq.
Coronado Butterfly Preserve Revitalization Project	23,000	2015	Plan/Rsch.
Ponds and Aviaries -- Animal Hospital	0	1998	Cap. Improve.
San Jose Creek Class I Bike, Planning	74,266	1998	Cap. Improve.
Snowy Plover & Coastal Access Pilot Program	24,989	2001	Edu.
Ocean Beach Boardwalk	48,007	2003	Cap. Improve.
Surf Beach Snowy Plover Docent Wind Shelter	0	2004	Cap. Improve.
Doty Property Acquisition	300,000	2007	Acq.
Gaviota Village Property	1,360,938	2008	Acq.
Gaviota Coast Plan	280,710	2010	Plan/Rsch.
Gaviota Coast Plan	260,580	2011	Plan/Rsch.
Gaviota Coast Plan	296,497	2012	Plan/Rsch.
Gaviota Coast Plan	273,000	2013	Plan/Rsch.
Ocean Meadows Acquisition	438,500	2010	Acq.
Ocean Meadows Acquisition	311,500	2011	Acq.
Mathilda Drive Parcels	64,845	2010	Acq.
Ellwood Drive Parcel	58,750	2015	Acq.
Guadalupe Dunes Bypass Road	224,346	2012	Cap. Improve.
Rancho Guacamole Fish Passage Restoration	0	2014	Cap. Improve.
Cabrillo High School Aquarium	75,000	2014	Edu.
Dunes Center Heritage Exhibit	80,000	2014	Edu.
Dunes Center Exhibition Project	76,000	2015	Edu.
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$10,511,755</b>		

<sup>16</sup> Reallocated in the 2005 cycle

**Table 4: Fourth District<sup>17</sup>**

<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Adjusted Amount</b>	<b>Approved</b>	<b>Type</b>
Leroy Park Recreational Center	\$ 75,000	1988	Cap. Improve.
Leroy Park Recreational Center	75,000	1990	Cap. Improve.
Leroy Park Recreational Center	75,000	1991	Cap. Improve.
Point Sal Acquisition	125,000	1988	Acq.
Point Sal Road Reopening, Alternative Analysis Report	50,000	2005	Plan/Rsch
Ocean Park Improvements	400,000	1988	Cap. Improve.
Ocean Park Improvements	100,000	1990	Cap. Improve.
Host Site	16,896	1999	Cap. Improve.
Mission Vieja Site Acquisition	50,000	1990	Acq.
Burton Mesa Management Plan	19	1988	Plan/Rsch.
Burton Mesa Management Plan	76,320	1992	Plan/Rsch.
Burton Mesa Management Plan	40,000	1994	Plan/Rsch.
Burton Mesa Acquisition	281,162	1996	Acq.
Burton Mesa Acquisition	72,691	1996	Acq.
Burton Mesa Acquisition	210,000	1997	Acq.
Cabrillo High School Aquarium, Construction	100,000	1994	Cap. Improve.
Construction	77,943	1998	Cap. Improve.
Construction	123,335	2000	Cap. Improve.
Outreach Program	11,724	1995	Edu.
Technology/Media Exhibit	71,142	2001	Edu.
Santa Ynez River Enhancement Plan <sup>18</sup>	36,088	1995	Plan/Rsch.
Surf Beach Pedestrian Crossing	120,000	1997	Cap. Improve.
Santa Ynez River Open Space/Park	25,000	1998	Acq.
Burton Mesa Chaparral Garden	2,271	2000	Cap. Improve.
Guadalupe Dunes Vehicle Barrier to Protect Snowy Plovers	13,450	2002	Cap. Improve.
Guadalupe Dunes Tractor	89,000	2004	Equipment
Lompoc Aquatic Center	67,126	2002	Cap. Improve.
Dunes Center, Exhibit Hall/Visitor Center	0	2003 (2005) <sup>19</sup>	Cap. Improve.
Exhibit Hall/Visitor Center	0	2005	Cap. Improve.
Pioneer Space Center's Coastal Display	11,942	2004	Equipment
The Natural Ways Exhibit at La Purisima	63,531	2006	Cap. Improve.
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$2,459,640</b>		

<sup>17</sup> Grants listed reflect the district boundaries in effect at the time of the award.

<sup>18</sup> Benefits both the Third and Fourth Districts.

<sup>19</sup> Reallocated in the 2005 cycle

**Table 5: Fifth District<sup>20</sup>**

<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Adjusted Amount</b>	<b>Approved</b>	<b>Type</b>
Waller Park Water Conservation	\$ 125,000	1988	Cap. Improve.
Allan Hancock Theater Expansion	175,000	1990	Cap. Improve.
Peregrine Falcon Reintroduction	5,000	1992	Plan/Rsch.
S.M./Guadalupe Dunes Bikeway Study	30,000	1992	Plan/Rsch.
General Plan Amendment	374	1996	Plan/Rsch.
Construction of Bikeway, Phase IV	0	1997	Cap. Improve.
Guadalupe Dunes County Park, Kiosk Staffing	0	1993	Edu.
Management Plan Update	33,222	1994	Plan/Rsch.
Trailer	5,000	1996	Cap. Improve.
Phase II, Master Plan for Road Repairs	23,705	1996	Plan/Rsch.
Implementation Plan	104,065	1998	Cap. Improve.
Implementation Plan	22,935	1999	Cap. Improve.
Guadalupe Dunes Education Center, Construction	0	1994	Cap. Improve.
Construction of Exhibit Hall	0	2000	Cap. Improve.
Exhibits	120,000	1995	Edu.
Ecosystem Education Unit Package	22,500	1999	Edu.
Video of Dunes	22,000	1999	Edu.
Land & Sea Mammals Interactive Computer Program	21,500	2001	Edu.
Santa Maria Valley Discovery Museum, SEA IT!	24,550	1994	Edu.
SEA IT! Phase II	13,444	1997	Edu.
Ocean Supermarket Exhibit, Phase I	20,000	2002	Edu.
Ocean Supermarket Exhibit, Phase II	79,000	2005	Edu.
Marine Exhibit, Phase I	115,000	2004	Cap. Improve.
Marine Exhibit, Phase II	47,750	2006	Cap. Improve.
Tide & Seek Exhibit	45,000	2007	Cap. Improve.
Belly of the Whale, Phase I	75,000	2008	Cap. Improve.
Belly of the Whale, Phase II	55,099	2009	Cap. Improve.
Point Sal Appraisals	5,000	1995	Acq.
Point Sal Acquisition	33,415	1999	Acq.
Pioneer Park	25,000	1996	Acq.
Santa Maria YMCA Pool	0	1997	Cap. Improve.
Santa Maria Valley Beautiful Earth Week	10,000	1998	Edu.
Salmon & Trout Educational Program	3,000	1998	Edu.
Guadalupe Community Park Ball Fields	25,000	1998	Cap. Improve.
Van for the Environmental Education on Wheels	0	1999	Edu.
Van for the Environmental Education on Wheels	16,500	2001	Edu.
Marine Science Curriculum, Pilot Program	8,332	2000	Edu.
Santa Maria Natural History Museum, Exploring the Seashore	26,000	2001	Edu.
-- Sand & Sea Learning Area	30,000	2004	Cap. Improve.
-- From the Beginnings Under the Sea	50,000	2006	Cap. Improve.
-- Shore bird Collection Exhibit	12,309	2010	Edu.
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,429,700</b>		

<sup>20</sup> Grants listed reflect the district boundaries in effect at the time of the award.



**Table 6: Grants Benefiting Three or More Districts**

<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Adjusted Amount</b>	<b>Approved</b>	<b>Type</b>
Earth Day 1990	\$ 10,000	1990	Edu.
Earth Day 1995	10,000	1995	Edu.
Open Space and Recreation Element	50,000	1991	Plan/Rsch.
Coastal Access Implementation Plan	30,000	1992	Plan/Rsch.
Offers to Dedicate Coastal Access	37,843	1996	Plan/Rsch.
South Coast Water Quality – Education Component	26,000	1998	Edu.
California Central Coast Birding Trail	0	1998	Cap. Improve.
Snowy Plover Video	8,930	1998	Edu.
SB Wildlife Care Network, Upgrades to Seabird Facility	1,580	2000	Cap. Improve.
Seabird Net Enclosure	1,037	2004	Equipment
Seabird Care Compound	31,800	2005	Cap. Improve.
Seabird Care Compound	120,000	2006	Cap. Improve.
Seabird Care Compound	150,000	2007	Cap. Improve.
Seabird Care Compound	191,000	2010	Cap. Improve.
Pelagic Bird Care Equipment	6,039	2014	Equipment
Waves on Wheels Van	25,000	2001	Edu.
Marine Mammal Rescue Project	24,408	2004	Equipment
Marine Mammals Rescue Project	10,000	2005	Equipment
Santa Barbara Beaches Hazards Removal Project	0	2004	Cap. Improve.
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$733,637</b>		

**Table 7: Amounts Allocated by Districts<sup>21</sup>**

<b>District</b>	<b>Amount</b>
First	<b>\$2,880,884</b>
Second	<b>\$4,349,584</b>
Third	<b>\$10,511,755</b>
Fourth	<b>\$2,459,640</b>
Five	<b>\$1,429,700</b>
Three or More Districts	<b>\$733,637</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$22,365,200</b>

<sup>21</sup> Grants listed reflect the district boundaries in effect at the time of the award.