



COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

MEMORANDUM

TO: Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors

FROM: Willow Brown, (805) 568-2040

DATE: June 30, 2025

RE: Appeal of the County Planning Commission Approval of the G&K Farm/K&G Flower – Cannabis Processing Structure Design Review Project, Case Nos. 25APL-00008 and 19BAR-00000-00225
3561 Foothill Road, APN 005-280-040

The applicant has requested that the project be dropped from the July 1, 2025, Board of Supervisors agenda. The applicant is requesting time to evaluate the potential to lower the roof height of the processing building, as requested by the appellant during the facilitation meeting between the applicant and appellant on June 30, 2025. The item would be rescheduled and re-noticed for a future agenda date. Staff's recommended action is provided below.

Recommended Actions:

1. Drop the project, Case Nos. 25APL-00008 and 19BAR-00000-00225, from the July 1, 2025, Board of Supervisors agenda.

Attachments:

Attachment 1 – Applicant Continuance Request dated June 30, 2025

Cc: Case File (to Planner)
Hearing Support

Brown, Willow

From: Jay Higgins <jay@higginsland.com>
Sent: Monday, June 30, 2025 2:44 PM
To: Seawards, Travis; Brown, Willow
Cc: Graham Farrar
Subject: request for continuance g&k/padaro warehouse item 5 board of supervisors 7/1/25

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Travis, Willow,

On behalf of my client and the applicant for the subject Project, we'd like the Board to consider a continuance of Item 5 for tomorrow's hearing. We understand that the appellants may either ask for a continuance in writing today, or at the Board hearing tomorrow.

During the Facilitation meeting btwn the appellant and the applicant this morning, the concept of lowering the roof height was discussed and it will take our design team some time to evaluate how much the roof height could be dropped w/o sacrificing the odor control/HVAC system in the building. It may take us a few weeks to evaluate this on our side, but I'm less certain as to how long it may take to thereafter discuss the potential design change w/ the appellants, ideally in exchange for their withdrawal of the appeal. Therefore it may be best for an indefinite continuance with a future requirement for additional public noticing. I understand the Board needs to vote on a potential continuance and the related matter of whether it's to a date certain.

There were some other more minor site design changes discussed this morning between the parties and we will research those as well, assuming the Board votes for a continuance tomorrow. Thank you and let me know if you need anything further from us or have any questions.

Jay



Jay Higgins, AICP (805) 637-6670