

Alexander, Jacquelyne

From: Kendra O'Connor <kendra.oconnor@bouldersgov.com>
Sent: Monday, March 02, 2015 1:19 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: BOS agenda 3/3/15 consent item A10 Park Hill / Boulders subdivision final map approval

From: Kendra O'Connor <kendra.oconnor@bouldersgov.com>
Date: March 2, 2015, 11:43:32 AM PST
To: Janet Wolf <janet.wolf@bouldersgov.com>
Cc: kendra.oconnor@bouldersgov.com, janet.wolf@bouldersgov.com

Subject: Fwd: BOS agenda 3/3/15 consent item A10 Park Hill / Boulders subdivision final map approval

Dear Chair Wolf and Honorable members of the Board,

At your meeting tomorrow you will be asked by staff to approve the final map for the Park Hill/ Boulders subdivision 10TMR-00000-00001 APN 59-290-041. As you know this has been a long process for all involved. An appeal was filed in 2012 with a subsequent settlement agreement placing many conditions on this project. I have asked the assigned planner Alex Tuttle repeatedly over the last year when the final map would be on your agenda for approval. The most recent communication being last Wednesday February 25th. His response was " I don't know, maybe soon". Two days later the agenda for your 3/3 meeting was posted with the item in question on it. Clearly this planner was aware of the probability for this item to be on the agenda the following week. For whatever reason he chose to be vague and not disclose it. This has been par for the course throughout this process.

Being a party to the settlement agreement for this project as well as a immediate neighbor to this property I am a major stakeholder. I would expect far better communication between P&D staff and a member of the public especially someone directly involved. I have studied the final map and compared it to the approved vesting tentative map. There are differences and I have concerns. The final map does not include the bedrock mortar and surrounding 10' protected area on lot 6. This archeological artifact , per the conditions of approval, is to be preserved in perpetuity yet is not even noted on the final map. An ESH overlay area on lot 5 is also not noted. Easements over the entire property also seem to be inconsistent between the two maps.

I know the required county departments have signed off on the final map and have directed your board to approve it on consent. I am asking that before approval your board verify the final map is consistent with all conditions of approval as well as the previously approved vesting tentative map. In order to mitigate the significant effects of this project on the surrounding area it is vital for the applicant to comply with all conditions of approval. Please assure this is happening.

Thank you,

Kendra Duncan O'Connor

San Antonio Creek Road Homeowners Association representative,

Board member Neighborhood Defense League Of California