

## Lenzi, Chelsea

---

**From:** Anna Carrillo <Annacarp@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 12, 2020 4:06 PM  
**To:** Lavagnino, Steve; Williams, Das; jhartman@countyofsb.org; Hart, Gregg; Adam, Peter; sbcob  
**Cc:** Melekian, Barney  
**Subject:** Ordinance Amending Chapter 50

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

To: Board of Supervisors  
From: Anna Carrillo  
January 12, 2020

After watching the December 17, 2019 video of your meeting re: proposed changes to Chapter 50, I was heartened to hear Lisa Plowman tell your board that Montecito does in fact have two eligible parcels under county jurisdiction, but I did not hear anyone say that those parcels are as eligible to be considered for cannabis retail licensing as the two other possible areas in District 1: Santa Claus and Summerland, both of which have issues.

I was glad to hear that there will be community plan meetings to discuss their compatibility to hear of their desire to have retail cannabis in their community.

In the Carpinteria Valley we are still having many, many odor issues, much more than a couple that were mentioned during the meeting. Residents are very tired of having to fill out the complaint forms which only leads to a standard reply saying that the complaint is being logged but nothing can be done till a permit is issued.

Another issue I'd like you to be aware of is: the annual provisional licenses for cultivation and processing that were issued February 2019 will be expiring soon as well as the almost other 300 provisional permits issued in Carpinteria that will be expiring during the next 6 months. When these were issued they were issued for a year. I know that the state of California has now extended the provisional licenses till 2022, but is there something that the county has to do to enable these renewals? I know that when the current 23 growers in Carpinteria received their annual provisional permits, they had to submit an application for Coastal Development Permits in order to have the County "authorize" the state to issue provisional permits. Only 1 of these 23 has received their Coastal Development Permit. I'm not sure there is any incentive for the remaining 22 growers to get their Coastal Development Permits if they can continue to use provisional permits based on affidavits signed saying they were growing medical marijuana before Jan. 19, 2016. I know that many have unpermitted structures. Are you aware that if all the unpermitted structures are allowed that Area A of the ~~Carpinteria Agricultural Overlay will have been overbuilt?~~

Meanwhile, we in Carpinteria are still suffering daily. Please help us enjoy the quality of life that we in Carpinteria are entitled to and want.

Thank you,

Anna Carrillo