

Katherine Douglas

Public Comment - Group 1

# 6



**From:** Wendy Davis <wendywiltondavis@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 16, 2025 8:14 AM  
**To:** sbcob; Laura Capps; roylee@countyofb.org; Joan Hartmann; Steve Lavagnino  
**Subject:** Cannabis issues

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Please consider the following:

There should be no odor in the Carpinteria Valley from cannabis. Installing carbon scrubbers must be required, and misters removed.

An adequate measurement device needs to be utilized.

No extensions to the installation date of the scrubbers can be allowed.

Thank you for your consideration.

Wendy Davis

## Katherine Douglas

---

**From:** Evan Turpin <epturpin@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 16, 2025 11:52 AM  
**To:** sbcob; Laura Capps; Roy Lee; jhartman@countyofsb.gor; Steve Lavagnino  
**Subject:** Cannabis items, #5,#6,#7

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Supervisors,

As a long time resident of Carpinteria I am requesting that you mandate the best technology for odor measurement and abatement to protect myself and my fellow Carpinterians and the quality of our life here.

I am not opposed to the cultivation of cannabis, but I am opposed to the continued blatant disregard of the growers to the neighbors impacted by the unchecked and unsolved odor and air pollution.

Gas chromatography is the best tech for odor detection---not the nasal ranger. Gas chromatography will identify the source. The grower is then identified and held responsible.

Carbon filter systems have been proven to be the best tech to eliminate odor. Growers MUST install them immediately! No extensions, not in 12 months. Simultaneously, the vapor phase system needs to be eliminated--no more air pollution from that system.

The problematic odors from the cannabis industry have upset the balance and calm for much of Carpinteria for too long. It is time for the County to step up its regulations and enforcement of its ordinance. It is time for the growers to take responsibility for their industry and pollution.

Carpinterians are very tired!

Thank you,  
Evan Turpin

## Katherine Douglas

---

**From:** ELIZABETH GEIGER <doddbeth@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 16, 2025 12:33 PM  
**To:** sbcob; Laura Capps; Roy Lee; Joan Hartmann; Steve Lavagnino  
**Subject:** Cannabis Odor

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear SB County Board of Supervisors,

The time has come to get the matter of cannabis odors resolved. Please protect the residents of this county from the noxious odors coming from cannabis greenhouses. This issue has been kicked down the road for over 7 years and we must get this right.

Cannabis growers must install Beta tested multi technology carbon filtration systems within 3 months of the Board's adoption. In addition they must sign an affidavit attesting to having done so. Please do not allow further extensions. Timely compliance is very important; the residents of this county deserve no less.

There must be no odor, period! All vapor systems should be removed. The best technology for detecting odor, gas chromatography, should be used.

It is time for growers to take responsibility!

Thank you for your consideration on this important issue.  
Beth and Dodd Geiger

Sent from my iPad

Katherine Douglas

---

**From:** Elaine Dietsch <epd1950@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 16, 2025 5:09 PM  
**To:** sbcob; Laura Capps; Joan Hartmann; Steve Lavagnino; Bob Nelson; Roy Lee  
**Subject:** Board of Supervisors meeting, Tuesday, March 18, 2025, Agenda items #5, #6, #7

**Caution:** This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

**To: Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors**  
**From: Elaine and Bill Dietsch**

**Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,**

**A sincere thank you to Supervisors Capps, Lee and Nelson for holding a community meeting to discuss the Cannabis agenda items this past Friday IN Carpinteria.**

**Please thoughtfully consider how to mitigate the enormous nuisance that the skunk odor has caused throughout the community. However, this should not be the only item to be addressed. We hope that you re-examine and correct many of the faulty conclusions/decisions that have been made in regard to the amount of acreage permitted for cannabis grows, the proposed processing facility, the manner in which this industry is being taxed and the permitting/licensing procedures.**

**As to the Agenda items slated for consideration at this Tuesday's meeting:**

**1. Measuring odor at the vents with gas chromatography is the ONLY sane option.**

**Ditch the unreliable, subjective Nasal Ranger idea. There should simply be no odor and no polluting of the air. Capture and filter all of the emissions and odors before they are expelled from the vents.**

- 2. No extensions shall be granted for the installation of the required systems beyond 3 months of the S.B. Board's adoption of the requirement for the installation of BETA tested multi technology carbon filtration units.**
- 3. Adopt a compliance program that is proactive. No more waiting for complaints to be filed (and then not acted upon). The County must proactively do regular site visits/inspections to verify that the proper technology is installed and being properly used.**
- 4. Provide a phone app for people to report the skunky odor in real time.**

**Thank you,  
Elaine and Bill Dietsch**

## Katherine Douglas

---

**From:** LINDA SEITER <lasonpal@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 16, 2025 9:07 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Cannabis Odor

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

HELP! Please help our neighborhood. We've been putting up with noxious odors for too long. Two times a day the smell wafts through our neighborhood & surrounding areas. It is a sickening skunk-like smell that causes us to shut our windows. I do wonder what the health ramifications are as well, not only for us, but for the workers. Has OSHA ever investigated the health risks? Worth a thought. Please make this a 90 day deadline. It's been 8 years. How long do we have to suffer. We suffer for the sake of money, doesn't seem quite fair in my book when you know how many are affected. So sad. When we have visitors, I always preface their visit with, you'll be entering one of the main capitals of pot growing in the country. Kind of embarrassing, don't you think?

Linda Seiter@ 3293 Padaro Lane

Sent from my iPhone



## Katherine Douglas

---

**From:** John Culbertson <jnculbertson01@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 16, 2025 10:07 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** My thoughts

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Supervisors Capps, Nelson and Lee,  
I was pleased to see all of you at the Friday meeting in Carpinteria, thank you for coming.

We know your intentions are honest, but:

The workshop format burned time, confused people and was a departure from the orderly process of speaking at City Council meetings that Carpinterians are used to in that room. Many did not say what they had come to say. That is a shame.

They say workshops are used to defuse and confuse. With your planners sitting above you - and always confused in their explanations - and growers speaking with entitlement learned from Das and company, I thought it odd that ending lawsuits was even mentioned. Only lawsuits have brought us any relief from the air pollution.

If you are interested in saving money, thats good. Start cutting planning and development.

By contrast City Community Development Director Nick Bubroff was on point.

I was pretty discouraged until Capps told Dugre to cool it.

All were encouraged by Lee speaking plainly at the end of the meeting.

Thank you Nelson for saying you would come back.

Please consider that people are worn out, burned out, and in the case of the meeting - were not even aware of it.

You didn't create this problem, the previous Board, State politics and County Government did. Now you must take charge. Solve the problem. This is no time for halfway measures. Please clean up our air.

Thanks for your work

john culbertson  
Carpinteria

I agree with these minimum standards developed by Concerned Carpinterians:

1. Growers need to install BETA tested multi technology carbon filtration units (10 per acre) within THREE months (*not 12*) of Board adoption on March 31, 2025.
2. Growers MUST sign an affidavit attesting to their installation of multi technology carbon filtration units.
3. Vapor phase systems removed within same period.
4. Adopt a compliance program that is proactive that MUST include:
  - a. Run-time meters of new equipment and replacement of carbon filters MUST be documented and verified.
  - b. Recording of odor incidents recorded by growers automatically via computer/internet and verified corrective actions.

c. Growers must be responsible for detecting whether their odor is breaching the property line.

5. Measure odor at the vents with gas chromatography - only system known to identify source.

6. No odor at the property lines, measuring with Nasal Ranger outside is UNRELIABLE - even according to the Nasal Ranger manual. **There should be NO ODOR, NO IMPACTS, NO AIR POLLUTION.**

7. MUST BE NO ODOR!!!! Residents were here long before cannabis growers showed up.

8. MUST REJECT Planning Commission's decision that measurement of odor is below 7 D/T (dilution to threshold) as measured with Nasal Ranger. It needs to be 0 or use another scentometer instrument.

9. Descriptions/Examples of D/T numbers:

7=Noticeable, 4=Faint, 2=Very Faint, **0=No Odor**

10. Develop a phone app similar to Smellmycity that doesn't require one to pull over to fill in several data fields to input information.

**11. No extensions shall be granted.**

12. Notify public within 1000' when a new OAP is submitted with new contact information and publicize in the local paper for those residing beyond 1000'.

13. Continued odor complaints not corrected within 7 days must be grounds for revocation of annual business license – this statement needs to be added in Chapter 50.

14. Require public notification when annual business license are occurring, whom to contact for concerns, and when the review is completed.



## Katherine Douglas

---

**From:** jstassinios@aol.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 16, 2025 11:17 PM  
**To:** sbcob; Laura Capps; Joan Hartmann; Steve Lavagnino; Roy Lee; Bob Nelson  
**Subject:** March 18th Board of Supervisors Mtg. Agenda item #5, #6, #7

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

To the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for recently holding a public community meeting at Carpinteria's City Hall. I really appreciate your listening to the community's concerns regarding amending the cannabis ordinance.

It is imperative that any changes and requirements of the cannabis ordinance be enforceable. Unfortunately, many cannabis growers have agreed in the past to install carbon filter scrubbers in their greenhouses and to this day the majority have not done so. Therefore, requiring the installation of BETA tested effective multi-technology carbon filter scrubbers will not occur within any timeline Unless the growers are required to do so with the threat of losing their business license. I do believe that having the cannabis growers sign an affidavit stating that they have installed carbon filter scrubbers, in the number recommended by the manufacturer, is a good start. However, again Unless there is enforcement there will be no accountability and no relief for the residents that experience the malodors regularly.

Requiring the measurement of the terpenes and odors Inside the greenhouses and at the vents with gas chromatography is the Only way to accurately measure the odors leaving the greenhouses as they enter the outside environment. Nasal Rangers are too subjective and are not reliable when used outdoors. The Ecosorb and Benzaco, used in many vapor phase systems, has been proven harmful to the environment and health of employees and residents and needs to be discontinued immediately. The neighbors of the cannabis growers have had to endure the skunk like odors for too long. Thus, there should be 0 D/T odors detected.

The cannabis growers need to document that they are using their carbon filter scrubbers by using run time meters that can verify their use. Also, the cannabis growers need to be responsible for documenting that they have received and corrected any odor complaint issues that arise immediately. The cannabis growers need to be responsible for notifying the public within 1,000 ft. when they apply for a new OAP. Additionally, the public needs to be notified when a cannabis grower's business license is up for renewal, who to contact If there are any concerns, and when the review is completed.

Adding to Chapter 50 the statement that any odor complaints Not resolved within 7 calendar days will be grounds for revocation of the cannabis grower's annual business license will add teeth to the enforcement of getting rid of the malodors.

Please don't allow the cannabis growers any extensions in the installation of effective carbon filter scrubbers and ridding our community of the malodors. They have already had years to respond to the residents numerous odor complaints.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
Jill Stassinios  
Concerned Carpinterian

## Katherine Douglas

---

**From:** Robert Lesser <robert.lesser@icloud.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 17, 2025 12:05 AM  
**To:** Villalobos, David  
**Cc:** sbcob; Laura Capps; Joan Hartmann; Steve Lavagnino; Roy Lee; Bob Nelson; Mona Miyasato  
**Subject:** Re: March 18th Board of Supervisors Mtg. Agenda item #5, #6, #7

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear SB County Supervisors,

It is imperative that any changes and requirements of the cannabis ordinance be enforceable. Unfortunately, many cannabis growers have agreed in the past to install carbon filter scrubbers in their greenhouses and to this day the majority have not done so. Therefore, requiring the installation of BETA tested effective multi-technology carbon filter scrubbers will not occur within any timeline Unless the growers are required to do so with the threat of losing their business this will not work out.

Carp. cannabis growers must sign an affidavit stating that they have installed carbon filter scrubbers, ten to an acre. Unless there is rigorous enforcement there will be no accountability and no relief for the residents and visitors to our area.

Requiring the measurement of the terpenes and odors Inside the greenhouses and at the vents with gas chromatography is the best way to accurately measure odors leaving the greenhouses as they enter the outside environment. Nasal Rangers are not reliable when used outdoors. The Ecosorb and Benzaco, used in many vapor phase systems, has been proven harmful to the environment and health of employees and residents and needs to be discontinued immediately. The neighbors of Weed growers have had to endure the skunk like odors and health perils for too long. There should be zero D/T odor detected.

The cannabis growers must document they are using their carbon filter scrubbers by using run time meters that can verify their use. Also, the cannabis growers need to be responsible for documenting that they have received and corrected any odor complaint issues that arise immediately. The cannabis growers need to be responsible for notifying the public within 1,000 ft. when they apply for a new OAP. Additionally, the public must be notified when a cannabis grower's business license is up for renewal, who to contact If there are any concerns, and when the review is completed.

Adding to Chapter 50 the statement that any odor complaints unresolved after 7 calendar days will be grounds for revocation of the cannabis grower's annual business license will add teeth to the enforcement of getting rid of the malodors and limiting health perils.

Carp cannabis growers should be denied extensions in installing effective carbon filter scrubbers and ridding our community of the health threats and terrible malodors. They have already had seven years to respond to thousands odor complaints and have done precious little.

Sincerely,

Robert Lesser

Carpinteria



**Katherine Douglas**

---

**From:** Paul Ekstrom <paulekstrom@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 17, 2025 7:15 AM  
**To:** sbcob; Roy Lee; lcapps@countyodsb.org; Bob Nelson; Joan Hartmann; Steve Lavagnino  
**Subject:** BOS Meeting March 17, 2025-Item 23-00223 5) Cannabis Odor and Item 23 000216  
Time extensions

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Honorable Santa Barbara County Supervisors,

I appreciate the effort you and staff are doing to improve cannabis odor conditions, especially conducting a special meeting in Carpinteria last week.

Cannabis odor should be contained to the property line and not allowed to vent to the sky unless fully filtered. Nasel Rangers may not be sufficient for what is needed and rooftop chromatography sensors may be an option. The reality is a "sealed" greenhouse with odor scrubbers is the best option for odor control. We don't have that option yet but I think it should be considered if the growers cannot contain their odor.

I worry that "hardship" or whatever time extensions will be abused by the growers and will further delay improvement to our air quality.

Respectfully, Paul and Linda Ekstrom, Carpinteria

## Katherine Douglas

---

**From:** Michele Carbone <mbcarbone@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 17, 2025 8:09 AM  
**To:** sbcob; Laura Capps; Joan Hartmann; Steve Lavagnino; Bob Nelson; Roy Lee  
**Subject:** Cannabis in Carpinteria

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Please consider the people of Carpinteria and take action to provide them the quality of life they deserve. Cannabis odor has been an issue for too long:

1. Growers need to install BETA tested multi technology carbon filtration units (10 per acre) within THREE months (*not 12*) of Board adoption on March 31, 2025.
2. Growers MUST sign an affidavit attesting to their installation of multi technology carbon filtration units.
3. Vapor phase systems removed within same period.
4. Adopt a compliance program that is proactive that MUST include:
  - a. Run-time meters of new equipment and replacement of carbon filters MUST be documented and verified.
  - b. Recording of odor incidents recorded by growers automatically via computer/internet and verified corrective actions.
  - c. **Growers must be responsible for detecting whether their odor is breaching the property line.**
5. Measure odor at the vents with gas chromatography - only system known to identify source.
6. No odor at the property lines, measuring with Nasal Ranger outside is UNRELIABLE - even according to the Nasal Ranger manual. **There should be NO ODOR, NO IMPACTS, NO AIR POLLUTION.**
7. **MUST BE NO ODOR!!!!** Residents were here long before cannabis growers showed up.



8. MUST REJECT Planning Commission's decision that measurement of odor is below 7 D/T (dilution to threshold) as measured with Nasal Ranger. It needs to be 0 or use another scentometer instrument.

9. Descriptions/Examples of D/T numbers:

7=Noticeable, 4=Faint, 2=Very Faint, **0=No Odor**

10. Develop a phone app similar to Smellmycity that doesn't require one to pull over to fill in several data fields to input information.

***11. No extensions shall be granted.***

12. Notify public within 1000' when a new OAP is submitted with new contact information and publicize in the local paper for those residing beyond 1000'.

13. Continued odor complaints not corrected within 7 days must be grounds for revocation of annual business license – this statement needs to be added in Chapter 50.

14. Require public notification when annual business license are occurring, whom to contact for concerns, and when the review is completed.

I know you will make the proper decisions for the people in your constituency.

--Michele Carbone

## Katherine Douglas

---

**From:** S G <sasha477m@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 17, 2025 10:02 AM  
**To:** sbcob; Laura Capps; roylee@countyofb.org; Joan Hartmann; Steve Lavagnino  
**Cc:** Patty  
**Subject:** Public Comments to Proposed Revisions to Santa Barbara County Cannabis Ordinance (Submitted by Carpinteria Residents)

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

This written statement is intended to be a follow up to the in-person public comments provided to you at the March 14<sup>th</sup> meeting in Carpinteria.

First off, we want to express our profound appreciation for the Board's interest in revisiting the Cannabis Ordinance and for your efforts to come to Carpinteria to hear from residents firsthand. As you are aware, local residents across the County and in particular Carpinteria have been significantly impacted by foul odors from cannabis growing operations for several years now. Your renewed focus provides us hope that meaningful change may finally be a possibility.

With regard to the solutions for detecting and containing cannabis terpene emissions and foul odors we recommend the Board consider the following:

1. NO IMPACTS from cannabis growing and processing emissions, i.e. no smell, should be considered acceptable. The County should therefore state ZERO IMPACT to be an essential goal of the revised ordinance.
2. Carbon filtration is currently the best available technology and should be required of all cannabis operations. In order for this technology to be effective, the County should mandate that carbon

filtration systems need to be properly engineered and approved by qualified experts and must be maintained on an ongoing process.

3. Require cannabis operators to submit an implementation plan as of the effective date of the new ordinance and present a reasonable timeframe for implementation. As power upgrades can be a concern, cannabis operators must include evidence at the time of submitting their plan that they have requested and have received a response from Southern CA Edison as to the timing of the electrical upgrade.

4. Re. odor detection, many will agree that use of the Nasal Ranger presents challenges as this is a rather crude instrument for monitoring. Cannabis odor is also known to drift across the Carpinteria Valley in various directions at different times. The ability to pinpoint the source is thus the key to successful monitoring and enforcement. Just as cannabis odor containment technology is evolving, so too is odor detection technology. Therefore we recommend that if the County decides to implement use of the Nasal Ranger, this be a temporary odor technology and that gas chromatography and other state-of-the-art technologies, applied "at the tailpipe", be explored concurrently with the intent to implement a more effective monitoring technology in the near future. It should be noted that gas chromatography has been used in many other industrial applications where emissions are involved and therefore implementation for terpene detection in the air (the primary cause of foul odor) should be well within the realm of possibilities. We recommend the Board establish a reasonably aggressive timeframe for study and implementation of gas chromatography and related technology for odor detection at the source.

5. Given the inadequacies of the Nasal Ranger, it is also critical that the County fix the feedback loop of odor complaints by residents. Human odor detection is a technology that should not be underrated or underutilized. We recommend the implementation of a mobile phone app that allows for quick, real-time reporting and follow-up. Such an app will facilitate more widespread participation in reporting by affected residents and can also provide useful tools - automated geocoding and reporting allowing the County to evaluate odor "clusters", correlate timing of human smell detection, etc. Real-time reporting of incidents from the mobile app can, with graphic overlay possible, can also be made available to the public and cannabis growers alike. A more efficient, real-time system of reporting and tracking resident odor complaints will also aid the County in defending the revised Cannabis Ordinance in the event a grower(s) files a lawsuit challenging Nasal Ranger thresholds or other elements of enforcement. Transparency of odor reporting and documentation of follow up will

provide a valuable “check” on operators, allow for monitoring of progress and help instill much needed confidence in the public.

6. Enforcement of the new cannabis ordinance needs to have teeth. It is critical for cannabis operators to know that the County is in fact serious about solving the odor issue and will stay on task until the problem is fixed. This will go a long way in helping rebuild public confidence.

Lastly, we recommend the Board revisit the June 2020 Grand Jury report and provide the public a statement as to the County's revised priorities with respect to cannabis industry development. The Grand Jury report represented an earnest fact-finding effort by local citizens that highlighted evidence that development of a robust cannabis industry in Santa Barbara County was a top priority, implicitly at the expense of the well-being of residents. The response to the Grand Jury report from the then-Board of Supervisors at the time of public issuance was most unfortunate, reflecting a tone deafness to resident concerns. Citizens of Carpinteria and the County would appreciate a public statement as to the revised priority of the Board in placing the public interest and well-being first.

We again thank the Board for its efforts to date in restoring the faith of the public that County leadership is committed to solving the foul odor and related issues from cannabis production in our County.

Sincerely,

Sasha and Patty Globa

Carpinteria, CA

## Katherine Douglas

---

**From:** Anna Carrillo <annacarp@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 17, 2025 11:49 AM  
**To:** sbcob; Laura Capps; Bob Nelson; Roy Lee; Joan Hartmann; Steve Lavagnino  
**Subject:** Cannabis Amendments - Agenda #5 and 6

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

To: Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors  
From: Anna Carrillo  
March 17, 2025

**Thank you for coming last week to Carpinteria** to hear first hand the community's concerns regarding the impacts from local cannabis cultivation and the vapor phase systems and to hear suggestions how to solve these problems. For these past 7 years Carpinteria has felt like an "abandoned child" so it was nice to have been finally heard.

1. Require cannabis cultivators in Carpinteria to install BETA tested multi technology carbon filtration units (8-12 per acre) ASAP or by March 31, 2026.
2. Require cannabis cultivators in Carpinteria to sign an affidavit attesting to this installation ASAP or by March 31, 2026.
3. Require removal of vapor phase systems by March 31, 2026.
4. There must be **no odor** experienced in the community.
5. Use gas chromatography to measure odor at the vents. It's the only system known to identify the source of the odor.
- 6.. **Adopt a compliance program that is proactive** that must include the following:
  - a. Run-time meters showing the equipment is running 24/7.
  - b. Replacement of carbon filters must be documented and verified.
  - c. Growers must be responsible for detecting whether their odor is escaping from their vents, They must document this via internet/computer systems.
  - d. Growers must let the county know when there have been odor incidents and have their corrections verified.
7. **Use of the Nasal Ranger at the property line will not solve the odor issues.**
  - a. According to the Nasal Ranger's manual, the Nasal Ranger is not designed for outdoor use.
  - b. Calibration takes 20 minutes.
  - c. Use of the nasal ranger can be subjective.
  - d. There's a time lag between when an odor is noticed (middle of the night, early in the morning, weekends), logging in the complaint, and then having someone come out to measure.
  - e. How many places along the property is this instrument going to be used?
  - f. The Nasal Ranger can't identify the source when cultivation sites are so close to each other.
8. Using the Nasal Ranger to measure an odor below 7D/T will not work. The number 7 indicates a noticeable odor, 4 indicates a faint odor, 2 indicates a very faint odor, 0 indicates no odor.
9. **No extensions** beyond the due date March 31, 2026. That's still a year away and everyone here in Carpinteria has been dealing with this for the last 7 years.

**10. Notify the public within 1000' when the new OAP is submitted with the new contact information.** This information would have been previously given out 7 years ago when the original application to grow cannabis was submitted. Since we now know the odors are traveling like a cloud more than a 1000', notification of new OAPs Approval needs to also be included in the local paper.

**11. Notify the public via the local newspaper when the annual review of the annual business license is being reviewed** and when the review is completed with the County contact information included.

**13.** It would be good to create a phone app similar to SmellMyCity that wouldn't require stopping and pulling over to notify the county of an odor concern.

Thank you for your consideration in finally making some changes to Chapter 50 and Chapter 35.

Anna Carrillo

Anna Carrillo



## Katherine Douglas

---

**From:** SARAH EAGLE <sally.eagle@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 17, 2025 12:57 PM  
**To:** Laura Capps; roylee@countyofb.org; Joan Hartmann; Steve Lavagnino; sbcob  
**Cc:** Terry Eagle  
**Subject:** Cannabis hearing 3/18/25

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Supervisors:

NO more bad air from cannabis grows in Carpinteria Valley. This pollution of our air needs to be eliminated. All growers must be responsible for monitoring and eliminating their invasive odors. A detectable odor is still an odor.

Gas chromatography instruments can detect these odors and properly installed carbon filtration systems can clean up the noxious odors. The "cost of doing business" has to include implementing these proven solutions.

Installing operational multi technology carbon units ( rate of 10 per acre ) should be well underway and affidavits signed 3 months from adoption of the Boards compliance program. Suspension or revocation of business licenses is the response needed to non compliance and on-going repeated excuses.

Transparency is of utmost importance.

Tax revenues from the cannabis industry, the regulations and the reporting of them, are issues that need the eyes of those responsible for any irregularities and enforcement. Black market solutions should not be part of the conversation (as it is allegedly heard now "on the street")

A viable cannabis industry that plays by the rules is welcome in our valley. We all just need to establish those rules that work for all.

Thank you all for working through these important issues. It's been a long assignment for all of us.

Sincerely  
Sally & Terry Eagle  
La Mirada  
Carpinteria

## Katherine Douglas

---

**From:** Villalobos, David  
**Sent:** Monday, March 17, 2025 1:42 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** FW: Supervisor meeting-re cannabis ordinance

-----Original Message-----

From: Gail Herson <devesi@me.com>  
Sent: Monday, March 17, 2025 1:38 PM  
To: Laura Capps <lcapps@countyofsb.org>; Bob Nelson <bnelson@countyofsb.org>; Joan Hartmann <jHartmann@countyofsb.org>; Steve Lavagnino <slavagnino@countyofsb.org>; Villalobos, David <dvillalo@countyofsb.org>  
Subject: Supervisor meeting-re cannabis ordinance

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

Friday, a Cannabis industry spokesperson said zero cannabis odor can not exist, however it is possible as we had it before they came. We must not codify their grab for entitlement as a right to take away our zero threshold, our unencumbered breath. Malodorous processing is not agriculture and should be done in industrially zoned areas. And air pollution, the odor from growing cannabis, must be stopped at the greenhouse itself.

Nuisance happens far below 17. Should my rights to enjoy a nonodorous breath of air be squandered, since my nose is alive and well, experiencing nuisance below a 7? Must I be bothered by the intrusive cannabis industry with every breath? Should my neighbors who are allergic to cannabis emissions have to take prescription drugs and endure their side effects or move away?

This is not an emotional plea, it is a human observation of phenomena as worthy of consideration as any subjectively chosen "scientific" nuisance number or desire for profit. Bad governance happened originally when the full range of human impact was not considered while drafting the ordinance.

You now know the unintended consequences of the cannabis industry. You also have heard the limitations of the Nasal Ranger and the promise of both tracking real time emissions 24/7 through internet monitoring and gas chromatograph to more accurately track and enforce violations. Carbon scrubbers are a great improvement and must have regular testing publicly reported and direct to the County to ensure the filters are not clogged and are working effectively, with no extensions.

How wonderful you have the opportunity to embrace new developments in knowledge and technology to set things right and require monitoring and consequences of noncompliance failures.

Please protect our basic health and happiness.

Gratefully,  
Gail Herson  
Carpinteria

## Katherine Douglas

---

**From:** P.J. Kundar <patriciajk@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 17, 2025 2:03 PM  
**To:** sbcob; Laura Capps; roylee@ntyofb.org; Joan Hartmann; Steve Lavagnino  
**Subject:** Fixing Cannabis Odor

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Attention Board of Supervisors:

As Concerned Carpenterians, we understand the Board of Supervisors will be hearing three very important cannabis items on your agenda at the March 18th meeting. We sense we may be getting closer to a resolution for solving the odor problem but feel that the proposal is not strong enough concerning odor levels, detection and reporting. It also allows for a one year compliance plus extensions when granted which is unacceptable. We feel that the period to comply should be shortened from a year to 90 days, with no extensions.

We insist upon that there should be no odor, no impacts and no air pollution. Growers must be responsible for detecting whether their odor is breaching the property lines.

It is time to conclude and finally resolve these issues for the welfare of the community to continue to have a healthy and safe environment for all to live, raise families and enjoy clean air. Thank you.

Sincerely  
Sheryl and Barry K. Schwartz

## Katherine Douglas

---

**From:** Christianne Dimitri <christiannedimitri3@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 17, 2025 3:12 PM  
**To:** sbcob; Laura Capps; roylee@countyofb.org; Joan Hartmann; Steve Lavagnino  
**Subject:** New Cannabis Odor Ordinance

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

County Supervisors,

I am a resident of Padaro Lane, since 1982, who's been smelling the pot odor off and on, and mostly on, since cannabis was made legal 8 years ago.

I have supported numerous appeals of cannabis farm permits, only to be denied repeatedly. Now, with Roy Lee in the First District, there's a chance to finally be listened to.

This is the board's opportunity to right the wrong they've done to County residents with the poorly written cannabis ordinances. It's your moment to make sweeping improvements to the air quality in the Carpinteria Valley and to improve the quality of life of its 16,000+ residents. It will also help property values, and hence your incoming property taxes, which are the County's largest source of income.

I hope you will implement stricter odor ordinances that will actually work and be enforceable.

I don't profess to be expert on what the best current technologies are to prevent cannabis odor, but so many people are counting on you to make the Carpinteria farms implement those technologies, require enough of them per acre to prevent odor, and enforce the new requirements with strict punishments. The Planning & Development's recommendations to Chapter 35 are not strong enough, and I support the following recommendations:

Multi technology carbon filtration units installed 10 per acre, no later than six (6) months after board adoption. One year is too long; and six months is plenty of time to order and install the units. There must be no extensions granted on the above timeline.

Readjust the Planning Commission's formula for acceptable odor on the Nasal Ranger from "below 7 D/T" to "below 4 D/T."

The County should adopt a program of annual inspections of each farm, before renewing each farm's business license.

The inspectors should count the number of working filtration units per acre, as well as perform an odor test at the property line.

**Noncompliance and/or continued odor complaints not corrected within 30 days should result in the revocation of the annual business license. (This needs to be added to Chapter 50.)**

**In addition to the above regulations, we ask that the County Supervisors do the smart thing and put a temporary moratorium on all new cannabis farm permits within 1,000 feet of Carpinteria residents. The supply is clearly higher than the demand, causing farms to shut down, and causing a lack of taxable income from the farms to the County. Adding more farms will only increase the problem. This moratorium will be a win-win for the residents and the existing cannabis farms, and thus for the County itself.**

**Thank you.**

**Sincerely,**

**Christianne Engs Dimitri  
3581 Padaro Lane  
Carpinteria**

**310-429-4190**

Sent from the iPhone of C. Dimitri