

Ramirez, Angelica

Public Comment - Group 7

#3

**From:** Betsy Denison <wvsnmts@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 5:03 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Exxon Trucking Plan



**Caution:** This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Please vote NO on the Exxon Trucking Plan. It is a very dangerous 'yes' and will lead to potential hazardous waste spills driven along a torturous highway. It is a real threat to public safety and will contribute to the worsening of climate conditions.

Respectfully submitted, Betsy Denison

--  
Betsy Denison  
[wvsnmts@gmail.com](mailto:wvsnmts@gmail.com)  
805-450-4304

Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Wendy Van Vechten <wvanvechten@icloud.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 5:14 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Vote No on ExxonMobil

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

The ExxonMobil Oil Trucking Proposal is a **bad** idea. 70 roundtrip truck trips, transporting more than 450,000 gallons of oil on Highway 101 and Route 166 daily? This area is widely used for recreation. Why risk our gorgeous coastline, and bicyclists and pedestrian safety, in pursuit of Fossil Fuels which are harming our environment. In addition, Exxon has a horrible record of devastating environmental mishaps in various parts of the world, many of which have led to multi-billion dollar fines and adverse effects that are still felt decades later. Stop this proposal. Wendy Van Vechten

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Anna Kokotovic <anna48k@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 5:14 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Vote No on ExxonMobile

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

I urge you not to allow ExxonMobile to drive trucks filled with oil daily on a much used road not suited to large trucks of oil.

This is a very dangerous plan and must not be allowed!

Anna Kokotovic, PhD  
Psychologist

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Kevin Loughran <kvikelo@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 5:21 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Vote no on tankers in Gaviota

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

To Santa Barbara co. Supervisors

We are residing in Tajiguas ,right off the 101 highway , please please please do not allow more oil tankers on this already very busy corridor. Dangerous and environmentally unnecessary !

Kevin Loughran  
14000 Calle Real  
Gaviota ca  
93117

[loughrandesigns.com](http://loughrandesigns.com)  
[housejewelry.com](http://housejewelry.com)  
805-680-2779



**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Carell Jantzen <carell@egan.org>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 5:29 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon's plans

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Deny Exxons plans to reopen the old platforms and deny any trucking of oil due to safety factors.

Thank you,  
Carell Jantzen

--  
Carell Jantzen

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Thomas Baker <thomasbakerjr@icloud.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 5:31 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Exxon Trucking Plan

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

I strongly oppose and condemn this unsafe, highly polluting plan to allow oil trucks through this popular area, full of natural resources, citizens, and children!

Yours,

T H Baker, Jr.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Ellen Pasternack (ellenpaste@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 5:40 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

We need to have less oil drilling and processing, not more.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Ellen Pasternack  
1024 Olive St  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101  
ellenpaste@yahoo.com  
(805) 985-1508

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Supporter Unknown (judi@judiyoung.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 5:40 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

Regardless of the statements about safety issued by those who stand to profit, we have felt the impact of oil spills too many times. Let's learn from history and stop offshore drilling entirely.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Supporter Unknown  
475 Broad st  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405  
judi@judiyoung.com  
(805) 234-2557

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Betsy Denison <wvsnmts@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 5:03 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Exxon Trucking Plan

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Please vote NO on the Exxon Trucking Plan. It is a very dangerous 'yes' and will lead to potential hazardous waste spills driven along a torturous highway. It is a real threat to public safety and will contribute to the worsening of climate conditions.

Respectfully submitted, Betsy Denison

--  
Betsy Denison  
[wvsnmts@gmail.com](mailto:wvsnmts@gmail.com)  
805-450-4304

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Alexander Judy <jlalex720@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 6:18 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Vote No on Exxon Mobile

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

It's hard to believe such a potentially dangerous project that adds significantly to air pollution and wasteful use of fuel is even under consideration. Think it through folks, this is a no-brainer, which if passed would benefit only Exxon and be detrimental to everyone and everything else.

Judy Alexander  
jlalex720@gmail.com

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Sharon Broberg <slbroberg@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 6:38 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Vote No on Exxon Mobil Trucking

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Please follow the decision of the Planning Commission and vote no on the Exxon Mobil Trucking permit. 70 trucks a day is far too dangerous for our highways. We travel through the Gaviota Pass and tunnel frequently and the wind blows big trucks as well as other vehicles.

Sincerely,  
John and Sharon Broberg  
zip code 93103

PO Box 41339, Santa Barbara CA 93140

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Carol Kosman <ckosman@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 6:44 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking plan - upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Santa Barbara County Supervisors,

As a concerned marine scientist and coastal Santa Barbara County resident, I urge you to deny the dangerous Exxon trucking plan as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

The supposed benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would unfortunately bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution, and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts. This is in addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil; the recent catastrophic oil spill off of Orange County calls attention to the severity of these risks.

Furthermore, ExxonMobil's facilities that are under consideration for reopening as part of this plan were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. Residents were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property. The health and safety of residents will be put greatly at risk if these facilities are allowed to reopen.

Lastly, the proposed trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, and there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling toxic oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11, 2021 an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees, on the same day that over-burdened fire crews were battling the destructive Alisal fire.

Santa Barbara County residents are already facing higher than average risks of extreme heat and wildfire danger, we do not need the extra health risks from spilled oil and contaminated waterways, toxic materials and fire, and dangerous gases and air pollution that would be introduced if the Exxon trucking plan is allowed to proceed.

I urge you to deny this dangerous and unacceptable Exxon trucking plan.

Thank you,  
Carol Kosman  
Resident of Lompoc



## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Thea Altman (thearae59@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 6:50 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Thea Altman  
2026 Castillo  
Santa Barbara , CA 93105  
thearae59@yahoo.com  
(805) 555-5505

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Valerie Zachary (cd33333@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 7:04 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

Save us from Big Oil and fossil fuels.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Valerie Zachary  
343 North Court St.  
Los Osos, CA 93402  
cd33333@aol.com  
(805) 459-0000

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Kris MW <mainlandwhite@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 7:39 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Vote No on ExxonMobil

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Didn't we already decide this? What has changed since the last vote on allowing oil tankers on 101 and 166?

Time to take a stand on moving away from oil. We need to think globally, think climate change, and act locally. Trucking oil could not be more backwards, more risky, and more polluting in multiple ways.

Kris Mainland White

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Amanda Heinrich (cybele\_h@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 8:58 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Amanda Heinrich  
944 W Campus Ln  
Goleta, CA 93117  
cybele\_h@yahoo.com  
(805) 245-5982

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Kathleen Laurain (kathleenlaurain@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 9:06 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Laurain  
1286 Eleven oaks Lane  
Santa Barbara, CA 93108  
kathleenlaurain@gmail.com  
(805) 886-8469

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Kristy Porteous <2kristyp@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 9:08 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Vote NO on Exxon Trucking

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Vote NO on Exxon trucking.  
Kristina Porteous  
Santa Barbara Community member

Sent from my iPad

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Purington, Donn <donn.purington@exxonmobil.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 9:06 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** SYU restart  
**Attachments:** Board letter.docx

Attached is a letter prepared for the Board of Supervisors for the starting of the SYU facility.

Thanks

Donn S. Purington Sr.  
I&C start up commissioning &  
Analyzer Tech  
ExxonMobil  
12000 Calle Real  
Goleta, CA 93117  
Office: (805) 961-5695

I'm writing in support of the trucking permit for ExxonMobil.

First, I understand the green movement but you can't just shut off oil. I don't know how curtailing oil in America and buying it from Russia, or other parts of the world, is going to help the green movement? California gets 56% of the oil that is imported. Because of this, the increasing gas prices make it much harder for me and I can only imagine how much harder it is on the lower income population. It doesn't need to be. It seems everyone thinks electric cars is the answer but these cars aren't cheap either. Keeping oil and gas in the USA will let us ween off fossil fuels as renewable energy technology gets better and hopefully cheaper. We (California) produce it cleaner than anywhere in the world.

This is a win-win for Santa Barbara County and the State of California in terms of sustainable local energy with minimal environmental impact. For me, I can get my kids and grandkids back home.

In lieu of recent events, keeping energy in the United States will keep the wealth out of the hands of the tyrants of the world!

I consider myself a sensible person, and I can't see why not keeping the United States energy dependent. It makes complete sense as does starting up SYU.

Thank you for your time,

Donn Purington Sr.



**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Arthur Hoyle <art@arthurhoyle.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 9:12 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Cc:** Sierra Club Los Padres Chapter  
**Subject:** Exxon Trucking Plan

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Santa Barbara County Supervisors,

I urge you to follow the recommendation of the Santa Barbara Planning Commission to deny Exxon's trucking plan.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke. These risks were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gasses were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

The trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates. There have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for considering these objections to the Exxon trucking plan.

Arthur Hoyle

Santa Barbara, CA 93108

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Roberta Weissglass <rwactivist@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 10:36 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Trucking Project  
**Attachments:** B of S.docx; B of S.pdf

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Hello,

Please see the attached public comment supporting the County Planning Commission's recommendation to deny a permit for ExxonMobil's trucking project.

Sincerely,

Roberta Weissglass

Ms. Roberta Weissglass  
P.O. Box 61654  
Santa Barbara, CA 93160

March 4, 2022

Board of Supervisors  
Santa Barbara County  
105 E. Anapamu Street #406  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Subject: ExxonMobil Trucking Project

Dear Supervisors:

I fully support the County Planning Commission's recommendation to deny a permit to ExxonMobil to restart oil drilling in the channel and to transport oil by truck on county highways, or by any other means. I urge you to deny the project.

ExxonMobil's plans to restart its offshore oil platforms and onshore processing facility will generate enormous levels of greenhouse gas emissions and contribute to the effects of climate change that Santa Barbara is already experiencing. This project will undermine state and national climate targets and goals set by the county's Energy and Climate Action Plan and will put our coast, wildlife and marine environment at risk of another devastating oil spill.

PLEASE do not be swayed by arguments that rising gas prices indicate a need for more fossil fuel. We need to invest instead in renewable energy sources to protect our planet from the worst consequences of climate change. Now is the time to stand for safe energy.

Actions we take, or fail to take, today will determine whether our grandchildren inherit a habitable planet. Please deny this dangerous project. Stop the ExxonMobil plan.

Sincerely,  
Roberta Weissglass

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Tonia Shimin (shimin@ucsb.edu) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 10:44 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Tonia Shimin  
437 Reed Ct.  
Goleta, CA 93117  
shimin@ucsb.edu  
(805) 685-5580

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Olaf Guerrand-Hermes <oghermes@me.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 12:27 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Cc:** Eva Guerrand-Hermes  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking for SYU Phased Restart Project

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

To whom it may concern.

Like many other groups in SB county (such as the Channelkeeper), and countless of individuals, and community members I am opposed to the project referenced above due to many concerns including the significant and unavoidable impacts on the environment and wildlife from oil spills and trucking hazards, and safety on our roads.

Respectfully yours.

Olaf Guerrand-Hermès  
(SB resident)

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Lani Steele (lanisteele@pacificjungle.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 5:49 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

Please do not put our coast and coastal waters at risk to make money for Exxon.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Lani Steele  
1595 Los Osos Valley Rd Spc 43B  
Los Osos, CA 93402  
lanisteele@pacificjungle.net  
(805) 202-9202

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** David Simmons <swooshbll@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 5:56 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** oil/gas

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Under Trump the USA was energy independent, on biden's 1st day in office he attacked American oil/gas. Support Exxon resuming oil production in Santa Barbara County. Exxon's production will almost replace all the oil California is purchasing from PUTIN!!!

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** jbwingerden@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 7:22 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Please truck the oil

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

BOS  
We need local production of oil. We need energy independence. To have that you must allow oil to be shipped by truck. Please! Thanks.  
June Van Wingerden  
4444 Foothill Road  
Carpinteria

Sent from my iPhone



## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Debra Ugalde (debraugalde@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 7:25 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

It's so important to keep oil trucks off roads. Keep families safe from such potential disasters

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Debra Ugalde  
319 N Highway 1 Spc 11  
Grover Beach, CA 93433  
debraugalde@yahoo.com  
(805) 698-7351

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Watson Gooch (wpgooch111@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 7:41 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Watson Gooch  
596 Henrietta Ave  
Los Osos, CA 93402  
wpgooch111@gmail.com  
(707) 815-9333

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** David Lumley <davidrlumley@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 7:45 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Exxon oil trucks

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Please find another way than allowing 70 trucks a day 25/7 to use the 101/166. It's another disaster waiting to happen.

David Lumley. 1174 Glenview td. Montecito, CA.

Sent from my iPhone

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Archana Lucchesi (neuroradmd@me.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 7:45 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Archana Lucchesi  
6729 Monte Rd  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401  
neuroradmd@me.com  
(805) 602-0055

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Julie Lumley <julilumley@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 7:46 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon Mobil's proposal

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Please deny this dangerous proposal to restart offshore oil platforms and truck crude oil to Santa Maria and Kern County.

Please instead support renewable energy and help to save our planet!

Thank you,

Julie Lumley

Sent from my iPad

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Joe Belrose <ajbelrose@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 7:58 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Vote No on ExxonMobil"

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Please do not allow the oil trucking!

Thank you

Joe Schweke

Sent from my iPhone

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Beverly Cohen <beverly423@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 8:00 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Item 3: Say NO to ExxonMobil's dangerous oil drilling and trucking scheme

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

We need to USE less oil not transport more across our counties

Sent from my iPhone

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Patricia Avant-Kern (avantkern1@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 8:04 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Patricia Avant-Kern  
2325 Osos Ct  
Los Osos, CA 93402  
avantkern1@aol.com  
(805) 534-9001

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.



## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Jennifer Bauer (slojen10@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 8:06 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Bauer  
4575 Spanish Oaks Dr  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401  
slojen10@gmail.com  
(805) 440-7778

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Heather Howell <hrhvision@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 8:11 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** DENY EXXON TRUCKING PLAN

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Santa Barbara County Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission. Scientific and Environmental leaders and ALL residents of San Luis Obispo and Santa Barbara Counties reject this short-sighted, perilous and poisonous plan to prop up the outdated and unnecessary oil and gas extraction industries simply to gain profits for a few but with unimaginable deadly cost to the MAJORITY and the Environment we ALL depend on for LIFE ITSELF.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gasses were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

**Heather Howell**

**Educator, Volunteer, 5th Gen Californian with Gratitude to  
Gabrieleno native first peoples of my home  
Santa Lucia Chapter, Sierra Club, Central Coast California  
(805)234-5644**

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Annabell O'Connor (annarabea@att.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 8:12 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Annabell O'Connor  
6805 Country Club Dr  
Atascadero, CA 93422  
annarabea@att.net  
(805) 461-5328

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Tina Dickason (tenacioustina2000@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 8:25 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

To the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors:

I urge you to agree with your Planning Commission in denying 3 oil platforms to be started up in the Santa Barbara Channel and to not allow oil tanker trucks making numerous trips on Highway 101 and Route 166, carrying thousands of gallons of crude oil. How do we begin to take care of the global crisis caused by the effects of global warming, which oil and its harmful and disastrous effects have been the primary cause? PLEASE vote to DENY and by so doing help our planet to survive.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Tina Dickason  
574 Leighton St  
Cambria, CA 93428  
tenacioustina2000@gmail.com  
(805) 909-1033

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Chloe Strycker (cstrycker@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 8:26 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

As a registered nurse in California I am passionate about protecting vulnerable populations from pollution and dirty air. Please do not allow oil trucks to drive on our roads.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Chloe Strycker  
490 Whidbey St  
Morro Bay, CA 93442  
cstrycker@gmail.com  
(512) 423-7972

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Elizabeth Bettenhausen (elizabethbettenhausen@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message <kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 8:31 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Bettenhausen  
345 Plymouth St  
Cambria, CA 93428  
elizabethbettenhausen@gmail.com  
(805) 927-0659

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** John Manning <jonman50@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 8:48 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Vote No On ExxonMobil

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Santa Barbara County Supervisors,

For nearly seven years there has been an unrelenting effort to allow trucking of substantial quantities of crude oil through our County. Such would negate the wise requirement for oil transportation only by pipeline; a condition of approval of the Gaviota Oil Processing Facility. Environmental studies have shown the risk of deviating from that requirement would outweigh any short term economic benefit.

Please do not allow an exception, temporary or otherwise. I urge you to vote no.

Respectfully Submitted,  
John Manning  
Santa Barbara

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Sharon Betzenderfer (crythebeloved994@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message <kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 8:48 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

Our small area of our planet (which is already on the brink of irreversible climate change) has suffered enough from the ruthlessness and greed of Big Oil.

When will the voices of reason who truly care for our earth actually be heard? How many more disasters and horrific deaths of wildlife will it take for the BOS to be on the right side of history?

You KNOW ExxonMobil is uncaring and just plain wrong but you alone can stop them. Please vote no. Do your part in saving our planet. Thsnk you.

Sharon Betzenderfer

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Sharon Betzenderfer  
240 Chaparral Ln  
Nipomo, CA 93444  
crythebeloved994@gmail.com  
(805) 825-6105

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.



## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Linda Busek (l\_busek@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 8:55 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

We must stop supporting dirty and dangerous fossil fuels and pivot to renewables now. Case in point: Russian aggression in Ukraine is fueled by their energy sector. Plus for the love of the planet we must end our dependence on fossil fuels. Start NOW!

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Linda Busek  
240 Aspen St Apt 3  
Arroyo Grande, CA 93420  
l\_busek@yahoo.com  
(650) 367-6780

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Blake Gerl (bfrino@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 9:01 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Blake Gerl  
2915 Ironwood Ave  
Morro Bay, CA 93442  
bfrino@yahoo.com  
(714) 335-9844

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Richard Shepard (shepardconsulting@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message <kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 9:05 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

Because I don't want to see or even smell these giant rigs on our county roads.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Richard Shepard  
PO Box 665  
Cambria, CA 93428  
shepardconsulting@verizon.net  
(951) 861-1111

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Jill Zamek (jzk@charter.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 9:07 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Jill Zamek  
1123 Flora Rd  
Arroyo Grande, CA 93420  
jzk@charter.net  
(805) 489-8903

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Karen Davidson (kk2kakes@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 9:08 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

Our highway cannot accommodate these big trucks. The roads are too tight. Accidents will happen. Deaths are inevitable.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Karen Davidson  
755 Azalea Ct  
San Luis Obispo , CA 93401  
kk2kakes@msn.com  
(805) 709-8315

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Elaine Watson <elaine.watson@charter.net>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 9:18 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Item 3: Say NO to ExxonMobil's dangerous oil drilling and trucking scheme

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

The California coast has endured many oil spills over the last several decades. Allowing more drilling is not only short sighted and irresponsible, it should be considered a reprehensible act against health, safety and nature including risks to the environment, wildlife, use of public land not to mention future cleanup costs rarely borne or completed by the oil companies that cause them.

It is absolutely not worth the risk on any level.

Do NOT consider allowing this to happen.

A NO VOTE only.

Elaine Watson

Central Coast, California

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Darlene <darzline@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 9:33 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Item 3: Say NO to ExxonMobil's dangerous oil drilling and trucking scheme

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

This is wrong on every level of safety and environmental concern.

Please vote no.

Darlene Tunney Rosene

Sent from my iPhone

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Katherine Keeney <keenkatyk@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 9:37 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Item 3: Say NO to ExxonMobil's dangerous oil drilling and trucking scheme

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Let's find another way!  
Don't do this!  
Katherine Keeney



## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Susan Jonas (jonart@charter.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 9:58 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Susan Jonas  
611 Lilac Dr  
Los Osos, CA 93402  
jonart@charter.net  
(805) 534-9849

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Lori Slater (lori@lorislater.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 10:10 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

Oil tankers must not pass near our precious protected watershed! No amount of greed justifies this.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Lori Slater  
1888 Chester Ln  
Cambria, CA 93428  
lori@lorislater.com  
(805) 927-4047

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Jason Heller <jandmsuburban7@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 10:11 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Oil - let's get back on track!

**Caution:** This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

We are fossil fuels rich here in Santa Barbara!

Please, Supervisors, support Exxon resuming oil production in Santa Barbara County. By eliminating the oil California has to give us.... It is paying PUTIN to desimated Ukraine. You think he'll stop there? Also, sending it to other places will enbolden other sick world dominate murderers!!! And guess what.... I know that the oil regenerates as time goes by and if you learn about science you will learn that too. Also.... If you think you are helping out our global environment by stopping oil production here.... You are actually making it worse by your own volition because here in America we at least care about how it's accomplished, and in the other countries we are supporting to sell us their oil, well, they do it the cheapest and dirtiest ways.

We want local production, lower prices, and energy independence! Do the right thing! As well as it increases jobs! It's a win win! You win and I win!

Thanks, Jason

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** D A Higinbotham (deemsmobile@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message <kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 10:17 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

D A Higinbotham  
621 W Ocean Ave  
Lompoc, CA 93436  
deemsmobile@gmail.com  
(805) 294-2062

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Nicholas Trautman (nictraut@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 10:20 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

By extracting more offshore oil, ExxonMobil not only exposes the community to intolerable pollution risks, but also exacerbates the ongoing climate crisis. ExxonMobil's own scientists were among the first to uncover the link between anthropogenic CO2 emissions and global climate change in the 1970s, but the company chose profits over people and has taken actions contrary to those findings ever since. ExxonMobil has shown time and time again that they do not care about the safety and wellbeing of people and our natural environment -- we should not trust them to carry out this trucking project. As someone who will have to live with the effects of climate change for most of their adult life, I demand that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors vote "no" on this proposal, not only for the good of the Santa Barbara community, but for the global community as well.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Trautman  
144 Del Norte Way  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405  
nictraut@comcast.net  
(408) 731-0655

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Jc Foglietta (jcfoglietta@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 10:22 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Jc Foglietta  
930 Labrador  
Arroyo Grande, CA 93420  
jcfoglietta@yahoo.com  
(805) 781-4545

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Catharine Krupp (catharinerupp@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 10:38 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Catharine Krupp  
475 Marsh St, 102  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401  
catharinerupp@gmail.com  
(805) 235-2900

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.



**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Don Leva <dalevasheff@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 10:40 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Santa Barbara Co. Oil Production

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Honorable Supervisors,

I support local oil production, lower fuel prices, Exxon trucking, and energy independence.

Because of Climate Change beliefs and Green policies, Bidens ties to Russia, and the Ukraine invasion we are in serious trouble. Please do your part locally to turn around this energy crisis. Thank you.

Don Levasheff  
Carpinteria resident.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Cassie A. Murphy (camellis405@charter.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 10:47 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Cassie A. Murphy  
1625 Sandalwood Ln  
Templeton, CA 93465  
camellis405@charter.net  
(805) 434-1242

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Dick Dixon <Cambriaman99@mac.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 11:04 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Item 3: Say NO to ExxonMobil's dangerous oil drilling and trucking scheme

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Our roads are more than crowded with the truck traffic we already have. To add more trucks will cost lives and millions dollars. It would be irresponsible to the voting public to allow more truck traffic!

Thanks for listening.

Richard G. Dixon

Margaret E. Dixon

Cambria CA 93428

Dick Dixon Up in the iCloud

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Evaan Portillo (eportillo@charter.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 11:06 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I've lived on the central coast for over 30 years. I do not want to see oil spills that kill ocean life and ruin the environment for years and years. Please deny Exxon's trucking plan.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Evaan Portillo  
520 Chiswick Way  
Cambria, CA 93428  
eportillo@charter.net  
(805) 927-3058

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Mitchell Johnson <mitchellj562@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 11:13 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Stop ExxonMobil's Dangerous Santa Barbara Oil Trucking Plan ☐

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Hi,

I am against ExxonMobil's plan to restart old aging platforms and then truck thousands of oil every day through our communities here. This plan will result in more oil spills , air pollution and increased climate change when we should be pursuing clean energy alternatives instead.  
Extracting oil from platforms is too risky and should be banned from Ventura/Santa Barbara counties.

Sincerely,  
Mitchell

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Erin Foote (insurrectionsurrealiste@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 11:17 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** SLO neighbors plead: Say NO to Exxon!!

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

San Luis Obispo has said NO repeatedly to the increased delivery of dangerous crude oil products in our County. Please protect your northern neighbors by denying this proposal and all the potential substantial and unavoidable environmental impacts it entails. We didn't want it on trains, we don't want it on trucks, we don't want it polluting our coastline. We only want it IN THE GROUND.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

I urge you to uphold the recommendations of the Santa Barbara Planning Commission and deny this dangerous project.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

Sincerely,

Erin Foote  
411B Lawrence Dr  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401  
insurrectionsurrealiste@gmail.com  
(805) 756-2587

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Shirley Ritter (pendent\_goddess0f@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 11:22 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Shirley Ritter  
6550 Avila Valley Dr  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405  
pendent\_goddess0f@icloud.com  
(775) 831-8556

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Lynne Kelly <lynnerkelly@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 11:23 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Vote NO on ExxonMobil

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

I say NO to ExxonMobil Oil Trucking Proposal along the Gaviota Coast. It is risky and dangerous to the public!

Lynne Kelly



## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Warren Sterrett (warrensterrett@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 11:24 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

We need to be moving towards carbon neutral technologies, and while oil is still a necessity to us currently, allowing this trucking route will only reinforce this dependence. The wider effects of oil are damaging as is, and with the chance of spills being low, there is still the chance that one could occur and damage our local environment. This chance of a spill could be drastically limited by not allowing Exxon this trucking route.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Warren Sterrett  
820 Via Concha  
Nipomo, CA 93444  
warrensterrett@gmail.com  
(805) 668-0140

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Jana Slezakova <jana8slezak@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 11:28 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Item 3: Say NO to ExxonMobil's dangerous oil drilling and trucking scheme

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

To Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors:

I urge you to agree with the Planning Commission, and to deny this hazardous plan, and protect our climate, public safety, and our land and watersheds.

Please say no to this item.

Thank you for your consideration.

Jana Slezakova, PsyD  
Central coast resident

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** George Lewis (glewis@calpoly.edu) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 1:06 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

George Lewis  
1852 6th St  
Los Osos, CA 93402  
glewis@calpoly.edu  
(805) 528-7303

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Susan Larson (4susanlarson@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 1:05 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Susan Larson  
938 Running Stag Way  
Paso Robles, CA 93446  
4susanlarson@gmail.com  
(805) 239-8806

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Michael Miller <vmml@charter.net>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 12:53 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon Trucking Plan Item #3 March 8, 2022

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors  
March 8, 2022 Meeting  
Public Comment

Re:  
*"Item #3 Exxon Trucking"*

Dear Santa Barbara County Supervisors,  
I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

Have you read the most recent report from the United Nations on climate change and what our world is up against? We are facing the real implications of an unlivable planet. This cannot to be ignored.

<https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg2/>

[https://www.un.org/en/climatechange/reports?gclid=CjwKCAiAsYyRBhACEiwAkJKonHlMafpS5AqEXdkrV\\_U383BGY4At0Kgy99AKos3KzHcc8BM3S3nWBoCOzkQAvD\\_BwE](https://www.un.org/en/climatechange/reports?gclid=CjwKCAiAsYyRBhACEiwAkJKonHlMafpS5AqEXdkrV_U383BGY4At0Kgy99AKos3KzHcc8BM3S3nWBoCOzkQAvD_BwE)

Continuing oil production off the shorelines of SB County simply exacerbates these risks. Please take bold action now and follow the lead of your Planning Commission and deny this project.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down.

We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gasses were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires.

In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for doing the right thing and denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Regards,

Vita Miller  
1205 Bay Oaks Dr.  
Los Osos, CA 93402  
805-704-3173

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** William W. Goldsmith <wwg1@cornell.edu>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 12:49 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** comment against opening wells or trucking

**Caution:** This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

As a member of EPA's Clean Air Act Advisory Committee for about a decade, in the Clinton and Bush administrations, I debated climate policy. I am a Berkeley engineering graduate, was long a researcher on city environments as professor and Department Chairman of City Planning at Cornell University. As my own research has documented, and as the latest United Nations report so powerfully emphasizes, dangers of climate change are severe and urgent. Our grandchildren will be lucky to have a world to inherit. We already know they will be endangered by ever worse wildfires, more severe droughts, deeper deluges of torrential rains, unbearable heat waves, and dangerously rising sea levels. Climate change challenges us in many ways, becoming more difficult year after year. We must resist and legislate whenever we can. It is crucial that authorities immediately do all that is possible to prevent drilling and extraction, or transportation, of petroleum by truck, pipeline or train. This is a special opportunity to extend and enhance Santa Barbara's history of environmental leadership.

Sincerely,

William W. Goldsmith  
1224 E de la Guerra Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93103  
(607) 257-1888

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Cynthia Webster <clwebster@earthlink.net>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 12:32 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Exxon resuming oil production

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

To whom it may concern,

It is time to get back to producing our own supplies of oil. Why do we support enemies by buying their oil? Until we can totally supply the demand of Californians energy usage with green energy, we should use the oil and gas that we have available to us right here. Plus we have standards and regulations that we know are in place. When buying from foreign sources we cannot know if any standards and regulations are actually being enforced.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Webster  
Lompoc, CA



**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Risa Kaiser <risakbass@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 12:14 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Item 3: Say NO to ExxonMobil's dangerous oil drilling and trucking scheme

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Please say no and do not impact my county. Thank you. Risa Kaiser Arroyo Grande

Sent from my iPhone

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Melissa Mendes Campos <melmmc@icloud.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 12:09 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Exxon/Mobil's plan - REJECT IT

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

As a California Central Coast resident, I strongly urge you to reject Exxon/Mobil's plan to restart the Hondo, Harmony, and Heritage offshore oil platforms in the Santa Barbara channel. Not only is this plan dangerous to public safety and our lands, fresh waters, and oceans, it is an absurd doubling down on fossil fuels in the midst of a full blown global climate emergency. In case you didn't notice, California is seeing worsening wildfires and drought, and drilling for and burning oil is what got us here. We have to stop it now. Further, allowing the trucking of all that oil along our crumbling and congested local highways 101 and 166 is pure madness. Please vote against Exxon/Mobil's plan and stand up for Santa Barbarans and Californians.

Melissa Mendes Campos  
your Santa Cruz County neighbor

Sent from my iPhone

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Janet <janet@gaviotacoastconservancy.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 12:04 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobile Oil Trucking

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Santa Barbara Supervisors,

I urge you to vote NO on trucking oil over the Gaviota Coast. We should not be willing to take the risk of spills in this sensitive area.

Sincerely,

Janet Koed  
Santa Barbara resident

--

*Janet 805-683-6631*



**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Luella Engelhart <lengelh@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 12:02 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** NO to restarting offshore oil platforms

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors:

Please do not grant permission to ExxonMobil to restart three offshore oil platforms in our Santa Barbara Channel. Their plan will inevitably result in more oil spills, air pollution, and increased climate change at a time when everyone, everywhere must pursue clean energy alternatives.

We have a moral obligation to our planet and future generations to act responsibly. Please make Santa Barbara County be part of the solution, not part of the problem.

Sincerely,

Luella Engelhart  
Santa Barbara, CA 93105

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Kara Hitchcock (kmhitch11@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 11:39 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Kara Hitchcock  
1047 Meadow Way  
Arroyo Grande, CA 93420  
kmhitch11@gmail.com  
(661) 312-9800

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Josey <jsilv579@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 11:38 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Vote no on ExxonMobil

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Just vote no !

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Marti Jorgensen-Lindholm (mlindholm528@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message <kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 2:38 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

We do not need the oil platforms started up . We do not want the trucks on our highways. We do not want these products consumed. We have to switch to clean energy. Do not encourage oil consumption. Save our planet. Thank you.  
Dr. Martha Jorgensen-Lindholm

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Marti Jorgensen-Lindholm  
246 Henderson Ave  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405  
mlindholm528@gmail.com  
(805) 459-9002

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Judy Farris <judymfarris@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 2:34 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Comment on March 8 Agenda Departmental Item No. 3: ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Proposal

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

To the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors:

I urge you to confirm your Planning Commission's recommendation to deny this outmoded proposal to truck oil from old offshore wells, currently out of service. And if any argue that the current tragic chaos in Ukraine and the rise in gas prices proves that we should be independent of foreign oil and ramp up domestic production, I urge you to disregard that outmoded proposal too. We need to be independent of fossil fuels, foreign and domestic, if we are to mitigate the climate chaos that is raging around the world. ExxonMobil and its competitors need to invest their vast technological capabilities in new clean energy ventures, not dredge up old ones, and become the leaders in this fight for a livable future.

Judy Farris  
250 West Alamar Avenue  
Santa Barbara CA 93105



**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Howard Winant <hwinant@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 2:32 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon Mobil platform restart.

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

The three aging platforms ExxonMobil is seeking to restart – Hondo, Harmony & Heritage – have been shut down since the devastating 2015 Plains Pipeline oil spill. Deep in the thick of the climate crisis, now is not the time to restart platforms that will also threaten marine life like endangered whales, sea otters, and leatherback turtles.

No oil tankers in Santa Barbara! Get the platforms out of the channel! Tax big oil!

Howard Winant

93101 Zip

--

\*\*\*\*\*  
Howard Winant  
[HWINANT@GMAIL.COM](mailto:HWINANT@GMAIL.COM)  
\*\*\*\*\*

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Charles Tribbey (cltquest@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 2:13 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Charles Tribbey  
57 La Gaviota  
Pismo Beach, CA 93449  
cltquest@gmail.com  
(805) 441-7597

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Carol Walker (glcarwal@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 2:10 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

Transporting oil through narrow highways, meant for automobiles, not trucks, is a disaster waiting to happen. Not to mention the impact on our marine life. Oil spills over the years have devastated our shores and destroyed birds, marine mammals, and fish. We need to stop the assault on our oceans, they cannot be replaced. Please, give this careful considering and think of the future, not oil company profits.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Carol Walker  
418 Tulare Ave  
Morro Bay, CA 93442  
glcarwal@yahoo.com  
(805) 772-0628

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Valerie Barboza (hikerinslo@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 2:10 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Valerie Barboza  
1756 Cordova Dr  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405  
hikerinslo@icloud.com  
(805) 215-9327

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Richard E.T. Sadowski <r.e.t.sadowski@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 1:50 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Item 3: Say NO to ExxonMobil's dangerous oil drilling and trucking scheme

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Santa barbara Board of Supervisors;

Please help promote a new direction for California and help meet its goals of decarbonization. We who live on the coast are aware of the effects of GHG emissions are having on our California coastline, with the effects of sea level rise and ocean acidification we must do our part to turn away from fossil fuel based economies and help move towards a renewable future.

Richard E.T. Sadowki  
Home Front EJ, Morro Bay

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Tawny Day Sterios (tawny2day@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 1:47 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

we need less fires in SB and surrounding areas

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Tawny Day Sterios  
1346 Pismo Street  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401  
tawny2day@yahoo.com  
(805) 952-5220

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** John Padfield <jp@sbceo.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 1:46 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Exxon Mobile Issue - Departmental Item #3

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Greetings from Solvang,

Regarding Departmental Item #3:

We strongly urge the Board of Supervisors to accept & uphold the Planning Commission's decision to **deny** the approval of Exxon's request (Modified Project Case #17RVP-00000-000081) to restart three off shore oil platforms and to allow the trucking of oil, many times per day, along Hiways 101 and Star Route 166.

*The risks are many* - from lives, property & the fragile S.B. County environment to allow this all in the name of profits for a company & taxes to S.B. County.

Thank you for your careful consideration of this important issue.

Respectfully Submitted,

John & Julie Padfield  
Solvang, CA

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Tom Fleishman <tomfleishman@earthlink.net>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 1:19 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Exxon Oil Transport

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

There EXXON proposal for 70 tanker trips per day, carrying 450,000 gallons of oil is totally unreasonable and dangerous to the area. It should not be permitted. If EXXON is so convinced that this is a reasonable proposal, it should agree to put \$10 million into an Escrow Account at a Santa Barbara bank, in order to guarantee that the local government will not be responsible for any inevitable problems as a result of this dangerous transportation scheme/

Tom Fleishman  
Van Nuys, California



**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Barbara Lindemann <lindebar@gmail.com> on behalf of Barbara Lindemann <lindemannb@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 5:15 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Vote No on Exxon Mobile

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Supervisors,

Trucking oil from Santa Barbara along the 101 and route 166 is wrong from every angle: safety of commuters and other truckers, increased air pollution, and continued oil drilling and processing when the need is greater than ever to REDUCE fossil fuel production.

For the safety of our citizens today, and for the generations to come, VOTE NO on the permit application by Exxon Mobile to truck crude oil through our neighborhoods and on our highways.

Barbara Lindemann

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Maureen Ellenberger <melle2007@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 4:55 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Letter regarding vote on March 8th regarding Exxon Trucking

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

March 6, 2022

To: Santa Barbara County District Supervisors via:

The Clerk of the Board ([sbcob@countyofsb.org](mailto:sbcob@countyofsb.org))

To the County Supervisors of Santa Barbara County,

Thank you for taking the time to read my email. I do appreciate it. Exactly one week ago, February 28, 2022, the United Nations Report on Climate Hazards was released. I am sure that you all were aware of this important and harrowing message to us all. It basically says that no country, state, county or state is doing enough to protect our cities, our farmland and our coasts from the hazards of climate change and the changes are coming at a faster rate than we can adapt, irreversibly damaging our planet and our descendant's lives.

Here in Santa Barbara, you our leaders, have a very real opportunity to support all the speeches, statements and declarations on SB leading the way in sustainable climate plans and projects by turning words into action and voting NO on the Exxon Trucking proposal, which if passed would impact our cities, farmland and coastline. You also have the opportunity to vote NO to support the people and communities that these trucks travel through and vote NO to support our water sources that can be endangered by any accident that happens near them. Finally, you can vote NO, because it is time for us to stand up to big oil with their profits and lobbyists. Last year, Exxon reported record profits of \$23 Billion dollars!

Be the leaders we need and vote NO on this bad deal for our community and our country.

Thank you,

Maureen Ellenberger

1401 Shoreline Drive

Santa Barbara, CA 93109

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Theresa Weissglass <belletigri@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 4:54 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon Trucking Plan

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Santa Barbara County Supervisors,

I urge you to deny the perilous Exxon project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

Santa Barbara County faces and will continue to face threats of catastrophic wildfires. It would be irresponsible to permit a project that carries major, costly risks to our area. These risks include offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

There have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this trucking route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. On October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. How fortunate that oil and dangerous gasses were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property!

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Theresa Weissglass  
Santa Barbara, 93105

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Rose M. Smith (spring54542003@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 4:42 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

This matters to me because I know how threatening and oil spill on the freeway can be. Even if it's a small chance this would happen, I don't think it's worth it. It's not good for the environment or for our health. There must be a better way!

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Rose M. Smith  
2386 Boulevard Del Campo  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401  
spring54542003@yahoo.com  
(942) 330-1606

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Joan Henry (4jhenry@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 4:30 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Joan Henry  
182 Brisco Rd Apt N  
Arroyo Grande, CA 93420  
4jhenry@gmail.com  
(805) 481-1262

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** rosemary wilvert <rosemarywilvert@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 4:29 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Item 3: Say NO to ExxonMobil's dangerous oil drilling and trucking scheme

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

We need to be planning ways to keep oil in the ground, not pump out more of it.

Rosemary and Cal Wilvert  
San Luis Obispo

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Roberta Teubner (bobbiteubner@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 3:56 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

This is really risky and dangerous. Our roadways are rapidly filling with not only Californians drivers, but tourists from out of state, who are unaware of oils being transported while out on the highways, Truckers are not always able to apply emergency braking simply due to the enormous weights of their cargo, resulting in a fiery outcome.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Roberta Teubner  
7650 Mira Flores Ave  
Atascadero, CA 93422  
bobbiteubner@gmail.com  
(805) 466-9436

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Thomas Ross (ross.saint\_thomas@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 3:05 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Thomas Ross  
182 Pioneer Cir  
Arroyo Grande, CA 93420  
ross.saint\_thomas@verizon.net  
(805) 489-2383

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.



## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Alice Griselle <agriselle@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 2:57 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Item 3: Say NO to ExxonMobil's dangerous oil drilling and trucking scheme

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Please do not allow any additional or renewed oil drilling or activities off our coastline. Let's remember the many spills, including the recent Huntington Beach spill.

It is just not worth risking our environment!

Sent from my iPhone

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Helen Manning-Brown (helenmb@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 2:54 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Helen Manning-Brown  
6805 Lomitas Rd  
Atascadero, CA 93422  
helenmb@verizon.net  
(562) 424-3417

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Janice Battles <janicebattles@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 6, 2022 8:26 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** D-3 Exxon Mobil interim trucking

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors: Please think about the good that came come with voting in favor of the Exxon application for the above mentioned in the subject line.

Especially now, at a time of extreme concern, plus the fact that the USA contaminates the environment less than any other nation, allow Exxon to truck their oil until their pipeline is built.

Surely, you see the need. Weigh the benefit against not allowing the trucking.

I firmly believe this would be the best for our country considering the alternative.

Thank you and make us proud we voted for you.

Janice Battles  
Santa Maria, CA

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Beverly Herbert <bsherbert@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 6, 2022 7:55 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Vote No on Exxon Oil Trucking Plan

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Sent from my iPhone

> On Mar 5, 2022, at 10:26 PM, Beverly Herbert <bsherbert@msn.com> wrote:

>

> I'm totally opposed to Exxon's pls. There is no guarantee of safety in trucking oil through our county. Accident rates are too high, in general, too many accidents have occurred during all phases of the oil extracting and transport processes. converting to renewable sources is a much better option. Beverly Herbert, 575 Lorraine Ave., Santa Barbara, Ca. (805)770-3484.

>

>

>

> Sent from my iPhone

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Mary Ellen Brooks <mebrooks@sbceo.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 6, 2022 7:25 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil CPA comment letter  
**Attachments:** CPA final EXxon truck BOS .docx

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Staff; Attached please find our comment letter for Tuesday's hearing. i just read that the deadline was Friday. Please let me know when you receive this and if you can add it to the packet. IF this letter is too late, please let me know and I will try to hone it down to one page. It is probably equivalent to one page of text, if you take out heading. Thank you. Marell Brooks, President, Citizens Planning Association

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Heather Howell (hrhvision@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 11:38 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

The EXXON Oil Trucking Plan for the Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo Counties is a GUARANTEED Disaster Waiting to Happen to the residents, wildlife, economic health, total environment and FUTURE of our precious, unique Central Coast.

For ALL these reasons. I and my colleagues of the Sierra Club, Ecologistics, Land Conservancy, EcoSLO, SLO Climate Coalition CEC SB, and many more representing HUNDREDS of THOUSANDS VOTING CONSTITUENTS REQUEST AND DEMAND the Board of Supervisors to reflect their wishes and VALUES.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Heather Howell  
3653 Campbell Ln # B  
Atascadero, CA 93422  
hrhvision@gmail.com  
(805) 234-5644

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** ML Ager (marnilynnager@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 10:44 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I was raised in SLO County and am living here still decades later. I've watched this county and SB County change in many ways. It's alarming that a decision might be approved that would put our 2 counties at risk in was that cannot be undone. Think of the future. Please do not allow the Exxon Trucking proposal through. It carries too much risk for everyone. Thank you.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

ML Ager  
PO Box 5101  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93403  
marnilynnager@yahoo.com  
(828) 707-2982

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Beverly Herbert <bsherbert@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 10:27 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Exxon Oil Trucking Plan

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

I'm totally opposed to Exxon's pls. There is no guarantee of safety in trucking oil through our county. Accident rates are too high, in general, too many accidents have occurred during all phases of the oil extracting and transport processes. converting to renewable sources is a much better option. Beverly Herbert, 575 Lorraine Ave., Santa Barbara, Ca. (805)770-3484.

Sent from my iPhone



## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** John Burk <John@JSBurk.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 9:46 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Exxon and trucking Oil

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear County Supervisors,

Virtually overnight, producing and safely transporting fossil fuels has become America's top national security interest so important to our and our ally's national security. It is called energy security. The very fossil fuels that have been hampered by short-sighted government restrictions pushed by the many environmentalists that sue and obstruct reasonable conveyance and production measures, under the guise of climate change, must cease. As we transition to cleaner energy sources, the same people who want to "build absolutely nothing absolutely nowhere" seem to act as if oil from dictatorships like Iran, Saudi Arabia and Russia is better for the climate than our safer and more controlled domestic producers. Far from being the "bad guy", the oil industry in S.B. County appears to be the "hero" for reducing the amount of oil entering our ocean and releasing carbon vapors into the air by removing the oil and reducing sub-ocean pressure, not to mention providing a boost to our economy by providing jobs directly and indirectly through supplemental services. Help Exxon help SB County and the nation. Act responsibly and strategically at this pivotal time and let Exxon truck the oil.

John Burk

226 la Vista Grande, S.B. CA 93103

805-899-0074

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** m rainville <rainshell@me.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 9:33 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** EXXON MOBIL TRUCKING PROJECT

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear SBC supervisors-

Did you see this headline in the New York Times last week, "Climate Change Is Harming the Planet Faster Than We Can Adapt, U.N. Warns"?

I am writing to ask you to shut down the Exxon Mobil Trucking Project.

Thank you,

Michelle Rainville  
Santa Barbara

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Valerie Green <valeriegreenre@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 6:35 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil drilling

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

I am opposed to any offshore drilling and hope that with the amount of electric cars and bikes now being used that the long term need for petroleum products will be reduced. The environmental risks are way too high and our shoreline has been through too much already from previous oil leaks and accidents. Don't give the oil companies the chance to ruin our home again just so they can become wealthier.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter and for hearing my viewpoint.

Valerie Green  
2602 Bath Street

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Pion, Jeff @ West LA <Jeff.Pion@cbre.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 5:56 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Vote No on ExxonMobil

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

You CANNOT allow this to happen. There is way too much traffic on the Gaviota coast. As a property owner along the Coast and an avid bicycle rider I feel that I am risking my life when I ride as it is today. Huffing and puffing to cross the bridge going south of Arroyo Quemada Lane is stressful enough hoping that I am not going to get hit by a car or a truck.

The Exxon proposal is ridiculous. It is not safe to have that many trucks on the 101 and I cannot imagine that any Environmental Impact Report would condone such behavior.

Please vote "NO" on the proposal for the health and safety of not just the residents of Santa Barbara County but the environment as well.

**Jeffrey S. Pion, LEED® AP**  
Vice Chairman | License #00840278  
CBRE | Advisory & Transaction Services.  
1840 Century Park East, Suite 900 | Los Angeles, CA, 90067-2108  
T +1 310 550 2537 | F +1 310 203 9624 | C +1 310 383 5181  
[jeff.pion@cbre.com](mailto:jeff.pion@cbre.com)  
[Twitter](#) [LinkedIn](#)

Follow CBRE: [CBRE.com](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Weibo](#) | [WeChat](#)

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Pam Bury <pamelabury@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 6, 2022 2:01 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Comment on ExxonMobil Interim Trucking for Santa Ynez Unit Phased Restart Project

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

To the Santa Barbara County Supervisors:

I urge you to reject Exxon's trucking proposal, because we cannot keep approving new oil projects if we really are serious about the climate emergency.

"If emissions do not come down drastically before 2030, then by 2040 some 3.9 billion people are likely to experience major heatwaves, 12 times more than the historic average," according to a report published by Chatham House last fall. Chatham House, also known as the Royal Institute of International Affairs, is one of the top think-tanks in the world.

They say, "By the 2030s, 400 million people globally each year are likely to be exposed to temperatures exceeding the workability threshold"--which means they can't work at those temperatures. "Also by the 2030s, the number of people on the planet exposed to heat stress exceeding the survivability threshold is likely to surpass 10 million a year"--which means that without air-conditioning they are likely to die.

We've already begun to experience disturbing heatwaves in California. The heat jeopardizes people's health. It exacerbates drought and water shortages. It threatens farm workers and crops.

The 2030s are not that far away. Emissions need to come down drastically. Say NO to Exxon.

Sincerely,

Pamela Bury  
315 N. Ontare Rd.  
Santa Barbara, CA 93105

Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** David Ellenberger <djellenbe@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 6, 2022 1:54 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Proposed ExxonMobil Oil Trucking

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Supervisors,

I am very much against authorizing the use of many tanker trucks to transport oil in and through our county. We have learned from the past--accidents will happen, the environment will be compromised, and the companies involved will take as little responsibility as they can. The benefits DO NOT outweigh the costs. Please vote against this proposal. Thanks.

Dave Ellenberger  
Santa Barbara Mesa resident

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Kat Van Every <katmvane2@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 6, 2022 1:40 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Item 3: Say NO to ExxonMobil's dangerous oil drilling and trucking scheme

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Please No more drilling! No trucks! Please please please

Kat

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Cagney Miller <cagneymiller805@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 6, 2022 1:33 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** URGENT: Santa Barbara County Oil Transportation & Production - Exxon

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Santa Barbara County Supervisors:

Our Carpinteria flower farm, like many other local businesses, barely survived last year, despite being more efficient, due to constant increases of overhead, operating, and transportation expenses. This year the increase in minimum wage has forced us to cut back hours, as well as many other employee appreciation perks, such as holiday parties or uniform shirts.

Now, with gas prices nearing, and I'm sure soon exceeding, \$6 per gallon, our supervisors and HR department have had numerous employees voice their concerns, as they are seeing smaller paychecks, and wondering how they are going to put food on their tables, or even fill up their tanks for their weekly commutes to and from work.

On behalf of myself, our flower farm, and the 95 employees depending on us for their livelihoods, we are asking you to please restore Exxon's permit to produce and transport oil within Santa Barbara County. Something must be done now to help support our local economy and its residents before we are all forced to close up shop, our employees have to unroot and relocate, and our county is left with barren fields and desolate greenhouses.

Thank you for your consideration.

Kind Regards,  
Cagney Miller  
(805) 755-9238  
[cagneymiller805@gmail.com](mailto:cagneymiller805@gmail.com)



## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Jack Mahrt (jackmahrt@email.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 6, 2022 12:16 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

We don't need anymore planet destroying oil moving in our coastal areas.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Jack Mahrt  
434 Tahiti St  
Morro Bay, CA 93442  
jackmahrt@email.com  
(408) 309-6925

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Casey Martin <casey.m.martin@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 6, 2022 11:21 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** URGENT: Santa Barbara County Oil Transportation & Production - Exxon

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

To Santa Barbara County Supervisors:

I truly support the notion of Exxon resuming oil production and transportation within Santa Barbara County. The need for energy independence in Santa Barbara County is a MUST especially when gas prices will be exceeding \$6 per gallon this week (Carpinteria Chevron picture attached). Our local economy can NOT continue to survive without oil production as these current prices will further drive out local business and cause severe hardships for working class individuals.

Please restore Exxon's permit to produce and transport oil within Santa Barbara County immediately as our local economy is at risk of collapse. I have managed and operated flower growing nurseries in Carpinteria/Santa Barbara County for 15 years and have established very close relationships with all of the workers. These astronomical gas prices have a dramatic impact on our workers' ability to put food on their tables and to be able to afford to commute into Carpinteria for work. In addition to the suffering of our workers, we as an employer face similar challenges and are struggling to survive. If something isn't done immediately, we will be forced to go out of business and Santa Barbara County will lose one of the last standing flower operations.

I desperately urge you to consider this request.

Kind thanks,  
Casey Rosenberg  
(805) 220-8055  
[casey.m.martin@gmail.com](mailto:casey.m.martin@gmail.com)

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Eric Rosenberg <rosenberg\_e@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 6, 2022 11:06 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** URGENT: Santa Barbara County Oil Production & Transportation - Exxon  
**Attachments:** Carpinteria Chevron

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

To Santa Barbara County Supervisors:

I truly support the notion of Exxon resuming oil production and transportation within Santa Barbara County. The need for energy independence in Santa Barbara County is a MUST especially when gas prices will be exceeding \$6 per gallon this week (Carpinteria Chevron picture attached). Our local economy can NOT continue to survive without oil production as these current prices will further drive out local business and cause severe hardships for working class individuals.

Please restore Exxon's permit to produce and transport oil within Santa Barbara County immediately as our local economy is at risk of collapse.

Eric Rosenberg  
(562) 477-8522  
[rosenberg\\_e@yahoo.com](mailto:rosenberg_e@yahoo.com)

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** bruce sutherland <bsutherland5@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 6, 2022 9:27 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Crude Oil Transport

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Which route is used now to transport all of this oil?

Thank you,  
Bruce Sutherland  
Pasadena, CA.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Thomas Knecht (th.pa.knecht@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 6, 2022 8:55 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Thomas Knecht  
745 Sandydale Dr  
Nipomo, CA 93444  
th.pa.knecht@gmail.com  
(801) 450-2959

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** John Cunningham <drjohn@marinbenefits.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 6, 2022 4:12 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Please say NO to Exxon Trucking

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Good day supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to vote against the Exxon request to truck oil through Santa Barbara County. This is a very dangerous plan that will undoubtedly lead to catastrophic damage to the fragile Santa Barbara ecosystem when the eventual traffic accident occurs with a loaded oil truck.

Repairing the pipe line that caused the last disaster in 2015 should be the priority of all involved rather than ignoring it and seeking a work around that is not in the best interest of the people of Santa Barbara. At a time that many of the old wells are being decommissioned and prepared for removal the best thing to do is get in step and stop more oil production locally and preserve the beauty of Santa Barbara that you all enjoy daily.

The oil of a catastrophic spill will be all over the hands of the parties who allowed this dangerous trucking scheme. Do not put your hands into that disaster in the making.

Vote NO on Exxon Trucking

Thank you,

John Cunningham  
87 Skyline Circle, Santa Barbara

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Rob Mohle (rlmohle@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 6, 2022 5:26 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

Please vote to stop Exxon from trucking oil and petroleum products on our highways.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Rob Mohle  
PO Box 708  
Avila Beach, CA 93424  
rlmohle@gmail.com  
(805) 801-3355

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Sara Scofield (sbsarasco@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 6, 2022 6:09 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

Allowing so many tanker trucks on the 101 and 166 is a DISASTER WAITING TO HAPPEN?AGAIN!!

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Sara Scofield  
1236 Castillo Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101  
sbsarasco@msn.com  
(805) 452-3904

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.



**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Jefferson Ledgerwood <jefferson.ledgerwood@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 6, 2022 8:32 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Let Exxon resume Oil Production

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

To Whom it May Concern:

Isn't it time to stop supporting Putin and the Russian invasion. Every dollar that we send them for oil matters. So let's be energy independent and let Exxon resume oil production today.

Thank you.

Jefferson Ledgerwood  
Santa Barbara County resident and US Citizen

--

Jeff  
(805) 405-7561

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Marjorie Rogalski <marjorie890@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 6, 2022 8:41 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** request to restart operations at Hondo, Harmony and Heritage oil platforms

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

To the County of Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors:

Recent record temperatures, wildfires, drought and other extreme climate events make it very clear that our planet can not sustain our lives or the lives of other animals if we continue to emit CO<sub>2</sub> at current and predicted levels. DO NOT approve reactivation of extraction and shipping of fossil fuels by the Honda, Harmony and Heritage oil platforms. Extraction and shipping of this product is a danger to every Californian, every American, every person and living creature on Earth. Do NOT authorize extraction or use of more fossil fuels. Life on earth demands transition to renewables as fast as possible.

Marjorie Rogalski  
1280 Via Brigitte  
Santa Barbara 93111

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Joanne D (jdf333@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 6, 2022 8:56 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Joanne D  
664 Canterbury Ln  
Cambria, CA 93428  
jdf333@icloud.com  
(559) 580-4327

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Debra Herring <deb4nb@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 6, 2022 10:41 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Dangerous ExxonMobil Proposal

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Greetings All:

The dangerous ExxonMobil proposal should absolutely be denied.

It is too much of a risk and will threaten people, wildlife, and our environment! Haven't we had enough oil spills already? Please vote no!

Sincerely,

Debra Herring  
Santa Barbara

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** T. Rodriguez-Gallanders <arod0540@sbcglobal.net>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 6, 2022 11:04 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** EXXON - OIL INDEPENDENCE

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

TO: Santa Barbara County Supervisors

Please support Exxon resuming oil production in Santa Barbara County. Exxon's production will almost replace all the oil California is purchasing from Russia/Putin.

We want local production, lower prices, and energy independence!

Teresa L. Rodriguez  
Registered Voter  
Lompoc, CA

Sent from AT&T Yahoo Mail on Android

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Morgan family <morganfamily1@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 7:48 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Exxon's request to restart offshore oil platforms

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear County Supervisors,

ExxonMobil, a company with a horrible environmental track record, is seeking to restart platforms Hondo, Harmony & Heritage. These offshore operations have been shut down since the devastating 2015 Plains Pipeline oil spill. As our country and the world desperately needs to address the climate crisis, now is **not** the time to restart platforms that will also threaten marine life.

On top of that, Exxon then wants to truck more than 460,000 gallons of oil daily along Highway 101 and narrow Route 166, for up to seven years. Tanker trucks are one of the riskiest ways to transport oil: 87 tanker truck crashes have occurred in California in the last 22 years, 14 of which were in Santa Barbara County, leaving 59 people injured, 28 people dead and spilling over 100,000 gallons of oil!!

I am a resident of Goleta and someone who appreciates and respects the beauty of our fragile coastline and inland areas. I urge you to not approve ExxonMobil's reckless plan to restart these three platforms and to dangerously transport oil through our county! Thank you for voting to protect our environment as well as the wildlife and human residents who share Santa Barbara County.

Sincerely,

Carter Morgan ([morganfamily1@cox.net](mailto:morganfamily1@cox.net))

Goleta, CA

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Jackie Ellis Bradford <Jackie1727@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 7:32 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Exxon Mobil Trucking Proposal

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Please do not approve this terrible plan. The oil industry has a terrible record in regards to our environment. Their plan is a dangerous, extremely polluting, will generate significant wear and tear to our roads and highways.

If Santa Barbara is truly about protecting our climate, public safety, and our land and watersheds the council will vote this proposal down.

Sincerely,  
Jackie Ellis

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Danielle Thomas <daniellemariethomas@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 7:24 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Please vote NO on Exxon trucking plan

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear County Supervisors,

In the interest of public health, I encourage you to vote NO on the upcoming Exxon trucking proposal. The evidence has clearly shown that tanker trucks are a risky way to transport oil and the route passes through both heavily populated areas and precious natural areas, thus posing risks to our county citizens, wildlife, our water supply, and our local agriculture.

Best,

Danielle Thomas, MD



## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Tristan Partridge <tristan.partridge@ucsb.edu>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 4:23 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Public Comment for Meeting 8 March 2022: Deny ExxonMobil's Oil Trucking Project

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

TO:  
Clerk of the Board [via [email: sbcob@countyofsb.org](mailto:sbcob@countyofsb.org)]

FAO  
Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors

PUBLIC COMMENT for Meeting on 8 March 2022:  
Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors Hearing on the Exxon Trucking Project

Agenda Item:  
Consider recommendations regarding the Planning Commission's recommendation for denial of the ExxonMobil Interim Trucking for Santa Ynez Unit Phased Restart Project (Case No. 17RVP-00000-00081)

I submit this comment in order to urge the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors to deny the ExxonMobil Interim Trucking project — that is, to uphold the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission to recommend denial of this project (Case No. 17RVP-00000-00081).

For all of us, for the rest of our lives (and for future generations), the effects of climate change are only going to worsen — unless immediate action is taken to halt the expansion of fossil fuel extraction and consumption.

I urge you to use the power and influence of your office with wisdom, care, and responsibility — and to deny the Exxon oil trucking project.

This oil trucking project presents unacceptable (and potentially irreversible) risks at many scales.

At the local scale, we know (based on solid evidence from across the USA) that road tanker trucks are the most dangerous way to transport oil.

These dangers are amplified when the travel routes are along our county's beautiful roads - including the scenic coastal highway which is the northbound emergency escape route for hundreds of thousands of people.

This trucking proposal is extremely dangerous. I am deeply concerned about the risks these many trucking journeys present to our collective health, safety, and well-being - risks including explosions, oil leaks, igniting wildfires. All of those eventualities are disasters that oil tankers cause each and every day.

At the regional scale, this proposed project threatens our invaluable oceans with the risk of oil spills.

The oil platforms that ExxonMobil want to restart belong to a bygone era - relatively ancient technology which is no longer appropriate for the contemporary world.

These very old drilling platforms were built in the 1970s. Again, we know (based on solid evidence from around the world) that it is a question of when, not if, there will another spill. The next inevitable spill might be small, or it might be

catastrophic in scale, and the risk increases each day due to the age of these industrial installations. Most offshore platforms are in the process of being decommissioned - which is the only responsible future for these three platforms too.

At the global scale, the ongoing extraction and combustion of fossil fuels is the primary driver of climate change, the effects of which are already destroying homes and cherished areas of California, devastating human lives, wildlife habitats, and entire sets of ecosystem relationships.

All of these distressing changes will only worsen in our lifetimes unless those with the power to make important decisions right now choose to do the right thing, which is to halt limit fossil fuel production and consumption wherever and however we can.

Exxon's facilities were the largest source of climate pollution in Santa Barbara County before the Refugio disaster. With the risk of fires and other climate disasters, Santa Barbara County needs to reduce carbon dependence and to shift to clean energy options. In order to make these necessary changes, it is vital that you, please, deny the Exxon oil trucking proposal.

Sincerely,  
Tristan Partridge.

--

Tristan Partridge, Ph.D.  
Research Fellow  
Institute for Social, Behavioral and Economic Research  
University of California, Santa Barbara

[tristan.partridge@ucsb.edu](mailto:tristan.partridge@ucsb.edu)

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Philip Gallanders <pgallanders@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 7:04 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Drilling

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

I respectfully request that at tonight's meeting Supervisor meeting, you all vote in favor of Exxon's drilling of oil in Santa Barbara County.

I for one am done with supply shortages and unnecessarily high gasoline prices brought on by poor decisions.

Let us stop enriching Putin, and start serving the people.

Best regards,  
Philip Gallanders  
Lompoc, CA, 93436

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Sandra S Mezzio <sandymv@me.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 6:57 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** No oil, please

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello.

I am asking that you deny ExxonMobil's request to resume oil production/ transport.

We have all seen the devastating effects of spills.

There has been no forward development of technology to deal with these spills.

If we cannot deal with the consequences, we absolutely should not allow this.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully,  
Sandra Mezzio

Sent from my iPhone

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** John Bury <johnburysb@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 6:55 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** No to Exxon Trucking

**Caution:** This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

We don't need to go backwards. Do not permit the extra oil trucks to risk lives in our county and add to further climate stress.

John Bury  
315 N Ontare  
Santa Barbara  
93105

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Marvin Sperlin <gmyourmap@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 6:21 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Vote No on ExxonMobil

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Board,

The oil trucking proposal is plainly wrong for our community and environment. Please vote No.

Thank you,

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Mark Teixeira <mark@teixeirafarms.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 4:10 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** FW: Restart SYU - Safe & Local Transport

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

The Honorable Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support a restart of the Sant Ynez Unit. Not only does the SYU positively contribute to local economic activity, local oil production will also reduce greenhouse gasses by not requiring overseas transport.

Recently, many local and national politicians have recognized Putin is funded by oil and gas revenues, and these politicians have urged people to vote with their pocketbooks to fight Putin. Over 30% of Santa Barbara County residents live in unincorporated communities, and more in southern San Luis Obispo County, requiring commutes, where alternate or public transportation is not practical. It is unreasonable to ask constituents not to purchase fuel as they go about their daily lives that have already been upended by a global pandemic.

We elect political leaders to serve our best interests. You have a unique opportunity to take a stance against Putin on our behalf by limiting our reliance on foreign oil, and reducing greenhouse gas emissions, while creating local jobs and increasing funding for local services. While a restart will take time, the decision to approve Exxon's trucking permit now will only help us down the road.

Thank you,

Mark Teixeira  
Teixeira Farms  
805.310.3351

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** brandon - Dragonette Cellars <brandon@dragonettecellars.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 8:45 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** 3/8 Agenda Item Comment: ExxonMobil Oil Trucking Proposal: VOTE NO!

**Caution:** This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Planning Commissioners,

This is a comment in regards to the ExxonMobil proposal to restart the three oil platforms and to truck the oil along route 101. This proposal is fatally flawed, extremely risky, and must be denied.

As a local resident and frequent visitor to the beaches along the Gaviota Coast, I am extremely concerned about this proposal, and heartily encourage you to deny it. The Plains Pipeline Refugio spill still rings in my memory. For years after this spill (which was caused by blatant neglect) I encountered remnant patches of oil, and personally witnessed damage to beaches and wildlife; from Refugio to El Capitan all the way down to Naples. The clean up efforts from this spill were subpar, and they show the lack of respect that the industry has for our beautiful coastline.

The proposal to increase trucking of oil along that same stretch of the 101 is simply not worth the risk, and it must be denied. Sadly, the industry has proven their failure to provide adequate safety measures (just review the Plains Pipeline event for a prime example), and this proposal must be firmly denied.

Sincerely,

Brandon Sparks-Gillis  
Solvang, CA

brandon sparks-gillis  
Dragonette Cellars  
Mobile: (805) 722-0226  
Mailing Address      Tasting Room  
PO Box 1932            2445 Alamo Pintado Ave  
Santa Ynez, CA 93460    Los Olivos, CA 93441



## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Kim S.O. <aquaaltaproductions@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 8:41 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil's proposal

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Please don't grant Exxon Mobil's proposal to restart three off shore oil platforms in Santa Barbara. What makes Santa Barbara special is its natural environment, specifically its coastline. It is the reason our town is so loved and it's the reason we all live here. Please don't risk harming our pristine coast by adding more unsightly oil platforms, increasing risk of spills and traffic accidents of the transportation of oil as well as the risk of running the natural habitats we have for migrating birds, seals, sea lions, sea otters, dolphins, and whales.

Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,  
Kim Smi

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Jay Licata <Jay.Licata.533137116@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 7:23 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution:** This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I ask that the Board of Supervisors approve the temporary trucking permit that will enable ExxonMobil to get their operations restarted at the Santa Ynez Unit (SYU). SYU has been permitted and has operated safely for 40 years. Thankfully, Santa Barbara has some of the strictest regulations around oil production in the nation, and local production means fewer carbon impacts than importing oil from foreign countries.

The employees at SYU, or at least those that haven't lost their jobs from the facility being forced to shut down, live and raise their families in our community so they care as much as any of us that they are meeting high safety standards. Many of them were laid off or relocated during the 7 years SYU has been forced to halt operations and await County action for the opportunity to get their jobs back.

Allowing a temporary trucking permit until there is a pipeline available will have less environmental impact than importing oil from foreign countries that have lower environmental standards. Keeping oil production local will reduce greenhouse gas emissions. It will also enable SYU to restart and bring back good-paying jobs at a time when we so need them. I hope the County will see reason and approve this permit to get SYU back up and running.

Regards,  
Jay Licata  
1544 Echidna Pl  
Ventura, CA 93003

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Rachel Altman <Rachel.Altman.91839046@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 9:13 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Case No. 17RVP-00000-00081: Deny ExxonMobil Interim Trucking

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Supervisors,

As a Santa Barbara County resident, I'm writing to urge the Board to deny ExxonMobil's Interim Trucking for SYU Phased Restart Project, case number 17RVP-00000-00081. Trucking oil is inherently dangerous, and this project poses unacceptable risks to our highways and public lands.

Transporting up to 70 truckloads of oil per day, 7 days per week on a dangerous highway through some of the county's most environmentally sensitive areas is an environmental, public health, and safety hazard.

Both U.S. Highway 101 along the coast and the two-lane State Route 166 that winds through the mountains are especially dangerous, reporting numerous fatal and serious accidents every year. California Highway Patrol data show that there were 216 trucking accidents along the route from 2015 to 2020, resulting in nine deaths and 92 injuries. In 2020, a tanker truck crashed along State Route 166 spilling more than 4,500 gallons of oil into the Cuyama River near the Los Padres National Forest. These accidents can cause also cause fires, explosions, and they can damage roads and properties, pollute waterways, and kill or harm wildlife.

Oil spills along the proposed route pose a threat to the Cuyama River, Carrizo Plains Ecological Reserve, Carrizo Plain National Monument, and Los Padres National Forest. These public lands provide critical habitat for threatened or endangered species including the southern California steelhead, California tiger salamander, arroyo toad, California red-legged frog, California condor, giant kangaroo rat, San Joaquin kit fox, mountain lion, and others. Spilled oil lingers in the environment for years and can continue harming wildlife long after cleanup teams have finished their work.

We need to end dirty drilling off our coast, not restart platforms and invite a steady stream of tanker trucks onto our roadways and through our most sensitive wildlife habitats.

I urge you to protect our coastal community, public lands, and climate by denying this permit.

Regards,  
Rachel Altman  
1383 Sycamore Canyon Rd  
Santa Barbara, CA 93108

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** ERIK BAKER <ERIK.BAKER.533015913@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 11:35 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution:** This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for ExxonMobil's interim trucking permit.

The significant impact this project will have cannot be understated – while we transition to a greener economy, people are still going to use oil and gas in their daily lives. Oil produced in Santa Barbara stays in California, so it's better that we produce it here where we are less vulnerable to fuel shortages and price spikes, and where it is heavily regulated to protect the environment, rather than the global impact of importing oil from overseas.

We at Brinderson have worked with ExxonMobil for many years and are very confident that the SYU Facility staff will be as diligent in their commitment to environmental and safety standards as they have always been. I wanted to reiterate the county staff's determination that there is no significant risk to public safety under this project. A reasonable alternative to mitigate risks has been put forward in the rainy-day alternative, and we commend and support the county's dedication to public safety and adhering to California's strict environmental regulations.

The safety and security of ExxonMobil's employees and contractors has always been a top priority at the SYU facility. The draft SEIR includes lists of mitigations and safety measure that the SYU Facility will do to reduce the risk of an incident that will be adhered to with the upmost diligence by all.

In 2016, ExxonMobil demonstrated their ability to transport crude oil safely and properly without a single incident or spill. The SYU facility, employees, and contractors are known for their dedication and commitment to safety preparedness. I personally would love to see my family, friends, and colleagues come back home and their families flourish again while working in such a safe and caring facility.

Moreover, given the County's budget depletion, it is critical that we restore funding for schools, fire and public safety services in addition to hundreds of local jobs.

Please read my comment into the record.

I hope that you will approve this project's permit. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,  
ERIK BAKER  
9711 Jersey Shore Dr  
Bakersfield, CA 93314

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** KIKI LYON <KIKI.LYON.532995205@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 11:36 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

The Board of Supervisors should approve the temporary trucking permit needed to restart ExxonMobil's Santa Ynez Unit (SYU). During the development of the SEIR, dozens of impacts were taken into consideration, including community impacts. The proposed trucking routes for transporting oil from the Santa Ynez Unit have been planned and proposed with safety in mind. Trucking from SYU will be limited during peak rush hours and there will be no trucking on Calle Real during school bus hours. In addition to the significant financial benefits restarting SYU would bring to the County, this focus on safety and SYU's history of operational safety makes this permit right for Santa Barbara County.

As a local contractor, by approving this permit, it would increase our work load allowing us to hire more employees, all of which live in Ventura & Santa Barbara counties. Over half of our employees are minorities and in 2021 the average hourly rate was \$38.22 per hour. That is over double CA's minimum wage. Approving the permit would add great paying jobs to locals!

Regards,  
KIKI LYON  
380 W Stanley Ave  
Ventura, CA 93001

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Brian Smith <Brian.Smith.532914529@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 11:38 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a long time resident of Santa Barbara County, I am writing to express my support for ExxonMobil's temporary trucking permit. I strongly encourage your approval of this permit in order to bring back jobs and revenue for County Services and areas of the community that are more underfunded than Districts with majority control, but minority representation.

I have personally seen the impacts of the absence of tax revenue in the underfunding of the schools my children attend and the first responder services in the area in which I live. Restarting ExxonMobil's Santa Ynez Unit will generate significant tax revenues for some of our most important services:

- \$800,000 to the Santa Barbara County Fire Protection Districts
- \$1.98 million to the County General Fund for public safety and other vital services
- \$4.51 million per year to fund local K-14 schools

It is a critical time to support our local community and our nation as a whole. In these uncertain times, the need for US energy independence (or as close to that goal as possible) is at an all time high. Your approval of this project demonstrates a tangible first step towards reliance on available, national resources versus being at the mercy of unstable foreign markets.

This project is a great way to restart a historically safe operation that is already permitted to operate and to provide resources to the nation's reserves that will be needed in the very near future.

Please read my comment into the record.

Thank you for your consideration.

Regards,  
Brian Smith  
101 Dressler Ave  
Santa Maria, CA 93454

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Kira Krukowski <kmkrukow@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 9:11 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon Trucking Plan

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Santa Barbara County Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gasses were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Kira Krukowski

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Alan Strout <pastoralan@fumcsb.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 9:07 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking plan

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Santa Barbara County Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gasses were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.  
Sincerely,

Alan



## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** fionnuala latourrette (flatourrette@ucsb.edu) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 9:04 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

the last oil spill was something so detrimental to the community that we are still talking about it today, and I do not want the danger of anything like that happening ever again so the drilling should absolutely not be resumed. it is not a question of whether it is bad or not - we know without question that we should not allow exxon to do this.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

fionnuala latourrette  
6509 picasso road  
goleta, CA 93117  
flatourrette@ucsb.edu  
(408) 996-1761

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Alan Deal <Alan.Deal.533226991@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 5:50 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

It is time to rethink Santa Barbara County's approach to rejuvenating our local economy. It is critical that the County supports economic activity that gets people back to work and supports our public services during this time of shutdown.

ExxonMobil's interim trucking project will have an overwhelmingly positive impact on our local economy. The SYU Restart will bring back well-paying and family-supporting jobs, bring home workers displaced after SYU shut down, and generate over \$7 million in revenue for underserved schools, public safety and other vital County services.

The impending budget deficit in the face of a continued economic shutdown is a scary reality for many families and approving ExxonMobil's permit application is a concrete step that will help alleviate stress across the County budget and residents alike. I politely request that you vote to approve this project!

Please read my comment into the record.

Sincerely,

Regards,  
Alan Deal  
318 Pilgrim Ave  
Taft, CA 93268

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** EUGENIA HOYNE <eugenia38@me.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 9:00 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking plan

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gasses were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,  
Eugenia Hoyne

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Brandon Jones <Brandon.Jones.533227800@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 6:02 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Santa Barbara County needs the Santa Ynez Unit to restart as soon as possible to get people back to work and get families that have been separated back together. SYU was forced to shut down in 2015, which has resulted in the loss of good paying jobs from community members and tax revenues that we critically need. If SYU restarts, there could be tax revenues reaching \$7 million per year that will go to schools, fire, and public safety at a time when we face a likely recession. This is no small amount to consider, as the County looks for revenues where they can to keep from a potential budget shortfall.

SYU had hundreds of high-paying jobs before the shutdown. Over the past six years, workers had to be laid off, or were relocated and are commuting over continents away from their families for extended periods in order to provide for them. It's time to restart SYU and bring those workers back to their families.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to approve the temporary trucking permit so SYU can get back online.

Regards,  
Brandon Jones  
9201 Camino Media  
Bakersfield, CA 93311

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Jose Jimenez <Jose.Jimenez.533228106@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 6:11 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I ask you to approve the temporary trucking permit that will allow the Santa Ynez Unit (SYU) to restart and get their employees back to work. SYU has operated in our County safely for 40 years. The majority of their 300 employees and contractors live and raise their families in our County and care about their communities. Not to mention that oil and gas produced here is done so under some of the strictest rules and regulations in the country, so it makes sense to stop relying on importing oil from faraway countries that have lower environmental protections. We will continue to need oil and gas for our daily lives and it makes sense to ensure it's done in the most responsible way.

The trucking route will only have 4-6 trucks on the road per day and will go through Buellton and Santa Maria; both of the city councils in those cities have passed resolutions in support of the trucking permit.

It is important to the workers and families that had to be relocated or laid off as a result of the SYU shut-in that Santa Barbara County approves this trucking permit. We need the good-paying jobs and economic activity that restarting SYU will bring as we try to recover from the pandemic.

Regards,  
Jose Jimenez  
12419 Schooner Beach Dr  
Bakersfield, CA 93311

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Penny Odom <podom1800@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 8:53 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Exxon Mobile

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Let me state my opposition to the Exxon Mobile proposal to truck oil through the 166 and the 101 at high volume for 7 years.

Anyone who has driven to 166 knows that it presents multiple opportunities for catastrophe. The Gaviota pass is bad enough when the weather is fair. In a windstorm it has a well earned reputation for accidents. This does not even address the added congestion (and therefore pollution) and wear and tear on our roads.

I realize this may be tempting in our current political/energy environment. Let us not confuse a solution to a short term problem with a bandaid patch to a deeper issue. Let us not sacrifice our coastal transport system for the benefit of a corporation which promises very little in return.

We need energy solutions but they lie in new technologies not in flogging the same old "fixes".

Thank you for your time.

Sent from my iPhone

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Kristen Rohm <kroh@hospiceofsb.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 8:50 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking plan

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Santa Barbara County Supervisors,

I urge you to deny Exxon's trucking plan as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

It is dangerous and not in the best interest of our county residents.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gasses were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.  
Sincerely,

Kristen Rohm

Rev. Kristen Rohm  
Spiritual Care Counselor  
805-770-0216



**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Margaret Careaga <careagamargaret@icloud.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 8:50 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Yes on Exxon Oil Trucking  
**Attachments:** IMG\_20220307\_0001.pdf

**Caution:** This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.



**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Marcie Begleiter <mdbegleiter@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 8:48 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Do not re-open offshore oil platforms!

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to reject ExxonMobil's request to re-open their offshore oil platforms.

The Central Coast of California must be protected from future oil spills, the contamination from these all too frequent events will affect our fragile environment with incalculably negative results.

The health of our ecosystem, including plants, animals and people depend on our decisions and protection. We need to put our resources into developing renewable energy, not fall back on dirty fossil fuels.

Please listen to the community and do not allow ExxonMobil's greedy and backwards looking request to move forward!

Marcie Begleiter  
Los Osos  
San Luis Obispo County.

--

Marcie Begleiter  
Director/Writer  
"Eva Hesse"  
[www.evahessedoc.com](http://www.evahessedoc.com)

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Joan Ariel <joan.ariel@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 9:12 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking plan

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Santa Barbara County Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gasses were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Joan Ariel

540 Alegria Road

Santa Barbara 93105

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Griselda Olvera <golvera@biologicaldiversity.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 9:13 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Cc:** Theo LeQuesne  
**Subject:** Stop Risky Oil Trucking in Santa Barbara County - Center for Biological Diversity  
**Attachments:** Stop Oil Trucking in Santa Barbara - CBD.xlsx

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Hello,

Attached please find 108 comments, from supporters of the Center for Biological Diversity in regard to ExxonMobil's dangerous oil trucking plan. Thank you for your attention.

**Griselda Olvera**

Digital Organizer

Center for Biological Diversity

P.O. Box 710, Tucson, AZ 85702-0710

[www.biologicaldiversity.org](http://www.biologicaldiversity.org)

First Name	Last Name	City	State	Zip	Subject	Comment	Date Submitted
Roberta	Rudnick	Santa Barbara	CA	93105-2204	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan!	We must move away from fossil fuels if we are to survive as a species on 1/3/2022	
Jenna	Tosh	Santa Barbara	CA	93108-1044	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 3/2/2022	
David	Walker	Santa Barbara	CA	93105-2662	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I strongly, adamantly, and fervently urge you to follow the Santa Barbara 2/28/2022	
Lee	Heller	Santa Barbara	CA	93109-1136	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	Please support the Planning Commission's recommendation to deny Exo 2/28/2022	
Sarah	Hearon	Santa Barbara	CA	93103-1933	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I trust you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Theresa	Yandell	Santa Barbara	CA	93105-4421	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	As a longtime resident of Santa Barbara, I urge you to follow the Santa Ba 2/28/2022	
Mary	Wiener	Carpinteria	CA	93013-3076	Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan!	Please I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commissio 2/28/2022	
Nancy	Martin	Santa Barbara	CA	93105-3500	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	Hello friends! I thought that we had rejected these huge, dangerous, oil t 3/1/2022	
Melissa	Riparetti-Stepien	Santa Barbara	CA	93105-2337	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Barbara	Wishingrad	Santa Barbara	CA	93101-6256	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Gail	Tinsley	Goleta	CA	93117-1004	Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan!	Please, follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's common: 2/28/2022	
Valerie	Ropelato	Santa Barbara	CA	93111-1825	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	As a resident of Santa Barbara and someone who dedicates time and ener 2/28/2022	
Heather	Brophy	Santa Barbara	CA	93109-1313	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	'HELL NO', I WAS ONE OF THE ONES WHO DID CLEAN UP ON OUR BEACH! 2/28/2022	
Toigo	Katelyn	Goleta	CA	93117-5357	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	As a Santa Barbara County resident, I urge you to follow the Santa Barbar. 2/28/2022	
Sarah	McLean	Santa Barbara	CA	93111-2526	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Maureen	Ellenberger	Santa Barbara	CA	93109-2070	After reading the UN Climate Change Report	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 3/1/2022	
Rose	Santellano-Milem	Santa Barbara	CA	93110-1039	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 3/1/2022	
Linda	Kollofski	Santa Barbara	CA	93105-4034	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 3/1/2022	
Diane	Gould	Santa Barbara	CA	93105-4817	As a camper and kayaker, I particularly appr	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 3/1/2022	
Nancy	Hutterer	Santa Barbara	CA	93105-2913	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 3/1/2022	
Deborah	MCGOWAN	Santa Barbara	CA	93105-2204	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 3/2/2022	
Jennifer	Reinisch	Santa Barbara	CA	93110-1366	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Arnie	Schildhaus	Santa Barbara	CA	93110-1392	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Adrienne	Metter	Santa Barbara	CA	93105-2510	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Michelle	Sparks-Gillis	Solvang	CA	93463-3031	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Carlos	Arnold	Santa Maria	CA	93455-2329	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Rebecca	Carey	Santa Maria	CA	93454-1567	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Camille	Gilbert	Santa Barbara	CA	93101-4045	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Laura	Leifer	Santa Ynez	CA	93460-0160	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Andrew	Philpot	Solvang	CA	93463-2784	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
John	Kirk	Santa Barbara	CA	93109-1978	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Stephen	Holland	Santa Barbara	CA	93105-4506	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 3/1/2022	
Nancy	Heck	Santa Maria	CA	93454-6648	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Pam	Toner	Santa Maria	CA	93455-2877	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 3/2/2022	
Jerid	Anderson	Santa Maria	CA	93455-2396	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Martin	Henderson	Goleta	CA	93117-2859	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Martha	Siegel	Santa Barbara	CA	93105-5424	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Dennis	Allen	Santa Barbara	CA	93101-1289	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Brett	Garrett	Goleta	CA	93117-2944	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Linda	Brophy	Santa Barbara	CA	93105-3479	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Vic	Cox	Goleta	CA	93117-1905	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 3/1/2022	
Tatjana	Patitz	Santa Barbara	CA	93150-5413	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Kathy	Kosinski	Goleta	CA	93117-1500	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Irene	Cooke	Goleta	CA	93117-6266	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Judith	Falck-Madsen	Carpinteria	CA	93013	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Lisa Ann	Kelly and Family	Santa Barbara	CA	93101-1021	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Eric	Anches	Santa Maria	CA	93454	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Paul	Ramos	Santa Ynez	CA	93460-9106	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Michelle	Profant	Goleta	CA	93117-2435	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
anthony	montapert	Santa Maria	CA	93455-2383	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Edith	Ogella	Santa Barbara	CA	93111-2847	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Robin	Van Tassel	Summerland	CA	93067-0641	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Lisabette	Brinkman	Santa Barbara	CA	93101-1304	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Teri	Herbst	Solvang	CA	93463-2014	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Ronit	Corry	Santa Barbara	CA	93101-4617	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Roberta	Weissglass	Santa Barbara	CA	93160-1654	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Marjorie	Rogalski	Santa Barbara	CA	93111-1372	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 3/2/2022	
Mary K	Stolz	Santa Barbara	CA	93108-2881	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Penelope	Cooper-Kelley	Santa Barbara	CA	93105-2743	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Rich	Moser	Santa Barbara	CA	93111-2718	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Michael	Frey	Santa Barbara	CA	93130-0444	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 3/1/2022	
Jean	Cheesman	Santa Barbara	CA	93103-2127	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Craig	Markson	Santa Barbara	CA	93101-3107	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 3/1/2022	
Charlotte	Mountain	Santa Barbara	CA	93103-2368	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Stephanie	Glatt	Buellton	CA	93427-6821	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Richard	Dovgin	Santa Barbara	CA	93105-3048	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Veronica	Aguirre-Dutton	Carpinteria	CA	93013-1857	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Ellen	Hamilton	Goleta	CA	93117-2953	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 3/1/2022	
Jasha	Stanberry	Santa Barbara	CA	93108-2737	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
CHRISTIAN	SEATON	Santa Barbara	CA	93101-4651	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Eric	Stiff	Los Alamos	CA	93440-0907	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Lila	Trachtenberg	Santa Barbara	CA	93105-5451	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Dwight	Lowell	Santa Barbara	CA	93108-1547	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 3/1/2022	
Joy	Pratt	Somis	CA	93066-9719	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 3/1/2022	
Paul	Marceau	Santa Barbara	CA	93108-2705	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Martha	Aubin	Santa Barbara	CA	93109-1223	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 3/1/2022	
Connie	Marquez	Santa Barbara	CA	93110-1015	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Robin	Hutchinson	Santa Barbara	CA	93108-1132	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Marge	Schwartz	Santa Barbara	CA	93101-3128	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Barbara	Root	Santa Barbara	CA	93108-2413	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Erin	McCune	Goleta	CA	93117-2157	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Bill	Woodbridge	Santa Barbara	CA	93111-2020	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 3/1/2022	
Therese	Blake	Santa Maria	CA	93455-2614	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Sage	Gerson	Goleta	CA	93117-4148	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 3/1/2022	
Janet	Haas	Santa Barbara	CA	93105-5206	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
P	Foley	Santa Barbara	CA	93105-2662	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Lynn	Mayeda	Goleta	CA	93117-1305	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Angelen	Kildare	Santa Barbara	CA	93103-2402	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Elizabeth	Weber	Santa Barbara	CA	93109-1926	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Layne	Wheeler	Santa Barbara	CA	93105-4510	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Marla	Marshall	Santa Barbara	CA	93109-1835	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Robert	Wilkinson	Santa Barbara	CA	93103-2870	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Leslie	Roche	Santa Barbara	CA	93105-3461	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Robert	Keats	Santa Barbara	CA	93101-3071	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 3/1/2022	
Marla	Feierabend	Santa Barbara	CA	93109-1835	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Judy	Stewart	Santa Barbara	CA	93108-1524	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	
Janet	Graham	Santa Barbara	CA	93111-1856	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's cor 2/28/2022	

Leah	Findler	Solvang	CA	93463-9727	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's corr 2/28/2022
Lindsay	Kolasa	Santa Barbara	CA	93109-1326	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's con 2/28/2022
Keli	Vaughan	Santa Barbara	CA	93101-3441	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's corr 2/28/2022
Hannes	Brunner	Santa Barbara	CA	93109-1258	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's corr 3/3/2022
Felicia	Saunders	Goleta	CA	93117-2512	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's corr 2/28/2022
Emily	Cohen	Goleta	CA	93117-4421	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's corr 2/28/2022
Julie	Sullwold	Santa Barbara	CA	93109-2123	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's corr 3/5/2022
Katherine	Pietrucha	Goleta	CA	93117-4816	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's corr 2/28/2022
Kate	von der Lieth	Goleta	CA	93117-9717	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's corr 2/28/2022
Pearl	Zalon	Santa Barbara	CA	93110-2426	Please Reject Exxon's Oil-Trucking Plan	I urge you to follow the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission's corr 3/1/2022

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Mary Smith <msmith@smithnyc.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 9:43 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Exxon proposal

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Please DENY Exxon's proposal to restart oil platforms in SB waters.

Mary Hilderman Smith and  
Joel Ungar

Sent from my iPhone

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Don & Sally Webb <sdwebb@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 9:39 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking plan

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Santa Barbara County Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gasses were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely, Sally and Donald Webb, 621 Cowles Rd, Santa Barbara, CA 93108

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Jose Bocanegra <Jose.Bocanegra.533379334@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 6, 2022 11:50 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Santa Barbara County needs the SYU restart to preserve equitable public services. With the pandemic having a negative impact on the county's budget, the County must consider additional sources of revenue.

Even before the coronavirus, the SYU shutdown had a critical effect on the ability of the county to provide services to certain regions. For the 10 years prior to the shutdown, ExxonMobil paid \$45 million in taxes to the county – funds that went directly to local schools, public safety, and other important county services.

Santa Ynez Valley High School alone could receive \$900,000 yearly from the SYU restart – that is an additional \$900 per student. Those funds could cover the hiring of additional teachers and staff at public school in addition to helping fix the structural deficit.

Meanwhile, over 98% of students at Allan Hancock Community College come from the local area, and the school disperses more than \$20 million in financial aid per year. Funding from the SYU restart could offer more opportunity for north county locals to attain the education they deserve.

The fact is, while we transition to a greener economy, people are still going to use oil and gas in their daily lives. Oil produced in Santa Barbara stays in California along with the jobs and tax revenue it creates. The SYU restart provides the opportunity to maintain equity in the County's services while delivering an important resource to our economy

Regards,  
Jose Bocanegra  
2701 Fountain Dr  
Bakersfield, CA 93306



**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** GAYLE HACKAMACK <ghackamack@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 9:36 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Against Exxon oil proposal

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am against Exxon pumping oil again from the Santa Barbara coast. The negative environmental impact is huge and unnecessary. You know that. Impacts of oil spills which are inevitable are just too costly in so many ways.

Please say NO to this folly.

Regards,  
Gayle Hackamack  
Santa Barbara, CA 93108

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Eileen Trujillo <Eileen.Trujillo.533337060@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 8:44 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution:** This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please read my comment into the record.

I write to you in support of ExxonMobil's interim trucking for the SYU Phased Restart Project and appreciate the opportunity to comment. The locally produced crude has a lower carbon intensity and trucking advantage compared to other operations in the region, especially the Kern/Bakersfield area. Additionally, local oil production helps us keep the money in our economy.

California's progress towards a sustainable energy future is best served by an "all of the above" energy approach, as we all share the same future.

Continuing to rely on oil imported from out of state, most of which comes from overseas in tanker ships, only increases our carbon footprint and subsequent environmental impact. The best way to maximize short-term reductions in the effects of climate change is to produce our energy here in Santa Barbara County under the strictest environmental standards in the country.

I extend my gratitude to the Board of Supervisors for its courage in considering support for ExxonMobil's interim trucking permit.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,  
Eileen Trujillo  
2436 Ridgemark Dr  
Santa Maria, CA 93455

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Monica Steiner <monicafax@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 9:32 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Please Vote NO on the proposed Exxon trucking plan

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Santa Barbara County Supervisors,

Please vote NO on this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gasses were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Monica Steiner

Santa Barbara/Goleta resident and parent for 15+years

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Rodney Wilson <Rodney.Wilson.533294923@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 5, 2022 10:33 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Santa Barbara County needs the SYU restart to bring families back to their homes and safely help our flagging economy. Prior to the 2015 shutdown, SYU employed about 200 workers and 130 contractors. For the last seven years most of these workers have been laid off or relocated. They want to come home. Safely allowing crude oil to be transported by truck until a pipeline is available can bring these families home.

These 70 trucks a day would represent only a small increase to the traffic on county roads but would bring paychecks home to local families that need them. On average, only four to six trucks will be transporting oil on Santa Barbara County roadways at any given time. ExxonMobil transported oil by truck from the Gaviota area to Santa Maria in 2016 with 2,500 deliveries over 350,000 miles without a single accident.

Santa Barbara County's thorough regulations surrounding the transport of oil allow it to be so safe. There is a robust regulatory structure in place to monitor this trucking permit, including more than a dozen agencies and more than 100 laws, rules, regulations, and policies at the local, state, and federal level. This adds up to some of the strictest permitting in the country —allowing oil to be produced and transported while protecting the value of our county's natural environmental resources. We need the SYU restart for local families.

Regards,  
Rodney Wilson  
11337 Stroke Cutters Dr  
Taft, CA 93268

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Sally H <sbhearon@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 9:17 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking plan

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Supervisors,

I believe that you know and understand the devastating consequences of trucking oil along our HWY 101 and then miles of narrow winding roads.

Thus, I trust that you will deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gasses were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Sally Hearon

Sent from my iPhone

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Dean Arrighi (dean.arrighi@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 9:47 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Dean Arrighi  
2935 Augusta St Apt 6  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401  
dean.arrighi@gmail.com  
(805) 459-3994

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Rachel Iler-Keniston <Rachel.IlerKeniston.533406063@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 6, 2022 8:30 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Case No. 17RVP-00000-00081: Deny ExxonMobil Interim Trucking

**Caution:** This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

As a Santa Barbara County resident, I'm writing to urge the Board to deny ExxonMobil's Interim Trucking for SYU Phased Restart Project, case number 17RVP-00000-00081. Trucking oil is inherently dangerous, and this project poses unacceptable risks to our highways and public lands.

Transporting up to 70 truckloads of oil per day, 7 days per week on a dangerous highway through some of the county's most environmentally sensitive areas is an environmental, public health, and safety hazard.

Both U.S. Highway 101 along the coast and the two-lane State Route 166 that winds through the mountains are especially dangerous, reporting numerous fatal and serious accidents every year. California Highway Patrol data show that there were 216 trucking accidents along the route from 2015 to 2020, resulting in nine deaths and 92 injuries. In 2020, a tanker truck crashed along State Route 166 spilling more than 4,500 gallons of oil into the Cuyama River near the Los Padres National Forest. These accidents can cause also cause fires, explosions, and they can damage roads and properties, pollute waterways, and kill or harm wildlife.

Oil spills along the proposed route pose a threat to the Cuyama River, Carrizo Plains Ecological Reserve, Carrizo Plain National Monument, and Los Padres National Forest. These public lands provide critical habitat for threatened or endangered species including the southern California steelhead, California tiger salamander, arroyo toad, California red-legged frog, California condor, giant kangaroo rat, San Joaquin kit fox, mountain lion, and others. Spilled oil lingers in the environment for years and can continue harming wildlife long after cleanup teams have finished their work.

We need to end dirty drilling off our coast, not restart platforms and invite a steady stream of tanker trucks onto our roadways and through our most sensitive wildlife habitats.

I urge you to protect our coastal community, public lands, and climate by denying this permit.

Regards,  
Rachel Iler-Keniston  
998 Camino Lindo  
Goleta, CA 93117

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** ben arbogast <ben.arbogast.533439715@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 6:36 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I would like to state my position in support of ExxonMobil's interim trucking permit application. The Santa Ynez Unit's operations were forced to halt back in 2015 after the Plains Pipeline ruptured, resulting in a depletion of critical funding for Santa Barbara County schools that serve largely low-income communities.

Specifically, the Commission should consider approving this permit application because of the economic rejuvenation will provide. The restart will infuse \$4.5 million per year into local K-14 schools, helping to alleviate their budget crunches and benefit their vocational programs that prepare youth for well-paying jobs.

Vista Del Mar School District stands to receive over \$700,000 per year – this money will go a long way to fighting their fiscal insolvency.

Santa Maria Join Union High School District would receive over \$200,000 per year. This extra funding is critical for a school where 72.9% of students receive free or reduced-cost meals.

Even Santa Barbara Unified School District would get nearly \$500,000 per year, and these three schools are only the tip of the education funding iceberg!

Our children and their families deserve fully funded educational institutions. I urge you to approve this project for future generations of Santa Barbarians.

Please read my comment into the record.

Sincerely,

Regards,  
ben arbogast  
8223 Tiara St  
Ventura, CA 93004



**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Brandon Burginger <Brandon.Burginger.533439887@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 6:38 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

The key word that I think of in regard to ExxonMobil's temporary trucking permit application is "safety". ExxonMobil has been operating in Santa Barbara County safely since 1988 and would continue to do so with the ability to restart provided by this permit. In addition to the numerous safeguards promised by ExxonMobil, restarting the Santa Ynez Unit would bolster Santa Barbara safety infrastructure by providing additional funding for both fire and police. Specifically, the Santa Barbara County Fire Department would receive an additional \$800,000 per year in tax revenue from a full restart of SYU, which could help hire additional staff and improve response times within the County. I encourage the Board of Supervisors to approve this permit so that the Santa Barbara community can continue to be safe.

Regards,  
Brandon Burginger  
2803 Industrial Pkwy  
Santa Maria, CA 93455

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Traci Wilson <Traci.Wilson.533445367@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 7:15 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing this letter to express my support for ExxonMobil's interim trucking permit.

I politely request that the Board of Supervisors vote to approve this permit.

I would like to thank the County for putting together a thorough analysis for this temporary trucking permit and I believe you have appropriately determined the baseline for this project. This permit will allow production to restart while adhering to California's strict environmental regulations contained in the California Environmental Quality Act.

ExxonMobil has the ability to restart its SYU facilities at any time without approval by decision makers by availing itself of transportation options already allowed under its current permit. However, these alternative transportation options are less ideal than trucking as they include transporting by rail and marine, which are not the County's preferred methods of transport. The trucking project includes minor modifications to the ExxonMobil Santa Ynez Unit facilities so that they can resume partial production and temporarily transport crude to refineries until a pipeline alternative becomes available.

The temporary trucking permit will increase supplies of local energy, which will reduce the use of foreign imported oil and will help serve the large and existing demand for petroleum products. Approving this temporary trucking permit will also offset existing trucks that are taking longer and riskier routes.

Please read my comment into the record.

Thank you for this consideration and your attention to this important issue.

Sincerely,

Regards,  
Traci Wilson  
8616 Cape Flattery Dr  
Bakersfield, CA 93312

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** S T <tsbecker069@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 10:48 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Public comment, item D3, BOS meeting of 3/8/22

**Caution:** This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

3/7/22

- 1- The existing damaged Plains pipeline already had approval to be repaired.
- 2- Exxon-Mobil requested Plains install a new, smaller diameter pipeline. A smaller pipeline will reduce Exxon-Mobil's shipping costs.
- 3- Plains is willing to repair the existing pipeline.
- 4- Repairing the existing pipeline will take a much shorter period of time compared to replacing the pipeline.
- 5- If Exxon-Mobil's trucking plan is denied the required permits, then repairing the existing pipeline becomes much more likely.
- 6- Maintaining the existing 300,000 barrel a day pipeline will allow for future OCS oil, or Alaskan oil via a marine terminal, to flow through the pipeline. A new, smaller pipeline will not be able to accommodate new OCS oil or Alaskan oil.
- 7- Denying Exxon-Mobil's trucking plan will make keeping the existing 300,000 barrel per day pipeline a reality.

Thank you

Tom Becker  
[tsbecker069@gmail.com](mailto:tsbecker069@gmail.com)

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Jenna Tong <jntong@ucsb.edu>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 10:25 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking plan

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Santa Barbara County Supervisors, I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission. In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts. The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gasses were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property. It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees. Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project. Sincerely,

Jenna Tong

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Patti Rose <capricorn1851@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 10:11 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking plan

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Santa Barbara County Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gasses were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,  
Patti Rose

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Ashley Roach <amroach@ucsb.edu>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 9:52 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** No to ExxonMobile and No to Oil

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

To the County Board of Supervisors,

As a resident of Santa Barbara County I am strongly against this dangerous and shortsighted proposal by ExxonMobile.

I urge you to vote NO to restarting any offshore oil platforms and NO to trucking oil inland along the 101 or any other route.

As Surfrider SB so succinctly puts it, "ExxonMobil's plan will result in more oil spills, air pollution, and increased climate change at a time when we need to pursue clean energy alternatives."

Your fellow county residents urge you to deny this harmful proposal.

Thank you,  
Ashley Roach, Goleta Resident

--  
Ashley Roach

**PhD Candidate**

Structural Materials  
Gianola Group, Beyerlein Group  
Mobile: (505) 331-2313  
Email: [amroach@ucsb.edu](mailto:amroach@ucsb.edu)



**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Alex Padilla <ap.trails@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 9:59 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil's Upcoming Proposal

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My wife and I are transplants to the Santa Barbara community. She is a student and I am staff at UCSB. We are building our lives in this beautiful place and plan to live here for a long time. I urge you to make a decision that will favor the future of Santa Barbara county by denying any forthcoming oil projects, including the most recent attempt by ExxonMobil.

These projects are a severe threat to the actual substantive things that make our community beautiful, namely our natural environment. No amount of monetary wealth can entice our community to ignore that threat, and any attitude to the contrary would be a betrayal of all of us who live here.

I implore you as my representative on matters like these, please take my position on this into consideration; I know that I am not alone.

Sincerely,  
Alexander

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Karen Claydon <kclaydon47@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 11:09 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking plan

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Santa Barbara County Supervisors, I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission. In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts. The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gasses were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property. It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees. Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project. Sincerely,



**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Carole Mintzer <camintzer@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 11:12 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Item 3: Say NO to ExxonMobil's dangerous oil drilling and trucking scheme

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I'll keep this short - your Planning Commission made the right recommendation to reject ExxonMobil's hazardous plan to restart oil rigs and truck oil across both Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo Counties. Nothing about this seems safe - and will just bring renewed opportunities for oil spills in the ocean and along our highways and waterways. Yuck!

Let's also not forget fossil fuel's contribution to climate change - the only way to end this is to keep the oil in the ground.

Just say NO to ExxonMobil.

Thank you,

Carole Mintzer  
Los Osos, CA  
San Luis Obispo County

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Martha Siegel <mbsiegel@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 11:12 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking plan

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gasses were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Glennis Malcolm <gmalcolm@mallc.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 8:22 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon platform

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning,

I strongly support the DENIAL of the restart of the oil platforms and trucking plan for Santa Barbara CA put forth by Exxon Mobil. This is a dangerous proposal resulting in potential oil spills and traffic accidents causing harm to the environment at a time when we need to pursue clean energy solutions - NOT go back to old harmful ones!

Respectfully,  
Glennis Malcolm  
1427 Shoreline Dr.  
Santa Barbara, CA. 93109

Sent from my iPhone

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** maureen earls <maurenearls00@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 8:19 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking plan

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gasses were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Maureen Earls

Sent from my iPhone

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Andee Gaines <andeetravel@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 8:18 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking plan

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Santa Barbara County Supervisors, I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission. In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts. The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gasses were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property. It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees. Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project. Sincerely,

Andee Gaines  
andeetravel@aol.com  
3940 Camellia Lane  
Santa Barbara, Ca. 93110  
805-687-6051

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Emily Maynard <emily.woodman.maynard@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 8:16 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking plan

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Santa Barbara County Supervisors:

I am writing to urge you to vote against the Exxon oil trucking plan in our county. I am concerned about the environmental impact on multiple levels, as well as by the increased traffic on our highways, especially the fragile and narrow corridor of the 166 near New Cuyama.

Thank you for your consideration,  
A resident in Goleta,  
Emily Maynard

Emily Maynard, Ph.D.  
*Licensed Clinical Psychologist (PSY 29682)*

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Mary Ellen Brooks <mebrooks@sbceo.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 8:02 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** CPA letter re Exxon/Mobil

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Staff: I sent a comment letter over the weekend. With heading, etc. it went to two pages but it could be reduced to one. Do I need to re-send a one pager? Please let me know this morning. I will be away from my desk all afternoon and I can't attend the hearing tomorrow. Marell Brooks, CPA

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Morgan family <morganfamily1@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 7:48 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Exxon's request to restart offshore oil platforms

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear County Supervisors,

ExxonMobil, a company with a horrible environmental track record, is seeking to restart platforms Hondo, Harmony & Heritage. These offshore operations have been shut down since the devastating 2015 Plains Pipeline oil spill. As our country and the world desperately needs to address the climate crisis, now is **not** the time to restart platforms that will also threaten marine life.

On top of that, Exxon then wants to truck more than 460,000 gallons of oil daily along Highway 101 and narrow Route 166, for up to seven years. Tanker trucks are one of the riskiest ways to transport oil: 87 tanker truck crashes have occurred in California in the last 22 years, 14 of which were in Santa Barbara County, leaving 59 people injured, 28 people dead and spilling over 100,000 gallons of oil!!

I am a resident of Goleta and someone who appreciates and respects the beauty of our fragile coastline and inland areas. I urge you to not approve ExxonMobil's reckless plan to restart these three platforms and to dangerously transport oil through our county! Thank you for voting to protect our environment as well as the wildlife and human residents who share Santa Barbara County.

Sincerely,

Carter Morgan ([morganfamily1@cox.net](mailto:morganfamily1@cox.net))

Goleta, CA



## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Jackie Ellis Bradford <Jackie1727@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 7:32 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Exxon Mobil Trucking Proposal

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Please do not approve this terrible plan. The oil industry has a terrible record in regards to our environment. Their plan is a dangerous, extremely polluting, will generate significant wear and tear to our roads and highways.

If Santa Barbara is truly about protecting our climate, public safety, and our land and watersheds the council will vote this proposal down.

Sincerely,  
Jackie Ellis

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Alice Josephs <alicejosephs23@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 8:30 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Exxon restart off Santa Barbara

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm concerned about the potential dangers of allowing Exxon to restart the three platforms off our coast and the trucking of the oil on our roads. Please weigh all the pros and cons before you make any decisions. There is so much at stake.

Thank you for listening to your neighbors and constituents.

Alice

Sent from my iPad

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Jeff & Zoe Summers <jeffzoe@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 8:35 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking plan

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Santa Barbara County Supervisors, I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission. In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts. The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gasses were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property. It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees. Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project. Sincerely,

**Zoe Ann Summers &  
Jeff Summers**

[jeffzoe@aol.com](mailto:jeffzoe@aol.com)

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** martin frias <martin.frias.533210448@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 3:17 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Restarting SYU through a temporary trucking permit is a safe option to bring much needed jobs back to the county's economy. With unemployment remaining high, it is fortunate that Santa Barbara has the opportunity to bring paychecks to local families using existing infrastructure.

With approximately 200 workers and 130 contractors prior to shutdown, SYU was a major economic driver for the local economy. Allowing crude oil to be transported by truck will bring displaced workers back to work and paychecks home to local families that need them now more than ever.

Fortunately, Santa Barbara County laws and regulations governing oil production and transportation are among the strictest in the country. These jobs will not come at a cost to our safety or to the county's environmental quality that we prize so much. In fact, safe trucking in the county is not even a new concept—SYU transported oil by truck in 2016 from the Gaviota area to Santa Maria with 2,500 deliveries over 350,000 miles without a single accident.

The county is not in a position to turn down such productive jobs. The average salary for the direct jobs created by the SYU restart is 27% higher than the rest of the county. We need the SYU restart to help jumpstart our economy.

Regards,  
martin frias  
504 E Harding Ave  
Santa Maria, CA 93454

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Yesenia Arredondo <Yesenia.Arredondo.533212095@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 3:27 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

The key word that I think of in regard to ExxonMobil's temporary trucking permit application is "safety". ExxonMobil has been operating in Santa Barbara County safely since 1988 and would continue to do so with the ability to restart provided by this permit. In addition to the numerous safeguards promised by ExxonMobil, restarting the Santa Ynez Unit would bolster Santa Barbara safety infrastructure by providing additional funding for both fire and police. Specifically, the Santa Barbara County Fire Department would receive an additional \$800,000 per year in tax revenue from a full restart of SYU, which could help hire additional staff and improve response times within the County. I encourage the Board of Supervisors to approve this permit so that the Santa Barbara community can continue to be safe.

Regards,  
Yesenia Arredondo  
3115 Courtney Dr  
Santa Maria, CA 93455

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Robert Claborn <Robert.Claborn.533212329@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 3:30 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I fully support the approval of a temporary trucking permit that will allow the Santa Ynez Unit to restart after nearly 7 long years of being forced offline as a result of an accident that had nothing to do with the facility or ExxonMobil. It's time to bring families back to our community that had to move after the shutdown and bring back essential jobs that will pay well. SYU jobs pay 27% higher salaries than the average County resident's salary, and we need them now more than ever.

Santa Barbara has lost millions of dollars in tax revenue over those same 7 years and cannot afford to lose any more. Those funds go to low-income schools, fire, public safety and other important services that are going to be impacted from budget cuts.

Please approve the temporary trucking permit that will allow SYU to restart, we can't afford more delays.

Regards,  
Robert Claborn  
201 Palm Ct Dr  
Santa Maria, CA 93454

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Kelsey Perry (kelseyjoanneperry@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 8:39 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I am a mother and homeowner in Goleta, 12th generation Santa Barbara native. This is my home and dear to my heart. I want my daughter to continue to call it her home without environmental degradation that would come from continued support of fossil fuel consumption. The Exxon plan is a disaster waiting to happen. When it does, it would be traced back to its initial approval. The time is now to say ENOUGH. Focus on supporting your community members who cannot afford to just transition all of their daily usage away from fossil fuels. Support clean energy alternatives, not Exxon.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Kelsey Perry  
666 Larchmont Place  
Goleta, CA 93117  
kelseyjoanneperry@gmail.com  
(805) 668-8543

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** jorge villagrana <jorge.villagrana.533212554@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 3:31 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Santa Barbara County needs the SYU restart to bring families back to their homes and safely help our flagging economy. Prior to the 2015 shutdown, SYU employed about 200 workers and 130 contractors. For the last seven years most of these workers have been laid off or relocated. They want to come home. Safely allowing crude oil to be transported by truck until a pipeline is available can bring these families home.

These 70 trucks a day would represent only a small increase to the traffic on county roads but would bring paychecks home to local families that need them. On average, only four to six trucks will be transporting oil on Santa Barbara County roadways at any given time. ExxonMobil transported oil by truck from the Gaviota area to Santa Maria in 2016 with 2,500 deliveries over 350,000 miles without a single accident.

Santa Barbara County's thorough regulations surrounding the transport of oil allow it to be so safe. There is a robust regulatory structure in place to monitor this trucking permit, including more than a dozen agencies and more than 100 laws, rules, regulations, and policies at the local, state, and federal level. This adds up to some of the strictest permitting in the country —allowing oil to be produced and transported while protecting the value of our county's natural environmental resources. We need the SYU restart for local families.

Regards,  
jorge villagrana  
814 Blanche Ct  
Santa Maria, CA 93458



## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Kimberly Velasquez <Kimberly.Velasquez.533212743@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 3:33 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I fully support the approval of a temporary trucking permit that will allow the Santa Ynez Unit to restart after nearly 7 long years of being forced offline as a result of an accident that had nothing to do with the facility or ExxonMobil. It's time to bring families back to our community that had to move after the shutdown and bring back essential jobs that will pay well. SYU jobs pay 27% higher salaries than the average County resident's salary, and we need them now more than ever.

Santa Barbara has lost millions of dollars in tax revenue over those same 7 years and cannot afford to lose any more. Those funds go to low-income schools, fire, public safety and other important services that are going to be impacted from budget cuts.

Please approve the temporary trucking permit that will allow SYU to restart, we can't afford more delays.

Regards,  
Kimberly Velasquez  
201 Palm Ct Dr  
Santa Maria, CA 93454

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** richard garcia <richard.garcia.533212996@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 3:36 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to support the approval of ExxonMobil's temporary trucking permit. The Final Supplemental EIR is thorough and raises many excellent points.

In the interest of clarity, I would like to reiterate that ExxonMobil is already permitted to restart their facilities and to use multiple modes of transportation to do so. The Supplemental EIR simple explores other potential modes of transportation and ultimately concludes temporary trucking to be the superior option (over marine and rail).

Given these permit conditions, it is important to be mindful of the fact that other modes of transportation are specifically allowed until a pipeline is available.

The 'no-project' alternative leaves the County worse off than before because it does nothing to lessen dependence on foreign imported oil and negates the economic impact of the SYU restart.

I appreciate your consideration of this reasonable request.

Please read my comment into the record.

Regards,  
richard garcia  
1630 Kensington Ave  
Santa Maria, CA 93454

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** jose bernal <jose.bernal.533213049@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 3:37 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

It is time to rethink Santa Barbara County's approach to rejuvenating our local economy. It is critical that the County supports economic activity that gets people back to work and supports our public services during this time of shutdown.

ExxonMobil's interim trucking project will have an overwhelmingly positive impact on our local economy. The SYU Restart will bring back well-paying and family-supporting jobs, bring home workers displaced after SYU shut down, and generate over \$7 million in revenue for underserved schools, public safety and other vital County services.

The impending budget deficit in the face of a continued economic shutdown is a scary reality for many families and approving ExxonMobil's permit application is a concrete step that will help alleviate stress across the County budget and residents alike. I politely request that you vote to approve this project!

Please read my comment into the record.

Sincerely,

Regards,  
jose bernal  
5200 La Purisma St  
Guadalupe, CA 93434

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** jose delgado <jose.delgado.533213274@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 3:40 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I encourage you to support ExxonMobil's temporary trucking permit and phased restart of their Santa Ynez Unit (SYU) facilities.

Prior to the 2015 Plains pipeline rupture, SYU had been permitted and operating safely since 1988. The permit allows SYU to resume operations at a lower capacity with a limited number of trucks on the road. Additionally, the trucking routes presented in the environmental impact report were planned and proposed with safety and potential impacts in mind.

Support for the trucking permit is support for strictly regulated local production that is much safer than importing oil from other countries. SYU has a long history of operational safety and is a great option for rejuvenating our shuttered economy.

Again, I encourage you all to approve this permit!

Please read my comment into the record.

Regards,  
jose delgado  
223 Marquis Pl  
Santa Maria, CA 93454

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** mario ramos <mario.ramos.533213373@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 3:42 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

The key word that I think of in regard to ExxonMobil's temporary trucking permit application is "safety". ExxonMobil has been operating in Santa Barbara County safely since 1988 and would continue to do so with the ability to restart provided by this permit. In addition to the numerous safeguards promised by ExxonMobil, restarting the Santa Ynez Unit would bolster Santa Barbara safety infrastructure by providing additional funding for both fire and police. Specifically, the Santa Barbara County Fire Department would receive an additional \$800,000 per year in tax revenue from a full restart of SYU, which could help hire additional staff and improve response times within the County. I encourage the Board of Supervisors to approve this permit so that the Santa Barbara community can continue to be safe.

Regards,  
mario ramos  
1826 Rosita Ave  
Santa Maria, CA 93458

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Paul Rodriquez <Paul.Rodriquez.533189010@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 12:08 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to ask you to approve the temporary trucking permit that will enable ExxonMobil to restart operations at the Santa Ynez Unit (SYU). Given the unprecedented impact that COVID has had on business in our communities, I hope the County is considering how to go about reviving the economic health of our community and how restarting SYU may help bring much-needed revenues and family-supporting jobs for those that live and work here.

SYU has been safely operating in our community in partnership with federal regulators and county staff for nearly four decades. Their more than 330 employees and contractors lived and raised their families in communities throughout Santa Barbara and many of them were separated from their families by transfers around the world or impacted by layoffs as a result of the forced shutdown for the last 7 years. If the Board of Supervisors approves the temporary trucking permit, many of these workers can get back to work with high-paying jobs and reunite with their families.

The economic boost that getting operations going will come at a time when we need it most. SYU's estimated \$7 million in tax payments each year will go to our schools, public safety and even the general fund, and it will be critical as we face a budget shortfall.

Regards,  
Paul Rodriquez  
4018 Greenbriar Ct  
Santa Maria, CA 93455

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Abel Garcia <Abel.Garcia.533202113@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 1:53 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Santa Barbara County needs the Santa Ynez Unit to restart as soon as possible to get people back to work and get families that have been separated back together. SYU was forced to shut down in 2015, which has resulted in the loss of good paying jobs from community members and tax revenues that we critically need. If SYU restarts, there could be tax revenues reaching \$7 million per year that will go to schools, fire, and public safety at a time when we face a likely recession. This is no small amount to consider, as the County looks for revenues where they can to keep from a potential budget shortfall.

SYU had hundreds of high-paying jobs before the shutdown. Over the past six years, workers had to be laid off, or were relocated and are commuting over continents away from their families for extended periods in order to provide for them. It's time to restart SYU and bring those workers back to their families.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to approve the temporary trucking permit so SYU can get back online.

Regards,  
Abel Garcia  
1534 Eastman Ave  
Ventura, CA 93003

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Karen Long <Karen.Long.533200999@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 1:36 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I write to urge your support for approval of ExxonMobil's temporary trucking permit.

The interim trucking will help to jumpstart the local economy, bring displaced workers and their family's home, provide critical funding for local schools and public safety and favor local energy production over unsustainable imports of foreign oil.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment, please read my comment into the record.

Sincerely,

Regards,  
Karen Long  
1219 Riverside Dr  
Lompoc, CA 93436



**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Josh Bailey <Josh.Bailey.533192692@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 12:45 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing you to support the approval of ExxonMobil's interim trucking permit application.

Specifically, I would like to highlight the following benefits of the project:

1. Creation of both temporary and permanent local jobs
2. Restoration of vital tax revenues lost after the 2015 shutdown
3. Locally produced oil that is much friendlier for our environment compared to the global impact of bringing in oil from overseas

We are currently operating in very uncertain territory and, given our fiscal challenges, it is critical we look at ways to emerge from the crisis with a strong economy to support our County.

I urge your support on this project that will help mitigate an otherwise devastating economic impact.

Please read my comment into the record.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,  
Josh Bailey  
1615 E Betteravia Rd  
Santa Maria, CA 93454

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Victor Gallardo <Victor.Gallardo.533208234@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 2:48 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

It is difficult to understate the significant impact of ExxonMobil's interim trucking project on our County's economy. Restarting the Santa Ynez Unit will increase funding for vital services like fire and public safety.

Santa Barbara County, Montecito and Carpinteria/Summerland Fire Protection Districts would get over \$800,000 per year in additional funding to help them improve response times, staff hiring, equipment needs and effective training.

Additionally, the County's general fund would receive an additional \$1.98 million per year from tax revenues. The General Fund includes support for the County Sheriff, local courts, public works and other vital services and infrastructure such as libraries, foodbanks, and water conservation.

To this end, I would request that the Board of Supervisors approve ExxonMobil's application.

Please read my comment into the record.

Sincerely,

Regards,  
Victor Gallardo  
2538 Ellen Ln  
Santa Maria, CA 93455

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Carmen Gallardo <Carmen.Gallardo.533208658@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 2:52 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please approve the temporary trucking permit in order to restart ExxonMobil's Santa Ynez Unit (SYU) which was forced to shut down nearly 7 years ago through no fault of its own. Until a new pipeline is available, they need the temporary trucking permit to transport oil. SYU has been permitted and operating safely since 1988, achieving 14 federal safety awards.

The proposed trucking routes must follow more than 100 laws, rules, regulations and policies at local, state and federal levels governing oil production and transportation, which are among the strictest in the country. This included a Transportation Quantitative Risk Assessment (TQRA) which concluded there will be no significant public safety risk from these trucks.

ExxonMobil will require that trucks used in this operation incorporate stringent safety controls and undergo detailed inspections prior to leaving Las Flores Canyon, and drivers have to complete extensive training. And they've done this successfully before. In early 2016, ExxonMobil safely transported about 16,800,000 gallons (400,000 barrels) of oil, logging over 350,000 miles without incident following Santa Barbara County's approval to use trucks to move crude stored at their Las Flores Canyon facility to Santa Maria.

The trucking permit is a solution that will safely enable SYU to restart operations, bringing jobs, tax revenues, and economic activities – all of which the county needs.

Regards,  
Carmen Gallardo  
2538 Ellen Ln  
Santa Maria, CA 93455

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Hector Beltran <Hector.Beltran.533208883@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 2:56 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

It's been nearly seven years since the Santa Ynez Unit was forced to shut down operations. There were once more than 330 employees and contractors that worked at SYU, now there are barely 60 people working to maintain their facilities. These were family-supporting jobs that paid well, and we need them back as we face high unemployment and an economic downturn. Approval of the temporary trucking permit will bring these folks home and get them back to work.

SYU has operated safely since 1988 and in just the 10 years before the shut-in, it was one of the single-largest taxpayers in California paying more than \$45 million in taxes to Santa Barbara County. As the County faces a shortfall in their budget as a result of the pandemic, the influx of tax revenues will be most welcome. Especially when the revenues will mostly go towards underprivileged schools that rely on funds for free and reduced meals for their students. These revenues will also go towards the firefighters and public safety.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to approve of the temporary trucking permit to restart SYU, get people back to work, and bring back much-needed tax revenues.

Regards,  
Hector Beltran  
1711 N Dejoy St  
Santa Maria, CA 93458

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** alfonso camargo <alfonso.camargo.533209620@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 3:05 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please approve the temporary trucking permit in order to restart ExxonMobil's Santa Ynez Unit (SYU) which was forced to shut down nearly 7 years ago through no fault of its own. Until a new pipeline is available, they need the temporary trucking permit to transport oil. SYU has been permitted and operating safely since 1988, achieving 14 federal safety awards.

The proposed trucking routes must follow more than 100 laws, rules, regulations and policies at local, state and federal levels governing oil production and transportation, which are among the strictest in the country. This included a Transportation Quantitative Risk Assessment (TQRA) which concluded there will be no significant public safety risk from these trucks.

ExxonMobil will require that trucks used in this operation incorporate stringent safety controls and undergo detailed inspections prior to leaving Las Flores Canyon, and drivers have to complete extensive training. And they've done this successfully before. In early 2016, ExxonMobil safely transported about 16,800,000 gallons (400,000 barrels) of oil, logging over 350,000 miles without incident following Santa Barbara County's approval to use trucks to move crude stored at their Las Flores Canyon facility to Santa Maria.

The trucking permit is a solution that will safely enable SYU to restart operations, bringing jobs, tax revenues, and economic activities – all of which the county needs.

Regards,  
alfonso camargo  
4630 2nd St  
Guadalupe, CA 93434

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Victor Esparza <Victor.Esparza.533209819@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 3:08 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

The temporary trucking permit is the best option for our county while we wait for the Plains pipeline to come back online. The County looked at a range of alternatives as required by CEQA and discussed why other alternatives suggested through the previous scoping process weren't pursued. They found that this was the most efficient option.

It could take years to get the pipeline up and running, so we need trucking in the interim so that the county still sees the benefits of jobs and tax revenues from the SYU restart. Right now, we are importing oil into the state and that oil could be extracted locally. The California Air Resources Board's data shows that production of oil offshore Santa Barbara results in fewer greenhouse gas emissions compared to the replacement crudes imported into the state.

While we transition to a greener economy, people are still going to use oil and gas in their daily lives. Oil produced in Santa Barbara stays in California, where it is heavily regulated to protect the environment. The SYU restart would keep oil production local, where it can be better regulated and provide much needed stimulus to the local economy.

Regards,  
Victor Esparza  
944 W Cox Ln  
Santa Maria, CA 93458

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Julio Aguilar <Julio.Aguilar.533210213@p2a.co>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 4, 2022 3:13 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As Santa Barbara County begins the process of recovery from COVID-19, ExxonMobil's Santa Ynez Unit can be the economic jumpstart our economy needs.

Prior to its shutdown, 200 workers and 130 contractors worked for the Santa Ynez Unit, making it one of the largest economic drivers in the county. Workers under the restart would earn an average salary that is 27% greater than the rest of the county. Unemployment has skyrocketed in the county and state under the COVID-19 pandemic. We need the sort of honest, well-paying jobs that will put food on the tables of local families.

While I can agree with the desires of our leadership to move away from fossil fuel dependence, we've all been stuck in rush hour traffic through Summerland and seen all the gasoline-powered cars on the road. The fact is, the county still relies on oil, and it is better that it comes from here where it can be taxed, regulated, and provide jobs to our families and neighbors. We need the SYU restart now more than ever.

Regards,  
Julio Aguilar  
1922 Ironwood Dr  
Santa Maria, CA 93455

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** County Executive Office  
**Sent:** Monday, March 7, 2022 11:27 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** FW: Exxon Mobil proposal

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ruth Malengo <rmalengo@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 3, 2022 12:51 PM  
**To:** County Executive Office <caoemail@co.santa-barbara.ca.us>  
**Subject:** Exxon Mobil proposal

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

On March 8th please vote to approve Exxon Mobil's plan to restart off shore oil drilling and transportation of oil by truck.

Even before the Russians have invaded Ukrainian We have been quite concerned that we have gone from being energy independent to dependent on Russian oil.

It is very short sided to eliminate oil exploration and drilling before we a ready to go green. The cost to all US citizens at the pump and heating their homes is not the way to get support behind green energy.

John and Ruth Malengo  
2433 Calle Andalucia  
Santa Barbara, Ca 93109  
8057075847

Sent from my iPhone