County Proposal 12-10-15 Introduction/Preamble	Chumash Proposal 01-14-16	County Response 02-01-16	Chumash Response 02-05-16	Chumash Response 03-01-16
The 194 acres of land designated for Tribal Housing, located on Parcel 2 of the land commonly known as Camp 4.  The 30 acres of land designated as Special Purpose Zone for Tribal Community facilities/Tribal Center located on Parcel 4 of the land commonly known as Camp 4.	Camp 4 is composed of five (5) legal parcels by the County. The preferred one (1) acre alternative includes all five parcels.	Parcels 2 & 4 Fee to Trust to address Tribal Housing and Tribal Community Facilities/Tribal Center project as described, set forth and adopted with in the Final EA and approved via Tribal Resolution 930B.  Proposal contingent upon the Triba accepting all items referenced in County 02-01-16 proposal, and all items set forth and adopted in Final EA and Tribal Resolution 930B including but not limited to: no gaming (page 2-13 of Final EA) 1 acre lots, 143 housing units, 30-acre tribal facility (page 2-12+ and figure 2-2 of EA) and full payment of in lieu funds for mitigation (Reference3b) must be provided to County.	Take all 5 parcels into trust with tribal land trust (Sycuan/Kumeyaay Diegueño Land Conservancy example) on portions of Parcels (3) and (5) along Highway 154.	SYV Community Plan (SYVCP) LUG-SYV-6.1 contemplates this type of County-Tribal agreement:  LUG-SYV-6.1 (p. 21): The County shall pursue legally enforceable government-to-government agreements with entities seeking to obtain jurisdiction over land within the Plan Area to encourage compatibility with the surrounding area and to mitigate environmental and financial impacts with the County.  http://longrange.sbcountyplanning.org/planareas/santaynez/syv_cp.php will zone all parcels per separate zoning map; all five Camp 4 parcels, the 356 acres and all properties owned at the Edison/Hwy 246 intersection and east of 6.9 acres, including new gas station, all into trust.
In order to streamline the approval process, if a final agreement is reached, the County of Santa Barbara will support Fee-to-Trust through an Act of Congress or the BIA process for that part of Camp 4 being used for Tribal Housing and a Tribal Center.  1) Waiver of Sovereign Immunity	If final agreement is reached, the County of Santa Barbara will support FTT through an Act of Congress and the BIA process for Camp 4 being used for Tribal Housing and a Tribal Center.	In order to streamline the approval process, if a final agreement is reached, the County of Santa Barbara will support Feeto-Trust through an Act of Congress or the BIA process for that part of Camp 4 being used for Tribal Housing and a Tribal Center.	County ok if agreement reached.	
a) Limited waiver of sovereign immunity by Tribe as proposed	Currently in progress.	a) Limited waiver of sovereign immunity by Tribe as	County has agreed to waiver in 2015 new Compact, but County refuses to waive its	a) Tribe will accept any change supported by judicial authority. Tribe has State Legislative Counsel opinion as

	County Proposal 12-10-15	Chumash Proposal 01-14-16		County Response 02-01-16		Chumash Response 02-05-16		Chumash Response 03-01-16
	by Tribe with revisions.			proposed by Tribe with revisions.	cha	nunity; unclear effects of proposed County inges to 2015 new compact waiver other n parties and are therefore not accepted.		to enforceability of Tribal Sovereign Immunity: Tribal State Compact No. 1405603 (May 16, 2014).
b)	Add language requiring Tribal Resolution/Authority to sign.	Currently in progress.	b)	Require Tribal Resolution/Authority to sign.			b)	Tribe will provide resolution/authority to sign.
c)	Add language on enforcement of judgment. No County waiver of sovereign immunity.	Currently in progress.	c)	Enforcement of judgment. No County waiver of sovereign immunity.			c)	Please provide statute/judicial authority for County refusal to make parallel waiver of immunity.
2)								
a)	Coterminous with term of any existing or future gaming compact or other agreement between the State of California and Santa Ynez Band of Chumash Indians.	a) New gaming compact expires 12/31/2040.	a)	Coterminous with term of any existing or future gaming compact or other agreement between the State of California and Santa Ynez Band of Chumash Indians.	a)	New gaming compact expires 12-31-2040.	a)	Term same as compact of 12-31-2040; reopener if Class III gaming exclusivity is breached and compact is reopened.
b)	Must discuss terms of extension prior to set end of term of agreement regardless of any change in land use.	b) Must discuss terms of extension prior to set end of term of agreement.  Verbal-Tribe suggested a reopener of terms.	b)	Agreement - Must discuss terms of extension prior to set end of term of agreement.	b)	Must discuss terms of extension prior to set end of term of agreement. (County Issue).	b)	Terms of extension: same obligation of good faith as compact
c)	Although County asserts that not all provisions of the Agreement encumber Indian land, the County and Tribe agree that the Agreement must be submitted to the Secretary of the Interior for approval by Secretary of Interior, or a determination that the Secretary's approval is not required. (25 U.S.C. § 81.) If the Secretary of the Interior	No response.	c)	Although County asserts that not all provisions of the Agreement encumber Indian land, the County and Tribe agree that the Agreement must be submitted to the Secretary of the Interior for approval by Secretary of Interior, or a determination that the Secretary's approval is not required. (25 U.S.C. § 81.) If	c)	Need approval of Secretary of Interior [Tribe: no guarantee Secretary will sign].	c)	Tribe will submit to Secretary of Interior for approval; However, no guarantee of approval

County Proposal 12-10-15	Chumash Proposal 01-14-16	County Response 02-01-16	Chumash Response 02-05-16	Chumash Response 03-01-16
determines the Agreement falls		the Secretary of the Interior		
within 25 U.S.C. § 81 and does		determines the Agreement		
not approve the Agreement,		falls within 25 U.S.C. § 81 and		
the Agreement is void and the		does not approve the		
County and the Tribe do not		Agreement, the Agreement is		
have any obligations under the		void and the County and the		
Agreement.		Tribe do not have any		
		obligations under the		
		Agreement.		
3) Municipal Finance/Fiscal considera	ations			
a) Access by County Assessor	a) Current County process	a) Access by County Assessor to	a) COUNTY ASKS FOR ANNUAL APPRAISALS]	a) Access of County for valuation: Tribe to provide
to land for market	is initial appraisal, final	land for market valuation	Current County process is initial appraisal,	appraisal by MAI certified or on HUD/Interior/BIA
valuation annually.	after four (4) years, with	annually.	final after four (4) years, with owner	approved list for trust land appraisals; copy to County.
	owner reassessments.		reassessments.	
b) Ongoing distribution to	b) Need to discuss	County does not have taxing	b) County wants to keep its 38% of 1%	b) OK with 38% of 1% for improvements not owned by
County of 38% (37.92) of 1	incorporated City model	authority over trust lands and	property tax rate but then argues that it is	Tribe/Tribal entity (for example for tribal residences
% of the market valuation	vs. unincorporated area	payments made under this	just a payment in lieu of taxes and they	only property tax on member improvements and not on
to compensate for ongoing	models: example	agreement do not constitute	need not follow the division of taxes for	land assignment which is owned by United States and
loss of potential property	Buellton.	taxes, exactions or fees but	Cities. So it either approximates property	Tribe in trust).
tax revenue which would		payments by the Tribe to address	taxes or is totally unrelated and the Tribe	
otherwise be due to		impacts of trust land	can propose any amount in response.	
County from use on non-		development impacts and service		
tribal property and		needs. Ongoing distribution to	RESPONSE: REPEAT PRIOR TRIBAL OFFER	
payment of appropriate		County of 38% (37.92) of 1 % of	WITH BUELLTON PROPERTY TAX SPLIT;	
benefit assessments per		the market valuation of land and	RESEARCH OTHER CITIES THAT SHARE	
similarly situated land as		structures to compensate for	EVEN LESS WITH THEIR COUNTIES	
determined by the Santa		ongoing loss of potential property		
Barbara County elected		tax revenue which would		
Assessor.		otherwise be due to County from	Need to discuss incorporated City model	
		use on non-tribal property and	vs. unincorporated area models: example	
		payment of appropriate benefit	Buellton. [COUNTY REJECTS]	
		assessments per similarly situated		
		land as determined by the Santa		
		Barbara County elected Assessor.		
c) Cooperate in pursuit of	c) Tribal/Government	County initial proposal 3c	c) Tribal/Government owned lands not	c) Not include tribally owned real estate in trust; not

	County Proposal 12-10-15	Chumash Proposal 01-14-16	County Response 02-01-16	Chumash Response 02-05-16	Chumash Response 03-01-16
	grants available for projects (possible transportation, conservation, energy, etc.)	owned lands not taxed.	withdrawn. For County's response to Tribe's 3c, see County response 3b.	taxed. [COUNTY REJECTS]	include tribally owned buildings/improvements on trust land.
	<ul> <li>d) Services will be provided to the Tribe as appropriate.</li> </ul>	d) Property taxes only on private residences phased in over time.	County initial proposal 3d withdrawn. For County response to Tribe's 3d, see County response3b.	<ul> <li>d) Property taxes only on private residences phased in over time.</li> </ul>	d) Property taxes only on private residences phased in over time.
	e) Payment of Sales, Property and TOT on all and any projects within Camp 4 based on amounts (percentages) paid in SYV, to be paid annually for term of agreement.	e) No Sales Tax or TOT.	Payment in lieu of sales, property and transit occupancy tax on all and any projects within Camp 4 that would generate sales, property and transient occupancy tax, paid based on amounts (percentages) paid in SYV, to be paid annually for term of agreement.	e) No Sales tax or TOT (County Rejects)	e) Sales tax and TOT equal to County rates; of that amount 25% to County and that 25% to County divided 50% to local schools in Santa Ynez Valley and 50% to County general fund.
	f) No offsets for other sources of funding including SDF, other agreements with Fire and Sheriff or any other sources that apply to existing Reservation and casino gaming operations, or any other sources.	Verbal – Chairman Armenta – not requesting offsets 1/14/16 meeting.	Agreement: No offsets for other sources of funding including SDF, other agreements with County Fire and Sheriff or any other sources that apply to existing Reservation and casino gaming operations, or any other sources.		f) No offsets.
4)	Mitigation non-economic impact	s (Land Use and Environmental	Mitigation) priority items		
	Compliance with all Mitigation Measures, Best Management Practices, and Additional Protective Measures in the Finding of No Significant Impacts and the Final Environmental Assessment for	a) Continue federal and Tribal enforcement of Compliance with all Mitigation Measures, Best Management Practices, and Additional Protective Measures in the Finding of	County does not have permitting authority over development on trust land. Tribe will comply with all Mitigation Measures, Best Management Practices, and additional Protective Measures set forth in the Finding of No	a) Continue federal and Tribal enforcement of Compliance with all Mitigation Measures,     Best Management Practices, and Additional Protective Measures in the Finding of No Significant Impacts and the Final Environmental Assessment for Camp 4 referenced. [ONLY DISAGREE AS TO	a) Follow compact for state vs tribal building codes.

County Proposal 12-10-15	Chumash Proposal 01-14-16	County Response 02-01-16	Chumash Response 02-05-16	Chumash Response 03-01-16
Camp 4 referenced.	No Significant Impacts and	Significant Impacts and the Final	APPLICATION OF STATE LAW/BUILDING	
	the Final Environmental	Environmental Assessment for	CODES VS. INTERNATIONAL/TRIBAL	
	Assessment for Camp 4	Camp 4 and adopted via Tribal	BUILDING CODES]	
	referenced.	resolution 930B and construct all		
		improvements consistent with		
		best practices in the industries		
		and consistent with California		
		State law specifically the California		
		Building Code, Uniformed Fire		
		Code and Green Code regulations.		
		Water usage shall be limited to		
		reasonable and beneficial use on		
		the Camp 4 property only and		
		water shall not be exported or		
		extracted from the site for other		
		purposes.		
b) All development on Camp 4	b) Enforcement against	County response provided in 4a.	b) Tribal response: use consistent with County	b) Water and sewer/SGMP: Tribal comprehensive
shall comply with County	upstream water users as		approved Golden Inn and Village of up to	groundwater and wastewater plan for all tribal
Environmental Health	to Environmental Health		61 Acre feet annually; will agree to	properties; will participate with GSA when established.
Regulations for wells and	Regulations for wells and		cooperate with Sustainable Groundwater	
sewer. Ensure no more water	septic systems with future		Master Plan same as our neighbors.	
than the EA indicates will be	attachment to sewer.			
used and agree to				
recycle/reuse water on all				
Tribal lands to offset use.				
Ensure groundwater is treated				
to meet Federal or State				
drinking water standards.				
Ensure no water from Camp 4				
is exported or extracted for				
other off site Tribal uses or				
commercial purposes.				
c) All development on Camp 4	Verbal- Chairman Armenta-	County response provided in 4a.		c) Tribe will implement relevant portions of SYVCP.
shall comply with County	Tribe does not agree to			
height limit of 35-feet and	comply with County			

	County Proposal 12-10-15	Chumash Proposal 01-14-16	County Response 02-01-16	Chumash Response 02-05-16	Chumash Response 03-01-16
	setback of 50-feet from road	standards 1-14-16 meeting.			
	centerline and 20-feet from				
	edge of right-of-way.				
d)	All development on Camp 4	Verbal- Chairman Armenta-	County response provided in 4a.		d) Fire: Tribe to implement as per compact.
	shall comply with Santa	Tribe does not agree to			
	Barbara County Building and	comply with County			
	Fire Codes including National	standards 1-14-16 meeting.			
	Fire Protection Association fire				
	flow requirements and Santa				
	Barbara County Fire				
	Department Development				
	standards regarding stored				
	water fire protection systems				
	and space vegetation				
	management requirements of				
	the California Public Resources				
	Code and Community Center				
	must be equipped with early				
	fire detection systems,				
	automatic sprinkler systems				
	and Tribe shall coordinate with				
	Sheriff and County Fire District				
	if needed to ensure safety of				
	large events.				
e)	Special events at Tribal	No response.	Special events at Tribal Facilities		e) Special events: Tribe to implement own
	Facilities on Camp 4 must be		on Camp 4 must be limited to		restrictions similar to use of current tribal hall.
	limited to Tribal members and		Tribal members and guests.		
	guests.				
f)	All development on Camp 4	Verbal Chairman Armenta-	All development on Camp 4 shall		f) Tribe to honor terms of all valid easements in place at
	shall honor existing public and	Tribe does not agree to	honor existing public and private		time of agreement.
	private easements in place at	comply with County	easements in place at time of		
	time of agreement.	standards 12-14-16 meeting.	agreement.		
g)	Partner with the County and	No response.	Partner with the County and Santa		g) SGMP: Tribe to partner with GSA when established.
	Santa Ynez River Water		Ynez River Water Conservation		
	Conservation District on the		District on the preparation of the		

	County Proposal 12-10-15	Chumash Proposal 01-14-16	County Response 02-01-16	Chumash Response 02-05-16	Chumash Response 03-01-16
	preparation of the Sustainable		Sustainable Groundwater		
	Groundwater Management		Management Plan (SGMP) for all		
	Plan (SGMP) for all of Camp 4.		of Camp 4.		
5)			,	,	
(a)	Comply with remaining term of Williamson Act contract until 2023 on Camp 4.	a) County to work with     Tribe on cancellation of     all or parts of     Williamson Act     contracts on Camp 4.	Consider cancellation of remaining term of Williamson Act contract (now through 2023) on Parcels 2 and 4 if total agreement with Tribe provides overall public benefit to support findings required for Williamson Act cancellation.	a) County to work with Tribe on cancellation of all Williamson Act contracts on Camp 4 vs. COUNTY a)RESPONSE ONLY PARCELS (2) and (4) and if finding in public interest]. County to work with Tribe on waiver of any and all penalty fees. Golden Inn and Village means SY Valley Community Plan can be amended at any time with increased height, increased densities and view shed encroachments.	Williamson Act Cancellations of parcels 2 and 4 and waiver of penalty fees; otherwise, comply until end of non-renewal period.
	i) Camp 4 in its entirety entered non-renewal in August 2013.	i) County to work with Tribe on waiver of any and all penalty fees.	County response provided in 5a.		See above.
b)	No gaming activities as currently defined or as may be defined in any further tribal gaming compact with the State of California, may occur on the Camp 4 property or other properties owned, managed or held by the Tribe in Santa Barbara County outside of the existing Reservation.	b) Gaming per federal, State and Tribal law.	County does not have authority over gaming on trust land. Tribe agrees no gaming activities as currently defined or as may be defined in any further tribal gaming compact with the State of California, shall occur on the Camp 4 as identified in Final EA (page 2-13) and adopted via Tribe Resolution 930B. No gaming on properties owned, managed or held by the Tribe in Santa Barbara County outside of the existing	b) TRIBE: Gaming as limited by federal, State and Tribal law vs. COUNTY NO GAMING OFF EXISTING RESERVATION	b) Gaming: Tribe to follow gaming limitation in HR 1157 or successor bill; otherwise tribe to follow limitations in IGRA, compact and tribal law.

	County Proposal 12-10-15	Chumash Proposal 01-14-16	County Response 02-01-16	Chumash Response 02-05-16	Chumash Response 03-01-16
			Reservation.		
c)	Agreement does not apply to	No response.	Agreement does not apply to		c) Agreement not apply existing reservation or
	existing reservation facilities or		existing reservation facilities or		services.
	services.		services.		
d)	Designated Camp 4 located on	No response.	Camp 4 Parcels 2 & 4 will be		d) Follow EA uses and tribal zoning.
	Parcels 2 and 4 specifically		owned by the Federal		
	utilized for Tribal housing and		Government in Trust for the Tribe		
	Tribal Center Community		for the provision of Tribal Housing		
	facilities will be owned by the		and Tribal Community		
	Federal Government in Trust		Facilities/Tribal Center project as		
	for the Tribe.		described, set forth and adopted		
			with in the Final EA and approved		
			via Tribal Resolution 930B.		
			Proposal contingent upon all		
			items referenced in County 02-01-		
			16 proposal being accepted and		
			items set forth and adopted in		
			Final EA and Tribal		
			Resolution930B accepted		
			including but not limited to: no		
			gaming (page 2-13 of Final EA) 1		
			acre lots, 143 housing units, 30-		
			acre tribal facility (page 2-12 + and		
			figure2-2 of EA) and full in lieu		
			payment for mitigation		
			(Reference3b) must be provided		
			to County.		
e)	Camp 4 may only be developed	No response.	Camp 4 may only be developed as		e) Follow EA uses and tribal zoning.
	as described in the adopted		described in the adopted project		
	project description set forth		description set forth and adopted		
	and adopted within the Final EA		within the Final EA approved by		
	approved by the Tribal Business		the Tribal Business Committee via		
	Committee via Resolution		Resolution 930B.		
	930B.				
			Tribe will agree not to submit any		

County Proposal 12-10-15	Chumash Proposal 01-14-16	County Response 02-01-16	Chumash Response 02-05-16	Chumash Response 03-01-16					
	·	future Fee to Trust applications	·						
		on Camp 4 for parcels 1, 3, and 5.							
6) Infrastructure and service supports									
a) Construction by Tribe of all onsite support infrastructure and services.	a) Review of Tribal road maintenance agreement for Sanja Cota Road.  Verbal – Chairman Armenta 1/14/16 meeting. Tribe will build all infrastructure.	Agreement Construction by Tribe of all onsite support infrastructure and services.  Road maintenance agreement under review.	a) Review of Tribal road maintenance agreement for Sanja Cota Road.	a) Tribe constructs all onside infrastructure; County to review Sanja Cota Road agreement.					
b) Payment of one time impact monies to County to address:	No response.	Withdrawn by County.		b) County impact fees; withdrawn by county.					
i) Traffic infrastructure impacts consistent with County traffic impacts fee and development standards in place at time of the agreement.	No response.	Withdrawn by County.		Withdrawn by County					
7) Other items for consideration	n								
a) Separate agreements for school and service agencies outside Santa Barbara County's jurisdiction are strongly encouraged.	a) Consider Separate     agreements for school     and service agencies     outside Santa Barbara     County's jurisdiction.	Agreement: Consider separate agreements for schools and service agencies outside of Santa Barbara County jurisdiction.	a) Consider Separate agreements for school and service agencies outside Santa Barbara County's jurisdiction.	a) Consider separate agreement for school and service agencies.					
b) Notwithstanding other provisions of law, enforcement and interpretation of the agreement shall be available in Federal District Court or California State Court.	No response.	Item to be addressed within discussion of waiver of sovereign immunity.		b) Enforcement in federal District Court of California State County per Compact model.					

#### TRIBAL ZONING MODEL

- a. Tribal zoning map (latest draft attached);
- b. All building permits for review by County Planning under contract to Tribe (if County unavailable then contract planner mutually agreed upon);
- c. One public meeting hosted by Tribe and noticed to adjacent land owners and newspaper(s) of general circulation;
- d. All comments addressed by Tribal staff or contract planner selected by Tribe;
- e. Business Committee or designee approval (majority vote for permits; 4/5 vote for zoning changes).