

Katherine Douglas

Public Comment

#2



From: Lindy Hatcher <lhatcher@hbacc.org>
Sent: Friday, April 4, 2025 12:02 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Richards Ranch Appeal April 8 BOS Meeting
Attachments: BOS Letter Richards Ranch Appeal 4-4-2025 - final.docx; Draft Letter Santa Barbara County 4-3-2025 (002).docx

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear County Clerk,

Please accept comments from HBACC and CBIA regarding Richards Ranch Appeal April 8.

Thank you.

Lindy

Lindy Hatcher, MSA, CGS
Executive Director
HBA of the Central Coast
PO Box 748, San Luis Obispo, CA 93406

www.hbacc.org

Member Savings Program: [Member Savings Resource Center](#) | [NAHB](#)



April 4, 2025

Supervisor Laura Capps, Chair
Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors

Submitted via Email on April 4, 2025

Subject: April 8, 2025 Board of Supervisors Meeting Agenda Item File #25-00318, Appeal Hearing for the Richards Ranch Project (Key Site 26)

Dear Chair Capps and Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of the Home Builders Association of the Central Coast (HBACC) and California Building Industry Association, we ask you to consider the Richards Ranch appeal. We also sought an opinion from the National Association of Home Builders, specifically from California a builder/developer. We agree with their findings and ask you to strongly consider their letter, including this excerpt:

...the National Association of Home Builders (NAHB) supports the request by Michael Stoltey (Project Applicant) appealing the County of Santa Barbara Planning Commission's March 19, 2025 denial of (25APL-0007) and the determination that the applicants second application resubmittal, dated January 20, 2024 ("Resubmittal Application") for the Project, is incomplete and lost legal rights under California housing law. Not only is the denial egregious at a time of a severe housing shortage within the County, State and Nationally, but such action violates State of California housing law, including, but not limited to, the Housing Accountability Act (Gov. Code, § 65589.5) and Permit Streamlining Act (Gov. Code, § 65920 et seq.).

The County is attempting to improperly terminate a vested Builder's Remedy project that seeks to convert a 44-acre infill commercial site—stranded for 39 years without water for commercial uses—into housing, which has available water. Despite clear guidance from the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD), the County is claiming it has exhausted its incomplete reviews and is attempting to terminate the project's vesting. However, HCD has previously clarified in letters to Los Gatos and Beverly Hills that such actions should not occur.

Other issues include but are not limited to: 1) The County does not have applicable standards for carwash floor plans, making its demand for interior plans like the layout of a carwash tunnel unreasonable and arbitrary. Carwash tunnels are typically designed as structural shells, with equipment installed later based on the operator's needs. 2) Requiring detailed interior floor plans for a commercial building without a confirmed tenant is also unreasonable. It is standard practice for commercial developments to submit only shell designs at the entitlement stage, with specific floor plans developed during the building permit phase to meet tenant specifications. 3) The project plans demonstrate that the total square footage clearly has not changed. Any perceived change is due to a singular clerical error. The County routinely allows applicants to clarify project descriptions at the completeness stage.

We ask you to consider your goals to bring more housing online to meet RHNA numbers, build in infill areas to reduce GHGs and VMTs, and keep housing affordably priced.

Finally, you are in control of the price of housing. Every setback and roadblock presented by the County and Planning Commission takes applicant and multiple consultants' time, and expense, and thereby exponentially increases the end products - sale price and rent. As concerned as the Board is to create housing that is affordable to local residents, every decision made on this project has already increased the cost for the end users by tens of thousands of dollars.

We ask you to apply state law to its fullest extent and approve this project.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Lindy Hatcher". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Lindy Hatcher,
Executive Director



| State and Local Government Affairs Department

April 4, 2025

Supervisor Laura Capps, Chair
Member of the Board of Supervisors
County of Santa Barbara
105 E. Anapamu Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Submitted via Email Only

Subject: Agenda Item File #25-00318
April 8, 2025 Board of Supervisors Meeting
Appeal Hearing for the Richards Ranch Project (Key Site 26)

Dear Chair Capps and Members of the Board of Supervisors:

Request

In partnership with the members of the Home Builders Association of the Central Coast (HBACC) and our builder members, the National Association of Home Builders (NAHB) supports the request by Michael Stoltey (Project Applicant) appealing the County of Santa Barbara Planning Commission's March 19, 2025 denial of (25APL-0007) and the determination that the applicants second application resubmittal, dated January 20, 2024 ("Resubmittal Application") for the Project, is incomplete and lost legal rights under California housing law. Not only is the denial egregious at a time of a severe housing shortage within the County, State and Nationally, but such action violates State of California housing law, including, but not limited to, the Housing Accountability Act (Gov. Code, § 65589.5) and Permit Streamlining Act (Gov. Code, § 65920 et seq.).

Background

In conversations with the Project Applicant (Mr. Stoltey) and our independent review of the project file, we understand that the Richards Ranch Mixed-Use Development (Orcutt Commons- Project), is a 750-unit residential community, including 156 deed-restricted affordable units, on a 44-acre infill site. The site has been commercially zoned since the 1980s and remains undeveloped due to infrastructure constraints (primarily lacking water allocations for commercial use but has sufficient capacity for residential).

Further, we understand that the County of Santa Barbara (County), rather than proactively rezoning this prime and underutilized land to meet state housing mandates, selected sites in flood zones and flight paths which generally circumvents "real housing production". After several attempts, the applicant rightly and legally applied to the County in December 2023 under the Builder's Remedy provisions of State law at a time when the County lacked a state-compliant Housing Element.

While the County initially acknowledged the Project would qualify under the State authorized Builder's Remedy provisions, County staff has since obstructed the processing of the application in a manner that is of concern to NAHB and our builder members. Under the Housing Accountability Act (HAA) (collectively SB 330 and AB 1893) project applicants are protected against arbitrary rejections and mandate good faith permitting. From our review it appears that the County's responses and approach directly contradicts State guidance on Builder's Remedy implementation. Further, under the California State Permit Streamlining Act the County clearly appears to have missed the statutory 30-day deadline for issuing an incompleteness determination, which should have rendered the application automatically complete under Government Code § 65943(a).

NAHB's estimates through the end of 2024 over 103.5 million households—76.9% of all U.S. households—are already not able to afford a median priced new home. Our models further indicate that governmental delays in application processing is one of the key factors leading to the chronic shortage of housing. Such delays in project approvals are increasingly pricing families out of housing at all levels. For every \$1,000 increase in the median price of a new home would price an additional 106,031 households out of the market, and that a 25-basis point increase in the 30-year fixed mortgage rate (from 6.50% to 6.75%) would price approximately 1.1 million households from the housing market.

NAHB is a Washington, D.C.-based trade association that includes as part of its federation more than 700 affiliated state and local associations in all fifty states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico. The NAHB mission is to protect the American Dream of housing opportunities for all. Our thousands of builder members are proud to construct over 80 percent of the housing units produced every year in the United States. Our members include small-volume builders, production builders, multifamily builders, modular housing companies, and residential remodelers. In addition, NAHB includes associate members who span the spectrum of the housing industry, including suppliers, service providers, and product manufacturers.

NAHB works to ensure that all Americans have access to safe, decent, and affordable housing. A healthy housing market includes housing that serves buyers at all socioeconomic levels, including houses for first time homebuyers and lower- to middle-income purchasers. Many of these middle-income purchasers include those in the military, teachers, and first responders. The location and availability of attainable housing has a major influence on the overall health of a family unit, and determines what school's children can attend, proximity to jobs, access to childcare, and even affects how much time a family spends together at the end of the day.

Conclusion

NAHB joins the Project Applicant in requesting the Board of Supervisors to:

1. Find that the Resubmittal Application is complete by operation of law as of February 19, 2025 because the County staff did not send its incomplete letter within the 30 days required under Government Code section 65943(a) and (b).
2. Overturn the Planning Director's determination that Project lost its SB 330 Preliminary Application vesting (and therefore the Builder's Remedy and other protections under state housing law) because the County improperly determined that the Project has a limited number of submittals (only two 90-day cycles) within which to be deemed complete contrary to clear HCD Guidance. (Gov. Code, § 65941.1.)

3. Overturn the Planning Director's improper determination that Project lost its SB 330 Preliminary Application vesting (and therefore the Builder's Remedy and other protections under state housing law) because the County improperly determined that the Project changed the construction square footage by 20 percent or more in violation of Government Code section 65941.1(d).
4. Overturn the Planning Director's improper determination that the Resubmittal Application is incomplete in violation of Permit Streamlining Act by improperly relying on items such as non-checklist items, items that were not listed in the first incomplete letter, inconsistency items, and items that actually were provided by the applicant to the County.

As the Nation's largest home building association, NAHB can and will bring to bear our resources in support of our members and the cause of providing "Housing for All". NAHB respects the role of local land use agencies to administer land use decisions in a fair and equitable manner while simultaneously engaging in situations where our significant expertise can help advance the cause.

In that spirit, we are hopeful that rational heads will prevail, and the Board of Supervisors will act to allow for this much needed project to immediately go forward.

Please contact me if you have any further questions.

Respectfully,

National Association of Home Builders

Jeffrey M. Pemstein
Governmental Affairs Advocate
Western Region
(916) 832-8228

C: Clerk of the Board, County of Santa Barbara
Lisa Plowman, County of Santa Barbara
Ali Vosberg, County of Santa Barbara
Michael Stoltey, Project Applicant
Lindsey Hatcher, HBACC
Dan Dunmoyer, CBIA
Karl Eckhart, NAHB
file