Amendment No. 1 to the Agreement for the Unit 2 Drainage Capacity Improvement Project with Penfield and Smith (BC No. 13-097)

The agreement between the Santa Barbara County Flood Control & Water Conservation District (County) and Penfield and Smith (Contractor) which was entered into on December 11, 2012 (Board Contract No. 13-097), is hereby modified and amended as follows:

1. Paragraph 3 of the Agreement is hereby amended to read:

SCOPE OF SERVICES. CONTRACTOR agrees to provide services to COUNTY in accordance with **EXHIBIT A** and **EXHIBIT A1**, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

2. Paragraph 4 of the Agreement is hereby amended to read:

<u>TERM.</u> CONTRACTOR shall commence performance on **December 11**, **2012** and end performance upon completion, but not later than **December 31**, **2016** unless otherwise directed by COUNTY or unless earlier terminated.

3. Exhibit A, Statement of Work is hereby amended to include:

Work as described in the attached Statement of Work from CONTRACTOR, attached as **EXHIBIT A1**, incorporated by this reference.

4. Exhibit B, paragraphs A, B, C and D are hereby amended to read:

- A. For CONTRACTOR services to be rendered under this contract, CONTRACTOR shall be paid a total contract amount, including reimbursements, not to exceed \$315,017.
- **B.** Extra Work required to complete the project may be authorized only if CONTRACTOR receives written approval by the COUNTY's designated representative as identified in Paragraph 1 of the Agreement at the same rate per unit as defined in **Attachment B1 and B2**. The total amount of this contingency fund is 10% of the agreement amount or \$31,501.70.
- C. Payment for services and /or reimbursement of costs shall be made upon CONTRACTOR's satisfactory performance, based upon the scope and methodology contained in EXHIBIT A and A1 as determined by COUNTY. Payment for services and/or reimbursement of costs shall be based upon the costs, expenses, overhead charges and hourly rates for personnel, as defined in Attachment B1 and B2 (Schedule of Fees). Invoices submitted for payment that are based upon Attachment B1 and B2 must contain sufficient detail to enable an audit of the charges and provide supporting documentation if so specified in EXHIBIT A and A1.

Amendment No. I to the Agreement for the Unit 2 Drainage Capacity Improvement Project with Penfield and Smith (BC No. 13-097)
Page 2

D. Monthly, CONTRACTOR shall submit to the COUNTY DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE an invoice or certified claim on the County Treasury for the service performed over the period specified. These invoices or certified claims must cite the assigned Board Contract Number. COUNTY REPRESENTATIVE shall evaluate the quality of the service performed and if found to be satisfactory and within the cost basis of Attachment B1 and B2 shall initiate payment processing. COUNTY shall pay invoices or claims for satisfactory work within 30 days of presentation.

| All other terms and conditions of the agreement warmendment is effective on | vill remain in full force and effect. This, 2014. |
|---|--|
| ATTEST: MONA MIYASATO CLERK OF THE BOARD | Deputy APPROVED AS TO INSURANCE: RAY AROMATORIO, ARM, AIC RISK MANAGER |
| By: Deputy | By: KAry Januar |
| SANTA BARBARA COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL & WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT | RECOMMEND FOR APPROVAL: Santa Barbara County Flood Control & Water Conservation District |
| By:Chair, Board of Directors | By: 5 J J Fr |
| Date: | Scott D. McGolpin, Public Works Director |
| APPROVED AS TO FORM: MICHAEL C. GHIZZONI COUNTY COUNSEL | CONTRACTOR: Penfield and Smith |
| By: Autum Deputy County Counsel | By: MA CEO |
| APPROVED AS TO ACCOUNTING FORM: | |

ROBERT W. GEIS

AUDITOR-CONTROLLER



Penfield & Smith

111 East Victoria Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

tel 805-963-9532 fax 805-966-9801

www.penfieldsmith.com

Santa Barbara Camarillo Santa Maria Lancaster

Civil Engineering

Land Surveying

Land Use Planning

Construction Management & Inspection

Traffic & Transportation Engineering

Transportation Planning

Structural Engineering

Water Resources Engineering

GIS

October 6, 2014

W.O. 20643.01,02

Mr. Jon Frye
Santa Barbara County Flood Control and Water Conservation District
130 East Victoria Street, Suite 200
Santa Barbara, California 93101

Subject: West Green Canyon Phase II/Unit II Channel Improvements

Proposal

Phase 1 – Unit II Channel Realignment

Phase 3 – West Green Canyon Phase II Santa Maria Levee

Penetration

Dear Mr. Frye:

We are pleased to submit this proposal for the completion of the design of West Green Canyon Drainage Improvements. We have been requested to provide the scope and fee for the work remaining in the previous contract along with additional tasks which the County has requested. In addition we have included a schedule for accomplishing the work.

SCOPE OF WORK

The County has requested the following additional scope of work:

- 1. Provide six (6) legal descriptions for three parcels involved in the project. This will include 3 fee acquisition easement descriptions with exhibits and 3 temporary construction easement descriptions with exhibits.
- Extended contract period over which general expenses for meetings and coordination will increase. We are anticipating monthly meetings for a period of up to two years at a cost of approximately \$525 per meeting. We anticipate additional time for project management in the amount of approximately \$340 per month.
- 3. Additional scope is being added to address potential redesign to accommodate adjacent owner concerns about wells and to address the (as of now) unknown 408 permit requirements. It is anticipated that the scope will cover the realignment of the permanent easements and temporary construction easements (which will be supplied in CAD by the County), additional geotechnical concerns raised by the well owner, and additional evaluations that may be required for processing the 408 permit. A budget of \$10,000 has been established.

Mr. Jon Frye October 6, 2014 Page 2

4. Additional allowance to be added for Fugro to address questions from property owners regarding the well relocation. A budget of \$5,000 has been established.

SERVICES NOT INCLUDED

The following services and all other services not specifically listed herein are excluded:

- 1. Governmental and public agency fees, cost of bonds and taxes.
- 2. Title Company reports, services and fees.
- 3. Environmental review or analysis.
- 4. Surveying services of any kind.
- 5. Property appraisals and acquisitions.
- 6. Design or analysis associated with hazardous materials.
- 7. Services by consultants other than P&S, except Fugro West, Inc.
- 8. Permitting, except assistance with the Corps Section 408 permitting and Department of Water Resources as described herein.
- 9. Services beyond final design and assistance during the bidding process.

CLIENT TO PROVIDE

The County will need to provide the following items to Penfield & Smith:

- 1. Access to the site for field investigations and photographic documentation.
- 2. Topographic, boundary and easement surveying and mapping in a format compatible with AutoCAD.
- 3. Access to plans, reports, data, and correspondence relating to this project.



Mr. Jon Frye October 6, 2014 Page 3

PROPOSED FEE AND METHOD OF PAYMENT

Our proposed services will be performed on a time and materials, not to exceed basis and shall be billed monthly at the rates then in effect. Charges for "time" include professional, technical and clerical support services provided by Penfield & Smith. "Materials" include all reimbursable expenses, such as photocopies, postage, shipping/delivery, mileage, plots, prints, maps/documents and outside consultant fees.

Based on our understanding of your requirements and our experience with similar projects, we estimate that the fee required for our services including reimbursable expenses will be:

Increased Scope

| Legal Descriptions\$ | 4,025.00 |
|---|----------|
| Monthly Meetings\$ | |
| Correspondence and Project Management\$ | |
| Redesign and 408 Analysis\$ | |
| Fugro Well Relocation Coordination\$ | |
| | |

Total (increased scope).....\$40,565.00

Our charges will not exceed the above fee estimate without your prior authorization. We have estimated the cost of our services based on our understanding at this time of the scope and complexity of the work. During the performance of our services, the need for additional or expanded services may be determined. We will make every reasonable effort to keep you informed of our progress and costs incurred.

ADDITIONAL SERVICES

Services performed outside the scope of this agreement require written approval prior to performance of the work. Design changes by Owner/Client or designee after the start of design shall be considered additional services. Any work requested by Owner/Client that is outside the scope of this agreement will be identified by Penfield & Smith as such, and a fixed fee or not-to-exceed amount will be agreed upon prior to the start of the additional work. Compensation for additional services shall be in accordance with Exhibit "A", Penfield & Smith's Billing Rate Schedule currently in effect.

TIME OF PERFORMANCE

Based on our current workload, we estimate that the project can be completed in accordance with the attached schedule which assume the Corps of Engineers 408 permitting will be the simple process. For informational purposes only, we have also attached a schedule assuming a more complex permitting process.



Mr. Jon Frye October 6, 2014 Page 4

AUTHORIZATION

Should you require additional information or wish to discuss this proposal further, please give us a call at (805) 963-9532. If the proposal is satisfactory, we assume that you will issue a Standard Agreement that we have used in the past. Our current fee schedule is attached.

Thank you for considering Penfield & Smith for this project.

Very truly yours,

PENFIELD & SMITH

Craig A. Steward, P.E., CFM Principal Engineer

RCE 37,253

David W. Rundle, P.E. Vice President/Principal Engineer

RCE C48,540

Enclosures

W:\work\20000-20999\20643\Accounting\Proposal\Submittal 24Sep2014\CASpro W Green Canyon 24Sep2014.docx



| Task Name | | Duration 9/ | Oct '14 '28 10/5 0/1 0/1 | Duration Oct '14 Nov '14 Dec '14 9/2810/5 0/1 0/1 0/2 11/2 11/9 1/1 1/2 1/3 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 | Dec '14 | Jan '15 | Feb '15 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | r 15 | 7.15 | lun '15 | 311 15 | Aug '15 | Aug '15 Sep '15 Oct '15 | Oct '15 |
|--|--|-------------|---|---|-----------------------------|---------|--|---|-----------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Phase 1 - Unit II Channel Realignment | nnel Realignment | 488 days? | | 6 | | | | | 031161113111161 | 100000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 21 07 0 14 0 21 0 | 10 111 111 111 11 | 26 8/2 8/9 8/16 8/ | 3 8/30 9/6 9/13 9/20 | 9/27 10/4 0/1 0/1 |
| Concept Analys. | Concept Analysis & Design (30% Design) | 220 days? | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | oval | 1 day | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | peeco | 1 day | | | | | | | | | n n | | | | |
| Kick-Off Meeting | eting | 1 day | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Survey (County) | inty) | 112 days? | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Geotechnical (Fugro) | il (Fugro) | 91 days | | | | *** | | | | | | | | | etres: |
| Alternatives Analysis | Analysis | 108 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Preliminary Analysis & Design (60% Design) | 77 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Final Analysis & C | Final Analysis & Design (90% Design) | 30 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 10 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Final Submittal (100% Design) | 100% Design) | 13 days | | 10/23 | | | | | 0.4 | | | | | | |
| Phase 3 - Levee Penetration | etration | 672 days? | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Concept Analysis & Design (30% Design) | 107 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Harman Review and Comment by COE | Iment by COE | 29 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Preliminary Analysis & Design (60% Design) | 24 days? | | | | | | | | | | | estimation. | | |
| Hydraulic Report | poort | 11 days? | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Geotechnical Report | al Report | 1 day? | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Structural Pl. | Structural Plan and Calculations | 1 day? | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | SI | 1 day? | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 County Review | lew | 10 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Final Analysis & Design (90% Design) | 15 days | | | 12/1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 10 days | | 122 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | roval by COE | 52 wks | | | J | | | | | | | | | | |
| и | quested | 2 wks | | | | | | | | | | | | | J |
| | 100% Design) | 13 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| County Tasks | | 367 days= | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 21 days | U | 11/10 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | noition | 8 mons | | | | ח | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 |) | 240 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | өvіөж | 10 mins | | | | | | | 0111 | | | | (874 | | |
| 32 Har Environmental Permits | ermits | 172 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 33 Bidding Process | | 42 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 34 Tel Out to Bid | | 42 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 35 Fe-Bid Meeting | | 1 day | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Construction Period | | 83 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Start of Construction | tion | 16.6 wks | | | | 5000000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | , | | Andreas and the same and the sa | | • | | | | | | |
| 24 - 100 M | | | | | land. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project: West Green Canyon Drainage Date: Wed 9/24/14 | Task Split | | Progress | 0 | Summary Project Summary | | | | Deadline | \$ | | | | | |
| | | | 0.000 | • | בוחומר יחוויייי | | External Milestone | oue o | | | | | | | |

121 | Nov 15 | Dec 15 | Jan 16 | Feb 16 | Mar 16 | Nov 16 | N 5/27 Deadline External Tasks
External Milestone \Leftrightarrow Page 2 Project Summary Summary WEST GREEN CANYON DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS SANTA MARIA, CA Progress Milestone 11/11 Preliminary Analysis & Design (60% Design) Concept Analysis & Design (30% Design) Preliminary Analysis & Design (60% Design) Concept Analysis & Design (30% Design) Final Analysis & Design (90% Design) Final Analysis & Design (90% Design) Structural Plan and Calculations Phase 1 - Unit II Channel Realignment Final Submittal (100% Design) Review and Comment by COE Revisions as Requested Final Submittal (100% Design) Review and Approval by COE Task Split Phase 3 - Levee Penetration Geotechnical (Fugro) Alternatives Analysis Geotechnical Report Right of Way Acquisition Notice to Proceed **Environmental Permits** Hydraulic Report Environmental Review Kick-Off Meeting Survey (County) Board Approval County Review Start of Construction Specifications PG&E Relocation Project: West Green Canyon Drainage Date: Wed 9/24/14 **Board Approval** Pre-Bid Meeting County Review County Review Sidding Process Out to Bid County Tasks Task Name 0

| Control Cont | PROJECT COST ESTIMATE | IMATE | ٤ | TH F | REVA | (WITH PREVAILING | WAGES | 3) | | | | | | | | | PE | PENFIELD & SMITH | MITH | |
|--|---|--------------------|----------|------------|-------------|---------------------|----------|--------|-------------|-----------------|------------|--------|---|------|---------|-------------|------------|------------------|----------------------|------------|
| County of state Buttons County of state Buttons County of state Buttons County of state Buttons County of state Button County of state | Project No.: 20643.01,.03 Description: West Green Canyon | Unit II - Increase | edoos pe | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 111 Sar | East Victori | ia Street CA 9310 | - |
| Process Works Date Process Pro | Client: County of Santa Bar Date: August 25, 2014 | bara | | | ΔŌ | repared t ffice: | | × | | | | 1 | | | | | (80) | 5) 963-9532 | | |
| Figure F | Ш | | | | ñ | lling lyp(| | to Max | | Prevai | ling Wages | (y/n): | > | | | | | | L | |
| Principal Security Table Security | | | | F | | | | | | | SIDOL | | | | - | - | - | | | |
| Marie Support Separat Separa | | Engin | | | | | Surveyor | | | | | | | 7 | | | | TOT | | LABOR |
| 36 36 16 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 | TASK | Princi | | -⊪ | | rincipal | Senior | | - | $\frac{1}{2}$ | | | | | | | | НОП | RS | COST |
| 11 12 13 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 | Extended time (assume 24, 2 hr m | - | 36 | 36 | + | | | | | | | | | | | + | | | 12 | 4000 |
| 7.72 28 21 3 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 | Billing & Proj Mgmt (1.5 hrs/mo+ac | | 36 | | 18 | | | | | | | | | | | \dagger | - | - | 54 | 8190 |
| 277 29 21 3 19 | l egal Descriptions | | - | + | ď | ~ | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | l l | 1007 |
| 200 | | | | | 2 | 2 | 2 | | + | - | | | | | | | | | CZ | 4025 |
| Technical State Control Co | Redesign and 408 Analysis (lump | sum) | | | | | | | \parallel | | | | | | | \parallel | | | | 10000 |
| The control of the | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Principal Equipment Principal Equipment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The control of the | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The company of the | | | + | + | \dagger | + | | | - | - | | | | | | 1 | | - | | |
| The control of the | | 0 | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Through Engineer | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The control of the | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The column The | | | + | | + | | | | - | | | | | | | | 1 | | 1 | |
| Trached Engineer 19000 | | | | | | | | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The control of the | | | H | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The control of the | | | + | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Travel Surveyor 1700 Travel Tra | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The continuence of the continu | | | | | $\ $ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 151 | | | + | | + | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Engineer Tota | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Table Tabl | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Engineer Tota | * | | + | + | | | | | - | + | | | | | | + | 1 | | | |
| 72 36 21 3 19 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 151 | | | H | H | \parallel | \parallel | | | \parallel | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Shr Classification Classification Shr Factor Facto | TOTALS | | 72 | 36 | 21 | 8 | 19 | | | • | | | | | | | 5 | , | 151 | 34815 |
| Principal Engineer 190,00 Principal Engineer 190,00 Principal Engineer 190,00 Principal Surveyor 170,00 Principal Surveyor 170,00 | Classification | \$/1. | | sification | | | | 1 | | Jses | | | | Cost | Billing | | | Reimbursable | ┢ | Consultant |
| Senior Engineer I 160.00 1.15 Figure 1 Figure 2 1.15 Figure 3 | 12 Principal Engineer | 190 | • | | | | | 9 | Т | Report | | | | | | | | | | |
| Teichnical Support | 10 Senior Engineer I | 160 | 00.0 | | | | | | Geolc | gic Report | | | | 2000 | 1.15 | | | | | 5750 |
| 1900 Construction Staking 1.15 Construction Staking 1.15 | 43 Technical Support | 75 | 00.9 | | | | | | Title F | Report | | | | • | 1.15 | | | | | 0 |
| Tave | 19 Principal Surveyor | 190 | 00.00 | | | | | | Cons | fruction Stakir | g. | | | | 1.15 | | | | - | |
| Mail 1.15 0 Telephone 1.15 0 Photographs 1.15 0 Photographs 1.15 0 | מוויס מוויס מוויס | | 0.00 | | | | | | Trave | SIII - | | | | | 2.15 | | | |) | |
| Telephone | | | | | | | | | Mail | | | | | | 1.15 | | | | 0 0 | |
| Photographs 1.15 0 | | | | | | | | | Telep | hone | | | | | 1.15 | | | | 0 0 | |
| 08 | | | | | | | | | Photo | graphs | | e | | | 1.15 | | | | 0 0 | |
| | Average Rate: | | + | | | | | | 7 | | | | | | | | | | Ş | 25.75 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Ш | |

Attachment B2