

#7

Ramirez, Angelica

Public Comment - Group 3

From: Bubba Hines <bhines@ridgelake-energy.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2020 3:22 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: June 2nd LUP vs CUP
Attachments: HPSCAN_20200527163747371.pdf; ATT00001.txt

LATE
DIST

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors
Re: CUP vs. LUP for Cannabis projects

June 2, 2020

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Require a CUP on all Cannabis Projects...If...

If the Board chooses NOT to condition language that limits acreage, increases buffers, accounts for incompatibility with traditional agriculture and businesses in the proximity...with an LUP. Any appeal of an LUP should go directly to a CUP.

The Right to Farm Bill protects traditional crops...NOT cannabis!

Protect the agriculture industries that are already in place on specific parcels, prior to cannabis application!
This is what the Right to Farm Bill should protect!
Cannabis is incompatible with traditional row crops and vineyards.

Protect cannabis also...if permit approved for an isolated cannabis operation, then traditional agriculture should not be allowed near the previously established cannabis project...and then object.

Odor from traditional row crop farming has protections!
Cannabis is specifically NOT protected.
It is not only odors that are NOT protected with cannabis.
Crime, security, medical issues are all additional reasons for incompatibility...and will force Nuisance Lawsuits.

Thank you,
William Hines
(properties on Mail Rd. and Drum Canyon)

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Robert Hill <robertfayhill@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2020 4:02 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Please Vote to Approve the Planning Commission's two cannabis ordinance recommendations

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Clerk of the Board,

We are writing to most strenuously request the Board of Supervisors to vote YES on the Planning Commission's recommended zoning ordinance amendments that would require (1) a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for cannabis cultivation and on-site processing activities on agriculturally-designated lands, and (2) odor abatement plans for all cultivation and processing. The amount of odor control required for a proposed cannabis activity should be determined based on site- and project-specific features and whether the decision-maker can make the findings for approval of the CUP. We are painfully aware of the incredibly negative impacts on neighbors that cannabis activity can generate when the proper odor abatement plans are not required and faithfully implemented. A failure to rigorously protect nearby businesses and homeowners from these negative impacts would be a tragic despoliation of our region that would adversely impact all residents.

Sincerely,
Robert and Laura Hill
2575 Latigo Drive
Solvang, CA 93463

Robert F. Hill
RobertFayHill@gmail.com
303-888-1630

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Jim Mannoia <jim.mannoia@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2020 5:08 PM
To: Hart, Gregg; Hartmann, Joan; Williams, Das; Adam, Peter; Lavagnino, Steve; sbcob
Cc: Lionel Neff; robyn geddes; Rob Salomon; Jeff Giordano; Catherine Lee; Lynette Hall; Ted Fickel; Patti Walters; Nanci Robertson; Justin Klentner; CCA Enterprise; Lucy Hromadka
Subject: JUNE 2ND BOS MEETING - CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS - PLEASE READ INTO THE RECORD
Attachments: Polo Condos Board Letter to BOS re June 2 2020 Meeting on CUPs.docx

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Letter attached

 Virus-free. www.avg.com



Las Canchas Homeowners' Association

c/o P Walters & Company
P.O. Box 838
Carpinteria, CA 93014
805-689-8485
pwaltersco@gmail.com

May 27, 2020

Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors

Dear Board of Supervisors,

We the members of the *Las Canchas Homeowners Association* (LCHOA), also known as The Polo Condos, are writing to strongly urge you at your upcoming meeting June 2, to accept the recommendations from your own Planning Commission to change the Zoning and Land Use rules so that **ALL cannabis sites in the county require conditional-use permits (C.U.P.s)**. In this we wholeheartedly support the *Santa Barbara Coalition for Responsible Cannabis* and are working hard to enlist the support of our other neighborhood associations to do the same.

We believe you already understand the advantages this would give you AND us. We don't need to repeat what your Planning Commission has already told you. But with this industry so new and the impacts and the technology changing so rapidly, requiring C.U.P.s would give you the ability to help us, your constituents, more effectively.

It would allow you on a case-by-case basis to control the *terrible odor* we continue to experience at periodic times especially in the evening and in the mornings. It would also allow you to control the *unsightly parking* which is accumulating along many of our formerly beautiful scenic rural roads. And it would allow you to reduce the *ugly chain fences and tacky barriers* now hiding what has always been beautiful country views. It might also help with the currently very *confusing situation* involving Land Use Permits, Business Permits, and Legal Nonconforming Use permissions.

C.U.P.s are already the norm in our county for MANY operations that have significant impact on a neighborhood. These include restaurants, bars, clubs, schools, and even churches! Why not for these potentially VERY intrusive agricultural activities?

Please PLEASE embrace this recommendation and help us.

Sincerely!

Jim Mannoia, Acting President
Robyn Geddes, Treasurer
Catherine Lee, Secretary
Lynette Hall
Ted Fickel

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Kelly Choi <sbkchoi@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2020 8:38 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Public Comment for BOS Meeting June 1st

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi,

I am a resident of Goleta and have lived in my current home for 20 years. As you consider the cannabis zoning ordinances, I strongly urge you to:

- 1) Require CUPs for all cannabis applications, including those already in process.
- 2) Require buffers of no less than 2 miles from existing neighborhoods.

Our neighborhood is surrounded by farmland. This is one of the most attractive qualities of the neighborhood. I do not want the quality of my life affected by the negative impact of poorly controlled cannabis growing. Now, even more so than before, we need our home environment to be safe and comfortable. With more of us working from our homes, we want our quality of life protected. Please make the above mentioned statements requirements.

Thank you,
Kelly Choi
Goleta Resident

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Abby Turin <at@kallosturin.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2020 10:09 PM
To: sbcob; Hart, Gregg; Williams, Das; Hartmann, Joan; peter.adam@soutyofsb.org; Lavagnino, Steve
Cc: sbcob
Subject: CUPs for Cannabis - PLEASE READ INTO THE RECORD

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

To the Board of Supervisors,

We are writing to express our deep concern about the intense odors from the cannabis farms. Since the farms have opened we have had consistent and oppressive periods of intense skunk smell that permeate our home and affect the entire beach. These odors now start in the early evening and persist through the night, requiring us to close up our home and retreat inside. We have spoken with dozens of beach walkers and neighbors who have expressed similar disbelief and disappointment at the recent change to our stretch of California coastland and who believe that fresh air is of fundamental importance.

This dramatic change to our air adversely impacts our quality of life and our property values, but more importantly it raises the issue of potential health implications from this kind of concentrated growing. Many of our neighbors, myself included, have experienced headaches. Nausea and breathing difficulty have been felt by others. Certainly more study is needed before allowing these concentrated odors to continue to permeate the air. At a bare minimum some basic regulation is needed to ensure the health and well-being of this community. We ask that you require CUPs for cannabis cultivation so that there is a way to protect the community from adverse impacts from this new industry.

Gratefully,

Abigail Turin and Jonathan Gans
3357 / 3359 Padaro Lane

Ramirez, Angelica

From: jay pretto <jaypretto@mac.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2020 9:07 AM
To: Hartmann, Joan; Williams, Das; Hart, Gregg; Adam, Peter; Lavagnino, Steve; sbcob
Subject: June 2 Public Comment Oppose LUDC Cannabis Amendments

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Supervisors,

Having been invested in the existing application process for over a year, we are highly concerned about any proposals to drastically change the cannabis program and require all applicants to get CUPs. Our farms are NOT legal non-conforming and are not currently growing. If the Board were to take this direction, it would penalize prospective applicants, like us, who have not illegally expanded, because we don't have the benefit of being able to cash flow our operations while we wait for the permit approvals. (Legal non conforming/existing growers, on the other hand, can continue to cultivate while they seek a higher permit level - CUPs.)

We agree that projects should be compatible with the surrounding agricultural character of the neighborhood. We are already incorporating the following 'model' components into our project description:

- *reasonable lot coverage percentages: less than 60%*
- *voluntary setbacks from residences on neighboring lots*
- *thoughtful siting of the project to avoid neighbor issues*
- *no processing onsite (processing emits the most odors)*

We are confident that our projects are in a compatible area for cannabis cultivation: near the City of Lompoc, on large Ag -II lots, which are surrounded by other agriculture. We strategically selected these properties several years ago based on their location and distance from residentially zoned areas.

Lastly, please do not vote in support of arbitrary setbacks from vineyards. This is deeply problematic for us and could make our projects in-eligible. There is no valid, peer reviewed evidence that cannabis terpenes can drift (in the gaseous phase) to taint grapes on a nearby vineyard.

*Thank you,
Jay Pretto*

Ramirez, Angelica

From: County Executive Office
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2020 10:00 AM
To: sbcob
Cc: Melekian, Barney
Subject: FW: June 2 Cannabis Hearing. Please Read into the Public Record

-----Original Message-----

From: Jessica Leigh <jessy.leigh77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2020 9:56 AM
To: County Executive Office <caoemail@co.santa-barbara.ca.us>
Subject: June 2 Cannabis Hearing. Please Read into the Public Record

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Honorable Supervisors,

As a Carpinteria resident, mother and business owner, I believe you should support our local farmers, whether they are growing cannabis, flowers or avocados. Applying CUPs to cannabis farms singles out one part of our farming community as being somehow inferior to the rest. The odor impact in Carpinteria has vastly improved. I think residents can understand that sometimes there's a cannabis smell near farms, as there would be with any other crop or fertilizer that has an odor. To single out cannabis farming would endanger the ag economy and this will certainly trickle down to the wider business community.

When the shelter-in-place order came down in March, the first group to step up in the community to support businesses and urgent economic needs was CARP Growers. They helped to save my restaurant and many others and have proven once again how important greenhouse farming is to our community.

I'd hate to see a Carpinteria without a successful farming industry, and endangering cannabis farms would lead to just that.

Thank you for your consideration.

Jessica Clark

Sent from my iPhone

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Pat Pigatti <pjpigatti@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2020 10:38 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Board of Supervisors meeting June 2nd Request

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi,

Regarding the upcoming June 2nd mtg, I'd like to push for:

- 1) Requiring CUPs for all cannabis applications, including those already in process.
- 2) Requiring buffers of no less than 2 miles from existing neighborhoods.

The existing ordinances do not protect our neighborhoods and our quality of life. Hoping and asking the BOS to protect us as it's not right that the cannabis industry is taking advantage of the lax ordinances and risking our neighborhoods for profit.

Thank you for your help,
Pat Pigatti at 7937 Rio Vista in Goleta

Ramirez, Angelica

From: County Executive Office
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2020 11:13 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: "June 2 Cannabis Hearing. Please Read into the Public Record"
Attachments: Please Read Into The Record on June 2, 2020.pdf

From: Beth Cox
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2020 10:52 AM
To: County Executive Office ; Williams, Das ; Hart, Gregg ; Hartmann, Joan ; Adam, Peter ; Lavagnino, Steve
Cc: Grant Cox ; Beth Cox
Subject: "June 2 Cannabis Hearing. Please Read into the Public Record"

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

GRANT COX ENTERPRISES INC.

DBATARPITZ GARDENING & LANDSCAPES LIC# 844713 GREENLEAF LANDSCAPE



PES LIC # 879295

PO BOX 629 CARPINTERIA, CALIFORNIA 93014 C (805) 448-5381 F (805)

201-6684

May 28, 2020

Santa Barbara Board- Supervisor
105 E Anapamu St # 406
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Re: June 2, 2020 Cannabis Hearing- Please Read This Into The Record

Dear Honorable Supervisors:

As a Carpinteria resident, mother and business owner, I ask that you please take a strong stand and end this "debate" about cannabis farming in Carpinteria.

Carpinterians are tired of not knowing whether the county will allow our local farms to operate into the future. As you very well know, in an economy where tax revenues have dried up, the success of this industry is important to our community and our county. Cannabis taxes are vital to county operations. There is not another group in Carpinteria that cares as much as the farmers have shown they care over the last few months.

Most recently, CARP Growers paid for portraits of high school seniors to be displayed all over town in lieu of a traditional graduation. The portraits are absolutely stunning and are a tribute to our small, tight-knit community as much as to the very deserving graduates. Parents for

Carpinteria High School approached the cannabis farmers for sponsorship and the growers said "no problem." This scenario contrasts with media reports that suggest there is an adversarial relationship between schools and farms. There is not. If there was, parents, such as me, would be marching in streets, not calling the local cannabis farmers group for support.

Please do not allow fears of cannabis override sensible policy. Do not provide incentive for cannabis farms to leave Carpinteria.

Thank you for your consideration.

Very truly yours,

Beth Cox, J.D.
Vice President of Operations
Grant Cox Enterprises Inc.
DBA Greenleaf Landscapes Lic#879295
Tarpitz Gardening Lic #844713
PO Box 629
Carpinteria, Ca 93014
Tel: 805-448-5381 or 805- 284-4993
Fax: 805-201-6684
"Growing Relationships "

Elizabeth A.J. Cox

Ramirez, Angelica

From: County Executive Office
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2020 11:13 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: June 2 Cannabis Hearing. Please Read into the Public Record

From: mark@rinconbrewery.com
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2020 10:09 AM
To: County Executive Office ; Williams, Das ; Hart, Gregg ; Hartmann, Joan ; Adam, Peter ; Lavagnino, Steve
Cc: 'Peter Dugre'
Subject: June 2 Cannabis Hearing. Please Read into the Public Record

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello-

I'm writing in support of cannabis farming in Carpinteria Valley. Farmers deserve support from county leaders, because they are an important part of our business community and small-town lifestyle. Cannabis is a new crop that enables farmers to stay in business and to give back to the community. A perfect example of the community support is the Keep the Lights on program put together by the Carp Growers to support restaurants during this Pandemic by purchasing large lunch orders for their staff 2 days a week for the their workers. It was a lifeline The economic boost from cannabis farming in Carpinteria Valley is unmistakable, and it would be folly to make it even harder for the farms to operate. Thank you for your consideration."

Best regards,

Mark Hyatt
CEO and Co-Founder
Rincon Brewery Inc.



5065 Carpinteria Ave.
Carpinteria CA 93013
805-684-6044 Brewery
805-896-1148 Cell
mark@rinconbrewery.com

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Autumn Shelton <autumn@autumnbrands.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2020 11:19 AM
To: Hartmann, Joan; Williams, Das; Hart, Gregg; Adam, Peter; Lavagnino, Steve; sbcob
Subject: Public Comment

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

We oppose ANY ordinance changes in the Coastal Zone and especially for those that are applying for a Coastal Development Permit with Hearing (CDPH). The CDPH is already a very intensive process and includes a public hearing, so changing it to a CUP is redundant. We have been trying to get a CDPH for 17 months now because the County continues to change their process. All our infrastructure is already built, yet we have had to hire multiple consultants, two architects, three engineers, two odor abatement specialists, 3 environmental firms, etc in order to provide reports and maps for the county. This is all after we already went through the scrutiny of the California Department of Food and Agriculture, Regional Water Board, Fish and Wildlife and the county building, planning, sheriff, public health, fire department, etc. We still have no concept of when we can get to a zoning hearing or how many more reports we will have to provide, but already know that once we do, we will have at least a year of appeals not necessarily by a neighbor, but by an anti-cannabis group. And if its changed to a CUP, our process will get extended years again.

Changing the ordinance will only prolong the impacts felt by those that oppose cannabis by many many years. Requiring a CUP or changing the wording of where odor can be detected won't fix the odor problem. And those that are opposed to it will never be happy. The only way to fix odor issues is for cannabis farmers to be permitted and licensed so they can be held accountable and enforced to always be using best practices under their business license. Our farm spends over \$300,000 per year on perimeter odor abatement, carbon scrubbers and air filtration. Plus we have hired a consultant to continually do odor audits to ensure everything we do is best practice and working.

The Cannabis Industry is very important to our county, to families, to workers, to people that rely on this plant for their health and wellness. Please stop making changes that doesn't actually solve the problems, but extends them and only appeases a small group of people that will never be satiated until growing cannabis becomes infeasible.



AUTUMN
BRANDS

Autumn Shelton
Owner/CFO
P: [\(805\) 566-4226](tel:(805)566-4226) M: [\(805\) 689-0257](tel:(805)689-0257)
E: autumn@autumnbrands.com W:
autumnbrands.com



Ramirez, Angelica

From: County Executive Office
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2020 11:19 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: June 2 Cannabis Hearing. Please Read into the Public Record

From: Sheila Batson
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2020 10:28 AM
To: County Executive Office ; Williams, Das ; Hart, Gregg ; Hartmann, Joan ; Adam, Peter ; Lavagnino, Steve
Subject: June 2 Cannabis Hearing. Please Read into the Public Record

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Greetings Supervisors,

I am writing in support of cannabis farming in Santa Barbara County and the Carpinteria Valley in particular. There are numerous benefits associated with the cannabis crop and the farming of it.

Cannabis is farmed organically and is pesticide free. In the past week I have witnessed pesticide spraying on avocado orchards here in Carpinteria. I am totally opposed to this spraying. Pesticide spraying is antiquated; it harms bees and insects, which in turn works its way through the chain of life. Spraying is easy and cost effective for the avocado farmers but this does not mean it is right or safe.

Cannabis farming has no irrigation runoff associated with its growth. A closed loop system is used and water is cleaned and recycled. This is extremely efficient and does not harm our environment. The odor issues are almost nonexistent. I drive Foothill Road in Carpinteria daily and it is rare that I smell any stinky odor associated with it. The farmers are actively working to deal with this issue and they are doing a good job.

Thousands of jobs are provided countywide. This employment is especially critical in the current economic crisis. It puts food on thousands of tables, it pays for electricity and water and health care. It pays for rent and mortgages. It keeps families afloat.

Cannabis farming brings in excess of \$450 million dollars a year to Santa Barbara County. Looking at the current situation on State Street, all of the commercial spaces for lease, the business that have folded, the ongoing chipping away of the heart of downtown Santa Barbara, I personally feel we should give thanks to the revenue cannabis farming is infusing into our local economy.

Cannabis farms pay in tax revenue over \$10 million yearly to Santa Barbara County. That looks like a financial boon to me given the current state of affairs.

To top all of these benefits off, local cannabis farmers are incredibly generous to our community. CARP has donated more than \$100,000 to the Carpinteria community. They step in and help out wherever is needed and their generosity improves the quality of life for all those who live here.

Carpinteria cannabis growers are local community members who live and work in Carp. Many have lived here a lifetime and have deep roots, they are parents and grandparents, their children attend schools here, and they are deeply vested. Our cannabis farmers truly care about the residents, the business owners, the economic conditions in town and the community as a whole.

I plead with you to support the cannabis industry in Santa Barbara County. Help us to remain a rural, agricultural county that nurtures its own. Please don't let the outspoken minority speak for the silent majority.

Thank you for your time, energy and serious consideration.

Sincerely,
Sheila Batson
3908 Foothill Road
Carpinteria, CA 93013
805-450-5786

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Lionel Neff <zuma13@msn.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2020 11:27 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: JUNE 2ND BOS MEETING - CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR CANNABIS
Attachments: Santa Barbara County Needs.docx

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Please find attached my letter **I wish to be read** into the record at the June 2nd Board of Supervisors meeting. The subject matter is Conditional Use Permits (CUP) for cannabis.

Thank You,
Lionel Neff

Santa Barbara County Needs Conditional Use Permits For Cannabis Cultivation Projects

Dear Members of the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors, I have resided in Carpinteria for the past thirty-two years and, until three years ago, have found Carpinteria and the Carpinteria Valley to be an idyllic community. That is until the advent of the cannabis industry which sprang-up in what seemed overnight in the Carpinteria Valley. What were once greenhouses constructed years ago for the cultivation of flowers, have been repurposed without structural changes and environmental oversights, nor proper controls of the offensive skunk-like odor. In their haste to mobilize the cannabis industry and secure a potentially lucrative County tax base, many safeguards were disregarded. I do not want to lay blame at the feet of anyone at this point. However, an opportunity now exists in the form of a fundamental change from the implementation of a Land Use Permit to a Conditional Use Permit, which is the subject of the matter before this distinguished Board. Here's WHY a CUP can make a world of difference for the County of Santa Barbara and those citizens who have been negatively impacted by the current cannabis permit approval process.

- 1) **Compatibility:** It's a given that cannabis cultivation is incompatible with certain adjacent land uses. CUPs can provide conditions that will reduce adverse impacts to adjacent neighbors including odor and pesticide controls. The current Land Use Permits, now being used by cannabis growers, do not allow for compatibility considerations within a given neighborhood.
- 2) **Public Participation:** Land Use Permits are issued by a Planning Director with limited public access and/or participation. Conditional Land Use Permits are issued by the Planning Commission subsequent to public hearings. The CUP approach is far and away a more transparent process devoid of accusations of "back room deals".
- 3) **Flexible Solutions:** Land Use Permits afford the County limited authority to reduce a proposed projects size or to require enhanced impact mitigation. Many of the Boards prior decisions were limited in scope by the inherent limitations of the Land Use Permits. CUPs provide the County greater flexibility to customize cannabis projects that on their face could prove deleterious to neighboring properties specifically, and the environment in general.

Though cannabis is legal in California, it is not without limitations. A Conditional Use Permit process would go a long way toward restoring the Community's trust in the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors by providing the citizenry a forum and a renewed belief that the "system" is concerned about all its citizens.

Lionel Neff

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Vicki Slocum <vslocum@globalisle.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2020 12:30 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: June 2 meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Regarding: June 2 Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisor's Meeting

We would like to go on record strongly urging the County Board of Supervisors to require Conditional Use Permits (CUP's) for ALL cannabis applications, including those already in process, and to require buffers of no less than 2 miles from existing neighborhoods.

The existing ordinances do not protect our neighborhoods and our quality of life. It's the job of the Board of Supervisors to do what is required to protect our existing neighborhoods.

The cannabis industry has quickly taken advantage of lax ordinances and is risking our neighborhoods for profit. We cannot let this happen!

Thank you for considering the views of us 45 year Goleta residents.

Ronald and Vicki Slocum
7855 Langlo Ranch Rd.
Goleta

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Elizabeth Poje <ep@elizabethpoje.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2020 1:07 PM
To: sbcob; Hart, Gregg; Williams, Das; Hartmann, Joan; peter.adam@sountyofsb.org; Lavagnino, Steve
Subject: PLEASE READ INTO THE RECORD - Support for making C.U.P.s REQUIRED of all cannabis projects

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

PLEASE PLEASE accept the recommendations of our Planning Commission to REQUIRE CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS FOR ALL CANNABIS GROWING PROJECTS IN OUR COUNTY.

First, current Land Use rules and Coastal Development permits do NOT take into account the FIT of these projects with our local community! C.U.P.s would require that they not affect our comfort, convenience, general welfare, health and safety! ALL of these ARE affected by cannabis projects with odor, security, traffic, and property values. PLEASE do not ignore this!

Second, I fear that the process for obtaining legal nonconforming status has NOT BEEN HANDLED LEGALLY AND OPENLY. I think a lot have perjured themselves and there have been no public hearings! C.U.P.s are reviewed carefully by the Planning Commission including a chance for us to speak out. This is big deal!

Finally, C.U.P.s give YOU, the BOS authority to adjust the projects more to suit circumstances. Surely you want to help us by tailoring these to our concerns. Right?

Thank you for REQUIRING C.U.P.s!

Elizabeth Poje

3375 Foothill Road

Carpinteria, CA 93013

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Wendy Davis <wendy.davis@pilatesanytime.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2020 1:42 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for Cannabis Please Read Into The Record

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

[Please require Conditional Use Permits for cannabis cultivation in both inland and coastal areas of Santa Barbara County.

Thank you for your consideration.

Wendy Davis
PO Box 122
Summerland, CA 93067

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Sara Miller McCune <Sara.McCune@sagepub.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2020 3:22 PM
To: sbcob; Hart, Gregg; Williams, Das; Hartmann, Joan; Adam, Peter; Lavagnino, Steve
Cc: Sara Miller McCune
Subject: CUP for Cannabis - PLEASE READ INTO THE RECORD

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I believe it is **outrageous** that we don't have a detailed Conditional Use Permit for cannabis growers that is *suitable* for Santa Barbara County. I believe it is your duty as Supervisors to consider these crucial points when making your decision about Conditional Use Permits: compatibility with those that will have to neighbor with grow sites, the need for a transparent public process, and extend authority to mitigate impact. As a long time (28 years) resident of Santa Barbara County, I believe it is both my right and my responsibility to request that you respect the wishes of all residents, and not give special privileges to the cannabis industry.

Sincerely,

Sara Miller McCune

Sara Miller McCune
Founder & Executive Chair
SAGE Publishing
2455 Teller Road
Thousand Oaks, CA 91320

805-410-7202
sara.mccune@sagepub.com

www.sagepublishing.com