

1. Board Letter -- Rice Ranch Communities is listed as the entity requesting the votes before the Board; Rice Ranch Ventures should be listed as well (page 4, ¶ A). Also, the APNs listed do not include 101-440-029 (Bradley Road) which APN is consistently omitted throughout the documents. Please do a global search of all documents to add the Bradley Road APN into all resolutions, ordinances and conditions, as appropriate. .
2. Specific Plan -- Apparently, the wrong Specific Plan was online. Should be noted.
3. Attmt 2 - Specific Plan Resolution -- No changes
4. Attmt 3 - Development Agreement Ordinance -- Rice Ranch Communities is listed as the entity entering into the Development Agreement; Rice Ranch Ventures should be listed instead (or also), as it is the only entity signing the new Dev. Agrmt. (page 1, Section 1 and compare signature page). ALSO, the APNs listed in the Development Agreement include 101-390-008 & 101-390-009 (school lots) and 101-470-001 thru 101-470-016 (Pine Creek Single Family lots on Yarrow) –these should not be included here.
5. Attmt 4 - Specific Plan Ordinance -- APNs do not include 101-440-029 (Bradley Road)
6. Attmt 5 - Rezone Ordinance -- No changes
7. Attmt 6 - Comprehensive Plan Resolution -- ¶ B has a missing word. Insert "**amend**" at the end of the first line.
8. Attmt 9 - Revised Findings -- No changes
9. Attmt 10 - Conditions of Approval -- multiple changes as follows:
 - Page 10.3-9 -- All permanent signage cannot be "completely screened" -- change to "partially screened"
 - Page 10.3-4; Lot Standards, last sentence: Correct side yard to be **five** feet (correct in one spot, incorrect in another).
 - Page 10.2-2, Development Buildout Phasing. This states that "Each development phase would provide for homes in two or three neighborhoods, ..." This should be modified to development phase would **potentially** provide for homes in two or three neighborhoods..."
 - Page 10.2-9, 8 lines from the bottom, missing a "\$" sign in front of the payment due on the 195
 - Page 10.2-12, ¶ 39 - the Land Exchange Agreement is now **entitled "Real Property Exchange Agreement."** It is referred to by both names in this paragraph
 - Page 10.3-32 ¶66 -- The Planning Commission asked for a modification to this condition. We believe it should be modified to read: "**Landscaping shall be maintained for the life of the project through arrangements acceptable to the County by creation of an endowment fund, private assessment district, or Homeowner's Association.**"

10. Attmt 11 - Addendum -- Page 13 of 124; Lot Standards, last sentence: Correct side yard to be five feet (correct in one spot, incorrect in another). ALSO -- missing APNs 101-380-001, 101-380-003, and 101-400-003. These open space lots were missing while the other two were included.

11. Attmt 12-13 - Real Property Agreement -- These don't include all of the attachments to the Real Property Exchange Agreement. We assume that is appropriate but mention it for completeness.