

# 3 - (1/5)

From: Steve Fort <stevef@sepps.com>

Sent: Thursday, January 30, 2025 10:38 AM

To: sbcob

Cc: Tuttle, Alex; Venegas, Corina; Justin Leach

**Subject:** Public Comment - Departmental Agenda - Item 3 Senate Bill 9 Ordinance Amendments

Attachments: 2025-02-04 BOS Public Comment Item 3 SB 9.pdf

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Clerk of the Board,

Attached please find public comment related to Departmental Agenda - Item 3 Senate Bill 9 Ordinance Amendments.

I would appreciate it if you would please confirm receipt.

Sincerely,

## **Steve Fort**

Principal Planner



SEPPS Land Use Consulting 1625 STATE STREET, SUITE 1 SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101

PH: 805-966-2758 x 101 www.sepps.com



30 January 2025

Chair Capps and Board of Supervisors
Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors
County of Santa Barbara
105 E. Anapamu Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Via email: Clerk of the Board - <a href="mailto:sbcob@countyofsb.org">sbcob@countyofsb.org</a>

**SUBJECT:** Public Comment

February 4, 2025 Board of Supervisors Hearing

Departmental Agenda - Item 3 Senate Bill 9 Ordinance Amendments

Chair Capps and Supervisors:

With regard to Item 3. ordinance amendments implementing SB 9, I am writing to request that the Board follow the recommendation of the County Planning Commission and staff and provide an exemption from the pending ordinance for applications that are actively being processed by Planning and Development and have received a written Determination of Eligibility for ministerial processing under Senate Bill 9.

I note the Planning Commission forwarded a recommendation to include an exemption for projects that are preexisting or in process with Planning and Development. I understand staff is indeed proposing that existing SB 9 applications filed on or before December 31, 2024 be exempted from required compliance with the proposed SB 9 regulations. In order to be fair to applicants already in the process, I urge you to follow through with the Planning Commission and staff recommendation.

As an example of the appropriateness for the exemption, I am currently the agent for a Senate Bill 9 parcel ma project that has received a written Determination of Eligibility from Planning and Development. Certain provisions of the draft pending ordinance, notably the affordability requirement, result in a very significant change in the requirements applicable to the project that were not applicable, known, or anticipated when the Determination of Eligibility was issued. My client has spent significant time and resources, many thousands of dollars, on consultants and Planning and Development staff time to get to this point. Application of the pending ordinance to this project (and likely any project already deemed eligible for Senate Bill 9 processing) is a massive shift in the rules and will result in the project being infeasible. Essentially, my client will have received a determination that his project is eligible to be processed under certain rules only to have the rules changed.

SB-9 Ordinance – Item 3 30 January 2025 Page 2

An exemption for projects that have recevied a written Determination of Eligibility from Planning and Development is a very reasonable request that results in fairness for your constituents.

On beahlf of my client, we appreciate your consdieration of this matter which is of the utmost importance to us. If you have questions, you may contact me at (805) 966-2758 x 101 or <a href="mailto:stevef@sepps.com">stevef@sepps.com</a>.

Sincerely,

SEPPS Land Use Consulting, Inc.

Steve Fort

Principal Planner

CC: Justin Leach

Alex Tuttle, Planning and Development

Corina Venegas-Martin, Planning and Development