

**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS CONTRACT AMENDMENT NO. 1
TO
AGREEMENT BETWEEN COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
AND URS CORPORATION**

This Amendment hereinafter referred to as Amendment No. 1 constitutes a modification to the original agreement between County of Santa Barbara and URS Corporation, Contract No. BC 05128, which was entered into on January 18, 2005.

Effective as of November 21, 2006, the original agreement is modified as follows:

1. The changes in compensation addressed the following additional work as explained in the attached:

**Scope of Work
Modifications for Revised Draft EIR
Santa Barbara Ranch Project Environmental Impact Report**

2. Total contract compensation is increased by \$194,825, raising the NOT TO EXCEED AMOUNT from \$857,536 to \$1,052,361 as made necessary by the work requested beyond the scope of the original contract.
3. The contract duration is extended by one year and will now terminate on January 31, 2008.

CONSULTANT understands and agrees that the compensation and time granted herein constitutes the total and entire compensation for these changes in the work. All other terms and conditions of the original agreement, as previously amended, if applicable, shall remain in full force and effect.

ATTEST:

MICHAEL F. BROWN
CLERK OF THE BOARD

Chair, Board of Supervisors

By _____
Deputy Clerk of the Board

Consultant.

Consultant Title

STEPHEN SHANE STARK
County Counsel

ROBERT W. GEIS, CPA
Auditor-Controller

By _____

By _____

RAY AROMATORIO
Risk Program Manager

By _____

**Scope of Work
Modifications for Revised Draft EIR
Santa Barbara Ranch Project Environmental Impact Report**

County of Santa Barbara Contract No. BC 05128

November 9, 2006

The County of Santa Barbara has determined that the Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Santa Barbara Ranch project should be revised and circulated for a new public review. Reasons supporting this decision include:

- The project design will be revised to address comments received (including those of the Central Board of Architectural Review) and incorporate a number of mitigation measures identified during the EIR preparation process that will serve to reduce environmental effects.
- An Open Space and Habitat Management Plan, referenced extensively in the Draft EIR for implementation of mitigation measures intended to avoid or reduce biological effects, will now be incorporated into the project description and used to update the discussion of biological resources.
- Additional information regarding agricultural resources (particularly relevant to Alternative 1) will be incorporated into the EIR as the result of interactions between the County and California Department of Conservation.
- To simplify the presentation format and reinforce the MOU Project as the official project for which formal application has been made, the EIR will be divided into distinct volumes that separately address the MOU Project and the Alternatives (inclusive of Alternative 1).

In response to these changes, CONSULTANT (URS) will perform the following tasks described below. The task numbering system follows that in the original scope of work and budget for this EIR. For cross-reference, the EIR sections numbers are also provided. Where specific topical sections have been omitted from this list, only minor editorial updates are anticipated.

Task 4A. Revise Project Description, Alternative 1 Description, and Alternatives

CONSULTANT will prepare revisions to text and all graphics of the EIR to incorporate changes proposed by the applicant in response to environmental and other issues identified during preparation and processing of the first Draft EIR. A preliminary list of these revisions includes the following items:

1. Removal of the proposed agricultural support facility from Lot 57 to a suitable location elsewhere on Santa Barbara and/or Dos Pueblos Ranch.

2. Modification of Lot 41 to delete or move the outbuilding to a minimum distance of 50 feet from the eucalyptus windrow.
3. Movement of the building site on lot DP-12 to create a larger buffer from the eucalyptus grove to the west.
4. Removal of lot DP-19 from Alternative 1.
5. Adjustments in architectural design and building siting in response to conceptual review by the Central Board of Architectural Review. .
6. Reconfiguration of access roads and driveways in order to provide a larger contiguous area of non-native grassland habitat along the coastal terrace between the UPRR tracks and the coastal bluffs.
7. Movement of the public access road across Lot 97 leading to the parking lot, in order to provide a 100 foot buffer from the edge of the wetland area in the northeast corner of the lot.

In addition to the physical design changes outlined above, the County may also prepare revisions to the text of the Local Coastal Program amendment, Naples Planned Development District Ordinance, project sequencing or phasing plan, and other components of the project. CONSULTANT will update the Project Description to incorporate a more complete description of these actions, as provided by the County.

Alternative 3 (Grid Development) will be revised with input from the County to identify areas where development would be feasible under the existing zoning and County policies, with approximate numbers of lots. The intent of this revision is to provide clarity regarding the development potential of the Santa Barbara Ranch property. The discussion of Alternative 3 will also be revised to describe the affect of creating multiple ownerships and processing multiple permits, compared with the project as proposed.

CONSULTANT will update discussion of other alternatives, as appropriate to reflect revisions in the Project Description. The discussion of the environmentally superior alternative will be updated with input from the County.

Revisions under this task will involve major updates in the following sections:

- Section 2.0 MOU Project Description
- Section 4.0 Alternative 1 Project Description
- Section 7.0 Alternatives (updates for consistency in all alternatives)
- Section 7.4 Alternative 3 Grid Development (graphic and text revisions)

Remaining sections of the Administrative Draft EIR will be revised for consistency with the updated MOU Project description and Alternative 1 project description.

Task 4A Assumptions

1. Graphics and text of project revisions will be provided by the County and/or project applicant.
2. Changes in the LCP language, NPD, and project sequencing, will be provided by the County.
3. If revisions intended to reduce environmental effects are not proposed and submitted, they will continue to be identified as required mitigation measures in the EIR.
4. The applicant may provide information regarding possible configurations or development potential under Alternative 3. CONSULTANT will independently review information provided, and will estimate the development configuration and potential in consultation with County staff.

Task 6A. Prepare Revisions to the Draft EIR

CONSULTANT will revise supporting technical studies and sections of the Draft EIR to incorporate the approach and changes described below. CONSULTANT will revise format of the EIR to place the discussion of all alternatives, including Alternative 1, into a volume separate from the main portion of the EIR dealing with the MOU Project. CONSULTANT will prepare an administrative Revised Draft EIR, and submit six copies to the County for review and a reproducible electronic copy on CD-ROM. For sections and topics in which no major changes are required, CONSULTANT will prepare minor updates for consistency with the changes in the Project Description and minor editorial revisions. In most topical sections, revisions will be necessary in both Chapter 3, dealing with the MOU Project, and Chapter 5 dealing with the Alternative 1 Project. A preliminary outline for the Revised Draft EIR is as follows:

Volume 1

- ES Executive Summary
- 1.0 Introduction and Background
- 2.0 MOU Project Description
- 3.0 Environmental Setting, Impacts, and Mitigation
[Sections 3.1 through 3.15]
- 6.0 Consistency with Plans and Policies – MOU Project
- 8.0 Other CEQA Considerations
- 9.0 Preparers
- 10.0 References

Volume 2

- 4.0 Alternative 1 Project Description
- 5.0 Environmental Setting, Impacts, and Mitigation
[Sections 5.1 through 5.15]
- 6.A Consistency with Plans and Policies – Alternative 1 Project
- 7.0 Alternatives

Volume 3 (Appendices)

- A Memorandum of Understanding
- B Naples Planned Development District (revisions anticipated to include LCP amendments, possible changes within NPDD)
- C Biological Resources (revisions anticipated to include updated wetland delineation, raptor survey, possible re-evaluation of native grassland)
- D Traffic Technical Appendix
- E Air Quality
- F Cultural Resources

NOTE: Appendices will be printed and distributed only if revisions are made. Unchanged appendices will be distributed upon request.

Task 6A.1. Agricultural Resources

CONSULTANT will revise the EIR text to incorporate an updated analysis of agricultural resources considering the point system described in the County's Environmental Thresholds and Guidelines Manual. Information supplied by the applicant may be used as part of this analysis, but CONSULTANT will use independent judgment in assigning ratings and conducting the analysis. CONSULTANT will first conduct a preliminary analysis, based on dividing the existing Santa Barbara Ranch property into reasonable sub-areas, to review the agricultural viability of the property under the existing conditions, the MOU Project as proposed, and under Alternative 3. Results of this preliminary analysis will be reviewed with County staff to identify any appropriate adjustments before completing this task. This work will affect sections 3.7 and 5.7, dealing with agricultural resources in the MOU Project and Alternative 1 Project, respectively.

CONSULTANT will also update acreage tabulations in the agricultural resource sections to reflect updated presentations to the County Agricultural Preserve Advisory Committee, and to reflect additional policy guidance received from the California Department of Conservation. These revisions will primarily affect section 5.7.

Task 6A.2. Air Quality

CONSULTANT will update the EIR text to reference the updated air quality threshold adopted by the County in 2006. The current status of the applicable Clean Air Plan will also be reviewed, and the text of the Draft EIR will be updated accordingly. These revisions are not expected to alter the conclusions of the analysis. The sections affected will be:

- Section 3.14 Air Quality (MOU Project)
- Section 5.14 Air Quality (Alternative 1 Project)

Task 6A.4. Hydrology, Drainage, and Water Quality

CONSULTANT will incorporate additional information supplied by outside groups regarding water quality, with an emphasis on the relationship between surface water quality and the nearshore marine habitat. The sections affected will be:

- Section 3.3 Hydrology and Water Quality (MOU Project)
- Section 5.3 Hydrology and Water Quality (Alternative 1 Project)

Task 6A.5. Biological Resources

CONSULTANT will perform the following additional fieldwork and surveys:

1. Wetland delineations using applicable U.S. Army Corps of Engineers procedures for all wetland areas that will be outside of proposed open space areas. These will include isolated wetlands in pasture areas south of Highway 101 (including the area in the northeast corner of Lot 97 for the purposes of establishing a 100 foot buffer distance), and inland areas expected to be affected by the project (the Canada Tomato drainage). Isolated seep areas to be incorporated into open space will not be subject to formal delineation.
2. A literature search and field survey to identify potential raptor species that may be affected by the project. This effort will focus on those portions of the project area not covered by prior surveys—primarily the Dos Pueblos Ranch property south of Highway 101 with an emphasis on the eucalyptus groves located there.
3. A re-evaluation of the extent of native grassland on the project site and the applicability of County policy related to native grassland protection.

CONSULTANT will update the EIR discussion of Biological Resources to incorporate the above information, and to address the following items:

- Updated Project Description, including revisions intended to reduce biological effects
- Submittal of the Open Space and Habitat Management Plan
- Expanded information regarding surface water quality and the nearshore marine habitat

The affected sections will be:

Section 3.4 Biological Resources (MOU Project)

Section 5.4 Biological Resources (Alternative 1 Project)

Task 6.A.5. Assumptions

It is assumed that the Open Space and Habitat Management Plan proposed within the project will be available for review and reference in the EIR. Many of the specific mitigation measures identified in the EIR will be implemented as actions undertaken for the Open Space and Habitat Management Plan or related plans. For specific items or issues that are not addressed in the Open Space and Habitat Management Plan, the EIR will identify mitigation measures, performance standards, implementation procedures, timing, and monitoring requirements, to be incorporated in the project.

Task 6.A.6. Cultural Resources

CONSULTANT will revise section to identify more clearly the types of impacts from disturbance and to clarify historical references to petroleum production, which affected portions of the Rancho Dos Pueblos outside of the project boundaries considered in the EIR. Additional descriptive information identified during public review of the Draft EIR will be included as directed by the County. The affected sections will be:

Section 3.11 Cultural Resources (MOU Project)

Section 5.11 Cultural Resources (Alternative 1 Project)

Task 6.A.7. Land Use

CONSULTANT will reformat and update the Policy Consistency discussion and Land Use discussion, based on project revisions and on any revised policy consistency language provided by the County. Revisions are intended to address consistency issues related to the proposed legislative actions (LCP amendment, adoption of the new Naples Planned Development District ordinance) and conformance with judicial decisions and other precedents. CONSULTANT will separate the policy consistency discussion, currently in Chapter 6 of the Draft EIR, into separate chapters addressing this matter for the MOU Project and for the Alternative 1 Project. The affected sections will be:

- Section 3.6 Land Use (MOU Project)
- Section 5.6 Land Use (Alternative 1 Project)
- Section 6.0 Consistency with Plans and Policies (will be revised to address only the MOU Project, extracting the Alternative 1 discussions to a new section)
- Section 6B Consistency with Plans and Policies (new section will be created for the Alternative 1 Project)

Task 6.A.7. Assumptions

Revisions to these sections (Land Use and Policy Consistency) will be based on information provided by the County.

Task 6.A.9. Utilities and Infrastructure

CONSULTANT will update the discussions of Utilities and Infrastructure to incorporate project description updates provided by the County. Minor revisions will clarify locations of water and sewer lines in roadways or rights-of-way. The applicability of advance on-site treatment systems will be reviewed, and may be identified as a potential solution or component for the project. The affected sections will be:

- Section 3.15 Public Services and Infrastructure (MOU Project)
- Section 5.15 Public Services and Infrastructure (Alternative 1 Project)

Task 6.A.10. Visual Resources

CONSULTANT will revise the discussions of Visual Resources to incorporate specific references to the questions and procedures for evaluating this topic identified in the County’s Thresholds and Guidelines Manual. CONSULTANT will add a new Key Observation Point, representing views towards the northeast into the Canada Tomato drainage from Highway 101. CONSULTANT will update the analysis of Key Observation Points that will be affected by revisions in the project design—specifically, those views towards the north from Highway 101 where the grade or elevation of homesites will be modified. CONSULTANT will revise discussions of Key Observation Points to identify the location of each relative to the Coastal Zone, and to correct editorial inconsistencies between the discussions of visual resource effects in the Visual Resources sections and the similar discussions in the Policy Consistency sections. The affected sections will be:

- Section 3.9 Visual Resources (MOU Project)
- Section 5.9 Visual Resources (Alternative 1 Project)

Task 6.A.10 Assumptions

The County will provide updated photosimulations for any modified views, and for the new Key Observation Point. CONSULTANT will review the updated photosimulations and either incorporate them into the EIR or use them to modify photosimulations prepared by the CONSULTANT. The County will provide specific direction relative to the issue of consistency with coastal policies related to protection of views from

Task 6.A.13. Solid Waste, Hazardous Waste, and Public Health

CONSULTANT will incorporate any updated information available regarding past oil exploration activities and the potential for contaminated soils or materials related to such activity. This revision will clarify and emphasize the fact that records indicate there was no production activity on the property. The affected sections will be:

- Section 3.5 Hazards and Hazardous Materials (MOU Project)
- Section 5.5 Hazards and Hazardous Materials (Alternative 1 Project)

Task 6.A.14. Recreation

CONSULTANT will update recreation section to provide more specific references to Coastal Act policies and plans related to coastal trail (parallel to the coast) location. If the Alternative 1 trail location is altered, CONSULTANT will incorporate appropriate changes into this section. The affected sections will be:

- Section 3.10 Recreation (MOU Project)
- Section 5.10 Recreation (Alternative 1 Project)

Task 6.A.15-18 Growth Inducement, Other CEQA Sections, Mitigation Monitoring

CONSULTANT will prepare updates and revisions to these sections, based on input from the County. Specifically, CONSULTANT will review information provided by the County regarding project background for inclusion in the Introduction and other sections as appropriate. CONSULTANT will also draft language for the Introduction to explain the process of Public Review for the Revised Draft EIR, the need for agencies and the public to prepare new comments or to re-submit previous comments. This language explaining the Public Review process will be used in the EIR and in notices related to the Revised Draft EIR review.

CONSULTANT will draft language for the EIR Introduction that identifies the major changes in this edition of the EIR, and will prepare a brief highlighted discussion at the beginning of each EIR chapter or topical section that describes changes that have occurred since release of the first Draft EIR.

CONSULTANT will update the impact and mitigation summary tables as appropriate to reflect changes in the environmental analyses throughout the revised Draft EIR.

The affected sections will be:

Section ES	Executive Summary
Section 1.0	Introduction and Background
Section 8.0	Other CEQA Considerations
Section 9.0	List of Preparers
Section 10	References

Task 7.A. Prepare Revised Public Draft EIR

Based on comments received on the administrative Revised Draft EIR, CONSULTANT will prepare six copies of the “screen check” Revised Draft EIR for the County’s review. This version will represent a 100 percent complete document submitted for one final review and sign-off. CONSULTANT will meet with the County to discuss any final revisions, and then prepare fifty (50) printed copies of the public Revised Draft EIR, one reproducible master copy and a reproducible electronic copy on CD-ROM. Additional CD-ROM copies, up to 100, will be provided as needed. CONSULTANT will assist County in preparing the Notice of Completion and in transmittal of copies of the Revised Draft EIR to the State Clearinghouse.

Task 13.A. Additional Staff Reports

CONSULTANT will provide assistance with staff reports and related materials dealing with agricultural issues associated with Alternative 1, including Williamson Act contract cancellation and exchange with the proposed Agricultural Conservation Easement. This material will be used in County work with the Agricultural Preserve Advisory Committee, California Department of Conservation, and other agencies or bodies.

Task 14.A. GIS, Graphics, and Other tools

CONSULTANT will prepare updated shape files and graphics for all sections in the EIR, and provide electronic files to the County.

Second Public Review Schedule

	Start	End
4 Project Description & Alternatives	Day 1	
Rev updated PD infor from Applicant & County (maps, .dwg files) Prepare Proj. Descr. & Alts. submit PD and Alts.	Day 7	
Rev updated text (background, policies, etc.) from County Submit updated PD, Alt 1, Alt 3, Alts. description (w/o impact analysis)	Day 14	Day 21
6 Admin. Draft EIR		
Biology field work	Day 1	Day 4
Revise all sections	Day 1	Day 39
7 Public Draft EIR		
Meet for Revisions		Day 70
Revisions	Day 71	Day 84
Submit "screencheck"		Day 84
Print 50 copies	Day 87	Day 95
Notice of Completion	Day 91	Day 93
Deliver 50 copies and NOC		Day 93
Public Review	Day 99	Day 144
Public Meeting 1		Day 122
8 Admin. Final EIR		
Meet to review comments	Day 149	
Resp. to Comments (from 2nd PR)	Day 150	Day 166
Submit Admin. Final		Day 166
9 Proposed Final EIR		
Meet for revisions		Day 178
Revisions	Day 179	Day 186
Submit screencheck		Day 187
Print 50 copies	Day 191	Day 194
Deliver 20 copies for PC, staff report, resp. distrib.		Day 199
Deliver 30 copies for distribution		Day 201
Notice of Determination (post certification)		TBD

Santa Barbara Ranch EIR and TDR Study

Personnel	PERSONNEL HOURS																	Other Direct Charges				URS Labor Hours	SUB CONTRACTS	Other ODCs	URS LABOR CHARGE (Contract)	Subs & ODC Charges (Contract)	TASK TOTAL										
	MGR3, ECE1 (Princ)	MGR2 (Sr. PM)	PRO5 (Princ. Pro.)	PRO5 (Princ. Pro.)	PRO4 (Proj. Pro.)	PRO4 (Sr. Pro.)	PRO4 (Sr. Pro.)	PRO4 (Sr. Pro.)	PRO3 (Proj Eng/Sci.)	PRO3 (Proj Eng/Sci.)	TEC5 (Sr. Des.)	PRO2 (Asst Proj Eng/Sci.)	TEC4 (Sr. Tech)	CLE5 (Sr. Proj Asst)	TEC3 (Sr. Tech)	CLE3-4 (WP)	TEC2 (Tech.)	UNIT	RATE (\$)	EST QNTY	COST TYPE																
MODIFIED BILLING RATES	150.00	150.00	137.50	137.50	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	85.00	85.00	75.00	70.00	75.00	57.50	75.00	37.00	55.00																				
Hourly Rate	\$150	\$150	\$138	\$138	\$100	\$100	\$100	\$100	\$85	\$85	\$75	\$70	\$75	\$58	\$75	\$37	\$55																				
TASK NO.	Person	TCohen	F Wein	BRSmith	RRay	TMurph	JScholl	MBand	JLars	PRO3	PRO3	Sr. Dr.	PRO2	Sr.T	Padm	Tech	WP	Tech																			
SCOPE OF WORK MODIFICATIONS FOR REVISED DRAFT EIR, NOVEMBER 8, 2006																																					
MODIFIED BILLING RATES	150	150	137.5	137.5	100	100	100	100	85	85	75	70	75	58	75	37	55																				
			BR Smith	C Wood	A Hud				J. Lars				C Sol																								
1.0 Prelim. Site Opp. And Constraints																																					
NO CHANGES																																					
SUBTOTAL 1.0 Prelim. Site Opps. & Constraints																																					
2.0 Project Management Program																																					
NO CHANGES																																					
SUBTOTAL 2.0 PMP																																					
3.0 Prepare TDR Study																																					
NO CHANGES																																					
Research and Analyze																																					
NO CHANGES																																					
Recommendations and Report																																					
NO CHANGES																																					
SUBTOTAL 3.0 Prepare TDR Study																																					
4.A. Revise Proj. Descr. And Alternatives																																					
Design changes, new graphics, text, LCP, NPDD updates, Revise Alt 3 Grid, misc. revisions throughout Alts. & other sections																																					
SUBTOTAL 4.A Revise Proj. Description and Alts.																																					

Santa Barbara Ranch EIR and TDR Study

Personnel	PERSONNEL HOURS																	Other Direct Charges				URS Labor Hours	SUB CONTRACTS	Other ODCs	URS LABOR CHARGE (Contract)	Subs & ODC Charges (Contract)	TASK TOTAL											
	MGR3, ECE1 (Princ)	MGR2 (Sr. PM)	PRO5 (Princ. Pro.)	PRO5 (Princ. Pro.)	PRO4 (Proj. Pro.)	PRO4 (Sr. Pro.)	PRO4 (Sr. Pro.)	PRO4 (Sr. Pro.)	PRO3 (Proj Eng/Sci.)	PRO3 (Proj Eng/Sci.)	TEC5 (Sr. Des.)	PRO2 (Asst Proj Eng/Sci.)	TEC4 (Sr. Tech)	CLE5 (Sr. Proj Asst)	TEC3 (Sr. Tech)	CLE3-4 (WP)	TEC2 (Tech.)	UNIT	RATE (\$)	EST QNTY	COST TYPE																	
MODIFIED BILLING RATES	150.00	150.00	137.50	137.50	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	85.00	85.00	75.00	70.00	75.00	57.50	75.00	37.00	55.00																					
Hourly Rate	\$150	\$150	\$138	\$138	\$100	\$100	\$100	\$100	\$85	\$85	\$75	\$70	\$75	\$58	\$75	\$37	\$55																					
TASK NO.	Person	TCohen	F Wein	BRSmith	RRay	TMurph	JScholl	MBand	JLars	PRO3	PRO3	Sr. Dr.	PRO2	Sr.T	Padm	Tech	WP	Tech																				
5.0 Prepare Notice of Preparation of EIR																																						
NO CHANGE, NO NEW NOP																																						
SUBTOTAL 5.0 Prepare Notice Of Preparation.																																						
6.A. Prepare Revisions to EIR																																						
6.01A Outline, checks, revision. PD from Task 4									16				24			8																						
6.A1 Rev. to Agricultural Resources																																						
Consider point system, update per APAC, update per DOC guidance									24				24			8																						
SUBTOTAL 6.A1 Rev. to Agricultural Resources									24				24			8																						
6.A2 Rev. to Air Quality																																						
Update per new threshold, update Clean Air Plan refs.									8																													
SUBTOTAL 6.A2 Rev. to Air Quality									8																													
6.A3 Rev. to Geology, Soils, Seismic Hazards																																						
NO Major Change. Minor Edits.									8																													
SUBTOTAL 6.A3 Rev to Geology, Soils, Seismic Hazards									8																													
6.A4 Rev. to Hydrology, Drainage, and Water Quality																																						
More on surface WQ, more ref. to literature.									8																													
SUBTOTAL 6.A4 Rev. to Hydrology, Drainage, and WQ									8																													
6.A5 Rev. to Biological Resources																																						
Wetland Delin., raptors, more on grassland, incorp. OSMP, edits for PD, edits for WQ									40				40	40		24																						
SUBTOTAL 6.A5 Rev. Biological Resources									40				40	40		24																						
6.A6 Rev. to Cultural Resources																																						
Edits to define impacts better, correct ref. to oil prod., respond to PR issues as directed. No new field work.									12				4																									
SUBTOTAL 6.A6 Rev. Cultural Resources									12				4																									

Santa Barbara Ranch EIR and TDR Study

Personnel	PERSONNEL HOURS																	Other Direct Charges				URS Labor Hours	SUB CONTRACTS	Other ODCs	URS LABOR CHARGE (Contract)	Subs & ODC Charges (Contract)	TASK TOTAL							
	MGR3, ECE1 (Princ)	MGR2 (Sr. PM)	PRO5 (Princ. Pro.)	PRO5 (Princ. Pro.)	PRO4 (Proj. Pro.)	PRO4 (Sr. Pro.)	PRO4 (Sr. Pro.)	PRO4 (Sr. Pro.)	PRO3 (Proj Eng./Sci.)	PRO3 (Proj Eng./Sci.)	TEC5 (Sr. Des.)	PRO2 (Asst Proj Eng./Sci.)	TEC4 (Sr. Tech)	CLE5 (Sr. Proj Asst)	TEC3 (Sr. Tech)	CLE3-4 (WP)	TEC2 (Tech.)	UNIT	RATE (\$)	EST QNTY	COST TYPE													
MODIFIED BILLING RATES	150.00	150.00	137.50	137.50	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	85.00	85.00	75.00	70.00	75.00	57.50	75.00	37.00	55.00																	
Hourly Rate	\$150	\$150	\$138	\$138	\$100	\$100	\$100	\$100	\$85	\$85	\$75	\$70	\$75	\$58	\$75	\$37	\$55																	
TASK NO.	Person	TCohen	F Wein	BRSmith	RRay	TMurph	JScholl	MBand	JLars	PRO3	PRO3	Sr. Dr.	PRO2	Sr.T	Padm	Tech	WP	Tech																
6.A7 Rev. to Land Use																																		
Update per PD, update per Co. rev. on policies, extract Alt. 1 from Ch 6, revise Ch. 6 for MOU				32		24			12					32		32		maps,rep	\$75.00	1	2			132		\$75	\$11,584	\$83	\$11,667					
SUBTOTAL 6.A7 Rev. to Land Use				32		24			12					32		32		SCal trip	\$245.00	1	2			132		\$245	\$11,584	\$352	\$11,936					
6.A8 Rev. to Community Services																																		
No Major Change. Minor edits.												12				8						2		20		\$1,136		\$1,136						
SUBTOTAL 6.A8 Rev. to Community Services												12				8							20		\$1,136		\$1,136							
6.A9 Rev. to Utilities and Infrastructure																																		
Update per PD., locations of lines, AB 886 ref.									8			8	8			8						2		32		\$2,256		\$2,256						
SUBTOTAL 6.A9 Rev. to Utilities and Infrastructure									8			8	8			8						2		32		\$2,256		\$2,256						
6.A10 Rev. to Visual Resources																																		
Refs. To Co Thresholds, new KOP, revise photosims. On KOPs to north, add refs. To CZ locations & policies									24			24	16			16		photos.	\$500.00	1	2			80		\$500	\$5,872	\$550	\$6,422					
SUBTOTAL 6.A10 Rev. to Visual Resources									24			24	16			16							80		\$500	\$5,872	\$550	\$6,422						
6.A11 Rev. to Traffic Circulation																																		
No Major Change. Minor edits.												8				4							12	ATE		\$708		\$708						
SUBTOTAL 6.A11 Rev. to Traffic Circulation												8				4							12		\$708		\$708							
6.A12 Rev. to Noise																																		
No Major Change. Minor edits.						8		4								8						2		20		\$1,496		\$1,496						
SUBTOTAL 6.A12 Rev. to Noise						8		4								8						2		20		\$1,496		\$1,496						
6.A13 Rev. to Solid Waste, Haz Mat., Public Health																																		
update, clarify oil exploration, minor edits									4	TWint	8		4			4						2		20		\$1,528		\$1,528						
SUBTOTAL 6.A13 Rev. to Solid Waste, Haz Mat., PH									4	8		4				4							20		\$1,528		\$1,528							
6.A14 Rev. to Recreation																																		
rev. trail options, refs to Coastal plan docs.						8		4					4			8						2		24		\$1,796		\$1,796						
SUBTOTAL 6.A14 Rev. to Recreation						8		4					4			8						2		24		\$1,796		\$1,796						

Santa Barbara Ranch EIR and TDR Study

Personnel	PERSONNEL HOURS																	Other Direct Charges				UR Labor Hours	SUB CONTRACTS	Other ODCs	URS LABOR CHARGE (Contract)	Subs & ODC Charges (Contract)	TASK TOTAL							
	MGR3, ECE1 (Princ)	MGR2 (Sr. PM)	PRO5 (Princ. Pro.)	PRO5 (Princ. Pro.)	PRO4 (Proj. Pro.)	PRO4 (Sr. Pro.)	PRO4 (Sr. Pro.)	PRO4 (Sr. Pro.)	PRO3 (Proj Eng/Sci.)	PRO3 (Proj Eng/Sci.)	TEC5 (Sr. Des.)	PRO2 (Asst Proj Eng/Sci.)	TEC4 (Sr. Tech)	CLE5 (Sr. Proj Asst)	TEC3 (Sr. Tech)	CLE3-4 (WP)	TEC2 (Tech.)	UNIT	RATE (\$)	EST QNTY	COST TYPE													
MODIFIED BILLING RATES	150.00	150.00	137.50	137.50	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	85.00	85.00	75.00	70.00	75.00	57.50	75.00	37.00	55.00																	
Hourly Rate	\$150	\$150	\$138	\$138	\$100	\$100	\$100	\$100	\$85	\$85	\$75	\$70	\$75	\$58	\$75	\$37	\$55																	
TASK NO.	Person	TCohen	F Wein	BRSmith	RRay	TMurph	JScholl	MBand	JLars	PRO3	PRO3	Sr. Dr.	PRO2	Sr.T	Padm	Tech	WP	Tech																
6.A15 Rev. to Growth Inducement																																		
No Major Change. Minor edits.						8							4				4		maps,rep	\$75.00	1	2			16		\$75	\$1,228	\$83	\$1,311				
SUBTOTAL 6.A15 Rev. to Growth Inducement						8							4				4								16		\$75	\$1,228	\$83	\$1,311				
6.A16 Rev. to Other CEQA Sections & Production																																		
No Major Change. Minor edits.				16		12			16					8		56		maps,rep	\$500.00	1	2			108		\$500	\$7,672	\$550	\$8,222					
Split to 3 volumes																		copies	\$300	8	2					\$2,400		\$2,640	\$2,640					
SUBTOTAL 6.A16 Rev. to Other CEQA Sections				16		12			16					8		56								108		\$2,900	\$7,672	\$3,190	\$10,862					
6.A17 Rev. to Cumulative Impacts																																		
No Major Change. Minor edits.				16					8				12				16		maps,rep	\$75.00	1	2		52	LHunt	\$75	\$4,432	\$83	\$4,515					
SUBTOTAL 6.A17 Rev. to Cumulative Impacts				16					8				12				16							52		\$75	\$4,432	\$83	\$4,515					
6.A18 Rev. to Mitigation and Monitoring Program																																		
No Major Change. Minor edits.				12					4				12				8									LHunt		\$3,186						
SUBTOTAL 6.A18 Rev. to Mitigation and Monitoring Progra				12					4				12				8											\$3,186						
SUBTOTAL 6.A Admin. Revised Draft EIR				76		112	24	24	128	48	40		112	96			234							858	8000	\$4,170	\$70,428	\$13,387	\$80,629					
7.A Prepare Revised Public Draft EIR																																		
Meet for revisions, revise, submit screencheck, meet, final revisions, 50 copies, original, 50 CD original, NOC	4		16	16	40	16	8	40				8	40	8	8	16	40		SCal trip	\$245.00	1	2		260	LHunt	\$245	\$22,540	\$270	\$22,810					
																			rpt copy	\$400.00	50	2				\$20,000		\$22,000	\$22,000					
SUBTOTAL 7.A Prepare Revised Public Draft EIR	4		16	16	40	16	8	40				8	40	8	8	16	40						260		\$20,245	\$22,540	\$22,270	\$44,810						
8.0 Prepare Admin. Final EIR																																		
NO CHANGES																																		
SUBTOTAL 8.0 Prepare Admin. Final EIR																																		
9.0 Prepare Proposed Final EIR																																		
NO CHANGES																																		
SUBTOTAL 9.0 Prepare Proposed Final EIR																																		

