Redistricting Plan 8
Olivia Fisher

County of Santa Barbara
Redistricting 2011
Proposal Assessment Summary

Name of Proposal: Olivia Flisher Plan 1

Organization or Individual: Olivia Flisher

Mandatory Criteria

M-1: Equal District Population

District	Plan Results	Target	% Deviation
1	84,433	84,779	-0.41%
2	84,718	84,779	-0.07%
3	84,871	84,779	0.11%
4	85,247	84,779	0.55%
5	84,626	84,779	-0.18%
Average % Deviation:			0.26%

M-2: VRA Compliance I - Total Population

District	% Hispanic	2010 Existing	% Change
1	41.16%	42.69%	-1.53%
2	24.43%	25.14%	-0.71%
3	25.85%	26.13%	-0.28%
4	47.61%	43.76%	3.85%
5	75.28%	70.03%	5.25%
Average	42.87%	41.55%	2.32%

M-3: VRA Compliance II - Voting Age Population

District	% Hispanic	2010 Existing	% Change
1	35.75%	37.14%	-1.39%
2	21.84%	22.23%	-0.39%
3	22.46%	23.75%	-1.29%
4	41.76%	37.53%	4.23%
5	69.57%	63.90%	5.67%
Average	38.28%	36.91%	2.59%

Permissive Criteria/Other Information

P-1: Contiguity of all District Boundaries

Yes/No:	Yes

P-2 Maximum % Population Deviation between Districts

Percentage (highest/lowest):	0.96%

P-3: % of 8 Cities represented by more than one District

Percentage	38.8%

O-1: Population Moving In/Out

District	Population Added	Population Subtracted	% Change
1	10,045	1,518	15.23%
2	13,065	10,045	28.29%
3	28,677	25,754	66.42%
4	38,487	36,052	90.01%
5	7,375	24,280	31.18%

O-2: Voting age population w/6 years to District elections

From District	Moving to D2	Moving to D5	
1	1,275		
3	10,189		
4		4,870	

O-3: Population in Unincorporated Territory

District	Proposed Plan Unincorporated Population	2010 Existing Unincorporated Population	% Change
1	14,613	16,131	-9.41%
2	37,905	30,259	25.27%
3	60,093	47,733	25.89%
4	18,117	36,812	-50.79%
5	2,689	2,482	8.34%

County of Santa Barbara
Redistricting 2011
Proposal Submittal Form

Name: Olivia Flisher	
Organization (if none, designate "Self"): self	
Name of Proposal being submitted: Flisher Plan Draft 1	
Briefly describe your plan: [see attached]	

Briefly describe the goals of your plan: (example: keep rural areas together, keep a city in a specific district, etc.)

1. To balance representation in the north & south - 3 districts in each of these 2 population centers
2. To place coastal responsibility in every district
3. To keep agricultural areas in 4 of 5 districts

What is the population of each district in your plan?

1st: 84,433	2nd: 84,718	3rd: 84,871
4th: 85,247	5th: 84,626	

I hereby acknowledge that by submitting this plan and any related information for consideration, the plan and any related information will be considered a public record subject to disclosure to any member of the public.

Signature: Olivia R. Flisher

Submit this form with your complete plan by June 17, 2011 at 5:00PM to the County Executive Office.

Redistricting 2011 FlisherDraft1 Proposal

Briefly describe plan:

This plan manages to correct the glaring inequity of the present supervisorial district map by providing as much supervisorial representation in the Santa Maria/Orcutt area as in the Santa Barbara/Goleta area. In this plan three supervisors have responsibilities and interests in both of the two major population centers.

The fifth district has grown the most and its borders must change. It still represents the Cuyama area, and adds the smaller city of Guadalupe and a small coastal area, while losing the southerly part of the City of Santa Maria. This plan also unites the Lompoc, VAFB and Vandenberg Village communities into the fourth supervisorial district which extends up into the Santa Maria/Orcutt area. Significantly, the third district represents the large rural center of the county, as well as portions of both northern and southern populations centers-- recognizing this district's pivotal role in the county. The Santa Barbara Airport, which is actually part of the City of Santa Barbara, is moved from the third district into the second district, along with the university and some of the Isla Vista census area. The largest cities, Santa Barbara and Santa Maria, are both divided into two supervisorial districts.

Although the district boundaries of this plan can be moved *slightly* to provide even more equitable populations, I believe this plan provides a balanced map for our coastal county.

Briefly describe goals:

1. To **balance representation in the north and south** - 3 districts in each of these two population centers

2. To place **coastal** responsibility in every district

3. To **keep agricultural areas in 4 of 5 districts**

District Summary

FlisherDraft1 6/21/2011 District Count: 5

Maximum Deviation:	814	0.96%
Average Deviation:	224	0.26%
Minimum Count:	84,433	
Maximum Count:	85,247	
Total Count:	423,895	

Deviation From Optimum

District	Proposed	Optimum	Deviation	As % of Optimum
1	84,433	84,779	-346	-0.41%

Demographic	Count	% of Total	Demographic	Count	% of Total	Demographic	Count	% of 18+	Demographic	Count	% of 18+
TOTAL:	84,433	100.00%				TOTAL18:	67,953	100.00%			
WHT:	63,152	74.80%	NHWHT:	44,730	52.98%	WHT18:	52,440	77.17%	NHWHT18:	39,530	58.17%
BLK:	1,114	1.32%	NHBLK:	894	1.06%	BLK18:	934	1.37%	NHBLK18:	798	1.17%
AIAN:	866	1.03%	NHAIAN:	285	0.34%	AIAN18:	664	0.98%	NHAIAN18:	231	0.34%
ASIAN:	2,260	2.68%	NHASIAN:	2,144	2.54%	ASIAN18:	2,002	2.95%	NHASIN18:	1,917	2.82%
NHOPI:	97	0.11%	NHNHOPI:	78	0.09%	NHOPI18:	82	0.12%	NHNHOP18:	70	0.10%
OTHER:	13,797	16.34%	NHOTHER:	174	0.21%	OTHER18:	9,666	14.22%	NHOTHR18:	128	0.19%
P2MRACE:	3,147	3.73%	NHP2MRCE:	1,376	1.63%	P2MRCE18:	2,165	3.19%	NHP2RC18:	985	1.45%
HISP:	34,752	41.16%				HISP18:	24,294	35.75%			
NHISP:	49,681	58.84%				NHISP18:	43,659	64.25%			

District	Proposed	Optimum	Deviation	As % of Optimum
2	84,718	84,779	-61	-0.07%

Demographic	Count	% of Total	Demographic	Count	% of Total	Demographic	Count	% of 18+	Demographic	Count	% of 18+
TOTAL:	84,718	100.00%				TOTAL18:	69,960	100.00%			
WHT:	65,903	77.79%	NHWHT:	54,918	64.82%	WHT18:	55,373	79.15%	NHWHT18:	47,130	67.37%
BLK:	1,339	1.58%	NHBLK:	1,187	1.40%	BLK18:	1,150	1.64%	NHBLK18:	1,051	1.50%
AIAN:	647	0.76%	NHAIAN:	263	0.31%	AIAN18:	514	0.73%	NHAIAN18:	236	0.34%
ASIAN:	5,492	6.48%	NHASIAN:	5,389	6.36%	ASIAN18:	4,848	6.93%	NHASIN18:	4,765	6.81%
NHOPI:	129	0.15%	NHNHOPI:	106	0.13%	NHOPI18:	113	0.16%	NHNHOP18:	95	0.14%
OTHER:	7,890	9.31%	NHOTHER:	173	0.20%	OTHER18:	5,813	8.31%	NHOTHR18:	131	0.19%
P2MRACE:	3,318	3.92%	NHP2MRCE:	1,989	2.35%	P2MRCE18:	2,149	3.07%	NHP2RC18:	1,273	1.82%
HISP:	20,693	24.43%				HISP18:	15,279	21.84%			
NHISP:	64,025	75.57%				NHISP18:	54,681	78.16%			

District	Proposed	Optimum	Deviation	As % of Optimum
3	84,871	84,779	92	0.11%

Demographic	Count	% of Total	Demographic	Count	% of Total	Demographic	Count	% of 18+	Demographic	Count	% of 18+
TOTAL:	84,871	100.00%				TOTAL18:	68,639	100.00%			
WHT:	65,412	77.07%	NHWHT:	53,944	63.56%	WHT18:	53,694	78.23%	NHWHT18:	45,769	66.68%
BLK:	1,128	1.33%	NHBLK:	997	1.17%	BLK18:	922	1.34%	NHBLK18:	827	1.20%
AIAN:	979	1.15%	NHAIAN:	479	0.56%	AIAN18:	757	1.10%	NHAIAN18:	399	0.58%
ASIAN:	5,118	6.03%	NHASIAN:	4,927	5.81%	ASIAN18:	4,522	6.59%	NHASIN18:	4,386	6.39%
NHOPI:	130	0.15%	NHNHOPI:	117	0.14%	NHOPI18:	111	0.16%	NHNHOP18:	100	0.15%
OTHER:	8,247	9.72%	NHOTHER:	164	0.19%	OTHER18:	6,091	8.87%	NHOTHR18:	125	0.18%
P2MRACE:	3,857	4.54%	NHP2MRCE:	2,300	2.71%	P2MRCE18:	2,542	3.70%	NHP2RC18:	1,617	2.36%
HISP:	21,943	25.85%				HISP18:	15,416	22.46%			
NHISP:	62,928	74.15%				NHISP18:	53,223	77.54%			

District	Proposed	Optimum	Deviation	As % of Optimum
4	85,247	84,779	468	0.55%

Demographic	Count	% of Total	Demographic	Count	% of Total	Demographic	Count	% of 18+	Demographic	Count	% of 18+
TOTAL:	85,247	100.00%				TOTAL18:	61,818	100.00%			
WHT:	54,239	63.63%	NHWHT:	34,092	39.99%	WHT18:	41,146	66.56%	NHWHT18:	28,126	45.50%
BLK:	3,754	4.40%	NHBLK:	3,354	3.93%	BLK18:	2,966	4.80%	NHBLK18:	2,747	4.44%
AIAN:	1,324	1.55%	NHAIAN:	518	0.61%	AIAN18:	937	1.52%	NHAIAN18:	408	0.66%
ASIAN:	4,249	4.98%	NHASIAN:	3,977	4.67%	ASIAN18:	3,298	5.34%	NHASIN18:	3,135	5.07%
NHOPI:	339	0.40%	NHNHOPI:	286	0.34%	NHOPI18:	261	0.42%	NHNHOP18:	226	0.37%
OTHER:	16,643	19.52%	NHOTHER:	155	0.18%	OTHER18:	10,702	17.31%	NHOTHR18:	100	0.16%
P2MRACE:	4,699	5.51%	NHP2MRCE:	2,276	2.67%	P2MRCE18:	2,508	4.06%	NHP2RC18:	1,263	2.04%
HISP:	40,589	47.61%				HISP18:	25,813	41.76%			
NHISP:	44,658	52.39%				NHISP18:	36,005	58.24%			

District	Proposed	Optimum	Deviation	As % of Optimum
5	84,626	84,779	-153	-0.18%

Demographic	Count	% of Total	Demographic	Count	% of Total	Demographic	Count	% of 18+	Demographic	Count	% of 18+
TOTAL:	84,626	100.00%				TOTAL18:	57,478	100.00%			
WHT:	46,418	54.85%	NHWHT:	15,438	18.24%	WHT18:	32,751	56.98%	NHWHT18:	13,284	23.11%
BLK:	1,178	1.39%	NHBLK:	810	0.96%	BLK18:	837	1.46%	NHBLK18:	632	1.10%
AIAN:	1,669	1.97%	NHAIAN:	298	0.35%	AIAN18:	1,100	1.91%	NHAIAN18:	230	0.40%
ASIAN:	3,546	4.19%	NHASIAN:	3,154	3.73%	ASIAN18:	2,768	4.82%	NHASIN18:	2,544	4.43%
NHOPI:	111	0.13%	NHNHOPI:	93	0.11%	NHOPI18:	78	0.14%	NHNHOP18:	70	0.12%
OTHER:	27,283	32.24%	NHOTHER:	124	0.15%	OTHER18:	17,239	29.99%	NHOTHR18:	80	0.14%
P2MRACE:	4,421	5.22%	NHP2MRCE:	999	1.18%	P2MRCE18:	2,705	4.71%	NHP2RC18:	651	1.13%
HISP:	63,710	75.28%				HISP18:	39,987	69.57%			
NHISP:	20,916	24.72%				NHISP18:	17,491	30.43%			

Supervisorial Districts, Santa Barbara County

FisherPlanDRAFT1 Overview

DRAFT

GRAPHIC SCALE

0 2 4 8 12 16

Miles

2010 Census Cities

2010 Census Designated Places

2011 Draft Districts

2001 Districts

PACIFIC OCEAN

5

4

3

2

1

Guadalupe

Santa Maria

Lompoc

Buellton

Solvang

Goleta

Santa Barbara

Carpinteria

Vandenberg Air Force Base

Vandenberg AFB

Casmalia

Orcutt

Sisquoc

Garey

Los Alamos

Mission Hills

Vandenberg Village

Los Olivos

Roblar Ave

Baseline Ave

Santa Ynez

Chumash Reservation

Cuyama

New Cuyama

Los Padres National Forest

Mission Canyon

Montecito

Summerland

Toro Canyon

Isla Vista

Cathedral Oaks Rd

Hollister Ave

Camino Cielo

Gibraltar Rd

Via Real

Figueroa Mountain Rd

Happy Canyon Rd

Foxen Canyon Rd

Tepusquet Rd

Tepusquet R d

Donovan Rd

Main St

Stowell Rd

Brown Rd

Sinton Rd

Black Rd

Blosser Rd

Bradley Rd

Dominion Rd

Clark Ave

Pt Sal Rd

Thirteenth St

Washington Ave

Floradale Ave

V St

A St

Ocean Ave

Purisima Rd

Santa Rosa Rd

San Miguelito Rd

Jalama Rd

Alisal Rd

Refugio Rd

Ballard Canyon Rd



Supervisorial Districts, Santa Barbara County

Ken Wolf Plan 1 Areas Of Change

GRAPHIC SCALE

0 2 4 8 12 16

Miles

2010 Census Cities

2010 Census Designated Places

2011 Draft Districts

AreasOfChange