Casmalia Community Services District PO Box 207 Casmalia, CA 93429

December 11, 2008

Re: CCSD-CEQA NOTICE OF EXEMPTION

The Casmalia Community Services District (CCSD) Board hereby approves the preparation and filing of the attached Notice of Exemption (NOE) for the CCSD's proposed Water System Improvements Project which is currently being undertaken by the District. This project is exempt from further CEQA review as described in the attached memorandum dated December 10, 2008 prepared by Aspen Environmental Group. The NOE is to be filed with the Santa Barbara County Clerk of the Board stating that the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment and is exempt from further CEQA review pursuant to CEQA Guideline Sections 15301 and 15302 in which the proposed project can be categorized as a Class 1 (b, d) Existing Facilities project, or a Class 2 (b) Replacement or Reconstruction project.

Bill Ostini, President

12-15-08 Date

> COUNTY OF SAVIA BARBANA O'LENK OF THE

NOTICE OF EXEMPTION

TO: Santa Barbara County Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

2009 JAN -6 AM 10: 38

FROM: Casmalia Community Services District

COUNTY OF SANTA BAPBARA CLERK OF THE 50ARD OF SUPERVISIONS

The project or activity identified below is determined to be exempt from further environmental review requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) of 1970, as defined in the State and County Guidelines for the implementation of CEQA.

APN(s): 095-020-013(tank site) and multiple parcels within the community of Casmalia related to lateral replacement.

Location: Black Road, Casmalia, Santa Barbara County, California

Project Title: Casmalia Community Services District, Water System Improvements Project

Project Description: The proposed Project would replace portions of the water system that are in poor condition and/or that have exhibited poor reliability. The proposed Project includes providing a replacement storage tank with a capacity of 200,000 gallons; repair of the tank access road and adjacent piping; and replacement of plastic service laterals. An increase in tank size is needed to meet fire code requirements. The existing access road varies between 9 and 12 feet in width and approximately 450 feet long running west from the tank site and then north to Black Road.

Name of Public Agency Approving Project: Casmalia Community Services District

Name of Person or Agency Carrying Out Project: Casmalia Community Services District

Exempt Status: (Check one)

__Ministerial

_Statutory

X Categorical Exemption

__Emergency Project

No Possibility of Significant Effect [§15061(b,3)]

Reasons to support exemption findings: The proposed project can be found to be exempt per CEQA under a number of different classes of exemptions. The Categorical Exemptions applicable to project activities are outlined below.

CEQA Guidelines Section 15301, "Existing Facilities," states:

"Class 1 consists of the operation, repair, maintenance, permitting, leasing, licensing, or minor alteration of existing public or private structures, facilities, mechanical equipment, or topographical features, involving negligible or no expansion of use beyond that existing at the time of the lead agency's determination. The types of "existing facilities itemized below are not intended to be all-inclusive of the types of projects which might fall within Class 1. The key consideration is whether the project involves negligible or no expansion of an existing use".

Examples include but are not limited to:

- "(b) Existing facilities of both investor and publicly-owned utilities used to provide electric power, natural gas, sewerage, or other public utility services;"
- "(d) Restoration or rehabilitation of deteriorated or damaged structures, facilities, or mechanical equipment to meet current standards of public health and safety, unless it is determined that the damage was substantial and resulted from an environmental hazard such as earthquake, landslide, or flood,"

CEQA Guidelines Section 15302, "Replacement or Reconstruction," states:

"Class 2 consists of replacement or reconstruction of existing structures and facilities where the new structure will be located on the same site as the structure replaced and will have substantially the same purpose and capacity as the structure replaced, including but not limited to:"

"(b) Replacement or reconstruction of existing utility systems and/or facilities involving negligible or no expansion of capacity;"

In addition, CEQA contains directly relevant statutory language that further supports an exemption for the pipeline replacement portion of the Proposed Project. Applicable subsections of the State of California, Public Resources Code, CEQA, Chapter 2.6, General, Section 21080, "Names, types of projects requiring EIRs; exclusions; substantial evidence standard; mitigation substitution," are as follows:

Section 21080.23, "Exclude work on existing pipelines:"

- (a) This division does not apply to any project which consists of the inspection, maintenance, repair, restoration, reconditioning, relocation, replacement, or removal of an existing pipeline, as defined in subdivision (a) of Section 51010.5 of the Government Code, or any valve, flange, meter, or other piece of equipment that is directly attached to the pipeline, if the project meets all of the following conditions:
 - (1) (A) The project is less than eight miles in length.
- (B) Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), actual construction and excavation activities undertaken to achieve maintenance, repair, restoration, reconditioning, relocation, replacement, or removal of an existing pipeline are not undertaken over a length of more than one-half mile at any one time.
- (2) The project consists of a section of pipeline that is not less than eight miles from any section of pipeline that has been subject to an exemption pursuant to this section in the past 12 months.
- (3) The project is not solely for the purpose of excavating soil that is contaminated by hazardous materials, and, to the extent not otherwise expressly required by law, the party undertaking the project immediately informs the lead agency of the discovery of contaminated soil.
- (4) To the extent not otherwise expressly required by law, the person undertaking the project has, in advance of undertaking the project, prepared a plan that will result in notification of the appropriate agencies so that they may take action, if determined to be necessary, to provide for the emergency evacuation of members of the public who may be located in close proximity to the project.
- (5) Project activities are undertaken within an existing right-of-way and the right-of-way is restored to its condition prior to the project.
- (6) The project applicant agrees to comply with all conditions otherwise authorized by law, imposed by the city or county planning department as part of any local agency permit process, that are required to mitigate potential impacts of the proposed project, and to otherwise comply with the Keene-Nejedly California Wetlands Preservation Act (Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 5810) of Division 5),

the California Endangered Species Act (Chapter 1.5 (commencing with Section 2050) of Division 3 of the Fish and Game Code), and other applicable state laws, and with all applicable federal laws.

(b) If a project meets all of the requirements of subdivision (a), the person undertaking the

project shall do all of the following:

(1) Notify, in writing, any affected public agency, including, but not limited to, any public agency having permit, land use, environmental, public health protection, or emergency response authority of the exemption of the project from this division by subdivision (a).

(2) Provide notice to the public in the affected area in a manner consistent with paragraph

(3) of subdivision (b) of Section 21092.

(3) In the case of private rights-of-way over private property, receive from the underlying

property owner permission for access to the property.

- (4) Comply with all conditions otherwise authorized by law, imposed by the city or county planning department as part of any local agency permit process, that are required to mitigate potential impacts of the proposed project, and otherwise comply with the Keene-Nejedly California Wetlands Preservation Act (Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 5810) of Division 5), the California Endangered Species Act (Chapter 1.5 (commencing with Section 2050) of Division 3 of the Fish and Game Code), and other applicable state laws, and with all applicable federal laws.
- (c) Prior to January 1, 1999, this section shall not apply to ARCO Pipeline Company's crude oil pipelines designated as Crude Oil Line 1, from Tejon Station south to its terminus, and Crude Oil Line 90.
 - (d) This section does not apply to either of the following:
 - (1) A project in which the diameter of the pipeline is increased.
 - (2) A project undertaken within the boundaries of an oil refinery.

Therefore, the project is consistent with these exemptions from CEQA and no further environmental review is required.

Exceptions pursuant to Section 15300.2 of CEQA: There is no substantial evidence that there are unusual circumstances resulting in (or which might reasonably result in) significant impacts which threaten the environment. The exceptions to the categorical exemptions pursuant to Section 15300.2 of the State CEQA Guidelines are:

- a) Location. Classes 3, 4, 5, 6, and 11 are qualified by consideration of where the project is to be located -- a project that is ordinarily insignificant in its impact on the environment may in a particularly sensitive environment be significant. Therefore, these classes are considered to apply all instances, except where the project may impact on an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law by federal, state, or local agencies.
- b) Cumulative Impact. All exemptions for these classes are inapplicable when the cumulative impact of successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time is significant.
- c) Significant Effect. A categorical exemption shall not be used for an activity where there is a reasonable possibility that the activity will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.
- d) Scenic Highways. A categorical exemption shall not be used for a project which may result in damage to scenic resources, including but not limited to, trees, historic buildings, rock outcroppings, or similar resources, within a highway officially designated as a state scenic highway. This does not apply to improvements which are required as mitigation by an adopted negative declaration or certified EIR.
- e) Hazardous Waste Sites. A categorical exemption shall not be used for a project located on a site which is included on any list compiled pursuant to Section 65962.5 of the Government Code.

f) Historical Resources. A categorical exemption shall not be used for a project which may cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource

Based on the characteristics of the proposed project which involves a low potential for impacts to sensitive biological, scenic, and historic resources, as well as to air quality, noise, traffic, and hazardous waste sites, the proposed project meets the criteria for Class 1 and Class 2 categorical exemptions under CEQA and is not negated by any of the exceptions listed above and in the CEQA Guidelines Section 15300.2.

Lead Agency Contact Person: Robin Gorley, Casmalia Community Services District

Louis Hey Signature 12/18/08 Date

Board Minhey Title

Report Control Symbol REQUEST FOR ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS RCS: 813-09-032 INSTRUCTIONS: Section I to be completed by Proponent; Sections II and III to be completed by Environmental Planning Function. Continue on separate sheets as necessary. Reference appropriate item number(s). SECTION I - PROPONENT INFORMATION 1. TO (Environmental Planning Function) 2. FROM (Proponent organization and functional address symbol) 2a. TELEPHONE NO. 30 CES/CEVP 30 CES/CC (805) 606-6855 3. TITLE OF PROPOSED ACTION Casmalia Community Services District, Water System Improvements Project 4. PURPOSE AND NEED FOR ACTION (Identify decision to be made and need date) Casmalia Community Water District (CCSD) requires replacement of existing tank and upgrade pipeline to provide the Casmalia Community with a clean, reliable source of water. All work is to be done on currently leased land. 5. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES (DOPAA) (Provide sufficient details for evaluation of the total action.) See continuation sheet. 6. PROPONENT APPROVAL (Name and Grade) 6a. SIGNATURE 6b. DATE David C. Piech, Lt Col Commander, 30th Civil Engineer Squadron AJAR 2009 SECTION II - PRELIMINARY ENVIRONMENTAL SURVEY. (Check appropriate box and describe potential environmental effects including cumulative effects.) (+ = positive effect; 0 = no effect; = adverse effect; U= unknown effect) u 7. AIR INSTALLATION COMPATIBLE USE ZONE/LAND USE (Noise, accident potential, encroachment, etc.) X 8. AIR QUALITY (Emissions, attainment status, state implementation plan, etc.) $|\mathbf{x}|$ 9. WATER RESOURCES (Quality, quantity, source, etc.) \times 10. SAFETY AND OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH (Asbestos/radiation/chemical exposure, explosives safety quantity-distance, bird/wildlife X aircraft hazard, etc.) 11. HAZARDOUS MATERIALS/WASTE (Use/storage/generation, solid waste, etc.) X 12. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES (Wetlands/floodplains, threatened or endangered species, etc.) $|\mathsf{X}|$ 13. CULTURAL RESOURCES (Native American burial sites, archaeological, historical, etc.) X 14. GEOLOGY AND SOILS (Topography, minerals, geothermal, Installation Restoration Program, seismicity, etc.) $|\mathbf{x}|$ 15. SOCIOECONOMIC (Employment/population projections, school and local fiscal impacts, etc.) X 16. OTHER (Potential impacts not addressed above.) \times SECTION III - ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS DETERMINATION PROPOSED ACTION QUALIFIES FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION (CATEX) # A2.3.14 PROPOSED ACTION DOES NOT QUALIFY FOR A CATEX; FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS IS REQUIRED. 18. REMARKS A categorical exclusion (CATEX), A2.3.14, is applicable for this project. This CATEX is allowed based on that the new 200,000 gallon tank is being installed in place of the existing 86,000 gallon tank "on previously developed land, equipment that does not substantially after land use". 19. ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING FUNCTION CERTIFICATION 19a. SIGNATURE 19b. DATE (Name and Grade) ANDREW EDWARDS, GS-12 17 MAR 2009 **NEPA Project Manager**

Background

The community of Casmalia is located east of the City of Santa Maria in Northern Santa Barbara County. Casmalia has a critical need for water system improvements that will ensure that it has a safe, secure water supply. In December 2006, bacterial contamination of its drinking water resulted in a "boil water" order. The Casmalia Water System Improvements Project will replace deficient infrastructure including water pipelines and the existing water storage tank.

The Casmalia Community Services District (CCSD) provides storage and distribution of potable water to the community of Casmalia. The community is supplied with potable groundwater from the Casmite Company at a meter south of the town. CCSD facilities include 2"-4"pipeline connecting the meter to an 84,000 gallon (bolted steel) storage tank as well as the storage tank and an 8" pipeline connecting the tank to the town distribution system (Figure 1). The tank is located at an approximate elevation of 410 feet on an easement granted by Vandenberg Air Force Base (VAFB) to CCSD. Elevation in the town varies from approximate 285 to 265 feet resulting in estimated static pressures of 54-62 psi. The access road leading to the tank is in generally poor condition with little or no paving.

Pipelines at the tank are solvent welded Schedule 40 PVC. Pipe crossing the roadway bridge (4" and 8" diameter respectively) is ductile iron and appears to be in generally good condition. Mainline piping within town streets is AWWA C900 PVC with laterals to service connections within the community. An unspecified flexible plastic material used in many of the laterals is experiencing an increasing frequency of failure as reported by system operations staff.

The tank currently has significant and severe corrosion damage on the roof, leaking sidewall joints and sidewall corrosion (severe in some locations). The poor condition of the current tank does not provide for reliable storage or protection of the water supply. The capacity of the existing tank is deficient and does not provide the recommended fire storage and potential resistance of the aging tank to seismic events is also uncertain. Filling of the tank is controlled by a control valve which is not currently functional. There is currently no provision to monitor or record fluctuations in tank level or notify operations staff of overflow or low water level. The existing tank also lacks security fencing typically recommended by California Department Public Health (CDPH).

Proposed Project

The proposed Project would replace portions of the water system that are in poor condition and/or that have exhibited poor reliability. The proposed Project includes providing a replacement storage tank with an approximate capacity of 200,000 gallons; repair of the tank access road and adjacent piping; and replacement of plastic service laterals (Project). An increase in tank size is needed to meet fire code requirements. The existing access road is between 9 and 12 feet in width and approximately 450 feet long running west from the tank site and then north to Black Road.

The proposed Project is being funded by a Proposition 50 Grant administered by State Water Resources Control Board and a Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) administered by the U.S Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). Other funding sources are also being currently explored.

Project Construction

The new tank will be approximately 200,000 gallons to meet current fire code requirements. The footprint of the new tank will be approximately 1,600 square foot (Sq. Ft.). The existing tank has an approximate 700 Sq. Ft. footprint. The footprint /construction limits of the project will be approximately 80 feet in diameter roughly centered on the location of the existing tank. Ground Disturbance which will include digging, grading, trenching and/or scraping will be to a maximum excavation depth of 10 feet over the 80 feet in diameter area. Minor vegetation removal may be required for preparing the tank area for construction. No new areas will be disturbed outside of the existing easement as part of the proposed Project.

The existing access road will be resurfaced and improved as part of the proposed Project. Since the pipeline from the existing tank runs under the current access road, the access road may require some trenching for pipe replacement, as appropriate. The access road would then be re-paved.

Construction equipment to be used includes an electrical generator and compressor, front-end loader, backhoe, tractor with blade, crane, concrete trucks, dump trucks, asphalt paver and work trucks. Some materials will need to be hauled to off site locations including export soil, asphalt paving material and concrete. Appropriate disposal sites will be identified prior to construction. Truck trips will be infrequent in nature on Black Road wherein traffic is already very intermittent.

Construction will include implementation of construction Best Management Practices (BMP's) to prevent potential stormwater runoff from transmitting silt from the site into local drainages. Construction is expected to occur from April through November 2009.

The comments provided are for the replacement of the Casmalia water supply tank and reflect environmental requirements for the project as it is currently defined. If there are design changes or updates that may affect these requirements, please coordinate through 30 CES/CEV.

CEVP (Environmental Planning):

A categorical exclusion (CATEX), A2.3.14, is applicable for this project. This CATEX is allowed based on that the new 200,000 gallon tank is being installed in place of the existing 86,000 gallon tank "on previously developed land, equipment that does not substantially alter land use".

CEVNN (Natural Resources):

Biologist Tracy Curry surveyed the area 2 February and no T&E concerns.

If vegetation clearing/trimming should take place, contact CEVNN (605-8399 or 606-0190) 3 to 5 days before work is to be started for a qualified biologist to evaluate the site for nesting birds. If nesting native birds are present on-site, it may be necessary to postpone activities until young have fledged to prevent impacts associated with disturbance and abandonment.

Or we recommend clearing vegetation now to prevent nesting and possible delay in the project. Contact CEVNN (605-8399 or 606-0190) 3 to 5 days before vegetation is to be removed for a biologist to evaluate for nesting birds.

When possible leave native vegetation in place or crush instead of complete removal. Use already cleared area for storage of material and equipment when possible instead of removing even more vegetation.

Remove all material and trash at the completion of the project.

If project gets delayed and is to occur in more than a year from this notice, contact CEVNN (606-4198 or 606-0190) prior to starting work.

Tracy Curry 30CES/CEVN 606-0190

CEVNC (Cultural Resources):

This email represents official comments from the Cultural Resources Section (CEVNC) regarding the proposed project.

1. Earlier, preliminary comments from James Carucci to Andrew Edwards (sent via email on 5 Feb 09; see this message string below) are incorporated here by inclusion and reference. The earlier comments were based upon an incomplete electronic copy of the proponent's 813 submittal. The following summary comments are based on a more

recent electronic link provided by Mr Edwards to the subject AF Form 813. The new version of the document is a scanned PDF file that is thorough, clear, and complete, and includes historical information, project details, legal authority, and summary statements related to field surveys for biological and visual resources. The proponent listed is Bill Ostini, and the scanned 813 PDF is dated 15 Jan 09.

- 2. In my opinion, the newly available, complete Form 813 adequately demonstrates that CEQA (and NEPA) guidelines for analysis are being followed.
- 3. VAFB Cultural Resources site records and the GIS show no evidence of historic, prehistoric or cultural resources in the vicinity of the existing water tank, which is to be replaced. Work on the tank or on the waterline buried in the pipeline easement on VAFB property should not affect any cultural resources.
- 4. However, the project apparently includes some work to replace portions of the existing buried waterline. The exact location(s) and amount of excavation needed to accomplish this task is not included in the 813.
- 5. Although the "Exemption Memorandum" included with the Form 813 summarizes results of the field surveys, saying on page 7 that "none of the construction activities would cause a substantial change in the significance of a historical resource," the basis of this statement is not clear. That is, there is no discussion of any archaeological site surveys or other related studies for this pipeline replacement. Because of this, I must assume that all work on the waterline will affect only the original linear trench in which the existing waterline was placed. If new excavations into undisturbed soils constitutes a substantial component of this project, the Exemption Memorandum should have addressed this issue.
- 6. As currently described, the Casmalia Community Services District water service enhancement project will not affect any historic properties located on Vandenberg property. In addition, assuming there is no new soil disturbance, the project is not likely to affect any historic properties located off of VAFB property. This project can go forward without further oversight or comments from CEVNC Cultural Resources.
- 7. These comments pertain only to historic properties. Other office sections within the environmental flight may have comments as well.
- 8. Thank you for the opportunity to review this proposed project.

James Carucci, Ph.D.
Staff Archaeologist
30 CES/CEVNC
1028 Iceland Avenue
Vandenberg AFB, CA 93437-6010

Water Quality:

Water discharge from water supply sources is eligible for the waiver from Waste Discharge Requirements (permit) and reporting as long as:

- a. The discharger implements management practices to dissipate energy and prevent erosion.
- b. The discharger implements management practices to preclude discharge to surface waters and drainages The project description includes this.
- c. The discharge shall not have chloride or bromine concentrations that could impact water quality
- Prior to discharge of the tank water, obtain approval from 30 CES/CEVC, Water Resource Manager, (805) 606-7541. "Discharge to Grade Characterization Form" may be required.
- d. The discharge area shall not be within 100 feet of a stream, water body or wetland. The nearest drainage is over 400 feet away.

(Reference Regional Water Quality Control Board Resolution No. R3-2002-0115 Waiver Conditions)

Tara Wiskowski 30 CES/CEVC Water Quality 605-0503

Environmental Planning can be reached at (805) 606-2044 to answer any questions regarding this project review.

Andrew Edwards, GS-12

NEPA Project Manager

•	Contract Number: BC-11-085			
D1.	Fiscal Year	: FY 12-13		
D2.	Budget Unit Number (plus -Ship/-Bill codes in pa	rren's): 054		
D3.	Requisition Number	:		
D4.	Department Name	: Water Agency		
D5.	Contact Person			
D6.	Phone			
<u>K1.</u>	Contract Type (check one): [] Personal Service		1	
K2.	Brief Summary of Contract Description/Purpose			
K3.	Original Contract Amount			
K4.	Contract Begin Date			
K5.	Original Contract End Date			
K6.	Amendment History (leave blank if no prior ame			
	Seg#EffectiveDateThisAmndtAmtCumAmndtToD		Purpose (2-4 words)	
	1 10/02/12 \$4,000 \$124,400		increase contract amount	
K7.	Department Project Number:			
B1.	Is this a Board Contract? (Yes/No):	yes		
B2.	Number of Workers Displaced (if any):			
B3.	Number of Competitive Bids (if any):			
B4.	Lowest Bid Amount (if bid):			
B5.	If Board waived bids, show Agenda Date:			
В6.	and Agenda Item Number:	#		
B7.	Boilerplate Contract Text Unaffected? (Yes / or ci			
F1.	Encumbrance Transaction Code:			
F2.	Current Year Encumbrance Amount:			
F3.	Fund Number:	3050		
F4.	Department Number:			
F5.	Division Number (if applicable):			
F6.	Account Number ::			
F7.	Cost Center number (if applicable):			
F8.	Payment Terms	Net 30		
$\overline{V1}$.	Vendor Numbers (A=uditor; P=urchasing):			
V2.	Payee/Contractor Name:			
V3.	Mailing Address:		•	
	City State (two-letter) Zip (include +4 if known			
V5.	Telephone Number:	(805) 963-0651		
V6.	Contractor's Federal Tax ID Number (EIN or SSN)			
V7.	Contact Person:			
V8.	Workers Comp Insurance Expiration Date:			
V9.	Liability Insurance Expiration Date[s] (G=enl; P=	<i>=rofl)</i> :		
V10.	Professional License Number:	#		
V11.	Verified by (name of County staff):			
V12.	Company Type (Check one): [] Individual []	Sole Proprietorship [] Partners	hip [] Corporation	
I certify: information complete and accurate; designated funds available; required concurrences evidenced on signature page.				
Date: Authorized Signature Type Agen: Junifagur				

Amendment No. 1 to the Agreement to for Engineering and Administrative Services with Dudek (BC No. 11-085)

The agreement between the Santa Barbara County Water Agency (County) and Dudek (Contractor) which was entered into on April 19, 2011 (Board Contract No. 11-085), is hereby modified and amended as follows:

Exhibit B, paragraph A is hereby amended to read:

A. For CONTRACTOR services to be rendered under this contract, CONTRACTOR shall be paid a total contract amount, including reimbursements, not to exceed \$124,400.

All other terms and conditions of the agreement will remain in full force and effect. This amendment is effective on, 2012.				
ATTEST: CHANDRA L. WALLAR CLERK OF THE BOARD	APPROVED AS TO ACCOUNTING FORM: ROBERT W. GEIS AUDITOR-CONTROLLER			
By: Deputy	By: Deputy Roger			
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY WATER AGENCY	APPROVED AS TO INSURANCE: RAY AROMATORIO, ARM, AIC RISK MANAGER			
By:Chair, Board of Directors	By: Maname Panch			
Date:	·			
APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS A. MARSHALL COUNTY COUNSEL	CONTRACTOR: Dudek			
By: Xeellell E Beputy County Counsel	By: Dudek 3/16/12 Title: Frank Dudek			

President

Amendment No. 1 to the Agreement to for Engineering and Administrative Services with Dudek (BC No. 11-085)

The agreement between the Santa Barbara County Water Agency (County) and Dudek (Contractor) which was entered into on April 19, 2011 (Board Contract No. 11-085), is hereby modified and amended as follows:

Exhibit B, paragraph A is hereby amended to read:

A. For CONTRACTOR services to be rendered under this contract, CONTRACTOR shall be paid a total contract amount, including reimbursements, not to exceed \$124,400.

All other terms and conditions of the agreement will remain in full force and effect. This amendment is effective on, 2012.				
ATTEST: CHANDRA L. WALLAR CLERK OF THE BOARD	APPROVED AS TO ACCOUNTING FORM: ROBERT W. GEIS AUDITOR-CONTROLLER			
By: Deputy	By: Juli Hager Deputy			
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY WATER AGENCY	APPROVED AS TO INSURANCE: RAY AROMATORIO, ARM, AIC RISK MANAGER			
By:Chair, Board of Directors	By: Marvanne Ranch			
Date:				
APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS A. MARSHALL COUNTY COUNSEL	CONTRACTOR: Dudek			
By: Lell Getts Deputy County Counsel	By: Dudek 8/15/12 Title: Frank Dudek			

President

Amendment No. 1 to the Agreement to for Engineering and Administrative Services with Dudek (BC No. 11-085)

The agreement between the Santa Barbara County Water Agency (County) and Dudek (Contractor) which was entered into on April 19, 2011 (Board Contract No. 11-085), is hereby modified and amended as follows:

Exhibit B, paragraph A is hereby amended to read:

A. For CONTRACTOR services to be rendered under this contract, CONTRACTOR shall be paid a total contract amount, including reimbursements, not to exceed \$124,400.

All other terms and conditions of the agreement will remain in full force and effect. This amendment is effective on, 2012.				
ATTEST: CHANDRA L. WALLAR CLERK OF THE BOARD	APPROVED AS TO ACCOUNTING FORM: ROBERT W. GEIS AUDITOR-CONTROLLER			
By: Deputy	By: Deputy Hager			
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY WATER AGENCY	APPROVED AS TO INSURANCE: RAY AROMATORIO, ARM, AIC RISK MANAGER			
By:Chair, Board of Directors	By: Marianne Ramin			
Date:	·			
APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS A. MARSHALL COUNTY COUNSEL	CONTRACTOR: Dudek			
By: Velbill E Deputy County Counsel	By: Dudek 8//5/12 Frank Dudek			

President