**From:** Jessie Altstatt <jessie.altstatt@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Friday, October 12, 2018 3:33 PM

To: sbcob

**Subject:** please approve the Gaviota Coast Plan LCP with ammendments

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for approving the Gaviota Coast Plan with all 13 amendments.

I have lived on the South Coast since 1985 and the beauty and expanse of the Gaviota Coast has kept me from leaving. I appreciate the public lands, and the history of family farms and ranches. It makes me happy to know that there are still wild areas where large mammals such as bear, bobcat and mountain lions can roam and even access the beach, and where steelhead can still find a stream mouth to enter.

The Gaviota Coast is a refugia for habitat and species that are under severe pressure elsewhere in California. These include both near-shore species such as abalone and seagrass, and terrestrial such as the red-legged frog and Refugio Manzanita. These and many others face threats from an increase in human activity.

Although I am reluctant to endorse huge increases in visitation, I do believe that allowing the public to safely and more easily access some coastal areas will raise overall awareness and build support for the need for protection for the region as a whole. I do not want the long history of family ranches to end. I also see the need for an improved trail system into the front range mountains. I am very glad that the County and Coastal Commission are supportive of regenerative agriculture, especially in the face of a changing and unpredictable climate future. And, I agree with the increased in protections for setbacks for environmentally sensitive areas, stream corridors and special trees.

Thank you very much for the opportunity to comment and I urge you to adopt the Plan as amended.

Sincerely, Jessie

Jessica Altstatt 102 Orange Ave Goleta CA 93117

From:

McFadden Maureen < momcfadden 51@gmail.com >

Sent:

Friday, October 12, 2018 4:37 PM

To:

sbcob

Subject:

Yes Please the Gaviota Plan is Needed

I was unable to attend the meeting but I do fell strongly about protecting this habitat. SB Audubon member and volunteer handler for Eyes in the Sky program with the birds of prey.

Thanks

Maureen "Mo" McFadden President

McFadden & McFadden P.R.
945 Ward Drive. #128
Santa Barbara, CA 93111
(805)689.5053
mcmpr101@gmail.com
www.mcfaddenpr.com
www.facebook.com/MnMPR
PLS NOTE NEW STREET ADDRESS EFFECTIVE
MARCH 1, 2018.

Parvus Sed Potentus = Small Yet Powerful

PR Tip: Remember journalists don't work for you. The media work for their audience. What they're looking for is value for their readers, viewers or their listeners. So always look for what value you can provide them... not what they can do for you.

From:

Jana Zimmer <zimmerccc@gmail.com>

Sent:

Friday, October 12, 2018 4:38 PM

To:

sbcob

Cc:

Hartmann, Joan; Das Williams; Wolf, Janet; Lavagnino, Steve; Adam, Peter; Roger

Himovitz; Terri Bowman

Subject:

Fwd: Gaviota Plan Hearing October 16, 2018

Please REPOST this letter on the Board's website for the Gaviota Plan item. You posted only the first page of the original in your Group 1 Public comment. We would appreciate, since our comments are substantive and specific, that you post the full letter as a stand-alone so that the Board and other interested members of the public may see it.

Thank you.
Jana Zimmer, Attorney/
Government Relations Consulting
(805)705-3784

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY & DISCLAIMER: The information contained in this email message is attorney privileged and confidential, intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copy of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately by calling (805) 705-3784 and delete the message. Thank you.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Jana Zimmer <zimmerccc@gmail.com>

Date: Wed, Oct 10, 2018 at 11:40 AM

Subject: Gaviota Plan Hearing October 16, 2018

To: <<u>sbcob@co.santa-barbara.ca.us</u>>

Cc: Terri Bowman < terri@elcapitancanyon.com>, Roger Himovitz < roger@himovitz.com>, Black, Dianne

<Dianne@co.santa-barbara.ca.us>

Re: 10/16/2018 Hearing on Coastal Commission modifications to Gaviota Plan

Dear Chair Williams and Honorable Supervisors:

As you know, my client, El Capitan Canyon Campground and Resort, participated in the Coastal Commission's recent proceedings on certification of the Gaviota Coast Plan. We would like to provide the following comments and clarifications for your Board's consideration in determining whether to the accept the Commission's modifications. The comments below track the issue areas set forth in your Staff's Board letter, and are limited to those directly relevant to my client's present and future operations.

County Request 3: Restore "Rare" to NS-4 regarding chaparral.

We agreed with the Coastal Commission staff that neither the Commission nor the County are free to "write out" statutory language, and we agree that chaparral *may* be ESH even when it is not "rare". However, in order to respond to concerns that all chaparral (and coastal sage scrub) would in future be automatically designated ESH, we asked for inclusion of specific criteria –identical to those the Commission has used in other LCPs - to assess when chaparral which is not rare might be designated ESH. The Commission staff did not recommend including the specific criteria we suggested. We remain concerned that the extremely narrow criterion included by the Commission staff has no precedent, and could be misinterpreted in the future to imply an irrebuttable presumption that all chaparral is ESH. The modification states,

"Although a site-specific analysis will form the basis for ESH determinations, the following types of habitat **are** considered rare or especially valuable, and therefore ESH, unless a particular habitat area is so small and isolated or degraded that it is no longer sustainable."

Because this language is without precedent no one knows how it would be applied, or how a site specific analysis would be meaningful. In order to avoid future disagreements, and to assure local biologists the flexibility they need and the use of criteria which are familiar to them, we suggest that you direct P&D to confirm with Commission staff that these determinations would be informed by site specific evidence which could include, at a minimum, "the condition and integrity of the habitat, considering attributes such as patch size and connectivity, dominance by invasive/non-native species, the level of disturbance, the proximity to development and the level of fragmentation and isolation". In addition, if chaparral (or coastal sage scrub) which is not rare is nevertheless to be considered ESH, the County must first find that it has a special nature or role in the ecosystem for a specific, identified reason relevant to the site.

#### County Request 5: Discretion to adjust buffers downward Dev St NS-2

El Capitan Canyon asked the Board to include Request 5, which we appreciate. However, we need to clarify that El Capitan Canyon initially requested that the Commission continue to allow flexibility to adjust buffers downward in all cases. Commission staff flatly rejected this request and, when the Commission itself insisted on addressing the potential loss of visitor serving accommodations at El Capitan Canyon, staff inserted an exception uniquely applicable to the Canyon, as it is clear that mandating increased buffers in the Canyon would effectively destroy the business and eliminate the visitor serving use. The exception applies to repair and replacement of existing or approved but not yet built development, not new or additional development requiring a new CDP. We are not aware of another property in the Plan area which is similarly situated or similarly at risk. We have no reason to believe that Commission staff would change its recommendation on this issue on a resubmittal, because they have been consistently recommending elimination of discretion to adjust buffers downward statewide.

Thank you for your consideration of these points.

Very Truly Yours,

Jana Zimmer

Attorney for El Capitan Canyon Campground and Resort

Government Relations Consulting (805)705-3784

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY & DISCLAIMER: The information contained in this email message is attorney privileged and confidential, intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copy of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately by calling (805) 705-3784 and delete the message. Thank you.

From:

Christiane Schlumberger < c.schlumberger@me.com>

Sent:

Friday, October 12, 2018 7:01 PM

To:

sbcob

Subject:

Gaviota Coast Plan

Dear Supervisors,

The Gaviota Coast Plan provides an important opportunity to protect the environmental, agricultural, scenic, cultural, and recreational resources of the nationally-renowned Gaviota Coast.

Please support the Coastal Commission's Suggested Modifications that enhance protections for environmentally sensitive habitats, agriculture, public access, views, and cultural resources.

Thank you for your consideration.

Christiane Schlumberger Santa Barbara

From:

G. Johnson <surfonit@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Friday, October 12, 2018 9:49 PM

To:

sbcob

Subject:

Gaviota Coastal Plan

As a resident of Santa Barbara County for 32 years, I fully support the Coastal Commission's requested changes to the Gaviota Coastal Plan. The Gaviota Coast is the most unique privately owned area in SB County and needs extra protection from development. Please accept all of the Coastal commissions suggested modifications.

Thank you

george johnson 729 olive ave Carpinteria, CA

From:

Cheryl Niccoli <cniccoli@verizon.net>

Sent:

Friday, October 12, 2018 10:10 PM

To:

sbcob

Subject:

Gaviota Coast

I am a 50+ year resident of Santa Barbara. Even before the public advocacy to preserve the Gaviota Coast I knew it was a special place. It meant I was almost home. Please continue to support efforts to keep development at a minimum and to preserve the rural nature of this most elegant entrance to Santa Barbara. The importance of its flora and fauna goes without saying. Thank you.

Cheryl Niccoli 1227 Chino Street 93101

Sent from AOL Mobile Mail Get the new AOL app: mail.mobile.aol.com

Sent from AOL Mobile Mail

Get the new AOL app: mail.mobile.aol.com

From:

Danny <surftwo@excite.com>

Sent:

Saturday, October 13, 2018 7:34 AM

To:

sbcob

Keep the Hollester ranch closed people will only ruin it. Thanks Danny

From:

Patrick Bartz <bartzpatrick@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Saturday, October 13, 2018 9:02 AM

To:

sbcob

Subject:

gaviota

please adopt the new plan in its entirety. Pat Bartz

From:

blumvicky@gmail.com

Sent:

Saturday, October 13, 2018 3:30 PM

To:

sbcob

Cc:

David Lebell

Subject:

Gaviota Coast Plan

TO: Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors

David and I are unable to attend the hearing on October 16th, but we wanted you to know that we support strong protections for the Gaviota Coast.

We urge you to support the Coastal Commission's Suggested Modifications that enhance protections for environmentally sensitive habitats, agriculture, views, public access, and cultural resources.

The Gaviota Coast is the largest remaining rural coastland in Southern California. There are very few places more beautiful and we hope that you will do everything in your power to protect this treasure.

Thank you,

Vicky Blum and David Lebell

From:

Margot Davis <wally97@hotmail.com>

Sent:

Saturday, October 13, 2018 6:41 PM

To:

sbcob

Subject:

Gaviota Coast Plan

I am writing to say that we need strong protections for the Gaviota coast which is a national treasure we have a responsibility to guard. Margot Davis.

Sent from my iPhone

From:

Patrick Marr <patmarr@me.com>

Sent:

Sunday, October 14, 2018 11:10 AM

To:

sbcob

Subject:

support for Coastal Commission's suggested modifications to the Gaviota Coastal Plan

I'd like to voice my support for Coastal Commission's suggested modifications to the Gaviota Coastal Plan. Please accept the recommended modifications.

regards, patrick

Patrick Marr 2105 Gillespie Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101 (805) 898-2096

From:

DIANNE LIND <nine2two@gmail.com>

Sent:

Sunday, October 14, 2018 1:04 PM

To:

sbcob

Subject:

Gaviota Coast Plan

I support the Gaviota Coastal Plan!

Dianne Lind

From:

Tina Brenza <tbrenza@hotmail.com>

Sent:

Sunday, October 14, 2018 4:10 PM

To:

sbcob

Subject:

Please protect the Gaviota coast!

Please protect the Gaviota coast!

Tina Brenza

Get Outlook for Android

From:

fnemerson <fnemerson@comcast.net>

Sent:

Sunday, October 14, 2018 8:25 PM

To:

sbcob

Subject:

Gaviota Coast Plan

Dear Supervisors,

I am unable to attend Tuesday's board meeting but I ask you to accept the Coastal Commission's modifications of the Gaviota Coast Plan so we can continue to protect this precious resource, not just for those of us who live here but for all who have the opportunity to experience it.

Nancy Emerson Solvang CA

From:

Drew Hart <quatrecoin@gmail.com>

Sent:

Monday, October 15, 2018 9:51 AM

To:

sbcob; nancy.black@gaviotacoastconservancy.org

**Subject:** Gaviota Coast Plan

### Dear County Supervisors:

You don't have to know (at least I don't know) all of the details to recognize the fact that we are living along the last great unspoiled coastline in California, and therefore the entire world. So any motions made to protect the Gaviota Coast are to be endorsed, heartily!

I urge you to accept Coastal Commission modifications to the Gaviota Coast Plan. Courtesy of the Gaviota Coast Conservancy, here are some important things to understand:

- The Gaviota Coast Plan is a critically important tool reflecting a vision to protect and enhance this extraordinary stretch of coastline into the future, and must be fully implemented in the Coastal Zone.
- The Coastal Commission worked diligently with the County to address concerns over the suggested modifications, and made significant concessions to help resolve the County's concerns including concerns raised by Gaviota Coast farmers and ranchers.
- As modified, the Gaviota Coast Plan requires less permitting for ongoing agricultural operations than the County's existing certified Local Coastal Program, fitting the needs of farmers and ranchers better than the rules currently on the books, while ensuring that the Gaviota Coast's sensitive habitats are protected.
- If the County rejects or fails to accept all 13 suggested modifications to the Gaviota Coast Plan, the outdated 1982 Local Coastal Program will continue to apply, disadvantaging the environment including agriculture, and squandering years of time, effort, and financial resources.
- The Board of Supervisors should accept all the Commission's modifications on October 16th, to allow the Gaviota Coast Plan to take effect in the Coastal Zone as soon as possible.

Thank you very much for your time; please help save the Gaviota Coast!

Sincerely,

Drew Hart Santa Barbara

From:

Carell Jantzen <carell@egan.org>

Sent:

Monday, October 15, 2018 10:06 AM

To:

sbcob

Subject:

Gaviota Coast plan

Please include my vote for keeping the plan.

Carell Jantzen

Carell Jantzen

From:

Nancy Tobin <nbtobin@gmail.com>

Sent:

Monday, October 15, 2018 10:59 AM

To:

sbcob

Subject:

Support the Gaviota Coast Plan!

The Board of Supervisors should accept all the Coastal Commission's modifications on October 16th, to allow the Gaviota Coast Plan to take effect in the Coastal Zone as soon as possible. The Gaviota Coast should be protected into the future. The stretch of coast is a treasure that we must protect! Thank you.

Nancy Tobin

"Of all the paths you take in life, make sure a few of them are dirt." John Muir

Nancy Bailey Pegues Tobin Santa Barbara

From:

Janet Stich <janetstich@gmail.com>

Sent:

Monday, October 15, 2018 11:22 AM

To:

sbcob

Subject:

Comment on Gaviota Coast Plan

**Attachments:** 

Gaviota.pdf

Hello,

Please include my brief letter regarding the Gaviota Coast Plan in the packet to the Board of Supervisors.

Thank you so much, Janet Stich

From:

Rich Tobin < richardb.tobin@gmail.com>

Sent:

Monday, October 15, 2018 11:25 AM

To:

sbcob

Subject:

Support for adoption of Coastal Commission changes to Gaviota Coast Plan (GCP)

Dear Board of Supervisors-

The Coastal Commission changes to the Gaviota Coast Plan will strengthen protections for these lands. I urge you to accept the modifications recommended by the California Coastal Commission to ensure consistent application of management direction.

I recently visited the Florida Keys. Along the highway are mile after mile of commercial development and residential structures. Public access was essentially non-existent. How wonderful that we in Santa Barbara County have the opportunity to protect these lands for their intrinsic natural and cultural values, while allowing for continued historic uses.

Thank you for considering public input as you consider this important opportunity.

Sincerely,

Richard B. Tobin

Richard B. Tobin 263 Santa Monica Way Santa Barbara, CA 93109 805-698-6915 (c) richardb.tobin@gmail.com

From: Pamela Wilkinson <ppwilkinson@hotmail.com>

**Sent:** Monday, October 15, 2018 12:15 PM

**To:** sbcob; Wolf, Janet

**Subject:** Urging Board of Supervisors to support the Gaviota Coast Plan!.

I am writing today to urge support for the Gaviota Coast Plan, with all thirteen suggested modifications accepted. I reside in Ms Wolf's district, and I hope to see the Board of Supervisors approve all proposed revisions at their meeting tomorrow, to allow the Gaviota Coast Plan to take effect in the Coastal Zone as soon as possible.

Bullet points for why the BOS should accept all the proposed revisions:

- The Gaviota Coast Plan is a critically important tool reflecting a vision to protect and enhance this extraordinary stretch of coastline into the future, and must be fully implemented in the Coastal Zone.
- The Coastal Commission worked diligently with the County to address concerns over the suggested modifications, and made significant concessions to help resolve the County's concerns including concerns raised by Gaviota Coast farmers and ranchers.
- As modified, the Gaviota Coast Plan requires less permitting for ongoing agricultural
  operations than the County's existing certified Local Coastal Program, fitting the needs of
  farmers and ranchers better than the rules currently on the books, while ensuring that the
  Gaviota Coast's sensitive habitats are protected.
- If the County rejects or fails to accept all 13 suggested modifications to the Gaviota Coast Plan, the outdated 1982 Local Coastal Program will continue to apply, disadvantaging the environment including agriculture, and squandering years of time, effort, and financial resources.

Sincerely, Pamela Wilkinson

From:

Sally Berry <sally@simplybodyfuel.com>

Sent:

Monday, October 15, 2018 1:38 PM

To:

sbcob

Subject:

Gaviota Coast Plan - please accept all modification

To all Santa Barbara County Supervisors,

As a resident and registered voter in Santa Barbara County, I am deeply concerned about the upcoming meeting on Tuesday. I wish I could be there, but must be at work. I am requesting that you accept the Coastal Commission's modifications to the plan and preserve the Gaviota Coast. This is a unique area of our earth unlike any other ecosystem.

The Gaviota Coast Plan will serve as the blueprint for the long-term preservation and use of the Gaviota Coast, providing policy guidance and actions regarding natural and cultural resources stewardship, agriculture, parks, recreation and trails, land use, visual resources, and transportation, energy and infrastructure. The modifications allow the plan to continue in the coast zones, most critical for protecting our beaches and coastal area.

Please accept the Gaviota plan with the additional recommendations from the California Coastal Commission.

Sincerely, Sally Berry

Sally Berry Ph 805-232-3530 cell 913.219.6114