

# **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS** AGENDA LETTER

Agenda Number:

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors 105 E. Anapamu Street, Suite 407 Santa Barbara, CA 93101 (805) 568-2240

Department Name:

Probation

Department No.:

022

For Agenda Of:

March 5, 2013 Administrative

Placement:

**Estimated Tme:** 

Continued Item:

No

If Yes, date from:

Vote Required:

Majority

TO:

Board of Supervisors

FROM:

Director(s)

Department

Beverly A. Taylor, Chief Probation Officer (805) 882-8603

Tanja Heitman, Deputy Chief Probation Officer (805) 739-8537

Contact Info:

heitman@co.santa-barbara.ca.us

SUBJECT:

Public Safety Realignment Quarterly Operational Impact Report

# **County Counsel Concurrence**

### **Auditor-Controller Concurrence**

As to form: N/A

As to form: N/A

Other Concurrence: Santa Barbara Sheriff's Office

As to form: Yes

#### **Recommended Actions:**

Consider the Public Safety Realignment quarterly impact reports for October through December 2012.

# **Summary Text:**

Public Safety Realignment resulted in significant operational and resource changes for the Departments of Probation, the Sheriff, Alcohol, Drug and Mental Health Services, the District Attorney, and the Public Defender, as well as for the Courts. The quarterly report provides a snapshot of the resources being used to deliver the broad array of services associated with Realignment. The report is divided into four (4) sections: Probation, Court Services, Sheriff, and Financial.

## Background:

The Board of Supervisors approved the Fiscal Year (FY) 2012-2013 Public Safety Realignment Act Implementation Plan for the County of Santa Barbara at their May 22, 2012, meeting. Since Public Safety Realignment went into effect on October 1, 2011, quarterly presentations have been made to update the Board regarding implementation. The last presentation to the Board was made on November 6, 2012, at which time a transition to placing the quarterly update on the Administrative Agenda was made. At a minimum, yearly Board presentations would occur to aid in the budget allocation planning process. It is currently anticipated that the next presentation will come before the Board in May 2013.

#### Probation/Court:

The actual number of Realigned offenders under probation supervision is on target to the projected number. As a combined population the projections versus the actuals as of December 31, 2012, remained very close (projection 453 vs. actual 449).

The Post Release Community Supervision (PRCS) population declined for the first time in October 2012. It is not keeping up with the projections contained in the current year's plan. PRCS offenders who were released during the first month of Realignment (October 2011) who had not received any violations became eligible for discharge in October 2012. Additionally a decline in those exiting prison who qualify for PRCS status has occurred and it is anticipated that the incoming PRCS offenders will begin leveling off. On the other hand, the Post Sentence Supervision (PSS) population continues to increase and at a faster pace than originally anticipated. It is expected that combined actuals will stay close to projections as the second year of Realignment comes to an end.

During the first nine (9) months of Realignment the state average for PSS commitments was 23%, with 77% sentenced to custody only. During the current quarter, locally we averaged 50% PSS or split sentence commitments. This increase in PSS commitments is a result of the recognition by the criminal justice partner agencies to the benefit of mandatory supervision. As discussed previously, PSS commitments ensure offenders receive mandatory supervision upon their release from jail including reentry services and treatment which enhance the offenders' opportunity to be successful. Additionally, increased PSS commitments will ensure jail impacts are reduced.

On a statewide basis counties will be reporting recidivism as a new felony conviction occurring during the term of supervision. It is anticipated that we will be able to compare our local rates to those of other counties in the coming months as data becomes available. Since Realignment commenced, locally 65 PRCS offenders have been discharged from supervision. Eighteen percent or 12 of those discharged received a new felony conviction as their most serious offense during their term of supervision. Although the state comparisons will only be looking at offenders who had a felony conviction, Santa Barbara County is also tracking misdemeanors (other than traffic citations). One (1) of the discharged offenders received a new misdemeanor conviction as their most serious conviction.

The number of revocation hearings handled by the Court each month continues to be minimal.

#### Sheriff:

When Realignment was implemented, it was projected that the jail would have an average daily population of 127 Realigned offenders on any given day upon full implementation. The incarceration trends for these inmates continue to show a slight upward trend for this current reporting period, October through December 2012. During this period the jail averaged 132% of the projected impact. In the last three (3) months there has been a slight upward trend that is expected to continue until it levels out at full implementation.

As a result of our hiring effort in the last year, all AB109 positions have been filled with the exception of one vacant social worker position. The Sheriff's Office currently has 12 vacant Custody Deputy positions; however, significant strides have been made to fill these positions. The jail uses evidence-based instruments and decisions regarding alternatives to incarceration. Deputy Probation Officers (DPOs) have been embedded in the Alternative Sentencing Bureau (ASB). DPOs currently complete an C:\Users\fchavez\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\Temporary Internet Files\Content.Outlook\ZINWQ16U\AB109 March 3 2013 Board Letter (2).docx ||BoardLetter (2).docx ||BoardLetter (2).docx ||BoardLetter (2).docx ||BoardLetter (2).docx ||BoardLetter (3).docx ||BoardLetter (4).docx ||BoardLetter (4).docx

#### Page 3 of 3

assessment and interview on all inmates being considered for alternative sentencing. The use of DPOs for these functions allows the Custody Deputies and Administrative Office Professionals assigned to the ASB to concentrate on supervision and compliance for those on the GPS Program.

#### Financial:

The FY 2012-13 countywide AB109 program budget is \$9,736,628. Countywide expenditures as of December 31, 2012, total \$3,328,230 or 34.2% of the FY 2012-13 budget. Delays in receiving invoices for contracted services can result in understated expenditure amounts particularly in the Collaborative Efforts, the Mental Health, AOD, and Treatment, and the Housing, Sober Living, and Detox components. The budget includes administrative costs reimbursement at 4.5% of direct program expenditures. The compilation of the quarterly report equates to a countywide effort requiring a total of approximately 15 – 20 hours per month.

Although Realignment presents many challenges for the criminal justice community, locally the stakeholders continue to work together to address these challenges. As more offenders complete their supervision period there will be increased opportunity to assess their outcomes with the historic parole population. At this juncture, the Community Corrections Partnership (CCP) is confident that local outcomes will be favorable if we maintain a strategic balance of jail capacity, supervision and accountability, and treatment services while focusing on evidence-based practices.

#### Fiscal Analysis:

The compilation of the quarterly report equates to a countywide effort requiring a total of approximately 15-20 hours per month.

#### Special Instructions: None

#### Attachments:

Attachment A: October 2012, November 2012, and December 2012 Monthly Impact Reports

Attachment B: Departmental Mandates

#### **Authored by:**

Tanja Heitman, Deputy Chief Probation Officer

#### cc:

Sheriff Bill Brown
Joyce Dudley, District Attorney
Raimundo Montes De Oca, Public Defender
Dr. Takashi Wada, Alcohol, Drug and Mental Health Services Department
Ted Myers, Department of Social Services
Ray McDonald, Workforce Investment Board
Megan Riker-Rheinschild, Victim-Witness Assistance Program

# **AB 109 Operational Impact Report**

	PRO	BATION		
Post Re		dividuals in unity Supervision	(PRCS)	
Entered	Exited	Net		
13	18	358		
Po		dividuals in Supervision (NX3		
Entered	Exited	Net		
12	1 73			
		bi-annually) June		
	Felony	Misdemeanor	Total	
PRCS	11	48	59	
PSS			2	

	Incarcerated	AB109 Inmates	
7-7-5	Custody	Alternative	Total
Sentenced	91	24	115
*PRCS	23	- 0	23
L. 1	21	1	21
*Parole	<b>6</b> 4		
Technical Vio	lations Only	1 = 1.	159
*Technical Vio Planned	lations Only Total Bed Day	: 3864/Month (1	159 27 ADA)
*Technical Vio Planned Custody	lations Only Total Bed Day 4232	: 3864/Month (1 1109 189	159 27 ADA) %
*Technical Vio Planned	lations Only Total Bed Day	1109	159 27 ADA) %
*Technical Vio Planned Custody Alternative	lations Only Total Bed Day 4232 710	1109	159 27 ADA) %
*Technical Vio Planned Custody Alternative	lations Only Total Bed Day 4232 710	1109	159 27 ADA) %

# **COURTS**

# of Revocation Hearings conducted					
	This Month	Last Month	6 mo. Avg.		
Public Defender	6	5	<1		
Conflict Defense	0	0	<1		
District Attorney	6	5	<1		

	# of NX3 s	sentences	
	This Month	Last Month	
Custody only	18	14	
PSS	15	3	
#0	f individuals w	ith signed walv	ers ers
This Month	Last Month	Monthly A	/g./ 6 mo.
- 5	1	<1	

# FINANCIAL STATUS FY12-13

33% of Fiscal Year Elapsed

	FY 2012-13	Expenditures	% of Funds	
AB 109 Component	Budget	as of 10/31	Expended	
Jail Custody	\$ 2,292,112	\$ 516,294	22.5%	
Detention Alternatives	840,729	68,391	8.1%	·
Community Supervision	2,476,275	640,642	25.9%	
Collaborative Efforts	1,121,900	127,708	11.4%	
MH, AOD, Tx	2,163,000	246,624	11.4%	
Housing, Sober Living, Detox	320,000	45,332	14.2%	
Evaluation	103,331	44,583	43.1%	
 Administration	419,281	74,142	17.7%	
Total:	\$ 9,736,628	\$1,763,716	18.1%	

# **AB 109 Operational Impact Report**

	PRO	BATION	
	# of inc	dividuals in	
Post Rel	ease Commi	unity Supervision	(PRCS)
Entered 😃	Exited	Net	
15	25	348	
		dividuals in	
Entered	Exited	Supervision (NX3	Compared to a superior and a superio
Eilleleu	Exited	INGL	
15	0	87	
	(tracked	bi-annually)	
		June	
	Felony	Misdemeanor	Total
PRCS	11	48	59
PSS	5 5 <b>1</b> 5 5 5	1	2

		RIFF			
	Incarcerated AB109 Inmates				
	Custody	Alternative	Total		
Sentenced	28	10	38		
*PRGS	47	0	47		
*Parole	16	1	10		
*Technical Violations Only 102					
	Total Bed Day	: 3864/Month (1	27 ADA)		
Planned			3. 2. Pari		
Planned Custody	4116	1079	6		
Planned Custody Alternative	4116 849		6		
Planned Custody	4116	1079	6		
Planned Custody Alternative	4116 849	1079	6		
Planned Custody Alternative	4116 849	1079	6		
Planned Custody Alternative	4116 849	1079	6		
Planned Custody Alternative	4116 849	1079	6		

# COURTS

# of Revocation Hearings conducted					
	This Month	Last Month	6 mo. Avg.		
Public Defender	7	6	<1		
Conflict Defense	0	0	<1		
District Attorney	7	6	< 1		

	# of NX3 sentences					
	This Month	Last Month				
Custody only	9	18				
PSS	15	15				
#0	f individuals wi	th signed waivers				
This Month	Last Month	Monthly Avg./ 6 mo.				
4	5	<1				

# **FINANCIAL STATUS FY12-13**

41% of Fiscal Year Elapsed

	FY 2012-13	Expenditures	% of Funds	
 AB 109 Component	Budget	as of 11/30	Expended	
Jail Custody	\$ 2,292,112	\$ 942,705	41.1%	
Detention Alternatives	840,729	95,071	11.3%	
Community Supervision	2,476,275	781,929	31.6%	
Collaborative Efforts	1,121,900	238,274	21.2%	
MH, AOD, Tx	2,163,000	351,616	16.3%	
Housing, Sober Living, Detox	320,000	55,930	17.5%	
Evaluation	103,331	44,583	43.1%	•
 Administration	419,281	112,955	26.9%	
 Total:	\$ 9,736,628	\$ 2,623,063	26.9%	

**November 2012** 

# **AB 109 Operational Impact Report**

	PROBATION					
Post Rele	# of individuals in Post Release Community Supervision (PRCS)					
Entered	Exited	Net				
25	18	355				
Po	# of individuals in Post Sentence Supervision (NX3)					
Entered	Exited	Net				
7		98				

SHERIFF						
Incarcerated AB109 Inmates						
	Custody	Alternative	Total			
Sentenced	, 15	4	19			
*PRCS	25	0	25			
*Parole	16	1	17			
*Technical Viola	tions Only		61			
		: 3864/Month (	Transana.			
Custody	4282	111%				
Alternative	1051	27%				
Total	5333					
	5.555.3		at sales			

# **COURTS**

# of	Revocation H	learings conduc	ited
	This Month	Last Month	6 mo. Avg.
Public Defender	2	3	3
Conflict Defense	0	0	0
District Attorney	2	3	3

	# of NX3 s	sentences	
	This Month	Last Month	
Custody only	10	11	
PSS	7	13	
#0	f individuals w	ith signed waiv	ers
This Month	Last Month	Monthly Av	g./ 6 mo.
4	4	2.8	

## FINANCIAL STATUS FY12-13

50% of Fiscal Year Elapsed

****	2072 011 (2021 1207 2107002			
	FY 2012-13	Expenditures	% of Funds	
AB 109 Component	Budget	as of 12/31	Expended	
Jail Custody	\$ 2,292,112	\$ 1,312,721	57.3%	
Detention Alternatives	840,729	132,130	15.7%	
Community Supervision	2,476,275	932,577	37.7%	
Collaborative Efforts	1,121,900	273,135	24.3%	
MH, AOD, Tx	2,163,000	375,482	17.4%	
Housing, Sober Living, Detox	320,000	102,095	31.9%	
Evaluation	103,331	56,861	55.0%	
Administration	419,281	143,229	34.2%	
Total:	\$ 9,736,628	\$ 3,328,230	34.2%	

#### PROBATION DEPARTMENT MANDATES

## **GENERAL**

131.5CCP; 830.5 PC; 1202.7 PC; 273a(c) (3) (A) and 273d(c) (3) (A) PC; 1203.097(c) PC; 1203.098 PC; 1203.097 (c) (1) PC; 1203.097 (C) PC; 13010-13014 PC; 6035 PC13020 PC; 3300 G C; 1020-1031.5 Government Code

### <u>CIVIL</u>

1513 Probate Code; 1513.1 Probate Code; 1826 Probate Code; 1851 Probate Code; 1851.5 Probate Code; 7800 FC; 7801 FC; 7803 FC; 7804 FC; 7805 FC; 7807 FC; 7808 FC; 7810 FC; 7851(a) FC; 8500 FC; 9001 FC

## JUVENILE DIVISION

# A. Administrative Responsibility/Notification

1203.74 PC; 270 WIC

#### B. Juvenile Intake

601 WIC; 601.2 WIC; 601.3 WIC; 602 WIC; 626(d) WIC; 627(a-b) WIC; 627.5 WIC; 628 WIC; 628.1 WIC; 629 WIC; 630 WIC; 631 WIC; 632 WIC; 643 WIC; 650(a) WIC; 652 WIC; 652.5 WIC; 653 WIC; 653.1 WIC; 653.5 WIC; 653.7 WIC; 654 WIC; 676.5 WIC; 777 WIC; 778 WIC; 1404-1405 Juvenile Court Rules

#### C. Juvenile Investigations

200 WIC; 280 WIC; 281 WIC; 281.5 WIC; 361.2 WIC; 628(a) WIC; 635 WIC; 636 WIC; 636.1(a) WIC; 652 WIC; 653.5 WIC; 656.2 WIC; 636.1 WIC; 706 WIC; 706.5 WIC; 707 WIC; 727.1 WIC; 727.4 WIC; 742 WIC; 781 WIC; 1741 WIC; 1742 WIC; 6306 WIC; 1492 JCR; 1499 JCR; Prop 21; SB 334; AB 575;

## D. Juvenile Supervision

241.1 WIC; 282 WIC; 366 WIC; 366.23 WIC; 366.26 WIC; 367 WIC; 625 WIC; 625.3 WIC; 641 WIC; 654 WIC; 654.1 WIC; 654.2 WIC; 654.3 WIC; 654.4 WIC; 654.6 WIC; 655 WIC; 724.4 WIC; 725 WIC; 727 WIC; 727.1 WIC; 727.2 WIC; 727.3 WIC; 727.31 WIC; 727.4 WIC; 727.4 (d) (4) WIC; 727.6 WIC; 729 WIC; 729.1 WIC; 729.2 WIC; 729.3 WIC; 729.7 WIC; 729.8(a) WIC; 729.9 WIC; 729.10 WIC; 730 WIC; 730.6 WIC; 730.7 WIC; 730.8 WIC; 737 WIC; 738 WIC; 740 WIC; 740.1 WIC; 742 WIC; 742.16 WIC; 790 WIC; 793 WIC; 794 WIC; 795 WIC; 841 WIC; 1300 ET Esq. WIC; 1502.4 (a)(1) H&S; 4096 WIC; 5600.3 WIC; 11400 WIC; 11401 WIC; 11402 WIC; 11404 WIC; 10553.1 WIC; 11404.1 WIC; 11462.01(2) (C) WIC; 16516.5 WIC; 1202.8(a) PC; 1208.9(b-d) PC; 295(i) (2); 296 PC; 298 (b) (4) PC; 296.1 (a) (3) (A) PC

# **INSTITUTIONS**

### A. Juvenile Hall

Title 15, Division 1, Chapter 1, Subchapter 5 - Minimum Standards for Juvenile Facilities, Corrections Standards Authority (CSA). Sections: 4266, 4279, 4285, 4286, 4291, 4294, 4295, 4300, 4301, 4302, 4302, and 1343, Title 15, CCR

210 WIC; 209(a) PC; 850 WIC; 851 WIC; 852 WIC; 853 WIC; 854 WIC; 855 WIC

# B. Home Supervision

628.1 WIC; 840 WIC; 841 WIC

## C. Boys Camp

Title 15, Division 1, Chapter 1, Subchapter 5 - Minimum Standards for Juvenile Facilities (CSA)

881 WIC; 882 WIC; 885 WIC

#### D. Non-Secure Detention

210.1 WIC; 601 WIC; 626 WIC;

## E. Medical Care Juveniles

# F. Separate Categories

225-232 WIC; 284 WIC; 285 WIC; 656.2 WIC; 704 WIC; 729.7 WIC; 742 WIC; 742.20 WIC; 749.22 WIC; 749.23 WIC; 751 WIC; 826 WIC; 826.5 (a) WIC; 826.6 WIC; 827 WIC; 881.5 WIC; 48321 ED CODE; 56026 ED CODE; 56325 ED CODE;

## ADULT DIVISION

# A. Adult Investigation:

290.06(a) PC; 1000.1(b) PC, 1000.5PC; 1001.20 PC to 1001.34 PC; 1001.70 PC to 1001.90 PC; 1191 PC; 1191.1 PC; 1191.2 PC; 1191.3(b) PC; 1202.4 PC; 1202.4(f) (3) PC; 1202.42PC; 1203 PC; 1203a PC; 1203c PC; 1203d PC; 1203.2a PC; 1203.9 PC; 1203.10 PC; 1203.067 PC; 1203.097 PC; 1203.097(b) (3) PC; 1203.097(b) (4) PC; 1210.1 PC; 4502 PC; 4.310 Judicial Rule; 4.411(a) (b) (c) (d) Judicial Rule; 4.411.5 Judicial Rule

#### B. Adult Supervision:

290(c) (1) PC; 290.4 PC; 209.6(a)(5) PC; 295(i) (2) PC; 298 (b) (4) PC; 296.1 (a) (3) (A) PC; 296.1 (a) (5) (A) PC; 298(b) (3) PC; 457.1 (e) PC; 1202.7 PC; 1202.8 PC; 1203f PC; 1203.02 PC; 1203.016 PC; 1203.044 PC;1203.044(h) PC; 1203.045 PC; 1203.047 PC; 1203.055 PC; 1203.055(f) PC; 1203.066 PC; 1203.067 PC; 1203.076 PC; 1203.097 PC; 1203.1 PC; 1203.1 (b) PC; 1203.1(j) PC; 1203.1bb PC; 1203.1(d); 1203.1(h) PC; 1203.1ab PC; 1203.1b (a) PC; 1203.1e PC; 1203.1g PC; 1203.1k PC; 1203.2 PC;1203.2(a) PC; 1203.3 PC; 1203.4 PC; 1203.7 PC; 1203.9 PC; 1203.9 (a) PC; 1203.9 (b) PC; 1203.10 PC;1203.12 PC;1203.13 PC; 1389.6 PC; 1203.95 PC; 3075 PC to 3076 PC; 11177(2) PC; 11180 PC and 11181 PC; 13020 PC; SB 1128; SB 1178; Proposition 83; AB 109, Judicial Rule 2.107; Judicial Rule 2.110(a); Judicial Rule 4.101

Rev 12/2011