

Ramirez, Angelica

Public Comment Group 1

A-12

From: Lillian Clary <mzli12988@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 23, 2020 9:27 AM
To: sbcob
Cc: Dave Clary
Subject: Public Comment for 3/24/2020



Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Please read into the record for the agenda item "Cannabis Update"

Thank you. Word count is 184

WE ARE CONCERNED ABOUT THE FAILURE OF THE SYSTEM TO DEAL WITH ILLEGAL EXPANSION. THE ORDINANCE PROHIBITING THE EXPANSION OF NON-CONFORMING USES IS NOT PART OF TITLE X, BUT IS PART OF THE BASIC LUDC AND APPLICABLE TO ALL LAND USE ENTITLEMENTS, UNLESS SPECIFICALLY EXCEPTED THEREIN. IT IS OBVIOUS THAT IT WAS IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT AS OF JANUARY 19, 2016 AND BEFORE, DESPITE WHAT HAS BEEN REPRESENTED BY AN ATTORNEY FOR ONE OF THE APPLICANTS. THE PART OF THE LUDC THAT DEALS WITH THIS ISSUE IS SECTIONS 35.101.010, .020, .050, .060, AND .070. AS A WHOLE, IT IS A FORCEFUL DOCUMENT WITH THE PURPOSE OF PUTTING AN END TO NON-CONFORMING STATUS AS SOON AS POSSIBLE AND BY ALL MEANS PROHIBITING EXPANSION BEYOND THE DATE THAT EXPANSION BECAME NON-CONFORMING. OUR POSITION IS THAT INSTEAD OF BEING REWARDED LIKE BUSY BEE ORGANICS WAS, THOSE APPLICANTS WHO HAVE EXPANDED EXTENSIVELY SHOULD NOT BE GRANTED ANY

LAND USE ENTITLEMENT OR BUSINESS LICENSE AND SHOULD
BE PERMANENTLY BARRED, AS INDIVIDUALS OR PARTICIPANTS
IN ANY OTHER ENTITY, FROM OBTAINING SUCH PERMITS OR
LICENSES

SIGNED DAVID CLARY, TEPUSQUET CANYON

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Lillian Clary <mzlil2988@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 23, 2020 9:31 AM
To: sbcob
Cc: Lil Clary
Subject: Public comment for 3 24 2020

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Please **read into the record** as my public comment for the agenda item “cannabis update”

Thank you. Word count is 176

Lil Clary

SINCE APRIL 2017 WE HAVE BEEN PRESENTING OUR ISSUES TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

IT IS OBVIOUS TO THOSE OF US WHO RESIDE IN EDRNS OR WHOSE ONLY ACCESS TO OUR PARCELS IS THROUGH AN EDRN, THAT THE BEST WAY TO DEAL WITH THIS ISSUE IS A COMPLETE PROHIBITION OF ALL CANNABIS CULTIVATION AND OTHER COMMERCIAL CANNABIS ACTIVITIES IN ANY OF THE EDRNS IN SANTA BARBARA COUNTY.

THE EDRNS WERE ESTABLISHED MANY YEARS AGO TO PROTECT AGRICULTURE BY PREVENTING THE EXPANSION OF RESIDENTIAL USE BEYOND THE BOUNDARIES OF THE EDRN. A COROLLARY OF THIS WOULD BE THAT WITHIN THE EDRN, PRIORITY SHOULD BE GIVEN TO THE RESIDENTS, RATHER THAN INDUSTRIAL SCALE COMMERCIAL AGRICULTURE. TO HOLD OTHERWISE WOULD GIVE PRIORITY TO COMMERCIAL AGRICULTURE BOTH WITHIN AND

OUTSIDE THE EDRN.

THIS SEEMS EVEN MORE RELEVANT WHEN WE ARE SPEAKING OF
A PLANT THAT BECAUSE OF ITS NATURE AS A DRUG DOES NOT EVEN
HAVE RIGHT TO FARM STATUS. WHY SHOULD IT BE GIVEN THE
PRIVILEGE OF OVERWHELMING SMALL RESIDENTIAL COMMUNITIES?

SIGNED LIL CLARY, TEPUSQUET CANYON