## Memorandum

**Date:** October 13, 2006

To: Board of Supervisors

- From: Robert Nisbet, Director of General Services
- Subject: Green Building Standard Board Letter -Explanation of Attachments



There are several attachments to this board letter that are included in order to provide the Board and public additional information and detail related to sustainable architectural design. They are not mandatory reading for the Board to fully understand this presentation and the recommendations contained herein. The two-page board letter provides an adequate executive summary along with the presentation, which includes a PowerPoint submittal.

#### Attachments:

- Staff Report This document is a policy paper that presents in more detail the argument for the county to consider a minimum standard for public buildings.
- 2. A discussion of using a "**life cycle costing**" methodology in order to support whether or not to incorporate green features in a building.
- 3. An **E-Newsletter** discussing the genesis of the "2030 Challenge". This concept and discussion was presented by Ed Mazria, in Santa Barbara at a community forum held September 26, 2006.
- 4. Leed Cost Study Optimize Energy Performance.
- 5. County of Santa Barbara Public Works building green material list.
- 6. **GSA Leed Application Guide** (*Bound copy*) This federal guide is proposed to serve as the basis for a county green building standard.

## **Office of the County Architect**

# **Staff Report**

To:	Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors
From:	Robert Ooley, AIA County Architect
CC:	Robert Nisbet, Director General Services
Date:	October 12, 2006
Re:	Sustainable Public Architecture Directive

That the Board direct staff to draft a <u>Sustainable Public Architecture Directive</u> for adoption by the Board that mandates the development of all county facilities to adhere to LEED/2030 criteria. Such a document would be crafted based upon a combination of the US Green Building Council's (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design) LEED criteria, the 2030 Challenge and the Federal GSA-LEED guidelines. The resulting benefits to the County and community are both economic and environmental.

As discussed in detail in the Background section of this Agenda Letter, the increase in temperature globally can largely be attributed to the construction and operation of facilities worldwide. Using an energy budget modeling tool development by Energy  $\stackrel{\checkmark}{\rightarrow}$  and the U.S. Department of Energy, a facility CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and energy budget can be calculated for analysis. Staff used the modeling tool to generate the following numbers.

The county owns and operates around 2 million square feet of facilities; of this amount the public uses just over 1.8 million square feet. The total annual source energy for this square footage is 242,690,254 (kBtu-CO<sub>2</sub> emissions) with a total annual site energy of 87,414,712 (kBtu-CO<sub>2</sub> emissions) with an annual energy budget of \$2,765,829.

By mandating that the county implement LEED/2030, the potential decrease in facility  $CO_2$  emissions would be approximately 121,345,127 pounds annually. This does not include the site emissions. The resulting 50% decrease (or savings) in the energy budget for this same square footage would be \$1,382,914. These reductions are based upon the 2030 Challenge of reducing the consumption of fossil fuels by 50% now, increasing that reduction until there is a 100% carbon free emissions. Staff believes that the 50% requirement is possible given current alternative energy technology. Even the slightest reduction of 10% would result in an annual savings of \$276,582 and a decrease in  $CO_2$  emission of 24,269,025 pounds annually.

The county has provided great leadership in the residential/commercial recycling effort, carpooling and alternative transportation efforts, now it is time for us to provide community leadership in reducing the environmental footprint of the public facilities used to deliver services to our constituents.

Most of the features to help reduce this environmental footprint already existing, they cost little if anything to implement. There are many ways to reach the 50% target now. Reaching the future targets will require vigilance at all levels of government and is possible given the hugh benefits to the community. Not only can we provide this leadership, the community is expecting the county to be a leader and to show the way---we can, we must.

Staff recommends that ordinance 4452 (adopted by your Board on March 26, 2002) enabling the *Facility Policy Framework*, be amended to include mandated direction that all new or remodeled county buildings be developed in compliance to the <u>Sustainable Public Architecture Directive</u>.

It is important to address why the county should step-up its facility sustainability efforts. First, the public will be supportive of our efforts to increase the durability, efficiency and quality while reducing life-cycle costs of new and renovated facilities. Second, not implementing LEED/2030 will continue to contribute to the overall  $CO_2$  emissions which in turn contribute to global warming. Third and finally, the inclusion of LEED/2030 requirements will improve the bottom line which is how the substainable features will be paid for over time.

#### Public Opinion Polling

The General Public support sustainable buildings. During the month of August 2002, the UCSB Department of Communications conducted a public opinion survey of 4,100 county residents on behalf of the Office of the County Architect (OCA). The survey asked the public a number of questions about county facility planning, maintenance and quality issues about county facilities. With respect to facility quality, energy efficiency and susbstainable design features the respondents overwhelming support the county in its efforts to construct facilities with these features. In particular, on the topic of providing "quality structures" the public ranked this 8.07 out of 10 in support. They favored paying more for these quality structures with a ranking of 6.67 out of 10. When asked about "green" and sustainable facilities, the public strongly supported this idea with a ranking of 8.57 out of 10. In an effort to determine the perception of quality by the public, the historic Santa Barbara Courthouse was used as an example. The public overwhelming agreed that the Courthouse was a quality, beautify building with a ranking of 9.11 out of 10 points. When asked if the county should be constructing new buildings of such quality, the public strongly supported the idea with a ranking of 7.48 points out of 10.

#### National Sustainable Standards

The Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Green Building Rating System<sup>TM</sup> is the nationally accepted benchmark for the design, construction, and operation of high performance green buildings. LEED gives building owners and operators the tools they need to have an immediate and measurable impact on their buildings' performance. LEED promotes a whole-building approach to sustainability by recognizing performance in five key areas of human and environmental health: sustainable site development, water savings, energy efficiency, materials selection, and indoor environmental quality.

The "2030 °Challenge" asks the global architecture and building community to adopt the following targets:

- 1. That all new buildings and developments be designed to use ½ the fossil fuel energy they would typically consume (½ the country average for that building type).
- 2. That at a minimum, an equal amount of existing building area (through design, purchase of renewable energy and/or the application of renewable technologies) be renovated annually to use <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> the amount of fossil fuel energy they are currently consuming.
- 3. That the fossil fuel reduction standard for all new buildings be increased to:

60% in 2010 70% in 2015 80% in 2020 90% in 2025 Carbon-neutral by 2030 (using no fossil fuel GHG emitting energy to operate).

The **Federal GSA-LEED Guidelines** have been modified by the GSA from the published LEEDs criteria to mandate no-cost features in all federal buildings, evaluate and include low-cost features and to consider medium/high-cost features if the benefits to the staff and public out-weight the cost to include those features.

#### County's Early Efforts

On December 11, 2001, your board adopted the Facilities Policy Framework and directed staff to base facility development upon that framework. The Framework contains eight overarching objectives with 47 supporting policy statements that communicate the agency's desire to build sustainable facilities. Since the adoption of the Framework, six projects have been completed using the sustainable objectives outlined within the Framework: Casa Nueva, Lompoc Wellness Clinic, District Attorney Office, Hall of Records, Public Works Service Center and Children's Service Center. Of these projects, two of them have received recognition for their use of renewable energy use, use of recycled materials, preservation of existing materials, and integration into the site and reduced energy consumption. The Framework only encourages the inclusion of sustainable features. In order for the county to reduce its environmental footprint these features must be mandated. Staff is proposing to draft a <u>Sustainable Public Architecture Directive</u> with input from facility stakeholders and return to the Board for adoption which would include direction to mandate the implementation of the Directive.

Of the eight California counties used as benchmarks, few have written sustainable policies, most have some level of voluntary compliance with LEEDs, at least one county clearly discourages the inclusion of sustainable features in its capital improvement program. The only California County that has a written directive is Alameda. Alameda County has enacted an ordinance mandating the inclusion of sustainable features in the form of LEED certification levels with Silver as the minimum target.

### CO2 Emissions and Global Warming

The County has a current building inventory of just over 500 individual structures, ranging from storage sheds to jails and everything in-between. The general public uses about 180 of these

structures on a regular basis. These facilities use a tremendous amount of natural, non-renewable resources to operate resulting in the emissions continued and increasing emission of carbon into the air we breathe. Combined with other sources of emissions, the air quality in the community continues to decline and the regional relative temperature continues to rise. We are fortunate in Santa Barbara County to live adjacent to the Pacific Ocean, because any rise in temperature is often off-set by the amount that the ocean absorbs, in-turn generating coastal and valley fog to mitigate the high temperatures. In addition, the ocean absorbs a portion of the  $C0_2$  generated by global emissions from all sources. The earth itself absorbs 2 billion tons.



The average American generates 22 tons of carbon dioxide (C0<sub>2</sub>, a green house gas) in a single year, which is six times that of the global average. For the residents of Santa Barbara County resulting in 9 million tons of C0<sub>2</sub> annually being released into the atmosphere. Of the sources (U.S. Energy Information Administration, 2003) for CO2 27% is generated by the transportation sector, 25% by industrial operations and 48% by facility operations. These percentages change if we shift the emissions from transportation and industry that contribute to the construction and operation of buildings into the building sector; resulting in emissions of 1% transportation, 23% industry and 76% for buildings. Industry continues to improve as a result of government regulations to improve air and water quality in our communities. Transportation also continues to improve as a result of similar regulations. The building section however has not experienced the same and that is because of the lack of mandated regulations to improve. Other than building code standards for general public safety, there are no mandated requirements that building emissions be reduced. There is substantial material in the scientific community that links the emission of  $CO_2$  to the rise of temperature globally. Given the fact that the construction and operation of buildings contribute at least 48% and as much as 76% of all emissions into the atmosphere; reducing these emission will do more to lowing global warming, than the other two sectors combined. The construction and operations of facilities is a locally controlled activity, not a state, national or global activity. That said it takes all of us collectively to make a difference.



The charts above indicate those sectors tracked by the U.S Department of Energy as contributors to CO2 emissions. The Industry and Transportation sectors are regulated; the Building sector is not currently regulated for  $CO_2$  emissions.

The 180 owned and occupied structures by the County equal 1.8 million square feet. The energy budget for the 1.8 million square foot inventories (as calculated by Energy  $\frac{1}{2}$  based upon data from the U.S. Department of Energy) is \$2,765,829 annually. Decreasing the consumption of energy by just 10% on this inventory would result in a \$276,583 dollar savings.

#### Sustainable Public Architecture Directive

The Sustainable Public Architecture Directive will be drafted using three published references, LEED, the 2030 Challenge and the GSA-LEED Applications Guide. The GSA-LEED Guide is also similar to the approach that Alameda County has implemented. Basically, these two agencies have taken the core of LEED and modified the criteria/credits to a sliding scale of applicability. The scale is based upon the size of the project, in other words, if the proposed project is a minor interior remodel, then the more costly LEED features are not implemented. All new facilities include green features and those projects over \$500,000 begin to implement additional green features.

The Sustainable Public Architecture Directive will be proposed as an amendment to Chapter 12A, Article IV of the Santa Barbara County Code to include implementation of susbstainable features in all new county facilities and renovations of existing county facilities. The Directive will also apply to space that the county leases where tenant improvements are proposed.



GREE



Version 2.0

Including the Project Checklist

June 2001



#### Disclaimer

The LEED<sup>™</sup> Green Building Rating System 2.0 was developed by the U.S. Green Building Council, under contract number DE-FG36-97GO10268, for the U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Office of Building Technology, State, and Community Programs, and are intended for use by commercial building project stakeholders or project team members as a guide for green and sustainable design. They were prepared with the assistance and participation of representatives from many organizations. The views and opinions expressed represent general consensus and available information, but unanimous approval by all organizations is not implied. The views and opinions expressed also do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government.

Together, the LEED<sup>TM</sup> documents represent the U.S. Green Building Council's efforts to develop a standard that improves environmental and economic performance of commercial buildings using established and/or advanced industry principles, practices, materials and standards. They are subject to change from time to time in the future.

The U.S. Green Building Council authorizes you to view the LEED<sup>TM</sup> Green Building Rating System 2.0 for your individual use. In exchange for this authorization, you agree to retain all copyright and other proprietary notices contained in the original LEED<sup>TM</sup> Green Building Rating System 2.0. You also agree not to sell or modify the LEED<sup>TM</sup> Green Building Rating System 2.0 or to reproduce, display or distribute the LEED<sup>TM</sup> Green Building Rating System 2.0 in any way for any public or commercial purpose, including display on a web site or in a networked environment. Unauthorized use of the LEED<sup>TM</sup> Green Building Rating System 2.0 violates copyright, trademark, and other laws and is prohibited. All text, graphics, layout, and other elements of content contained in the LEED<sup>TM</sup> Green Building Rating System 2.0 are owned by the U.S. Green Building Council and are protected by copyright under both United States and foreign laws.

Also please note that none of the parties involved in the funding or creation of the LEED<sup>TM</sup> Green Building Rating System 2.0, including the U.S. Green Building Council, its members or the United States government make any warranty (express or implied) or assume any liability or responsibility, to you or any third parties for the accuracy, completeness or use of, or reliance on, any information contained in the LEED<sup>TM</sup> Green Building Rating System 2.0, or for any injuries, losses or damages (including, without limitation, equitable relief) arising out of such use or reliance.

As a condition of use, you covenant not to sue, and agree to waive and release the U.S. Green Building Council, its members and the United States government from any and all claims, demands and causes of action for any injuries, losses or damages (including, without limitation, equitable relief) that you may now or hereafter have a right to assert against such parties as a result of your use of, or reliance on, the LEED<sup>TM</sup> Green Building Rating System 2.0.

#### Copyright

Copyright © 2001 by the U.S. Green Building Council. All rights reserved.

#### Trademark

LEED<sup>™</sup> is a registered trademark of the U.S. Green Building Council.

## **Table of Contents**



<b>Project Chec</b>	klist	v
Sustainable S	ites	1
Prerequisite 1	Erosion & Sedimentation Control	1
Credit 1	Site Selection	2
Credit 2	Urban Redevelopment	3
Credit 3	Brownfield Redevelopment	4
Credit 4	Alternative Transportation	5
Credit 5	Reduced Site Disturbance	6
Credit 6	Stormwater Management	7
Credit 7	Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat Islands	8
Credit 8	Light Pollution Reduction	9
Water Efficie	ncy	10
Credit 1	Water Efficient Landscaping	10
Credit 2	Innovative Wastewater Technologies	11
Credit 3	Water Use Reduction	12
Energy & Atr	nosphere	13
Prerequisite 1	Fundamental Building Systems Commissioning	13
Prerequisite 2	Minimum Energy Performance	14
Prerequisite 3	CFC Reduction in HVAC&R Equipment	15
Credit 1	Optimize Energy Performance	16
Credit 2	Renewable Energy	17
Credit 3	Additional Commissioning	18
Credit 4	Ozone Depletion	19
Credit 5	Measurement & Verification	20
Credit 6	Green Power	21

#### iii



Materials & R	Materials & Resources 22		
Prerequisite 1	Storage & Collection of Recyclables	22	
Credit 1	Building Reuse	23	
Credit 2	Construction Waste Management	24	
Credit 3	Resource Reuse	25	
Credit 4	Recycled Content	26	
Credit 5	Local/Regional Materials	27	
Credit 6	Rapidly Renewable Materials	28	
Credit 7	Certified Wood	29	
Indoor Enviro	onmental Quality	30	
Prerequisite 1	Minimum IAQ Performance	30	
Prerequisite 2	Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control	31	
Credit 1	Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) Monitoring	32	
Credit 2	Increase Ventilation Effectiveness	33	
Credit 3	Construction IAQ Management Plan	34	
Credit 4	Low-Emitting Materials	35	
Credit 5	Indoor Chemical & Pollutant Source Control	36	
Credit 6	Controllability of Systems	37	
Credit 7	Thermal Comfort	38	
Credit 8	Daylight & Views	39	
Innovation &	Design Process	40	
Credit 1	Innovation in Design	40	
Credit 2	LEED <sup>TM</sup> Accredited Professional	41	

## **Project Checklist**



#### **Sustainable Sites 14 Possible Points Erosion & Sedimentation Control** Υ Prereq 1 Required Credit 1 **Site Selection** Y 1 N Credit 2 **Urban Redevelopment Brownfield Redevelopment** N Credit 3 N Credit 4.1 Alternative Transportation, Public Transportation Access 1 N Credit 4.2 Alternative Transportation, Bicycle Storage & Changing Rooms 1 Credit 4.3 Alternative Transportation, Alternative Fuel Refueling Stations 1 Credit 4.4 Alternative Transportation, Parking Capacity Credit 5.1 Reduced Site Disturbance, Protect or Restore Open Space 1 Credit 5.2 Reduced Site Disturbance, Development Footprint 1 N Credit 6.1 Stormwater Management, Rate or Quantity Ν Credit 6.2 Stormwater Management, Treatment 1 Credit 7.1 Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat Islands, NonRoof 1 Credit 7.2 Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat Islands, Roof 1 ? N Credit 8 **Light Pollution Reduction** 1

## Water Efficiency

**5** Possible Points

Water Efficient Landscaping, Reduce by 50%	1
Water Efficient Landscaping, No Potable Use or No Irrigation	1
Innovative Wastewater Technologies	1
Water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction	1
Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction	1
	Water Efficient Landscaping, Reduce by 50% Water Efficient Landscaping, No Potable Use or No Irrigation Innovative Wastewater Technologies Water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction

## **Energy & Atmosphere**

#### 17 Possible Points

Y P	rereq 1	Fundamental Building Systems Commissioning	Required
Y P	rereq 2	Minimum Energy Performance	Required
Y P	Prereq 3	CFC Reduction in HVAC&R Equipment	Required
Y ? N C	Credit 1.1	Optimize Energy Performance, 20% New / 10% Existing	2
Y ? N C	Credit 1.2	Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 20% Existing	2
Y ? N C	Credit 1.3	Optimize Energy Performance, 40% New / 30% Existing	2
Y ? N C	Credit 1.4	Optimize Energy Performance, 50% New / 40% Existing	2
Y ? N C	Credit 1.5	Optimize Energy Performance, 60% New / 50% Existing	2
Y ? N C	Credit 2.1	Renewable Energy, 5%	1
Y ? N C	Credit 2.2	Renewable Energy, 10%	1
Y ? N C	Credit 2.3	Renewable Energy, 20%	1
Y ? N C	Credit 3	Additional Commissioning	1
Y ? N C	Credit 4	Ozone Depletion	1
Y ? N C	Credit 5	Measurement & Verification	1
Y ? N C	Credit 6	Green Power	1



## Materials & Resources

13 Possible Points

Y	F	Prereq 1	Storage & Collection of Recyclables Req	uired
Y	? N 0	Credit 1.1	Building Reuse, Maintain 75% of Existing Shell	1
Y	? N 0	Credit 1.2	Building Reuse, Maintain 100% of Shell	1
Y	? N 0	Credit 1.3	Building Reuse, Maintain 100% Shell & 50% Non-Shell	1
Y	? N 0	Credit 2.1	Construction Waste Management, Divert 50%	1
Y	? N (	Credit 2.2	Construction Waste Management, Divert 75%	1
Y	? N 0	Credit 3.1	Resource Reuse, Specify 5%	1
Y	? N 0	Credit 3.2	Resource Reuse, Specify 10%	1
Y	? N 0	Credit 4.1	Recycled Content, Specify 25%	1
Y	? N 0	Credit 4.2	Recycled Content, Specify 50%	1
Y	? N 0	Credit 5.1	Local/Regional Materials, 20% Manufactured Locally	1
Y	? N 0	Credit 5.2	Local/Regional Materials, of 20% Above, 50% Harvested Local	ly 1
Y	? N (	Credit 6	Rapidly Renewable Materials	1
Y	? N (	Credit 7	Certified Wood	1

## Indoor Environmental Quality

15 Possible Points

Y	Prereq 1	Minimum IAQ Performance	Required
Y	Prereq 2	Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control	Required
Y ? N	Credit 1	<b>Carbon Dioxide</b> $(CO_2)$ <b>Monitoring</b>	1
Y ? N	Credit 2	Increase Ventilation Effectiveness	1
Y ? N	Credit 3.1	Construction IAQ Management Plan, During Co	onstruction 1
Y ? N	Credit 3.2	Construction IAQ Management Plan, Before O	ccupancy 1
Y ? N	Credit 4.1	Low-Emitting Materials, Adhesives & Sealants	1
Y ? N	Credit 4.2	Low-Emitting Materials, Paints	1
Y ? N	Credit 4.3	Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet	1
Y ? N	Credit 4.4	Low-Emitting Materials, Composite Wood	1
Y ? N	Credit 5	Indoor Chemical & Pollutant Source Control	1
Y ? N	Credit 6.1	Controllability of Systems, Perimeter	1
Y ? N	Credit 6.2	Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter	1
Y ? N	Credit 7.1	Thermal Comfort, Comply with ASHRAE 55-1992	1
Y ? N	Credit 7.2	Thermal Comfort, Permanent Monitoring System	1
Y ? N	Credit 8.1	Daylight & Views, Daylight 75% of Spaces	1
Y ? N	Credit 8.2	Daylight & Views, Views for 90% of Spaces	1
Innova	ation &	& Design Process	5 Possible Points
Y ? N	Credit 1.1	Innovation in Design: Specific Title	1
Y ? N	Credit 1.2	Innovation in Design: Specific Title	1
Y ? N	Credit 1.3	Innovation in Design: Specific Title	1
Y ? N	Credit 1.4	Innovation in Design: Specific Title	1
Y ? N	Credit 2	LEED <sup>TM</sup> Accredited Professional	1
Projec	t Tota	ls e	59 Possible Points
	<b>Certified</b> 2	6-32 points Silver 33-38 points Gold 39-51 points Pl	<b>atinum</b> 52-69 points

U S Green Building Council

## **Sustainable Sites**

## Prerequisite 1 Erosion & Sedimentation Control

#### Intent

Control erosion to reduce negative impacts on water and air quality.

#### Requirement

Prerequisite 1.0Design to a site sediment and erosion control plan that conforms to best management practices in the EPA's Storm Water Management for Construction Activities, EPA Document No. EPA-832-R-92-005, Chapter 3, OR local Erosion and Sedimentation Control standards and codes, whichever is more stringent. The plan shall meet the following objectives:

- Prevent loss of soil during construction by storm water runoff and/or wind erosion, including protecting topsoil by stockpiling for reuse.

- Prevent sedimentation of storm sewer or receiving streams and/or air pollution with dust and particulate matter.

#### **Technologies & Strategies**

Adopt an erosion and sedimentation control plan for the project site during construction. Consider employing strategies such as temporary and permanent seeding, mulching, earth dikes, silt fencing, sediment traps, and sediment basins. SS WE EA MR EQ ID Prerequisite 1 1 Point

## Credit 1 Site Selection

#### Intent

Avoid development of inappropriate sites and reduce the environmental impact from the location of a building on a site.

#### Requirement

Credit 1.0 (1 point)	Do not develop buildings on portions of sites that meet any one of the following criteria:
	-Prime farmland as defined by the American Farmland Trust
	-Land whose elevation is lower than <b>5 feet above</b> the eleva- tion of the 100-year flood as defined by FEMA
	-Land which provides habitat for any species on the Federal or State threatened or endangered list
	-Within <b>100 feet</b> of any wetland as defined by 40 CFR, Parts 230-233 and Part 22, OR as defined by local or state rule or law, whichever is more stringent
	-Land which prior to acquisition for the project was public parkland, unless land of equal or greater value as parkland is accepted in trade by the public landowner (Park Authority projects are exempt)

#### **Technologies & Strategies**

During the site selection process, give preference to those sites that do not include sensitive site elements and restricted land types. Select a suitable building location and design the building with the minimal footprint to minimize site disruption. Strategies include stacking the building program, tuck under parking, and sharing facilities with neighbors.

## Credit 2 Urban Redevelopment

### Intent

Channel development to urban areas with existing infrastructures, protecting greenfields and preserving habitat and natural resources.

## Requirement

**Credit 2.0** (1 point) Increase localized density to conform to existing or desired density goals by utilizing sites that are located within an existing minimum development density of **60,000 square feet per acre** (2 story downtown development)

## Technologies & Strategies

During the site selection process, give preference to urban sites with high development densities. Quantify the development density of the project as well as the surrounding area.

## SS WE EA MR EQ ID Credit 2

1 Point

## 1 Point Credit 3 Brownfield Redevelopment

#### Intent

Rehabilitate damaged sites where development is complicated by real or perceived environmental contamination, reducing pressure on undeveloped land.

#### Requirement

**Credit 3.0** (1 Point) Develop on a site classified as a Brownfield and provide remediation as required by EPA's Sustainable Redevelopment of Brownfields Program requirements

#### **Technologies & Strategies**

During the site selection process, give preference to brownfield sites. Identify tax incentives and property cost savings by selecting a brownfield site. Adopt a site remediation plan and cleanup the site using remediation strategies such as pump-and-treat, bioreactors, land farming, and in-situ remediation.

## Credit 4 Alternative Transportation

#### Intent

Reduce pollution and land development impacts from automobile use.

### Requirements

Credit 4.1 (1 point)	Locate building within <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> mile of a commuter rail, light rail or subway station or <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub> mile of 2 or more bus lines
Credit 4.2 (1 point)	Provide suitable means for securing bicycles, with convenient changing/shower facilities for use by cyclists, for 5% or more of building occupants
Credit 4.3 (1 point)	Install alternative-fuel refueling station(s) for $3\%$ of the total vehicle parking capacity of the site. Liquid or gaseous fueling facilities must be separately ventilated or located outdoors
Credit 4.4 (1 point)	Size parking capacity not to exceed minimum local zoning requirements AND provide preferred parking for carpools or van pools capable of serving 5% of the building occupants, OR, add no new parking for rehabilitation projects AND provide preferred parking for carpools or van pools capable of serving 5% of the building occupants.

### **Technologies & Strategies**

Perform a transportation survey of future building occupants to identify transportation needs. Site the building near mass transit and design the building with transportation amenities such as bicycle racks and showering/changing facilities, alternative fuel refueling stations, and carpool/ van pool programs. Also consider sharing transportation facilities such as parking lots and refueling stations with neighbors.

## ss we ea mr eq id Credit 4

1-4 Points

## 1-2 Points Credit 5 Reduced Site Disturbance

#### Intent

Conserve existing natural areas and restore damaged areas to provide habitat and promote biodiversity.

#### Requirements

Credit 5.1 (1 point)	On greenfield sites, limit site disturbance including earthwork
	and clearing of vegetation to 40 feet beyond the building pe-
	rimeter, 5 feet beyond primary roadway curbs, walkways, and
	main utility branch trenches, and 25 feet beyond pervious pav-
	ing areas that require additional staging areas in order to limit
	compaction in the paved area; OR, on previously developed
	sites, restore a minimum of 50% of the remaining open area
	by planting native or adapted vegetation.
Credit 5.2 (1 point)	Reduce the development footprint (including building, access
	roads and parking) to exceed the local zoning's open space
	requirement for the site by <b>25%</b> .

#### **Technologies & Strategies**

Perform a site survey to identify site elements and adopt a master plan for development of the project site. Select a suitable building location and design the building with the minimal footprint to minimize site disruption. Strategies include stacking the building program, tuck under parking, and sharing facilities with neighbors. Establish clearly marked construction boundaries to minimize disturbance of existing site and restore previously degraded areas to their natural state.

#### 7

## Credit 6 Stormwater Management

#### Intent

Limit disruption of natural water flows by minimizing stormwater runoff, increasing on-site infiltration and reducing contaminants.

#### Requirements

Implement a stormwater management plan that results in:

- **Credit 6.1** (1 point) **No net increase** in the rate and quantity of stormwater runoff from existing to developed conditions; OR, if existing imperviousness is greater than **50%**, implement a stormwater management plan that results in a **25%** decrease in the rate and quantity of stormwater runoff.
- Credit 6.2 (1 point) Treatment systems designed to remove 80% of the average annual post development total suspended solids (TSS), and 40% of the average annual post development total phosphorous (TP), by implementing Best Management Practices (BMPs) outlined in EPA's Guidance Specifying Management Measures for Sources of Non-point Pollution in Coastal Waters (EPA 840-B-92-002 1/93).

### **Technologies & Strategies**

Design the project site to maintain natural stormwater flows by promoting infiltration. Specify garden roofs and pervious paving to minimize impervious surfaces. Reuse stormwater volumes generated for non-potable uses such as landscape irrigation, toilet and urinal flushing, and custodial uses. Install mechanical or natural treatment systems such as constructed wetlands, vegetated filter strips, and bioswales to treat stormwater volumes leaving the site. SS WE EA MR EQ ID Credit 6

1-2 Points

1-2 Points

## Credit 7 Landscape and Exterior Design to Reduce Heat Islands

#### Intent

Reduce heat islands (thermal gradient differences between developed and undeveloped areas) to minimize impact on microclimate and human and wildlife habitat.

#### Requirements

Credit 7.1 (1 point)	Provide shade (within 5 years) on at least <b>30%</b> of non-roof impervious surface on the site, including parking lots, walk-ways, plazas, etc., OR, use light-colored/high-albedo materials (reflectance of at least 0.3) for <b>30%</b> of the site's non-roof impervious surfaces, OR place a minimum of <b>50%</b> of parking space underground OR use open-grid pavement system (net impervious area of LESS than 50%) for a minimum of <b>50%</b> of the parking lot area.
Credit 7.2 (1 point)	Use ENERGY STAR Roof-compliant, high-reflectance AND high emissivity roofing (initial reflectance of at least 0.65 and three-year-aged reflectance of <b>at least 0.5</b> when tested in ac- cordance with ASTM E903 and emissivity of <b>at least 0.9</b> when tested in accordance with ASTM 408) for a minimum of <b>75%</b> of the roof surface; OR, install a "green" (vegetated) roof for at least <b>50%</b> of the roof area.

#### **Technologies & Strategies**

Shade constructed surfaces on the site with landscape features and minimize the overall building footprint. Consider replacing constructed surfaces (i.e., roof, roads, sidewalks, etc.) with vegetated surfaces such as garden roofs and open grid paving or specify light-colored, high-albedo materials to reduce the heat absorption.

## Credit 8 Light Pollution Reduction

#### Intent

Eliminate light trespass from the building site, improve night sky access, and reduce development impact on nocturnal environments.

### Requirement

**Credit 8.0** (1 point) Do not exceed Illuminating Engineering Society of North America (IESNA) footcandle level requirements as stated in the Recommended Practice Manual: Lighting for Exterior Environments, AND design interior and exterior lighting such that zero direct-beam illumination leaves the building site.

## **Technologies & Strategies**

Adopt site lighting criteria to maintain safe light levels while avoiding off-site lighting and night sky pollution. Minimize site lighting where possible and model the site lighting using a computer model. Technologies to reduce light pollution include full cutoff luminaries, low-reflectance surfaces, and low-angle spotlights.

## SS WE EA MR EQ ID Credit 8

1 Point

## Water Efficiency

1-2 Points	Credit 1	Water Efficient Landscaping
------------	----------	-----------------------------

#### Intent

ss we ea mr eq id Credit 1

Limit or eliminate the use of potable water for landscape irrigation.

#### Requirements

Credit 1.1 (1 point)	Use high efficiency irrigation technology, OR, use captured rain or recycled site water, to reduce potable water consumption for irrigation by <b>50%</b> over conventional means.
Credit 1.2 (1 point)	Use only captured rain or recycled site water for an additional <b>50%</b> reduction ( <b>100% total reduction</b> ) of potable water for site irrigation needs, OR, do not install permanent landscape irrigation systems.

#### **Technologies & Strategies**

Perform a soil/climate analysis to determine appropriate landscape types and design the landscape with indigenous plants to reduce or eliminate irrigation requirements. Use high efficiency irrigation systems and consider reuse of stormwater or graywater volumes for irrigation.

#### Credit 2 **Innovative Wastewater Technologies**

#### Intent

Reduce the generation of wastewater and potable water demand, while increasing the local aquifer recharge.

#### Requirement

Credit 2.0 (1 point) Reduce the use of municipally provided potable water for building sewage conveyance by a minimum of 50%, OR, treat 100% of wastewater on site to tertiary standards.

#### **Technologies & Strategies**

Estimate the wastewater volumes generated in the building and specify high efficiency fixtures and dry fixtures such as composting toilets and waterless urinals to reduce these volumes. Consider reusing stormwater or graywater for sewage conveyance or on-site wastewater treatment systems (mechanical or natural).

## 1-2 Points Credit 3 Water Use Reduction

#### Intent

Maximize water efficiency within buildings to reduce the burden on municipal water supply and wastewater systems.

#### **Requirement & Submittals**

Credit 3.1 (1 point)	Employ strategies that in aggregate use $20\%$ less water than the water use baseline calculated for the building (not including
	irrigation) after meeting Energy Policy Act of 1992 fixture performance requirements.
Credit 3.2 (1 point)	Exceed the potable water use reduction by an additional <b>10%</b> ( <b>30%</b> total efficiency increase).

#### **Technologies & Strategies**

Estimate the potable and non-potable water needs for the building. Use high efficiency fixtures, dry fixtures such as composting toilets and waterless urinals, and occupant sensors to reduce the potable water demand. Consider reuse of stormwater and graywater for non-potable applications such as toilet and urinal flushing, mechanical systems, and custodial uses.

## **Energy & Atmosphere**



## Prerequisite 1 Fundamental Building Systems Commissioning

## Required

#### Intent

Verify and ensure that fundamental building elements and systems are designed, installed and calibrated to operate as intended.

#### Requirement

Prerequisite 1.0Implement the following fundamental best practice commissioning procedures:<br/>
-Engage a commissioning authority<br/>
-Review design intent and basis of design documentation<br/>
-Include commissioning requirements in the construction documents<br/>
-Develop and utilize a commissioning plan<br/>
-Verify installation, functional performance, training and documentation<br/>
-Complete a commissioning report

#### **Technologies & Strategies**

Engage a commissioning authority and adopt a commissioning plan. Include commissioning requirements in bid documents and task the commissioning agent to produce a commissioning report once commissioning activities are completed.

## ss we EA MR EQ ID Prerequisite 2

## Required Prerequisite 2 Minimum Energy Performance

#### Intent

Establish the minimum level of energy efficiency for the base building and systems.

#### Requirement

**Prerequisite 2.0** Design to meet building energy efficiency and performance as required by ASHRAE/IESNA 90.1-1999 or the local energy code, whichever is the more stringent.

#### **Technologies & Strategies**

Design the building envelope and building systems to maximize energy performance. Use a computer simulation model to assess the energy performance and identify the most cost effective energy efficiency measures. Quantify energy performance as compared to a baseline building.

#### 15

## Prerequisite 3 CFC Reduction in HVAC&R Equipment

#### Intent

Reduce ozone depletion.

#### Requirement

Prerequisite 3.0Zero use of CFC-based refrigerants in new building HVAC&R<br/>base building systems. When reusing existing base building<br/>HVAC equipment, complete a comprehensive CFC phaseout<br/>conversion.

#### **Technologies & Strategies**

When reusing existing HVAC systems, conduct an inventory to identify equipment that uses CFC refrigerants and adopt a replacement schedule for these refrigerants. For new buildings, specify new HVAC equipment that uses no CFC refrigerants.

## ss we EA MR EQ ID Prerequisite 3

Required

## 2-10 Points Credit 1 **Optimize Energy Performance**

#### Intent

Achieve increasing levels of energy performance above the prerequisite standard to reduce environmental impacts associated with excessive energy use.

#### Requirements

Reduce design energy cost compared to the energy cost budget for regulated energy components described in the requirements of ASHRAE/IESNA Standard 90.1-1999, as demonstrated by a whole building simulation using the Energy Cost Budget Method described in Section 11:

New Buildings	Existing Buildings	Points
20%	10%	2
30%	20%	4
40%	30%	6
50%	40%	8
60%	50%	10

Regulated energy components include HVAC systems, building envelope, service hot water systems, lighting and other regulated systems as defined by ASHRAE.

Credit 1.1 (2 points)	Reduce design energy cost by 20% / 10%.
Credit 1.2 (4 points)	Reduce design energy cost by $30\%$ / $20\%.$
Credit 1.3 (6 points)	Reduce design energy cost by $40\%$ / $30\%.$
Credit 1.4 (8 points)	Reduce design energy cost by $50\%$ / $40\%.$
Credit 1.5 (10 points)	Reduce design energy cost by 60% / 50%.

#### **Technologies & Strategies**

Design the building envelope and building systems to maximize energy performance. Use a computer simulation model to assess the energy performance and identify the most cost effective energy efficiency measures. Quantify energy performance as compared to a baseline building.

## Credit 2 Renewable Energy

### Intent

Encourage and recognize increasing levels of self-supply through renewable technologies to reduce environmental impacts associated with fossil fuel energy use.

## Requirements

Supply a net fraction of the building's total energy use (as expressed as a fraction of annual energy cost) through the use of on-site renewable energy systems.

% Total Ener	gy Load Cost in Renewables	Points
5%		1
10%		2
20%		3
Credit 2.1 (1 points)	Renewable energy, 5% contribution	1
Credit 2.2 (2 points)	Renewable energy, 10% contribution	on
Credit 2.3 (3 points)	Renewable energy, 20% contribution	on

## **Technologies & Strategies**

Assess the project for renewable energy potential including solar, wind, geothermal, biomass, hydro, and bio-gas strategies. When applying these strategies, take advantage of net metering with the local utility.



## 1-3 Points

## 1 Point Credit 3 Additional Commissioning

#### Intent

Verify and ensure that the entire building is designed, constructed, and calibrated to operate as intended.

#### Requirement

Credit 3.0 (1 point)	In addition to the Fundamental Building Commissioning pre- requisite, implement the following additional commissioning tasks:
	1. Conduct a focused review of the design prior to the con- struction documents phase.
	2. Conduct a focused review of the Construction Documents when close to completion.
	3. Conduct a selective review of contractor submittals of commissioned equipment. (The above three reviews must be performed by a firm other than the designer.)
	4. Develop a recommissioning management manual.

5. Have a contract in place for a near-warranty end or post occupancy review.

#### **Technologies & Strategies**

Engage the Commissioning Authority early in project design phases. Task the commissioning agent to conduct project reviews before and after construction documents are complete. The Commissioning Agent must also create a recommissioning manual for the building and review the project at near-warranty end.

## Credit 4 **Ozone Depletion**

## Intent

Reduce ozone depletion and support early compliance with the Montreal Protocol.

## Requirement

**Credit 4.0** (1 point) Install base building level HVAC and refrigeration equipment and fire suppression systems that do not contain HCFC's or Halon.

## Technologies & Strategies

When reusing buildings, inventory existing building systems using refrigerants and fire suppression chemicals and replace those that contain HCFCs or halons. For new buildings, specify refrigeration and fire suppression systems that use no HCFCs or halons.

## 1 Point

## 1 Point Credit 5 Measurement & Verification

#### Intent

Provide for the ongoing accountability and optimization of building energy and water consumption performance over time.

#### Requirement

Credit 5.0 (1 point)	Comply with the long term continuous measurement of per- formance as stated in Option B: Methods by Technology of the US DOE's International Performance Measurement and Verification Protocol (IPMVP) for the following:
	-Lighting systems and controls
	-Constant and variable motor loads
	-Variable frequency drive (VFD) operation
	-Chiller efficiency at variable loads (kW/ton)
	-Cooling load
	-Air and water economizer and heat recovery cycles
	-Air distribution static pressures and ventilation air volumes
	-Boiler efficiencies
	-Building specific process energy efficiency systems and equip- ment
	-Indoor water risers and outdoor irrigation systems

#### **Technologies & Strategies**

Model the energy and water systems to predict savings. Design the building with equipment to measure energy and water performance. Draft a Measurement & Verification Plan to apply during building operation that compares predicted savings to those actually achieved in the field.

21

## Credit 6 Green Power

## Intent

Encourage the development and use of grid-source energy technologies on a net zero pollution basis.

## Requirement

**Credit 6.0** (1 point) Engage in a two year contract to purchase power generated from renewable sources that meet the Center for Resource Solutions (CRS) Green-e products certification requirements.

## Technologies & Strategies

Estimate the energy needs of the building and investigate opportunities to engage in a green power contract with the local utility. Green power is derived from solar, wind, geothermal, biomass, or low-impact hydro sources.

## SS WE EA MR EQ ID Credit 6

## 1 Point

## **Materials & Resources**

Prerequisite 1

Required

SS WE EA MR EQ ID

## Prerequisite 1 Storage & Collection of Recyclables

#### Intent

Facilitate the reduction of waste generated by building occupants that is hauled to and disposed of in landfills.

#### Requirement

**Prerequisite 1.0** Provide an easily accessible area that serves the entire building and is dedicated to the separation, collection and storage of materials for recycling including (at a minimum) paper, glass, plastics, and metals.

#### **Technologies & Strategies**

Designate an area for recyclable collection and storage that is appropriately sized and located in a convenient area. Identify local waste handlers and buyers for glass, plastic, office paper, newspaper, cardboard, and organic wastes. Instruct occupants on building recycling procedures. Consider employing cardboard balers, aluminum can crushers, recycling chutes, and other waste management technologies to further enhance the recycling program.

1-3 Points

## Credit 1 Building Reuse

## Intent

Extend the life cycle of existing building stock, conserve resources, retain cultural resources, reduce waste, and reduce environmental impacts of new buildings as they relate to materials manufacturing and transport.

## Requirements

Reuse large portions of existing structures during renovation or redevelopment projects:

Credit 1.1 (1 point)	Maintain at least <b>75%</b> of existing building structure and shell (exterior skin and framing excluding window assemblies)
Credit 1.2 (1 point)	Maintain an additional 25% (100% total) of existing building structure and shell (exterior skin and framing excluding window assemblies)
Credit 1.3 (1 point)	Maintain 100% of existing building structure and shell AND 50% non-shell (walls, floor coverings, and ceiling systems)

## Technologies & Strategies

Consider reuse of existing buildings, including structure, shell, and non-shell elements. Remove elements that pose contamination risk to building occupants and upgrade outdated components such as windows, mechanical systems, and plumbing fixtures. Quantify the extent of building reuse.

## 1-2 Points Credit 2 Construction Waste Management

#### Intent

Divert construction, demolition, and land clearing debris from landfill disposal. Redirect recyclable material back to the manufacturing process.

#### Requirements

Develop and implement a waste management plan, quantifying material diversion by weight. (Remember that salvage may include the donation of materials to charitable organizations such as Habitat for Humanity.)

Credit 2.1 (1 point)	Recycle and/or salvage at least 50% (by weight) of construc- tion, demolition, and land clearing waste
Credit 2.2 (1 point)	Recycle and/or salvage an additional <b>25%</b> ( <b>75%</b> total by weight) of the construction, demolition, and land clearing debris

#### **Technologies & Strategies**

Establish goals for landfill diversion and adopt a construction waste management plan to achieve these goals. Consider recycling land clearing debris, cardboard, metals, brick, concrete, plastic, clean wood, glass, gypsum wallboard, carpet, and insulation. Designate a specific area on the construction site for recycling and track recycling efforts throughout the construction process. Identify construction haulers and recyclers to handle the designated materials.
25

## Credit 3 Resource Reuse

#### Intent

Extend the life cycle of targeted building materials by reducing environmental impacts related to materials manufacturing and transport.

#### Requirements

Credit 3.1 (1 point)	Specify salvaged or refurbished materials for 5% of building materials
Credit 3.2 (1 point)	Specify salvaged or refurbished materials for 10% of building materials

## **Technologies & Strategies**

Identify opportunities to incorporate salvage materials into the building design and research potential material suppliers. Consider salvage materials such as beams and posts, flooring, paneling, doors and frames, cabinetry and furniture, brick, and decorative items.

## SS WE EA MR EQ ID Credit 3

1-2 Points

## 1-2 Points Credit 4 Recycled Content

#### Intent

Increase demand for building products that have incorporated recycled content materials, therefore reducing the impacts resulting from the extraction of new materials.

#### Requirements

Credit 4.1 (1 point)	Specify a minimum of <b>25%</b> of building materials that contain in aggregate, a minimum weighted average of <b>20%</b> post-con- sumer recycled content material, OR, a minimum weighted average <b>40%</b> post-industrial recycled content material.
Credit 4.2 (1 point)	Specify an additional 25% (50% total) of building materials that contain in aggregate, a minimum weighted average of 20% post-consumer recycled content material, OR, a minimum weighted average of 40% post-industrial recycled content material.

#### **Technologies & Strategies**

Establish a project goal for recycled content materials and identify materials and material suppliers that can achieve this goal. During construction, ensure that the specified recycled content materials are installed and quantify the total percentage of recycled content materials installed.

## Credit 5 Local/Regional Materials

#### Intent

Increase demand for building products that are manufactured locally, thereby reducing the environmental impacts resulting from their transportation and supporting the local economy.

## Requirements

Credit 5.1 (1 point) Specify a minimum of 20% of building materials that are manufactured\* regionally within a radius of 500 miles.
Credit 5.2 (1 point) Of these regionally manufactured materials, specify a minimum of 50% that are extracted, harvested, or recovered within 500 miles.
\* Manufacturing refers to the *final assembly* of components into the building product that is furnished and installed by the tradesmen. For example, if the hardware comes from Dallas, Texas, the lumber from Vancouver, British Columbia and the joist is assembled in Kent, Washington; then the location of the *final assembly* is Kent, Washington.

## Technologies & Strategies

Establish a project goal for locally sourced materials and identify materials and material suppliers that can achieve this goal. During construction, ensure that the specified local materials are installed and quantify the total percentage of local materials installed.

## SS WE EA MR EQ ID Credit 5

1-2 Points

## 1 Point Credit 6 Rapidly Renewable Materials

#### Intent

Reduce the use and depletion of finite raw, and long-cycle renewable materials by replacing them with rapidly renewable materials.

#### Requirement

**Credit 6.0** (1 point) Specify rapidly renewable building materials for **5%** of total building materials.

#### **Technologies & Strategies**

Establish a project goal for rapidly renewable materials and identify materials and suppliers that can achieve this goal. Consider materials such as bamboo flooring, wool carpet, strawboard, cotton batt insulation, linoleum flooring, poplar OSB, sunflower seed board, and wheatgrass cabinetry. During construction, ensure that the specified rapidly renewable materials are installed and quantify the total percentage of rapidly renewable materials installed.

29

## Credit 7 Certified Wood

### Intent

Encourage environmentally responsible forest management.

## Requirement

**Credit 7.0** (1 point) Use a minimum of **50%** of wood-based materials certified in accordance with the Forest Stewardship Council Guidelines for wood building components including but not limited to structural framing and general dimensional framing, flooring, finishes, furnishings, and non-rented temporary construction applications such as bracing, concrete form work and pedestrian barriers.

## Technologies & Strategies

Establish a project goal for FSC-certified wood products and identify products and suppliers that can achieve this goal. During construction, ensure that the FSC-certified wood products are installed and quantify the total percentage of FSC-certified wood products installed.



1 Point

## **Indoor Environmental Quality**

## Required

SS WE EA MR EQ ID

**Prerequisite 1** 

## Prerequisite 1 Minimum IAQ Performance

#### Intent

Establish minimum indoor air quality (IAQ) performance to prevent the development of indoor air quality problems in buildings, maintaining the health and well being of the occupants.

#### Requirement

**Prerequisite 1.0** Meet the minimum requirements of voluntary consensus standard ASHRAE 62-1999, Ventilation for Acceptable Indoor Air Quality and approved Addenda.

#### **Technologies & Strategies**

Design the HVAC system to meet the ventilation requirements of the reference standard. Identify potential IAQ problems on the site and locate air intakes away from contaminant sources.

## Prerequisite 2 Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control

#### Intent

Prevent exposure of building occupants and systems to Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS).

## Requirement

**Prerequisite 2.0** Zero exposure of nonsmokers to ETS by prohibition of smoking in the building, OR, provide a designated smoking room designed to effectively contain, capture and remove ETS from the building. At a minimum, the smoking room shall be directly exhausted to the outdoors with no recirculation of ETS-containing air to the nonsmoking area of the building, enclosed with impermeable structural deck-to-deck partitions and operated at a negative pressure compared with the surrounding spaces of **at least 7 Pa** (0.03 inches of water gauge).

Performance of smoking rooms shall be verified using tracer gas testing methods as described in the ASHRAE Standard 129-1997. Acceptable exposure in nonsmoking areas is defined as **less than 1%** of the tracer gas concentration in the smoking room detectable in the adjoining nonsmoking areas. Smoking room testing as described in the ASHRAE Standard 129-1997 is required in the contract documents and critical smoking facility systems testing results must be included in the building commissioning plan and report or as a separate document.

## Technologies & Strategies

Prohibit smoking in the building or provide separate smoking rooms with isolated ventilation systems.

## ss we ea mr eq id Prerequisite 2

Required

## 1 Point Credit 1 Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) Monitoring

#### Intent

Provide capacity for indoor air quality (IAQ) monitoring to sustain long-term occupant health and comfort.

#### Requirement

**Credit 1.0** (1 point) Install a permanent carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  monitoring system that provides feedback on space ventilation performance in a form that affords operational adjustments, AND specify initial operational set point parameters that maintain indoor carbon dioxide levels no higher than outdoor levels by more than 530 parts per million at any time.

#### **Technologies & Strategies**

Design the HVAC system with carbon dioxide monitoring sensors and integrate these sensors with the building automation system (BAS).

## Credit 2 Increase Ventilation Effectiveness

### Intent

Provide for the effective delivery and mixing of fresh air to support the health, safety, and comfort of building occupants.

## Requirement

**Credit 2.0** (1 point) For mechanically ventilated buildings, design ventilation systems that result in an air change effectiveness (E) greater than or equal to **0.9** as determined by ASHRAE 129-1997. For naturally ventilated spaces demonstrate a distribution and laminar flow pattern that involves not less than **90%** of the room or zone area in the direction of air flow for at least **95%** of hours of occupancy.

## Technologies & Strategies

Design the HVAC system and building envelope to optimize air change effectiveness. Air change effectiveness can be optimized using a variety of ventilation strategies including displacement ventilation, low-velocity ventilation, plug flow ventilation such as underfloor or near-floor delivery, and operable windows. Test the air change effectiveness of the building after construction.

## SS WE EA MR EQ ID Credit 2

1 Point

## 1-2 Points Credit 3 Construction IAQ Management Plan

#### Intent

Prevent indoor air quality problems resulting from the construction/renovation process, to sustain long-term installer and occupant health and comfort.

#### Requirements

Develop and implement an Indoor Air Quality (IAQ) Management Plan for the construction and preoccupancy phases of the building as follows:

- Credit 3.1 (1 point) During construction meet or exceed the minimum requirements of the Sheet Metal and Air Conditioning National Contractors Association (SMACNA) IAQ Guideline for Occupied Buildings under Construction, 1995, AND protect stored on-site or installed absorptive materials from moisture damage, AND replace all filtration media immediately prior to occupancy. Filtration media shall have a Minimum Efficiency Reporting Value (MERV) of 13 as determined by ASHRAE 52.2-1999.
   Credit 3.2 (1 point) Conduct a minimum two weak building flush out with power.
- **Credit 3.2** (1 point) Conduct a minimum two-week building flush-out with new filtration media at **100%** outside air after construction ends and prior to occupancy, OR conduct a baseline indoor air quality testing procedure consistent with current EPA Protocol for Environmental Requirements, Baseline IAQ and Materials, for the Research Triangle Park Campus, Section 01445.

#### **Technologies & Strategies**

Adopt an IAQ management plan to protect the HVAC system during construction, control pollutant sources, and interrupt pathways for contamination. Sequence installation of materials to avoid contamination of absorptive materials such as insulation, carpeting, ceiling tile, and gypsum wallboard. Prior to occupancy, perform a two-week building flushout or test the contaminant levels in the building.

## Credit 4 Low-Emitting Materials

## Intent

Reduce the quantity of indoor air contaminants that are odorous or potentially irritating to provide installer and occupant health and comfort.

## Requirements

Meet or exceed VOC limits for adhesives, sealants, paints, composite wood products, and carpet systems as follows:

Credit 4.1 (1 point)	Adhesives must meet or exceed the VOC limits of South Coast Air Quality Management District Rule #1168 by, AND all sealants used as a filler must meet or exceed Bay Area Air Quality Management District Reg. 8, Rule 51.
Credit 4.2 (1 point)	Paints and coatings must meet or exceed the VOC and chemi- cal component limits of Green Seal requirements.
Credit 4.3 (1 point)	Carpet systems must meet or exceed the Carpet and Rug In- stitute Green Label Indoor Air Quality Test Program.
Credit 4.4 (1 point)	Composite wood and agrifiber products must contain no added urea-formaldehyde resins.

## **Technologies & Strategies**

Specify low-VOC materials in construction documents. Ensure that VOC limits are clearly stated in each section where adhesives, sealants, paints, coatings, carpet systems, and composite woods are addressed.

SS WE EA MR EQ ID Credit 4

1-4 Points

## 1 Point Credit 5 Indoor Chemical & Pollutant Source Control

#### Intent

Avoid exposure of building occupants to potentially hazardous chemicals that adversely impact air quality.

#### Requirement

Credit 5.0 (1 point)	Design to minimize cross-contamination of regularly occu-
	pied occupancy areas by chemical pollutants: Employ perma-
	nent entry way systems (grills, grates, etc.) to capture dirt, par-
	ticulates, etc. from entering the building at all high volume en-
	try ways, AND provide areas with structural deck to deck
	partitions with separate outside exhausting, no air recirculation
	and negative pressure where chemical use occurs (including
	housekeeping areas and copying/print rooms), AND provide
	drains plumbed for appropriate disposal of liquid waste in
	spaces where water and chemical concentrate mixing occurs.

#### **Technologies & Strategies**

Design separate exhaust and plumbing systems for rooms with contaminants to achieve physical isolation from the rest of the building. Install permanent architectural entryway systems such as grills or grates to prevent occupant-borne contaminants from entering the building.

## Credit 6 Controllability of Systems

## Intent

Provide a high level of individual occupant control of thermal, ventilation, and lighting systems to support optimum health, productivity, and comfort conditions.

## Requirements

Credit 6.1 (1 point)	Provide a minimum of <b>one</b> operable window and <b>one</b> light- ing control zone <b>per 200 SF</b> for all occupied areas <b>within 15</b> <b>feet</b> of the perimeter wall.
Credit 6.2 (1 point)	Provide controls for each individual for airflow, temperature, and lighting for <b>50%</b> of the non-perimeter, regularly occupied areas.

## **Technologies & Strategies**

Design the building with occupant controls for airflow, temperature, and lighting. Strategies to consider include task lighting, operable windows, and underfloor HVAC systems with individual diffusers.

## SS WE EA MR EQ ID Credit 6

1-2 Points

## 1-2 Points Credit 7 Thermal Comfort

#### Intent

Provide for a thermally comfortable environment that supports the productive and healthy performance of the building occupants.

#### Requirements

Credit 7.1 (1 point)	Comply with ASHRAE Standard 55-1992, Addenda 1995 for thermal comfort standards including humidity control within established ranges per climate zone.
Credit 7.2 (1 point)	Install a permanent temperature and humidity monitoring sys- tem configured to provide operators control over thermal comfort performance and effectiveness of humidification and/ or dehumidification systems in the building.

#### **Technologies & Strategies**

Establish temperature and humidity comfort ranges and design the building envelope and HVAC system to maintain these comfort ranges. Install and maintain a temperature and humidity monitoring system in the building to automatically adjust building conditions as appropriate.

## Credit 8 Daylight & Views

## Intent

Provide a connection between indoor spaces and outdoor environments through the introduction of sunlight and views into the occupied areas of the building.

## **Requirement & Submittals**

- **Credit 8.1** (1 point) Achieve a minimum Daylight Factor of **2%** (excluding all direct sunlight penetration) in **75%** of all space occupied for critical visual tasks, not including copy rooms, storage areas, mechanical, laundry, and other low occupancy support areas. Exceptions include those spaces where tasks would be hindered by the use of daylight or where accomplishing the specific tasks within a space would be enhanced by the direct penetration of sunlight.
- **Credit 8.2** (1 point) Direct line of sight to vision glazing from **90%** of all regularly occupied spaces, not including copy rooms, storage areas, mechanical, laundry, and other low occupancy support areas.

## Technologies & Strategies

Design the building to maximize daylighting and view opportunities. Strategies to consider include building orientation, shallow floor plates, increased building perimeter, exterior and interior shading devices, high performance glazing, and photo-integrated light sensors. Model daylighting strategies with a physical or computer model to assess footcandle levels and daylight factors achieved.

## 1-2 Points

## **Innovation & Design Process**

1-4 Points

## Credit 1 Innovation in Design

#### Intent

To provide design teams and projects the opportunity to be awarded points for exceptional performance above requirements set by the LEED Green Building Rating System<sup>TM</sup> and/or innovative performance in Green Building categories not specifically addressed by the LEED Green Building Rating System<sup>TM</sup>.

#### Requirements

Credit 1.1 (1 point)	In writing, using the LEED <sup>TM</sup> Credit Equivalence process,
	identify the intent of the proposed innovation credit, the pro-
	posed requirement for compliance, the proposed submit-
	tals to demonstrate compliance, and the design approach
	used to meet the required elements.
Credit 1.2 (1 point)	Same as Credit 1.1.
Credit 1.3 (1 point)	Same as Credit 1.1.
Credit 1.4 (1 point)	Same as Credit 1.1.

#### **Technologies & Strategies**

Substantially exceed a LEED<sup>TM</sup> performance credit such as energy performance or water efficiency. Apply strategies or measures that are not covered by LEED<sup>TM</sup> such as acoustic performance, education of occupants, community development, or life-cycle analysis of material choices.

## Credit 2 LEED<sup>TM</sup> Accredited Professional

#### Intent

To support and encourage the design integration required by a LEED<sup>TM</sup> Green Building project and to streamline the application and certification process.

#### Requirement

**Credit 2.0** (1 point) At least one principal participant of the project team that has successfully completed the LEED<sup>TM</sup> Accredited Professional exam.

## **Technologies & Strategies**

Attend a LEED<sup>TM</sup> Accredited Professional Training Workshop and successfully pass the LEED<sup>TM</sup> accreditation exam.





**GSA Public Buildings Service** 

# **GSA LEED<sup>®</sup> Applications Guide**



February 2005

## Acknowledgements

The authors of the GSA LEED® Applications Guide would like to thank Mr. David B. Eakin, Chief Engineer in the Office of the Chief Architect of the U.S. General Services Administration, Public Buildings Service, for his guidance, oversight, and support in conceptualizing and developing this *Guide*.

The GSA LEED® Applications Guide was prepared by Steven Winter Associates, Inc. (SWA) of Norwalk, Connecticut and Washington, DC under Contract No. GS-11P-99-MAD-0565, Order No. P-00-02-CY-0065. Helen English was Principal-in-Charge of the Guide; John Amatruda was Project Manager and Principal Author. The SWA project team included Bambi Tran and Ben Dyson.

## Availability

Electronic versions of the GSA LEED® Applications Guide and the GSA LEED® Cost Study are available for downloading from the Whole Building Design Guide (WBDG) Web site at <u>www.wbdg.org</u>. The WBDG is the only knowledge-based Web portal designed to provide government and industry practitioners with one-stop access to up-to-date information on a wide range of federal construction criteria, guidance, and technology from an integrated, or "whole building," perspective. The WBDG contains an entire design objective branch with a number of resource pages and tools related to sustainability and the LEED® Green Building Rating System.

February 2005

## Contents

#### Introduction: GSA LEED Applications Guide

•	Background & Purpose	. 1
•	LEED Objectives in GSA Projects	. 2
•	Structure and Scope of the Applications Guide	. 2
•	Intended Users	. 3

### Section 1: Credit Cost Impacts From the GSA LEED Cost Study

•	Overview	. 4
•	Table 1-1: LEED Credit Cost Impacts	. 6

#### Section 2: LEED Evaluation Process

•	Process Review	9
•	Step 1: Evaluate LEED Prerequisites	11
•	Step 2: Evaluate "GSA Standard" Credits	13
•	Step 3: Evaluate "Unlikely" and "Non-applicable" Credits	15
•	Step 4: Evaluate "No-Cost" and "Low-Cost" Credits	18
•	Step 5: Review LEED Scorecard after "Initial Considerations"	21
•	Step 6: Evaluate "Moderate-Cost" and "High-Cost" Credit Options	23
•	Step 7: Evaluate "High Design Impact" Credits and "Synergistic" Credits	26
•	Step 8: Establish Initial LEED Approach for the Project	30

### Appendix A: LEED Scorecard for Evaluating GSA Projects

#### **Appendix B: Resources**

•	Organizations, Programs, and Services	B-1
•	Publications and Websites	B-2

#### Appendix C: Summary of LEED Scorecards for Recent GSA Projects

•	Summary of LEED Scorecards for F	Lecent GSA ProjectsC-	-1
---	----------------------------------	-----------------------	----

# Introduction:

**GSA LEED Applications Guide** 

## Background & Purpose

The U.S. General Services Administration (GSA) is one of the largest building owners and managers in the nation, responsible for the construction, operation, and maintenance of various federal facilities, including courthouses, office buildings, land ports of entry, and research facilities. In response to federal government mandates (including Executive Order 13123—Greening the Government through Efficient Energy Management), and as a matter of agency policy, GSA actively promotes a value-driven building design process that emphasizes design excellence, user satisfaction, reduced operating costs, and environmental responsibility.

Through the *Facilities Standards for the Public Buildings Service* (document PBS–P100, 2003), GSA identifies Sustainability and Energy Performance as basic tenets of their General Design Philosophy. As a means of evaluating and measuring achievements in sustainable design, GSA uses the *Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design* (LEED®) Green Building Rating System of the U.S. Green Building Council. Since fiscal year 2000, GSA has mandated that all of its new construction and major modernization projects attain, at minimum, a LEED Certified rating, while striving for LEED Silver. Certain projects have even achieved a LEED Gold rating.

In support of GSA's commitment to the LEED program, this GSA LEED Applications Guide has been created to assist GSA Project Managers and their design teams in developing focused, valuedriven, and cost-effective approaches to meeting GSA's LEED mandate. This Applications Guide, which is a companion document to the <u>GSA</u> <u>LEED Cost Study</u>, outlines an evaluation process in which the predicted first cost impacts of the individual LEED prerequisites and credits (developed from the Cost Study) are used as a basis for structuring an overall LEED project approach. The process also illustrates how LEED criteria relate to existing GSA mandates, performance goals, and programmatic requirements.

While first cost will not be the sole basis for assessing LEED credits and developing a project's overall "green" goals, the process defined in the Applications Guide can assist project teams in separating the no-cost/low-cost "low-hanging fruit" from the moderate and high-cost measures that require more detailed design investigations and cost/benefit analyses. Overall, the Applications Guide is intended as a tool to assist project teams in planning and budgeting for LEED in the earliest stages of the design process. By engaging the LEED evaluation process early, project teams have the greatest opportunity to pursue integrated design solutions that can deliver life-cycle costeffective, environmentally responsible, highperformance buildings.



Figure 1. Nathaniel R. Jones Federal Building and United States Courthouse, Youngstown, Ohio (LEED Certified Rating) *Architect: Robert A.M. Stern Architects* 

## LEED Objectives in GSA Projects

GSA's LEED requirement can be seen as an extension of the agency's previous sustainability initiatives, and as part of GSA's overall efforts to continually improve building quality and performance. As such, it is important that design teams consider LEED as a means to achieve better, more sustainable buildings, rather than as an isolated goal in itself. Project teams should assume that the following goals and objectives apply to all GSA projects:

- LEED measures should be selected to reinforce or support the programmatic, functional, and operational requirements of a project. These basic building requirements should not be compromised by LEED considerations.
- Previously established GSA sustainability requirements (e.g., energy-efficiency targets, use of recycled-content materials) should be achieved and integrated with the corresponding LEED prerequisites or credits.
- 3) LEED credits that can be achieved with relative ease at a "no-cost" or "low-cost" level should typically be pursued, to the extent that such measures provide meaningful benefits to the building occupants and operators, the GSA, the community, or the environment. Meaningful benefits may include (but are not limited to) the following:
  - Reduced operational utility costs (energy, water, sewer)
  - Reduced operational maintenance costs
  - Increased useful life of building systems or equipment
  - Increased property value
  - Increased user satisfaction (potentially resulting in increased productivity, reduced absenteeism)
  - Community improvement
  - Support of local industry/economy
  - Reduced global environmental impacts
  - Enhanced agency profile
  - Accelerated market transformation for "green" products and practices

Appropriate strategies and benefits will typically require review on a project-specific basis.

- 4) Additional LEED credits with moderate-tohigh first costs, or that involve significant design challenges, should be evaluated based on the value they add to a project weighed against their first cost implications. Life cycle cost analyses or similar detailed investigations should be performed for measures under serious consideration. Credit synergies and integrated design approaches should be used to maximize the value of the measures pursued, while minimizing their first cost premiums.
- 5) Project teams should not pursue credits simply to achieve a LEED rating. Credits and combinations of credits should be selected based on the benefits they provide, as well as their contribution to an integrated design solution.

Project teams should be able to justify their approach to LEED, including the specific credits pursued and the overall rating level obtained, based on these general parameters in conjunction with the project program, site conditions, and budget. It is the purpose of this *Applications Guide* to assist project teams in developing such an approach.

# Structure and Scope of the Applications Guide

The Applications Guide is divided into two main sections. Section 1 summarizes the results of the GSA LEED Cost Study using a color-coded table that identifies the categorized cost impacts of each LEED prerequisite and credit. The cost categorization is based on the two building models examined in the Cost Study, a new mid-rise Federal Courthouse (five stories, 262,000 GSF) and a midrise Federal Office Building modernization (nine stories, 306,600 GSF). The table also includes two "projected" cost impact columns for each prerequisite and credit, which identify the general cost expectations to achieve the points in most GSA office/institutional projects (for new construction and modernizations). The table is intended as an initial "roadmap" of potential credit costs, and includes comments on key cost impact variables that apply to a number of the LEED credits.

Section 2 of the Guide defines an eight-step LEED evaluation process based on the credit cost categorizations. The process is designed to focus a project team's review of all 69 LEED credits by establishing a set of "Initial Considerations" (steps 1-5), followed by a set of "Detailed Evaluations" (steps 6-8). The Initial Considerations steps identify the potential "low-hanging fruit" in a GSA project, e.g., credits that are mandated through GSA's existing P100 Standards, or credits that can typically be earned with no-cost or low-cost impacts. The Detailed Evaluations steps identify credits that will typically require moderate-to-high first cost investments. In addition, the Detailed Evaluations address credits with significant impacts on design efforts, as well as potential credit synergies and integrated design issues.

Section 2 uses one of the Courthouse models from the *GSA LEED Cost Study* (specifically the "lowcost" Gold-rated model) to exemplify how the LEED evaluation process can be applied to a GSA project. The LEED evaluation process is illustrated through a series of LEED "Scorecards" (**Figure 2**) that list all of the available prerequisites and credits in the LEED program, with specific credits highlighted that pertain to the corresponding step in the process. The scorecards utilize the same color-coded cost categorizations as the LEED summary table of Section 1. A blank scorecard is also provided in <u>Appendix A</u> for use by project teams in developing their LEED approach.

Overall, the Applications Guide illustrates how the cost impact information from the GSA LEED *Cost Study* can support a LEED evaluation process. The *Guide* purposely provides only brief narratives that focus on the evaluation process itself. The GSA LEED Cost Study provides more in-depth evaluations of the individual LEED prerequisites and credits, as well as related LEED calculations and detailed cost estimates that clarify the credit cost categorizations used in the Applications Guide. The Applications Guide also does not attempt to explain the workings of the LEED rating system itself; it is assumed that users have some familiarity with the LEED credits, and have access to the current LEED Rating System and the latest LEED Reference Guide.

It should be noted that while the GSA LEED Applications Guide and the GSA LEED Cost Study are based on LEED Version 2.1, the principles and evaluation process described herein will likely be appropriate and applicable to future versions of the LEED rating system, should the associated credits remain similar. A re-evaluation of the *Applications Guide* and the *Cost Study* would be required if there are significant changes to the LEED rating system.

## Intended Users

The GSA LEED Applications Guide can be used by GSA project managers, as well as design and construction teams working on GSA projects. For teams that have experience with both GSA standards and LEED, the Applications Guide can serve as a tool to quickly confirm the team's projected LEED targets and general cost impact assumptions. For teams that are less familiar with LEED impacts on GSA projects, the Applications Guide-in conjunction with the GSA LEED Cost Study-can provide guidance and direction in setting a project's initial LEED goals. In either case, the Applications Guide is not intended to limit the design team's consideration of sustainable features or strategies to only those applicable to the LEED Green Building Rating System.

			_		
Sustair	hable Sites Possible Points	14		Materia	als & Resources Possible Points
Prereq 1	Erosion & Sedimentation Control		Y	Preneg 1	Storage & Collection of Recyclables
Cedit 1	Site Selection	1		Credit 1.1	Building Reuse, Maintain 75% of Existing Shell
Cedit 2	Development Density	1		Credit 1.2	Building Reuse, Maintain 100% of Existing Shell
Credit 3	Brownfield Redevelopment	1		Credit 1.3	Building Reuse, Maintain 100% Shell & 50% Non-Shell
Cedit 4.1	Alternative Transportation, Public Transportation Access	1		Credit 2.1	Construction Waste Management, Divert 50%
Cedit 4.2	Alternative Transportation, Bicycle Storage & Changing Rooms	1		Credit 2.2	Construction Waste Management, Divert 75%
Cedit 4.3	Alternative Transportation, Alternative Fuel Refueling Stations	1		Credit 3.1	Resource Reuse, Specify 5%
Cedit 4.4	Alternative Transportation, Parking Capacity	1		Credit 3.2	Resource Reuse, Specify 10%
Cedit 5.1	Reduced Site Disturbance, Protect or Restore Open Space	1		Credit 4.1	Recycled Content, Specify 5% PC + PI
Cedit 5.2	Reduced Site Disturbance, Development Footprint	1		Credit 4.2	Recycled Content, Specify 10% PC + PI
Cedit 6.1	Stormwater Management, Rate and Quantity	1		Credit 5.1	Local/Regional Materials, 20% Manufactured Localy
Certific 7	Stormwater Management Treatment	1		Credit 5.7	Local/Regional Materials of 20% Above 50% Harvested Locally
Cedit 7.1	Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat Islands. Non-Roo	£ 1		Credit 6	Rapidly Renewable Materials
Certit 7.7	Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat Islands Roof	1		Credit 7	Certified Wood
Cedit 8	Light Pollution Reduction	1		1	
		_	5	Indoor	Environmental Quality Possible Points
Water E	Efficiency Possible Points	5	_	т	
1			Y	Prereq 1	Minimum IAQ Performance
Cedit 1.1	Water Efficient Landscaping, Reduce by 50%	1	Y	Prereq 2	Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control
Credit 1.2	Water Efficient Landscaping, No Potable Use or No Irrigation	1		Credit 1	Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) Monitoring
Credit 2	Innovative Wastewater Technologies	1	1	Credit 2	Ventilation Effectiveness
Credit 3.1	Water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction	1		Credit 3.1	Construction IAQ Management Plan, During Construction
Credit 3.2	Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction	1		Credit 3.2	Construction IAQ Management Plan, Before Occupancy
				Credit 4.1	Low-Emitting Materials, Adhesives & Sealants
Energy	& Atmosphere Possible Points	17		Credit 4.2	Low-Emitting Materials, Paints
			1	Credit 4.3	Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet
Prereq 1	Fundamental Building Systems Commissioning			Credit 4.4	Low-Emitting Materials, Composite Wood
Prereg 2	Minimum Energy Performance			Credit 5	Indoor Chemical & Pollutant Source Control
Prereg 3	CFC Reduction in HVAC&R Equipment			Credit 6.1	Controllability of Systems, Perimeter
Cedit 1.1	Optimize Energy Performance, 20% New / 10% Existing	2	1	Credit 6.2	Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter
Cedit 1.2	Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 20% Existing	2	1	Credit 7.1	Thermal Comfort, Comply with ASHRAE 55-1992
Cedit 1.3	Optimize Energy Performance, 40% New / 30% Existing	2	1	Credit 7.2	Thermal Comfort, Permanent Monitoring System
Cedit 1.4	Optimize Energy Performance, 50% New / 40% Existing	2		Credit 8.1	Davlight & Views, Davlight 75% of Spaces
Cedit 1.5	Optimize Energy Performance 60% New / 50% Existing	2		Credit 8.2	Davlight & Views Views for 90% of Spaces
Cedit 2.1	Renewable Energy, 5%	1	_	4	
Cedit 2.2	Renewable Energy, 10%	1	1	Innova	tion & Design Process Possible Points
Cedit 2.3	Renewable Energy, 20%	1	÷		
Cedit 3	Additional Commissioning	1	1	Credit 1.1	Innovation in Design: Dedicated Ventilation System
Cedit 4	Ozone Depletion	1		Credit 1.2	Innovation in Design
Cedit 5	Measurement & Verification	1		Credit 1.3	Innovation in Design
Cedit 6	Green Power	1		Credit 1.4	Innovation in Design
				Courts 7	LEED™ Accredited Professional
			_	1	

Note: Credits outlined in Red are considered "High Design Impact" credits.

COST KEY

_	
	Measures that are met based on GSA standards or mandates (no premium)
	Measures with no cost premiums, or with potential cost decreases
	Measures with low cost premiums (<50K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
	Measures with moderate cost premiums (between 50K - 150K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
	Measures with high cost premiums (>150K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
	Measures not applicable to the project
	Measures unlikely to be pursued on typical GSA projects

Figure 2. Sample LEED Scorecard with color-coded cost impacts for selected credits (from Section 2 of the Guide)

# Section 1:

Credit Cost Impacts From the GSA LEED Cost Study

## Overview

The GSA LEED Cost Study was commissioned to review the hard- and soft-cost impacts of achieving LEED ratings for two GSA building types, using GSA's established design standards as the point of comparison.

The two building types examined in the study were:

- 1. A new mid-rise federal Courthouse (five stories, 262,000 GSF, including 15,000 GSF of underground parking; base construction cost is approximately \$220/GSF)
- A mid-rise federal Office Building modernization (nine stories, 306,600 GSF, including 40,700 GSF of underground parking; base construction cost is approximately \$130/GSF)

These building types reflect a significant percentage of GSA's planned capital projects over the next five to ten years.

For each of the two building types, baseline construction cost estimates were developed to reflect applicable federal design requirements, as defined in GSA's Facilities Standards for the Public Buildings Service (document PBS-P100, 2003), and, for Courthouses, the Administrative Office of the United States Courts' U. S. Courts Design Guide. The design standards were also used as the basis for evaluating each LEED prerequisite and credit. An analysis was performed to identify green building measures-above and beyond those included in GSA's standards-that would likely be implemented to meet the specific LEED prerequisite and credit requirements. From these measures, cost impact estimates were developed for each prerequisite and credit, with variations defined for both the Courthouse and Office Building models. The individual credit costs were then categorized, using the following key:

- 1) GSA mandate (no cost)
- 2) No Cost/Potential Cost Decrease
- 3) Low Cost (< \$50K)
- 4) Moderate Cost (\$50K-\$150K)
- 5) High Cost (>\$150K)

From these individual credit assessments, overall project cost estimates were developed for 12 LEED rating "scenarios" (6 for each building type). The scenarios were defined as follows:

- *New Courthouse.* Two estimates were developed at the Certified, Silver, and Gold rating levels. At each rating level, one "low-cost" and one "high-cost" scenario was defined in order to bracket the LEED costs.
- Office Building Modernization. Two estimates were developed at the Certified, Silver, and Gold rating levels. At each rating level, one scenario reflected a "minimal façade renovation" (window replacement, minor repairs) and the other reflected a "full façade renovation" (new cladding and façade design, new windows, new insulation). The different façade scenarios reflect one of the most significant scope variations in GSA's modernization projects and were therefore used as the basis for bracketing the LEED Office Building Modernization costs.



Figure 1-1. Social Security Administration Building Renovation, Woodlawn, Maryland. (LEED Certified Rating) *Architect: Whitman Requardt & Associates* 

The scenarios were validated by comparing them to the LEED scorecards of actual GSA projects. This ensured that the credits selected for each scenario were feasible and achievable in the GSA context. <u>Appendix C</u> shows a summary of the scorecards for a number of recent GSA LEED projects.

The GSA LEED Cost Study therefore provided two key evaluations—the predicted costs of individual LEED prerequisites and credits, and the predicted costs to achieve LEED ratings at the Certified, Silver and Gold rating levels.



**Figure 1-2. GSA LEED\*** Cost Study, October 2004. This major study for the U.S. General Services Administration evaluates the hard cost and soft cost impacts associated with the U.S. Green Building Council's Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) rating system. Two building types (new construction Courthouse and Federal Office Building modernization) are analyzed at the Certified, Silver, and Gold rating levels. For the purposes of this *Applications Guide*, it was determined that the data from the *Cost Study* could be used in two significant ways: 1) the prerequisite and credit cost categorizations could provide GSA project teams with a starting point to evaluate potential LEED costs; and 2) the process used to develop the overall LEED ratings in the *Cost Study* could be adapted into a preliminary LEED evaluation process.

**Table 1-1** (below) summarizes the prerequisite and credit cost categorizations from the *Cost Study*. The table identifies the range of cost impacts defined for each prerequisite and credit in the New Courthouse and Office Building Modernization scenarios and then projects an expected cost for other GSA office or institutional building types (differentiating new construction and modernization projects). The table is intended as a quick reference for project teams to assess initial LEED costs in the **GSA project context**.

The comments column in **Table 1-1** addresses a key aspect of the cost analysis—the fact that some credits are inherently not applicable to all projects, and the fact that many credits have variable costs that depend on the building type, site, approach to compliance, or other factors. It is incumbent on all GSA project teams to assess the applicability of LEED credits to their specific project and to determine the likely approach that will be taken for credits with highly variable costs. The detailed analyses in the *GSA LEED Cost Study* can act as a useful reference for project teams in making these assessments.

## Table 1-1. LEED Credit Cost Impacts (Part 1 of 3)

		IDENTIFIED COST IMPACTS FROM GSA LEED COST STUDY			PROJECT IMPA	ED COST		
		NE COURT	EW THOUSE	OFFICE E MODEF	BUILDING RN'ZTN.	GSA OFFICE OR INSTITUTIONAL BUILDINGS		COMMENTS
ID#	LEED Prerequisite or Credit	Low	High	Min Fac	Full Fac	New	Mod	
SS	SUSTAINABLE SITES (14 Possible Points)							
SS-P1	Erosion and Sedimentation Control	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	
SS-1	Site Selection	1		1		1	1	Credit may not be available to all GSA projects - depends on specific site.
SS-2	Development Density	1		1		1	1	Credit may not be available to all GSA projects - depends on specific site.
SS-3	Brownfield Redevelopment	1				1	1	Brownfield remediation costs are assumed to be outside of the LEED scope, therefore no premium.
SS-4.1	Alternative Transportation- Public Transportation Access	1	1	1	1	1	1	Credit may not be available to all GSA projects - depends on specific site.
SS-4.2	Alternative Transportation- Bicycle Storage & Changing Rooms		1	1	1	1	1	Credit costs can be significantly lower if health club (w/showers) is included in building program.
SS-4.3	Alternative Transportation- Alternative Fuel Vehicles				1	1	1	Applicability of charging stations should be reviewed.
SS-4.4	Alternative Transportation- Parking Capacity			1	1	1	1	Applicability of carpool spaces should be reviewed.
SS-5.1	Reduced Site Disturbance- Protect or Restore Open Space	1				1		Credit may not be available to all GSA projects - depends on specific site.
SS-5.2	Reduced Site Disturbance- Development Footprint	1				1	1	Credit may not be available to all GSA projects - depends on specific site.
SS-6.1	Stormwater Management- Rate and Quantity	1	1			1	1	Credit costs can vary depending on approach - increased site perviousness versus vegetated roofing system.
SS-6.2	Stormwater Management- Treatment		1			1		Credit applicability may be limited by maintenance requirements.
SS-7.1	Heat Island Effect - Non-roof	1	1		1	1	1	Credit costs are based on GSA projects with little or no surface parking.
SS-7.2	Heat Island Effect - Roof	1	1			1	1	Credit costs can vary depending on approach - Energy Star roofing versus vegetated roofing system.
SS-8	Light Pollution Reduction	1				1	1	Credit may not be available to all GSA projects - may conflict with security requirements.
WE	WATER EFFICIENCY (5 Possible Points)							
WE-1.1	Water-Efficient Landscaping - Reduce by 50%	1	1			1	1	Credit may not be available to all GSA projects - depends on specific site and landscaping requirements.
WE-1.2	Water-Efficient Landscaping - No Potable Use or No Irrigation	1				1	1	Credit may not be available to all GSA projects - depends on specific site and landscaping requirements.
WE-2	Innovative Wastewater Technologies							
WE-3.1	Water Use Reduction- 20% Reduction	1	1	1	1	1	1	
WE-3.2	Water Use Reduction - 30% Reduction	1	1	1	1	1	1	

#### KEY

Measures that are met based on GSA standards or mandates (no premium)

Measures with no cost premiums, or with potential cost decreases

Measures with low cost premiums (<50K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)

Measures with moderate cost premiums (between 50K - 150K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)

Measures with high cost premiums (>150K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)

Measures with variable cost premiums, depending on project conditions

Measures not applicable to the project

Measures unlikely to be pursued on typical GSA projects

Measures not pursued, although technically viable

## Table 1-1. LEED Credit Cost Impacts (Part 2 of 3)

		IDENTIFIED COST IMPACTS FROM GSA LEED COST STUDY			PROJECT IMPA	ED COST		
		NE COURT	EW THOUSE	OFFICE I MODEI	BUILDING RN'ZTN.	GSA OFFICE OR INSTITUTIONAL BUILDINGS		COMMENTS
ID#	LEED Prerequisite or Credit	Low	High	Min Fac	Full Fac	New	Mod	
EA	ENERGY AND ATMOSPHERE (17 Possible Points)	)						
EA-P1	Fundamental Building Systems Commissioning	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	
EA-P2	Minimum Energy Performance	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	
EA-P3	CFC Reduction in HVAC&R Equipment	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	
EA-1	Optimize Energy Performance	1-2	3 or more	1-4	5 or more	1-10	1-10	GSA's required energy efficiency targets will typically earn 1-3 points.
EA-2	Renewable Energy		1	1	1	1	1	
EA-3	Additional Commissioning	1	1	1	1	1	1	
EA-4	Ozone Protection							GSA defines acceptable HVAC refrigerants through the EPA's Significant New Alternatives Policy, which includes HCFC-22.
EA-5	Measurement and Verification	1	1	1	1	1	1	
EA-6	Green Power							This credit is considered an Owner's operating issue, rather than a design team issue.
	MATERIALS AND RESOURCES (13 Possible Point	ts)						
MR-P1	Storage and Collection of Recyclables	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	
MR-1.1	Building Reuse - Maintain 75% of Existing Walls, Floors and Roof			1			1	Credit may not be available to all GSA modernization projects - depends on scope.
MR-1.2	Building Reuse - Maintain 100% of Existing Walls, Floors and Roof			1			1	Credit may not be available to all GSA modernization projects - depends on scope.
MR-1.3	Building Reuse - Maintain 100% Shell/Structure & 50% Non-Shell							
MR-2.1	Construction Waste Management - Divert 50% from Landfill	1	1	1	1	1	1	Credit costs can vary depending on regional recycling infrastructure and contractor's experience level.
MR-2.2	Construction Waste Management - Divert 75% from Landfill	1	1	1		1	1	Credit costs can vary depending on regional recycling infrastructure and contractor's experience level.
MR-3.1	Resource Reuse - 5%							
MR-3.2	Resource Reuse - 10%							
MR-4.1	Recycled Content - 5% (post-consumer + 1/2 post-industrial)	1	1	1	1	1	1	
MR-4.2	Recycled Content - 10% (post-consumer + 1/2 post-industrial)	1	1		1	1	1	Credit costs can vary depending on the availability of recycled content materials in the region.
MR-5.1	Regional Materials - 20% Manufactured Regionally	1	1	1	1	1	1	Credit costs can vary depending on the availability and cost of regionally manufactured products.
MR-5.2	Regional Materials - 50% Extracted Regionally	1		1	1	1	1	Credit costs can vary depending on the availability and cost of regionally extracted or harvested products.
MR-6	Rapidly Renewable Materials							
MR- 7	Certified Wood		1	1	1	1	1	Credit costs can vary depending on the extent of wood items (doors, millwork, casework) included in the project.

#### KEY

Measures that are met based on GSA standards or mandates (no premium)
Measures with no cost premiums, or with potential cost decreases
Measures with low cost premiums (<50K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures with moderate cost premiums (between 50K - 150K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures with high cost premiums (>150K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures with variable cost premiums, depending on project conditions
Measures unlikely to be pursued on typical GSA projects
Measures not applicable to the project
Measures not pursued, although technically viable

## Table 1-1. LEED Credit Cost Impacts (Part 3 of 3)

		IDENTI G	FIED COS SA LEED (	T IMPACTS FROM COST STUDY		PROJECTED COST IMPACTS		
		NI COURT	EW THOUSE	OFFICE I MODEI	BUILDING RN'ZTN.	GSA OFFICE OR INSTITUTIONAL BUILDINGS		COMMENTS
ID#	LEED Prerequisite or Credit	Low	High	Min Fac	Full Fac	New	Mod	
EQ	INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY (15 Possib	le Points)						
EQ-P1	Minimum IAQ Performance	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	
EQ-P2	Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	PRE.	No cost premium for buildings with a "no smoking" policy.
EQ-1	Carbon Dioxide Monitoring	1	1	1	1	1	1	
EQ-2	Ventilation Effectiveness	1	1	1	1	1	1	
EQ-3.1	Construction IAQ Management Plan- During Construction	1	1	1	1	1	1	
EQ-3.2	Construction IAQ Management Plan- Before Occupancy	1	1	1	1	1	1	
EQ-4.1	Low-Emitting Materials- Adhesives and Sealants	1	1	1	1	1	1	
EQ-4.2	Low-Emitting Materials- Paints and Coatings	1	1	1	1	1	1	
EQ-4.3	Low-Emitting Materials- Carpet	1	1	1	1	1	1	
EQ-4.4	Low-Emitting Materials- Composite Wood		1	1	1	1	1	Credit costs can vary depending on the extent of engineered wood/agrifiber items (doors, casework) included in the project.
EQ-5	Indoor Chemical and Pollutant Source Control	1	1	1	1	1	1	
EQ-6.1	Controllability of Systems- Perimeter Spaces			1	1		1	Operable windows unlikely for Courthouses (security issues). Costs can vary for other buildings based on window areas.
EQ-6.2	Controllability of Systems- Non-Perimeter Spaces	1	1			1		Achievable on projects with underfloor air delivery systems.
EQ-7.1	Thermal Comfort- Compliance with ASHRAE 55-1992	1	1		1	1	1	Credit costs are primarily for humidification systems. GSA requires humidification in Courthouses, but not other facilities.
EQ-7.2	Thermal Comfort- Permanent Monitoring System	1	1		1	1	1	Costs for monitoring are assumed to be included as part of Credit EQ-7.1 above.
EQ-8.1	Daylight and Views- Daylight 75% of Spaces					1	1	Viability and costs can vary depending on building size, site constraints, security requirements and design strategies.
EQ-8.2	Daylight and Views- Views for 90% of Spaces			1		1	1	Viability and costs can vary depending on building size, site constraints, security requirements and design strategies.
ID	INNOVATION AND DESIGN PROCESS (5 Possible	e Points)						
ID-1.1	Innovation in Design - Dedicated Ventilation System	1	1	1	1	1	1	
ID-1.2	Innovation in Design - Exceed Local Materials Criteria (40%)	1				1	1	Credit costs can vary depending on the availability and cost of regionally manufactured products.
ID-1.3	Innovation in Design - Educational Display		1	1	1	1	1	
ID-1.4A	Innovation in Design - Exceed Heat Island Effect, Non-Roof criteria		1			1	1	
ID-1.4B	Innovation in Design - Exceed Certified Wood criteria (75%)		1		1	1	1	Credit costs can vary depending on the extent of wood items (doors, millwork, casework) included in the project.
ID-2	LEED Accredited Professional	1	1	1	1	1	1	

#### KEY

Measures that are met based on GSA standards or mandates (no premium)
Measures with no cost premiums, or with potential cost decreases
Measures with low cost premiums (<50K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures with moderate cost premiums (between 50K - 150K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures with high cost premiums (>150K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures with variable cost premiums, depending on project conditons
Measures not applicable to the project
Measures unlikely to be pursued on typical GSA projects
Measures not pursued, although technically viable

# Section 2:

**LEED Evaluation Process** 

## **Process Review**

The following section defines an overall process, divided into eight steps, for assessing LEED credits and developing preliminary LEED goals for GSA projects. The process uses the cost impact insights from the *GSA LEED Cost Study* to structure a common sense approach that separates the potential no-cost or low-cost, easily achievable LEED credits from those that have moderate or high potential costs and require more detailed cost/benefit analysis.

Although the process is structured in eight steps, it is intended as a whole. The eight steps are grouped into two main parts, an "Initial Considerations" stage (steps 1-5), and a "Detailed Evaluations" stage (steps 6-8), to clarify the intent (see figure 2-1). In addition, the descriptions in each step are purposely kept brief, so that the entire process can initially be reviewed in less than an hour. The LEED Evaluation Process uses one of the Courthouse models from the GSA LEED Cost Study (specifically the "low-cost" Gold-rated model) to exemplify how the LEED credits can be evaluated and applied to a GSA project. The process is illustrated through a series of LEED "Scorecards" that list all of the available prerequisites and credits in the LEED program, with specific credits highlighted that pertain to the corresponding step in the process. The scorecards utilize the same color-coded cost categorizations as the LEED summary table of Section 1. In addition, a blank scorecard is provided in Appendix A for use by GSA project teams.

Overall, the intent of the LEED Evaluation Process is to assist project teams in attaining the highest LEED rating that is reasonable for their project, while also narrowing their focus to those key issues that require immediate attention during the early design phases. The process should be considered a primer on working with LEED in the GSA project context, rather than a rigorous or overly formulaic approach to LEED or green building design.

Project teams are encouraged to visit the Whole Building Design Guide (WBDG) at <u>www.wbdg.org</u> to obtain information and resources on integrated (or 'whole building') design, and on the strategies and technologies used to achieve LEED credits. Other web-based resources are listed in <u>Appendix B</u>.



Figure 2-1. LEED Evaluation Process for GSA Projects

## Initial Considerations

## Step 1: Evaluate LEED Prerequisites

The LEED rating system includes seven mandatory prerequisites, which apply to all projects. As such, it is useful for project teams to assess the prerequisites as a first priority in order to determine how compliance will be achieved.

## Example

**Table 2-1** summarizes the cost impacts identified for each of the seven prerequisites in the "Low-Cost" Gold-rated Courthouse model of the *GSA LEED Cost Study.* As the table indicates, five of the seven prerequisites are expected to be earned at no additional cost due to GSA's existing design requirements and building commissioning protocols. In addition, the Erosion and Sedimentation Control prerequisite (under the Sustainable Sites category) is expected to be achieved with no cost impact.

The one item identified as having a potential "low cost" impact is EQ Prerequisite 2: Environmental Tobacco Smoke Control. Most GSA projects will achieve this credit at no cost by prohibiting smoking within the building and at the building exterior near entryways, operable windows, or air intakes. GSA standards and Executive Order 13058 do, however, currently allow for smoking rooms in federal facilities (e.g., at Judge's Chambers in a Courthouse). Per P100, smoking rooms in GSA buildings are to be operated under negative pressure and exhausted directly to the outdoors, which is consistent with LEED requirements. A cost premium is expected, however, to provide tracer gas testing for each designated smoking room-this is a LEED requirement that is not part of the P100 guidelines.

## Application to GSA Projects

The cost classifications identified in **Table 2-1** are expected to apply to most GSA projects.



Figure 2-2. Recycling room storage at the U.S. EPA New England Regional Laboratory, Chelmsford, Massachusetts. Dedicated recycling collection and storage areas meeting the LEED Materials and Resources Prerequisite are required through GSA's P100 Design Standards. (Photo: Don Horn)

## Table 2-1. LEED Prerequisites ("Low Cost" Courthouse Model)

7 Pre	requisites			Possible P	oints 6
Sustair	nable Sites Possible P	oints 14	Materi	als & Resources Possible P	oints
Prereq 1	Erosion & Sedimentation Control		Y Prereq 1	Storage & Collection of Recyclables	
Credit 1	Site Selection	1	Credit 1.1	Building Reuse, Maintain 75% of Existing Shell	
Credit 2	Development Density	1	Credit 1.2	Building Reuse, Maintain 100% of Existing Shell	
Credit 3	Brownfield Redevelopment	1	Credit 1.3	Building Reuse, Maintain 100% Shell & 50% Non-Shell	
Credit 4.1	Alternative Transportation, Public Transportation Access	1	Credit 2.1	Construction Waste Management, Divert 50%	
Credit 4.2	Alternative Transportation, Bicycle Storage & Changing Rod	oms 1	Credit 2.2	Construction Waste Management, Divert 75%	
Credit 4.3	Alternative Transportation, Alternative Fuel Refueling Statio	ns 1	Credit 3.1	Resource Reuse. Specify 5%	
Credit 4.4	Alternative Transportation, Parking Capacity	1	Credit 3.2	Resource Reuse, Specify 10%	
Credit 5.1	Reduced Site Disturbance. Protect or Restore Open Space	1	Credit 4.1	Recycled Content, Specify 5% PC + Pl	
Credit 5.2	Reduced Site Disturbance. Development Footprint	1	Credit 4.2	Recycled Content, Specify 10% PC + PI	
Credit 6.1	Stormwater Management, Rate and Quantity	1	Credit 5.1	Local/Regional Materials, 20% Manufactured Locally	
Credit 6.2	Stormwater Management, Treatment	1	Credit 5.2	Local/Regional Materials, of 20% Above, 50% Harvested Lo	cally
Credit 7.1	Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat Islands Nor	-Roof 1	Credit 6	Ranidly Renewable Materials	,
Credit 7.2	Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat Islands, No	of 1	Credit 7	Certified Wood	
C mdit 9	Light Pollution Reduction	" <b>1</b>			
		1	Indoo	Environmental Quality Possible P	oints
Water I	Efficiency Possible P	oints <b>5</b>			on no
			Y Prereq 1	Minimum IAQ Performance	
Credit 1.1	Water Efficient Landscaping, Reduce by 50%	1	Y Prereq 2	Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control	
Credit 1.2	Water Efficient Landscaping, No Potable Use or No Irrigation	vn <b>1</b>	Credit 1	Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) Monitoring	
Credit 2	Innovative Wastewater Technologies	1	Credit 2	Ventilation Effectiveness	
Credit 3.1	Water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction	1	Credit 3.1	Construction IAQ Management Plan, During Construction	
Credit 3.2	Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction	1	Credit 3.2	Construction IAQ Management Plan, Before Occupancy	
			Credit 4.1	Low-Emitting Materials, Adhesives & Sealants	
Enerav	Atmosphere Possible P	oints 17	Credit 4.2	Low-Emitting Materials, Paints	
- 37			Credit 4.3	Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet	
Prereq 1	Fundamental Building Systems Commissioning		Credit 4.4	Low-Emitting Materials, Composite Wood	
Prereq 2	Minimum Energy Performance		Credit 5	Indoor Chemical & Pollutant Source Control	
Prereg 3	CFC Reduction in HVAC&R Equipment		Credit 6.1	Controllability of Systems, Perimeter	
Credit 1.1	Optimize Energy Performance, 20% New / 10% Existing	2	Credit 6.2	Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter	
Credit 1.2	Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 20% Existing	2	Credit 7.1	Thermal Comfort, Comply with ASHRAE 55-1992	
Credit 1.3	Ontimize Energy Performance, 40% New / 30% Existing	2	Credit 7.2	Thermal Comfort Permanent Monitoring System	
Credit 1.4	Ontimize Energy Performance, 50% New / 40% Existing	2	Credit 8.1	Davlight & Views Davlight 75% of Spaces	
Credit 1.5	Ontimize Energy Performance, 60% New / 50% Existing	2	Credit 8.2	Daylight & Views, Daylight 70% of Spaces	
C mdit 2.1	Renewable Energy 5%	4		buyingin a views, views for 50% of opaces	
C mdit 2.2	Renewable Energy, 3%	1	Innov	tion & Decign Bracese Bessible B	ointo
C m dit 2 2	Renewable Energy, 10%	1		ation a Design Frocess Fossible F	UIIIIS
	Additional Commissioning	1	Condition	Innovation in Design	
Credit 3	Automatic Commissioning	1	Credit 1.1	Innovation in Design	
Credit 4		1	Credit 1.2		
1 / Transmith E	measurement & vernication	1	Credit 1.3	innovation in Design	
- Ciedit 5	O D				
Credit 6	Green Power	1	Credit 1.4	Innovation in Design	

7 Prerequisites Certified 26-32 points Silver 33-38 points Gold 39-51 points Platinum 52-69 points

## Cost Key

Measures that are met based on GSA standards or mandates (no premium)
Measures with no cost premiums, or with potential cost decreases
Measures with low cost premiums (<50K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures with moderate cost premiums (between 50K - 150K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures with high cost premiums (>150K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures not applicable to the project
Measures unlikely to be pursued on typical GSA projects

### Initial Considerations

## Step 2: Evaluate "GSA Standard" Credits

The Facilities Standards for the Public Buildings Service (document PBS-P100, 2003) identifies Sustainability and Energy Performance as basic tenets of the GSA's General Design Philosophy. To support these tenets, P100 includes a number of specific sustainable design requirements, ranging from design features to performance goals to specific building technologies. A number of these P100 design standards meet or exceed the criteria of individual LEED credits, thereby allowing projects to earn the credits with, effectively, no cost premium. In addition to the P100 measures, GSA buildings sometimes include specific programmatic requirements that also coincide with LEED credit criteria. It is therefore important for project teams to both identify (at an early stage) and incorporate the "GSA Standard" credits that apply to their project.

## Example

**Table 2-2** summarizes the "GSA Standard" credits identified in the "Low-Cost" Gold-rated Courthouse model of the *GSA LEED Cost Study*. It is noteworthy that of the nine credits identified in this example, five have potentially significant design implications, and must therefore be addressed by project teams early in the design process. The design-related issues in this example include:

- The project's approach to energy-efficiency (Credit EA-1.1)
- The design implications (architectural, mechanical) of an underfloor air delivery system with dedicated ventilation units on each floor (Credits EQ-2, EQ-6.2, and ID-1,1)
- The design implications (particularly to the exterior envelope assemblies) of building-wide humidification (Credit EQ-7.1)

Other GSA-mandated measures, such as waterefficient plumbing fixtures and low-emission carpets, primarily involve material or equipment selection and specification.

## Application to GSA Projects

It is expected that most GSA projects will have similar combinations of design-related and selection/specification-related credits in the "GSA Standard" category.



Figure 2-3. GSA's Facilities Standards for the Public Buildings Service (document PBS-P100, 2003). Includes a number of requirements that directly contribute to LEED credits.

## Table 2-2. "GSA Standard" Credits (Low Cost Courthouse Model)

Sustain	able Sites	Possible Points	14	Materia	Is & Resources P	ossible Points
Prereq 1	Erosion & Sedimentation Control		Г	Y Prereq 1	Storage & Collection of Recyclables	
Credit 1	Site Selection		1	Credit 1.1	Building Reuse, Maintain 75% of Existing Shell	
Credit 2	Development Density		1	Credit 1.2	Building Reuse, Maintain 100% of Existing Shell	
Credit 3	Brownfield Redevelopment		1	Credit 1.3	Building Reuse, Maintain 100% Shell & 50% Nor	n-Shell
Credit 4.1	Alternative Transportation, Public Transporta	ation Access	1	Credit 2.1	Construction Waste Management, Divert 50%	
Credit 4.2	Alternative Transportation, Bicycle Storage &	Changing Rooms	1	Credit 2.2	Construction Waste Management, Divert 75%	
Credit 4.3	Alternative Transportation, Alternative Fuel F	Refueling Stations	1	Credit 3.1	Resource Reuse, Specify 5%	
Credit 4.4	Alternative Transportation, Parking Capacity	-	1	Credit 3.2	Resource Reuse, Specify 10%	
Credit 5.1	Reduced Site Disturbance, Protect or Restor	e Open Space	1	Credit 4.1	Recycled Content, Specify 5% PC + Pl	
Credit 5.2	Reduced Site Disturbance. Development For	otorint	1	Credit 4.2	Recycled Content, Specify 10% PC + PI	
Credit 6.1	Stormwater Management, Rate and Quantity		1	Credit 5.1	Local/Regional Materials, 20% Manufactured Lo	callv
Credit 6.2	Stormwater Management, Treatment		1	Credit 5.2	Local/Regional Materials, of 20% Above, 50% H	arvested Locally
Credit 7.1	Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce He	at Islands Non-Roof	·	Credit 6	Ranidly Renewable Materials	
Credit 7.2	Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce He	at Islands Roof	i F	Credit 7	Certified Wood	
C mdit 9	Light Pollution Reduction			Olear		
Cledit 8	Light Foliation Reduction		' г	5 Indoor	Environmental Quality	ossible Points
Water F	fficiency	Possible Points	5			
mater E				V Prereg 1	Minimum IAO Performance	
Credit 1.1	Water Efficient Landscaning Reduce by 50%	4	1	V Prereg 2	Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control	
	Water Efficient Landscaping, Neudoe by 507	o or No Irrigation	; F	Condit 1	Carbon Dioxide (CO.) Monitoring	
	Innovative Wastewater Technologies	e or no mgallon				
Credit 2	Water Lice Reduction 2000 Deduction		1	1 Credit 2	Ventiliation Effectiveness	
Credit 3.1	Water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction		.  -	Credit 3.1	Construction IAQ Management Plan, During Co	nstruction
Credit 3.2	water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction		1	Credit 3.2	Construction IAQ management Plan, Berore Od	cupancy
-				Credit 4.1	Low-Emitting Materials, Adhesives & Sealants	
Energy	& Atmosphere	Possible Points	17	Credit 4.2	Low-Emitting Materials, Paints	
				1 Credit 4.3	Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet	
Prereq 1	Fundamental Building Systems Commissio	ning	L	Credit 4.4	Low-Emitting Materials, Composite Wood	
Prereq 2	Minimum Energy Performance		L	Credit 5	Indoor Chemical & Pollutant Source Control	
Prereq 3	CFC Reduction in HVAC&R Equipment		L	Credit 6.1	Controllability of Systems, Perimeter	
Credit 1.1	Optimize Energy Performance, 20% New / 10	0% Existing	2	1 Credit 6.2	Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter	
Credit 1.2	Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 20	0% Existing	2	1 Credit 7.1	Thermal Comfort, Comply with ASHRAE 55-199	2
Credit 1.3	Optimize Energy Performance, 40% New / 3	0% Existing	2	1 Credit 7.2	Thermal Comfort, Permanent Monitoring System	
Credit 1.4	Optimize Energy Performance, 50% New / 4	0% Existing	2	Credit 8.1	Daylight & Views, Daylight 75% of Spaces	
Credit 1.5	Optimize Energy Performance, 60% New / 50	0% Existing	2	Credit 8.2	Daylight & Views, Views for 90% of Spaces	
Credit 2.1	Renewable Energy, 5%		1			
Credit 2.2	Renewable Energy, 10%		1	1 Innovat	ion & Design Process P	ossible Points
Credit 2.3	Renewable Energy, 20%		1			
Credit 3	Additional Commissioning		1	1 Credit 1.1	Innovation in Design: Dedicated Ventilation Sys	tem
Credit 4	Ozone Depletion		1	Credit 1.2	Innovation in Design	
Credit 5	Measurement & Verification		1 F	Credit 1.3	Innovation in Design	
Credit 6	Green Power		·	Credit 1.4	Innovation in Design	
2.500.0			·  -		interation in Design	

Certified 26-32 points Silver 33-38 points Gold 39-51 points Platinum 52-69 points

Note: Credits outlined in Red are considered "High Design Impact" credits.

## Cost Key

Measures that are met based on GSA standards or mandates (no premium)
Measures with no cost premiums, or with potential cost decreases
Measures with low cost premiums (<50K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures with moderate cost premiums (between 50K - 150K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures with high cost premiums (>150K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures not applicable to the project
Measures unlikely to be pursued on typical GSA projects

Initial Considerations

## Step 3: Evaluate "Unlikely" and "Non-applicable" Credits

In all LEED projects it is inevitable that some of the available credits will not be applicable. This is due, in part, to the design of the LEED system itself. The rating system includes, for instance, credits targeted to building reuse that do not apply to new construction projects, as well as credits targeted to dense urban sites that will typically be unachievable in suburban or rural settings. Other project variables that can cause credits to become non-applicable to GSA projects include:

- The building type and programmatic requirements (e.g., courthouse vs. office building vs. laboratory, vs. border station)
- The project scope (e.g., exclusion of site renovation and roof replacement in an office modernization scope)
- GSA standards and policies, including those related to facility security

In addition to non-applicable credits, there are often a few LEED credits that a project team will quickly determine are "unlikely" due to first cost impacts, maintenance considerations, or other reasons. To focus the project team's LEED efforts, it is useful to identify these unlikely and nonapplicable credits during the Initial Considerations stage.

## Example

**Table 2-3** summarizes the 14 unlikely and nonapplicable credits identified in the "Low-Cost" Gold-rated Courthouse model of the *GSA LEED Cost Study*. Of the eight non-applicable credits, five are due to GSA-specific issues. For instance, LEED Credit EQ-6.1 (Controllability of Systems, Perimeter) was excluded because the credit requires the installation of operable windows. GSA's current security requirements generally prohibit the extensive use of operable windows in a Federal Courthouse. Similarly, Credit SS-4.4 (Alternative Transportation, Parking Capacity) was excluded because carpooling was not considered viable in the Federal Courthouse context (parking spaces and access are only provided for judges, court officers, and others with high security clearance).

An example of an unlikely measure is Credit WE-2 (Innovative Wastewater Technologies). The credit was considered unlikely for the Courthouse due to the expected high initial costs of an on-site wastewater treatment system, which would be difficult to justify economically in an urban site with existing infrastructure.<sup>1</sup>

Non-applicable and unlikely credits need to be assessed on a project by project basis, as general credit assumptions may not apply to specific projects. For instance, credit EA-4 (Ozone Depletion) was excluded in the GSA LEED Cost Study because GSA defines acceptable HVAC refrigerants through the EPA's Significant New Alternatives Policy (SNAP). The SNAP refrigerant alternatives include HCFC-123 and HCFC-22, which (at the time of the analysis) did not qualify under the LEED credit criteria. In actual projects, however, it is possible to install HVAC equipment that will comply with the credit requirements. As another example, credit EA-6 (Green Power) was categorized as non-applicable because it was considered an operational issue outside the scope of the GSA LEED Cost Study. In actuality, some GSA regions do purchase green power, which could potentially be attributed to a LEED project to meet the Green Power credit requirements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The credit, however, might be feasible for buildings located on remote sites, such as some of GSA's Land Port of Entry (Border Station) projects.

## Application to GSA Projects

It is expected that all GSA projects will have similar issues that will cause certain credits to be categorized as non-applicable or unlikely. Project teams should be careful, however, not to rule out credits or strategies before they have been given adequate consideration within an integrated design approach (as described in step 7). In some cases, it comes down to a matter of choice and availability. For example, although GSA does not exclude HCFC refrigerants, project teams can specify HVAC, refrigeration, and fire suppression systems that do not contain HCFCs or Halons to meet the requirements of Credit EA-4 (Ozone Depletion). Likewise, where GSA is already purchasing green power, it is a matter of verifying the Green-e certification required under LEED, and applying it toward the specific LEED project to meet the requirements of Credit EA-6 (Green Power).



Figure 2-4. U.S. EPA New England Regional Laboratory, Chelmsford, Massachusetts (LEED Gold Rating, Version 1.0) Wind-powered electricity from Vermont and New York was purchased by EPA to match the electrical consumption of the new facility, enabling achievement of a LEED credit for green power (Credit EA-6, Green Power). (Photo: Don Horn)
## Table 2-3. "Unlikely" or "Non-applicable" Credits ("Low Cost" Courthouse Model)

istai	nable Sites	Possible Points	14	6 Materia	als & Resources	Possible Point
ereq 1	Erosion & Sedimentation Control		Г	Prereq 1	Storage & Collection of Recyclables	
it 1	Site Selection		1	1 Credit 1.1	Building Reuse, Maintain 75% of Existing	g Shell
it 2	Development Density		1	1 Credit 1.2	Building Reuse, Maintain 100% of Existin	ng Shell
dit 3	Brownfield Redevelopment		1	1 Credit 1.3	Building Reuse, Maintain 100% Shell & S	50% Non-Shell
dit 4.1	Alternative Transportation, Public Transport	tation Access	1	Credit 2.1	Construction Waste Management, Dive	rt 50%
dit 4.2	Alternative Transportation, Bicycle Storage	& Changing Rooms	1	Credit 2.2	Construction Waste Management, Dive	rt 75%
dit 4.3	Alternative Transportation, Alternative Fuel	Refueling Stations	1	Credit 3.1	Resource Reuse, Specity 5%	
dit 4.4	Alternative Transportation, Parking Capacit	y	1	Credit 3.2	Resource Reuse, Specify 10%	
lit 5.1	Reduced Site Disturbance, Protect or Resto	re Open Space	1	Credit 4.1	Recycled Content, Specify 5% PC + Pl	
dit 5.2	Reduced Site Disturbance, Development Fo	otprint	1	Credit 4.2	Recycled Content, Specify 10% PC + PI	
dit 6.1	Stormwater Management, Rate and Quantit	v	1	Credit 5.1	Local/Regional Materials, 20% Manufac	tured Locally
lit 6.2	Stormwater Management. Treatment	,		Credit 5.2	Local/Regional Materials, of 20% Above	. 50% Harvested Locall
dit 7.1	Landscane & Exterior Design to Reduce H	eat Islands Non-Roof	1	Credit 6	Rapidly Repewable Materials	
dit 7.2	Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce H	eat Islands, Roof	1	Credit 7	Certified Wood	
4:+ 0	Light Pollution Reduction					
ano			Г	1 Indoor	Environmental Quality	Possible Poin
ter	Efficiency	Possible Points	5			
				Prereg 1	Minimum IAQ Performance	
dit 1.1	Water Efficient Landscaping, Reduce by 50	9%	1	Prereg 2	Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) 0	Control
lit 1.2	Water Efficient Landscaping No Potable U	se or No Irrigation		Credit 1	Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) Monitoring	
dit 2	Innovative Wastewater Technologies	ee ei ne mgaten	li E	Credit 2	Ventilation Effectiveness	
dit 3.1	Water Use Reduction 20% Reduction		!¦ ⊢	Credit 3.1	Construction IAO Management Plan	uring Construction
	Water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction			Creation	Construction IAO Management Plan B	
un 3.2	Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction		'  -	Crodit 4.1	Low-Emitting Materials Adhesives 8 Sc	
ora	(8 Atmosphore	Dessible Deinte	47	Credit 4.1	Low-Emitting Materials, Autesives & Se	aiains
leigy	y & Athosphere			Credit 4.2	Low-Emitting Materials, Faints	
	Fundamental Duilding Outland Outland	<b>!</b>		Credit 4.3	Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet	
eq 1	Fundamental Building Systems Commissio	oning		Credit 4.4	Low-Emitting Materials, Composite Woo	
eq 2	Minimum Energy Performance			Credit 5	Indoor Chemical & Pollutant Source Co	ontrol
req 3	CFC Reduction in HVAC&R Equipment			1 Credit 6.1	Controllability of Systems, Perimeter	
dit 1.1	Optimize Energy Performance, 20% New /	10% Existing	2	Credit 6.2	Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimet	er
dit 1.2	Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 2	20% Existing	2	Credit 7.1	Thermal Comfort, Comply with ASHRAE	55-1992
dit 1.3	Optimize Energy Performance, 40% New / 3	30% Existing	2	Credit 7.2	Thermal Comfort, Permanent Monitoring	System
dit 1.4	Optimize Energy Performance, 50% New /	40% Existing	2	Credit 8.1	Daylight & Views, Daylight 75% of Space	es
lit 1.5	Optimize Energy Performance, 60% New / 9	50% Existing	2	Credit 8.2	Daylight & Views, Views for 90% of Space	æs
dit 2.1	Renewable Energy, 5%		1			
dit 2.2	Renewable Energy 10%		1	Innova	tion & Design Process	Possible Poin
dit 2.3	Renewable Energy, 20%		1			
dit 3	Additional Commissioning		1	Credit 1.1	Innovation in Design	
dit 4	Ozone Depletion		1	Credit 1.2	Innovation in Design	
dit E	Measurement & Verification		1	Credit 1.3	Innovation in Design	
iit J			. H	_		
lit 6	Green Power		1	Credit 1.4	Innovation in Design	

Certified 26-32 points Silver 33-38 points Gold 39-51 points Platinum 52-69 points

### Cost Key

Measures that are met based on GSA standards or mandates (no premium)
Measures with no cost premiums, or with potential cost decreases
Measures with low cost premiums (<50K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures with moderate cost premiums (between 50K - 150K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures with high cost premiums (>150K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures not applicable to the project
Measures unlikely to be pursued on typical GSA projects

Initial Considerations

# Step 4: Evaluate "No-Cost" and "Low-Cost" Credits

In most GSA projects, there will be a number of LEED credits that can be achieved with no construction cost impact, even though the credit requirements are not specifically part of GSA's design standards. A few of the LEED credits may even result in reduced first costs. In addition, the models examined in the *GSA LEED Cost Study* revealed that a number of other LEED credits can be achieved with "low" construction cost impacts<sup>2</sup>. These "no-cost" and "low-cost" credits present significant opportunities to project teams. As such, they should be identified and planned for early in the design process.

## Example

**Table 2-4** summarizes the "no-cost" and "low-cost" credits identified in the "Low-Cost" Gold-rated Courthouse model of the *GSA LEED Cost Study*. The no-cost/low-cost categorization of these credits can generally be accounted for as follows:

Advantageous Site. On many LEED projects, the 1) characteristics of the project site can earn credits in the Sustainable Sites category with no- or low-cost impacts. In the Courthouse model, for instance, the building is assumed to be located in a downtown urban location, with ample access to public transit. This earns the project Credits SS-1 (Site Selection), SS-2 (Development Density) and SS-4.1 (Alternative Transportation, Public Transportation Access). As with many of GSA's new Courthouse projects, the model is also assumed to be built on a previously developed site, with some site remediation required. This earns Credit SS-3 (Brownfield Redevelopment) at no-cost premium, as the remediation is considered a

general site development cost, not specific to LEED.



Figure 2-5. Alfred A. Arraj U.S. Courthouse, Denver, Colorado. GSA buildings are typically located in urban settings, facilitating achievement of the LEED Sustainable Site Credit 2: Development Density at no cost. (Photo: Don Horn)

2) No-Cost/Low-Cost Design Opportunities. A number of LEED credits can potentially be achieved at no or low costs through smart planning and integrated design. In the Courthouse model, for example, three of the Sustainable Sites credits (SS-5.1, SS-5.2, and SS-6.1) can be achieved by limiting the amount of paved area on the site and by planting native and adaptive plant species for the majority of the landscaping. Using native, adaptive plant species can also eliminate the need for permanent irrigation systems and earn Credits WE-1.1 and WE-1.2. Design integration can

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In the *GSA LEED Cost Study*, the "low-cost" category was defined for credits that had a construction cost premium under \$50,000. This is based on projects that had baseline constructions costs in the range of \$40-60 million.

achieve all five of the credits mentioned above within a comprehensive landscaping scheme. The resulting design does not necessarily add a project premium—in fact, in the Courthouse model it actually reduced the overall site development costs.



Figures 2-6 and 2-7. Landscaping at the Alfred A. Arraj U.S. Courthouse, Denver, Colorado. A comprehensive landscaping scheme using native and adapted plant species, minimal paved areas, and no permanent irrigation can reduce overall development costs. (Photo: Don Horn)

Design opportunities were also identified for energy efficiency (Credit EA-1) and exterior lighting design (Credit SS-8), which allowed the Courthouse to earn additional points at no cost increase.

 Market Transformation of Materials and Equipment. The growing demand for high-performance buildings has led a number of product manufacturers to increase their "green" material and equipment offerings. Over time, many of these products have become cost competitive with comparable "standard" products. Because of these developments, LEED credits such as SS-7.1 (Heat Island Reduction – Energy Star Roofing), EQ-4.1 (Low-emission Adhesives and Sealants), EQ-4.2 (Low-emission Paints) and MR-4.1/4.2 (Recycled Content Materials) can often be achieved at no- or low-cost premiums.

## Application to GSA Projects

Similar "no-cost" and "low-cost" credit opportunities are expected to apply to most GSA building types and projects.

## Table 2-4. "No-Cost" and "Low-Cost" Credits

Answer ison & Sedimentation Control       Prevent ison & Sedimentation Control         Site Selection       1         Development Density       1         Density       1         Development Density       1         Development Density       1         Development Density       1         Development Density       1	Sustai	nable Sites Po	ossible Points 14	6	Materia	Is & Resources Possible Poi
Site Steelson       1       Creat 1       Building Reuse, Maintain 70% of Existing Shell         Development Density       1       Creat 12       Building Reuse, Maintain 100% Soft Existing Shell         Brownelle Redevelopment       1       Creat 12       Building Reuse, Maintain 100% Soft Existing Shell         Seat 4       Atternative Transportation, Rules Status Soft       1       Creat 2       Construction Waste Management, Diver 75%         Atternative Transportation, Bickol Storenge & Changing Rose       1       Creat 2       Construction Waste Management, Diver 75%         Restured Status Disturbations, Diverse Storenge Coping Status       1       Creat 2       Construction Waste Management, Diver 75%         Restured Status Disturbations, Parket Coping Status       1       Creat 2       Construction Waste Management, Diver 75%         Restured Status Disturbations, Diverse Coping Status       1       Creat 2       Construction Waste Management, Diver 75%         Status Status       Maternative Transportation, Parket Open Status       1       Creat 2       Construction Maternatis, 2006 Minit 75%         Status Status Status       Maternative Transportation, Parket Open Status       1       Creat 2       Construction Maternatis, 2006 Minit 75%         Status Status Status       Maternative Transportation, Parket Coping To Reduce Heat Hainds, Non-Root       1       Creat 2       Construction M	Prereq 1	Erosion & Sedimentation Control		Y	Prereq 1	Storage & Collection of Recyclables
Development Development         1         Cost 12         Building Reuse, Mairain 100% of Existing Shell           Seats 3         Brownfeld Rodewelopment         Building Reuse, Mairain 100% of Existing Shell         Cost 13         Building Reuse, Mairain 100% of Existing Shell           Seats 4         Atternative Transportation, Public Transportation Access         1         Cost 13         Building Reuse, Mairain 100% of Existing Shell           Atternative Transportation, Netrokive Full Releving Stations         1         Cost 14         Construction Waste Management, Dover 57%           Atternative Transportation, Parking Capacity         1         Cost 14         Recycled Content, Specify 5%           Reduced Stite Disturbance, Protector Rescess Open State         1         Cost 14         Recycled Content, Specify 5%           State Rescence Reuses, Devel Specify 5%         1         Cost 14         Recycled Content, Specify 5%         FC FPI           State State Disturbance, Protecter Reuses Open State         1         Cost 24         Cost 24 <td>credit 1</td> <td>Site Selection</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>Credit 1.1</td> <td>Building Reuse, Maintain 75% of Existing Shell</td>	credit 1	Site Selection	1		Credit 1.1	Building Reuse, Maintain 75% of Existing Shell
Brownfield Rescue Namination Access       Image: Specific Spec	redit 2	Development Density	1		Credit 1.2	Building Reuse, Maintain 100% of Existing Shell
edited       Atternative Transportation, Becycle Storage & Charging Rooms       1       Construction Waste Management, Divert 50%         Atternative Transportation, Atternative Transportation, Atternative Transportation, Atternative Transportation, Atternative Transportation, Atternative Transportation, Parking Capacity       1       Construction Waste Management, Divert 50%         Atternative Transportation, Retrative Transportation, Atternative Transportation, Retrative Transportation, Parking Capacity       1       Construction Waste Management, Divert 50%         Reduced Stite Distributions: Diversignment from the formatic state Distribution Retrative Transportation, Parking Capacity       1       Construction Waste Management, Diversignment, Di	redit 3	Brownfield Redevelopment	1		Credit 1.3	Building Reuse, Maintain 100% Shell & 50% Non-Shell
mail al.       Alternative Transportation, Bicycle Storage & Changing Rooms       1       Cudi 22       Construction Waste Management, Divert 75%         Mail al.       Alternative Transportation, Alternative Fuel Relating Stations       1       Cudi 22       Construction Waste Management, Divert 75%         Mail al.       Alternative Transportation, Development Evolution         Maternative Transportation, Alternative Transportation, Alternative Transportation, Alternative Transportation, Development Evolution       Coesil 2       Cocal/Regional Materials, Col/Web/Local         Maternative Transportation, Alternative Transportating Materinals, Col/Web/Local	redit 4.1	Alternative Transportation, Public Transportation	Access 1	1	Credit 2.1	Construction Waste Management, Divert 50%
md:4.3       Alternative Transportation, Alternative Fuel Refueling Stations       1         md:4.4       Alternative Transportation, Alternative Transportation, Refuing Capacity       1         md:4.4       Alternative Transportation, Refuing Capacity       1         md:4.4       Resource Reuses, Specify 5%       1         md:4.8       Reduced Site Distarbance, Development Ecosynth       1         md:4.8       Reduced Site Distarbance, Development Ecosynth       1         md:4.8       Stormwater Management, Teatment       1         md:7.1       Landscape & Extrain Design to Reduce Heat Islands, Nor-Root       1         md:7.2       Landscape & Extrain Design to Reduce Heat Islands, Nor-Root       1         md:7.1       Landscape & Extrain Design to Reduce Heat Islands, Nor-Root       1         md:7.2       Landscape & Extrain Design to Reduce Heat Islands, Nor-Root       1         md:7.1       Water Efficient Landscaping, Nor-Positive Normation       1         md:1.9       Water Efficient Landscaping, NorPositive Normation       1         md:1.9       Water Efficient Landscaping, NorPositive Normance       1         md:1.9       Water Efficient Landscaping, NorPositive Normance       1         md:1.9       Water Efficient Landscaping, NorPositive Normance       1         md:1.9	redit 4.2	Alternative Transportation, Bicycle Storage & Ch	anging Rooms 1	1	Credit 2.2	Construction Waste Management, Divert 75%
adiata       Atternative Transportation.Parking Capacity       1         mediata       Reduced Site Disturbance, Portugations Economics       1         Reduced Site Disturbance, Portugations       1         Reduced Site Disturbance, Portugations       1         Reduce Site Disturbance, Portugations       1	redit 4.3	Alternative Transportation, Alternative Fuel Refu	eling Stations 1		Credit 3.1	Resource Reuse, Specify 5%
mats1       Reduced Site Disturbance, Protector Restore Open Space       1       Cred: 4.1       Recycled Content, Specify 5% PC + PI         mats2       Reduced Site Disturbance, Development Footpint       1       Cred: 4.1       Recycled Content, Specify 5% PC + PI         mats2       Stormwater Management, Treatment       1       Cred: 4.2       Recycled Content, Specify 5% Manufactured Local         mats2       Stormwater Management, Treatment       1       Cred: 4.1       Recycled Content, Specify 5% Manufactured Local         mats3       Londscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat Islands, Nor-Fool       1       Cred: 4.2       Recycled Content, Specify 5% Manufactured Local         mats3       Light Pollution Reduction       1       Cred: 4.2       Recycled Content, Specify 5% Manufactured Local         Mater Efficiency       Possible Points       5       Cred: 4.2       Recycled Content, Specify 5% Manufactured Local         Vater Efficiency       Possible Points       5       Indoor Environmental Quality Prossible Points         Mats3       Water Stificient Landscaping, Ro Domble Use on No Ingation       1       Cred: 2       Vinitiation Effectiveness         mats4       Water Stificient Landscaping, Ro Domble Use on No Ingation       1       Cred: 4.2       Construction IAQ Management Plan, Boro Occupancy         Vater Stificient Landscaping, Robuce Points       1	redit 4.4	Alternative Transportation, Parking Capacity	1		Credit 3.2	Resource Reuse, Specify 10%
edit 2       Reduced Site Disturbance, Development Footprint       1       Credit 42       Recycled Content. Specify 10% PC + PI         stormwater Management, Treatment       1       Credit 42       ContRegional Materials, 20% Manuscured Locally         mdt 23       Landscape & Extenior Design to Reduce Heat Islands, Non-Root       1       Credit 42       ContRegional Materials, 20% Manuscured Locally         mdt 24       Landscape & Extenior Design to Reduce Heat Islands, Non-Root       1       Credit 42       ContRegional Materials, 20% Manuscured Locally         mdt 24       Light Pollution Reduction       Credit 42       ContRegional Materials, 20% Manuscured Locally         mdt 14       Vight Pollution Reduction       Credit 42       ContRegional Materials, 20% Manuscured Locally         mdt 14       Vight Pollution Reduction       Credit 42       ContRegional Materials, 20% Manuscured Locally         Minimum IAQ Performance       Perceg 1       Minimum IAQ Performance       Credit 3         Indoor Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control       Credit 3       Construction IAQ Management Plan, During Construction         Indoor Environmental Suilding Systems Commissioning       1       Credit 42       Construction IAQ Management Plan, Defore Occupancy         Indoor Chemical & Pollutant Source Control       Credit 42       Construction IAQ Management Plan, During Construction         Credit 12<	redit 5.1	Reduced Site Disturbance, Protect or Restore Op	ben Space	1	Credit 4.1	Recycled Content, Specify 5% PC + PI
ministant       Stormwater Management, Rate and Quantity       1         stormwater Management, Treatment       1         with 21       Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat Islands, Non-Root         ministant       Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat Islands, Non-Root         Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat Islands, Non-Root       1         ministant       Light Pollution Reduction       1         Vater Efficiency       Possible Points       5         ministant       1       Stormwater Management, Treatment       1         with 1       Vater Efficiency       Possible Points       5         with 1       Water Efficient Landscaping, Reduce by 50%       1       1         with 2       Innovative Wastewater Technologies       1       1         with 2       Water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction       1       1         with 2       Reduction, 20% Reduction       1       1       1         water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction       1       1       1       1         water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction       1       1       1       1       1         water Use Reduction, 20% New / 20% Existing       2       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1 <t< td=""><td>redit 5.2</td><td>Reduced Site Disturbance, Development Footprin</td><td>nt 1</td><td>1</td><td>Credit 4.2</td><td>Recycled Content, Specify 10% PC + PI</td></t<>	redit 5.2	Reduced Site Disturbance, Development Footprin	nt 1	1	Credit 4.2	Recycled Content, Specify 10% PC + PI
andita2       Stormwater Management, Treatment       1         andita2       Stormwater Management, Treatment       1         andita2       Stormwater Management, Treatment       1         andita2       Design to Reduce Heat Islands, Non-Root       1         andita2       Eight Pollution Reduction       Certified Wood         andita2       Eight Pollution Reduction       Techt 2         Vater Efficiency       Possible Points       5         Innovative Wastewater Technologies       1       Stormwater Management Plan, During Construction         andita2       Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction       1       Cerd 3       Construction IAO Management Plan, During Construction         andita2       Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction       1       Cerd 4.2       Cord 4.1       Cord 4.2       Cord 4.3       Cord 4.3       Cord 4.4       Cord 4.4       Cord 4.4       Cord 4.4       Cord 4.	redit 6.1	Stormwater Management, Rate and Quantity	1	1	Credit 5.1	Local/Regional Materials, 20% Manufactured Locally
medi 7.1       Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat Islands, Non-Root       1         medi 7.2       Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat Islands, Root       1         wedi 8       Credi 8       Rapidly Renewable Materials         Ught Pollution Reduction       1         wedi 8       Ught Pollution Reduce Heat Islands, Root       1         wedi 8       Ught Pollution Reduce Heat Islands, Root       1         wedi 8       Verter Efficiency       Possible Points         medi 11       Water Efficient Landscaping, Reduce by 50%       1         medi 2       Minimum IAQ Performance       Y         Water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction       1       Credi 3       Construction IAQ Management Plan, During Construction         medi 2       Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction       1       Credi 32       Construction IAQ Management Plan, During Construction         medi 2       Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction       1       Credi 42       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet         merera       Fundamental Building Systems Commissioning       1       Credi 42       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet         Minimum Energy Performance, 40% New / 40% Existing       2       Credi 52       Controllability of Systems, Perimeter         Optimize Energy Performance, 40% New / 40% Existing       2       Credi 52 <td>redit 6.2</td> <td>Stormwater Management. Treatment</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>Credit 5.2</td> <td>Local/Regional Materials, of 20% Above, 50% Harvested Loca</td>	redit 6.2	Stormwater Management. Treatment	1	1	Credit 5.2	Local/Regional Materials, of 20% Above, 50% Harvested Loca
medit 2       Light Pollution Reduction       1         Vater Efficiency       Possible Points         medit 1       Water Efficient Landscaping, Reduce by 50%       1         medit 12       Water Efficient Landscaping, Reduce by 50%       1         medit 12       Water Efficient Landscaping, No Potable Use or No Impairon       1         medit 12       Water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction       1         medit 2       Innovative Wastewater Technologies       1         medit 31       Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction       1         medit 32       Numer Use Reduction, 30% Reduction       1         medit 32       Fundamental Building Systems Commissioning       1         Minimum Energy Performance, 20% New/ 10% Existing       2       Cored 14       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet         Cred 12       Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New/ 20% Existing       2       Cred 14       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet         Cred 14       Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New/ 20% Existing       2       Cred 14       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet         Cred 12       Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 20% Existing       2       Cred 14       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet         Cred 14       Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 20% Existing       2       Cred 14       Low-Emitting Materials,	redit 7.1	Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat Is	lands, Non-Roof 1		Credit 6	Rapidly Renewable Materials
Heade 8       Light Pollution Reduction       1         Vater Efficient Landscaping, Reduce by 50%       1         medit 1.2       Water Efficient Landscaping, No Potable Use or No Irrigation       1         medit 2       Innovative Wastewater Technologies       1         medit 3       Water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction       1         medit 3       Credit 3       Construction IAQ Management Plan, Before Occupancy         Credit 4       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet       Construction IAQ Management Plan, Before Occupancy         Credit 4       Credit 4       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet         Credit 4       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet         Credit 3       Controlability of Systems, Non-Perimeter         Credit 4       Controlability of Systems, Non-Perimeter         Credit 3       Controlability of Systems, Non-Perimeter         Credit 4       Optimize Energy Performance, 40% New / 20% Existing       2         Credit 3       Daylight & Views, Daylight TS% of Spaces         medit 4	redit 7.2	Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat Is	slands, Roof 1		Credit 7	Certified Wood
Vater Efficiency       Possible Points       5       Indoor Environmental Quality       Possible Point         wedit 1:       Water Efficient Landscaping, Reduce by 50%       1       1       View Pereq 1       Minimum IAQ Performance         wedit 1:       Water Efficient Landscaping, No Potable Use or No Impation       1       1       Cred 1:       Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) Monitoring         medit 2:       Innovative Wastewater Technologies       1       1       Cred 1:       Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) Monitoring         water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction       1       1       Cred 1:       Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) Monitoring         medit 2:       Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction       1       1       Cred 1:       Construction IAO Management Plan, During Construction         medit 2:       Vater Efficient Landscaping, No Porison provide Exception       1       Cred 4:       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet         meregy & Atmosphere       Possible Points 17       1       Cred 4:       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet         meregy & Minimum Energy Performance, 20% New / 20% Existing       2       Cred 4:       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet         Cred 4:1:       Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 20% Existing       2       Cred 4:       Davight & Systems, Non-Perimeter         Cred 4:1:       Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 20% E	redit 8	Light Pollution Reduction	1		_	
Vater Efficiency       Possible Points       5         redit 1.1       Water Efficient Landscaping, Reduce by 50%       1         water Efficient Landscaping, No Potable Use or No Irrigation       1         redit 2       Innovative Wastewater Technologies       1         redit 3.1       Water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction       1         redit 3.1       Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction       1         redit 3.1       Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction       1         redit 3.2       Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction       1         rereg 2       Minimum Energy Performance       Construction IAQ Management Plan, Before Occupancy         rereg 1       Fundamental Building Systems Commissioning       1       Credit 3.1       Construction IAQ Management Plan, Before Occupancy         rereg 2       Minimum Energy Performance       Credit 4.1       Low-Emitting Materials, Camposite Wood         rereg 3       CFC Reduction in HVAC&R Equipment       Credit 5.1       Controllability of Systems, Perimeter         credit 1.1       Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 30% Existing       2       Credit 6.2       Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter         credit 2       Optimize Energy Performance, 60% New / 30% Existing       2       Credit 7.1       Thermal Comfort, Comply with ASHRAE 55-1992         credit 1.2				5	Indoor	Environmental Quality Possible Poi
Water Efficient Landscaping, Reduce by 50%.       1       Y       Pereq 2       Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control         readit 12       Water Efficient Landscaping, No Potable Use or No Irrigation       1       Y       Pereq 2       Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control         readit 2       Innovative Wastewater Technologies       1       Y       Pereq 2       Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control         readit 3       Water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction       1       1       Credit 3.1       Construction IAQ Management Plan, During Construction         readit 3       Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction       1       1       Credit 3.1       Construction IAQ Management Plan, Before Occupancy         readit 3       Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction       1       1       Credit 3.1       Construction IAQ Management Plan, Before Occupancy         readit 3       Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction       1       1       Credit 3.2       Construction IAQ Management Plan, Before Occupancy         readit 4       Ferrerg 1       Fundamental Building Systems Commissioning       1       Credit 4.2       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet         readit 1.2       Optimize Energy Performance       20% New / 10% Existing       2       Credit 5       Indoorf Chemical & Pollutant Source Control         readit 1.2       Optimize Energy Performance, 60% New	Vater	Efficiency Po	ossible Points 5		-	
wdare Efficient Landscaping, Reduce by 50%       1       Y       Pereq 2       Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control         wdare Efficient Landscaping, No Potable Use or No Imigation       1       Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) Monitoring         wdare Efficient Landscaping, No Potable Use or No Imigation       1       Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) Monitoring         wdare Efficient Landscaping, No Potable Use or No Imigation       1       Credit 1       Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) Monitoring         wdare Efficient Landscaping, No Potable Use or No Imigation       1       Credit 2       Ventilation Effectiveness         wdare State       Namerical State       Construction IAQ Management Plan, During Construction         wdare State       Pereq 2       Construction IAQ Management Plan, During Construction         wdare State       Now-Emitting Materials, Adhesives & Sealants       Comparison         Cherds 2       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet       Credit 4.1       Low-Emitting Materials, Composite Wood         rereq 2       Minimum Energy Performance, 20% New / 10% Existing       2       Credit 5.1       Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter         credit 1.2       Optimize Energy Performance, 40% New / 20% Existing       2       Credit 7.1       Thermal Comfort, Comply with ASHRE 55-1992         edit 1.4       Optimize Energy Performance, 60% New / 50% Existing       2       Credit 8.1       Dayl				Y	Prereq 1	Minimum IAQ Performance
water Efficient Landscaping, No Potable Use or No Imigation       1       Credit 1       Credit 1       Credit 2       Ventilation Effectiveness         water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction       1       1       Credit 2       Ventilation Effectiveness         water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction       1       1       Credit 2       Ventilation Effectiveness         water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction       1       1       Credit 3       Construction IAQ Management Plan, Before Occupancy         integra & Atmosphere       Possible Points       1       Credit 4.1       Low-Emitting Materials, Adhesives & Sealants         integra & Fundamental Building Systems Commissioning       1       Credit 4.1       Low-Emitting Materials, Composite Wood         rereq 1       Fundamental Building Systems Commissioning       1       Credit 6.1       Controllability of Systems, Perimeter         CFC Reduction in HVAC&R Equipment       Credit 6.1       Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter       Credit 6.2       Controllability of Systems, Perimeter         optimize Energy Performance, 20% New / 20% Existing       2       Credit 7.2       Thermal Comfort, Comply with ASHRAE 55-1992         redit 1.3       Optimize Energy Performance, 60% New / 50% Existing       2       Credit 8.1       Daylight & Views, Daylight 75% of Spaces         redit 2.2       Renewable Energy, 10%       1 </td <td>redit 1.1</td> <td>Water Efficient Landscaping, Reduce by 50%</td> <td>1</td> <td>Y</td> <td>Prereq 2</td> <td>Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control</td>	redit 1.1	Water Efficient Landscaping, Reduce by 50%	1	Y	Prereq 2	Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control
Innovative Wastewater Technologies       1       Credit 2       Ventiliation Effectiveness         wedit 31       Water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction       1       1       Credit 31       Construction IAQ Management Plan, During Construction         wedit 32       Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction       1       1       Credit 31       Construction IAQ Management Plan, During Construction         wedit 32       Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction       1       1       Credit 31       Construction IAQ Management Plan, During Construction         wedit 32       Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction       1       1       Credit 42       Low-Emitting Materials, Adhesives & Sealants         creater 4       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet       Credit 4.1       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet         creat 3       CFC Reduction in HVAC&R Equipment       Credit 4.1       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet         optimize Energy Performance, 20% New / 10% Existing       2       Credit 6.1       Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter         edit 1.1       Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 20% Existing       2       Credit 7.1       Thermal Comfort, Comply with ASHRAE 55-1992         edit 1.4       Optimize Energy Performance, 60% New / 30% Existing       2       Credit 7.2       Thermal Comfort, Comply with ASHRAE 55-1992         redit 1.4       Optimize Energy Performance,	redit 1.2	Water Efficient Landscaping, No Potable Use or	No Irrigation 1		Credit 1	Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) Monitoring
wedit 31       Water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction       1       1       Credt 3.1       Construction IAQ Management Plan, During Construction         wedit 32       Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction       1       1       Credt 3.1       Construction IAQ Management Plan, Before Occupancy         intergy & Atmosphere       Possible Points       17       Credt 4.1       Low-Emitting Materials, Adhesives & Sealants         intergy & Atmosphere       Possible Points       17       Credt 4.1       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet         credt 4.1       Communication       Credt 4.2       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet       Credt 4.3         credt 4.1       Optimize Energy Performance       1       Credt 6.1       Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter         rereq 3       CFC Reduction in HVAC&R Equipment       2       Credt 6.1       Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter         redit 1.1       Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 20% Existing       2       Credt 7.1       Thermal Comfort, Comply with ASHRAE 55-1992         optimize Energy Performance, 50% New / 40% Existing       2       Credt 7.2       Thermal Comfort, Permanent Monitoring System         redit 1.2       Optimize Energy Performance, 60% New / 50% Existing       2       Credt 8.1       Daylight & Views, Daylight 75% of Spaces         redit 2.2       Renewable Energy, 5%       <	redit 2	Innovative Wastewater Technologies	1		Credit 2	Ventilation Effectiveness
redit 32       Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction       1       1       Credit 32       Construction IAQ Management Plan, Before Occupancy         intergy & Atmosphere       Possible Points       1       Credit 32       Construction IAQ Management Plan, Before Occupancy         intergy & Atmosphere       Possible Points       1       Credit 32       Construction IAQ Management Plan, Before Occupancy         intergy & Atmosphere       Possible Points       1       Credit 4.1       Low-Emitting Materials, Adhesives & Sealants         intergy & Atmosphere       Possible Points       1       Credit 4.1       Low-Emitting Materials, Composite Wood         rereq 1       Fundamental Building Systems Commissioning       Credit 4.1       Low-Emitting Materials, Composite Wood         rereq 2       Minimum Energy Performance       Credit 4.1       Controllability of Systems, Perimeter         credit 1.1       Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 20% Existing       2       Credit 7.1       Thermal Comfort, Comply with ASHRAE 55-1992         redit 1.2       Optimize Energy Performance, 60% New / 40% Existing       2       Credit 8.1       Daylight & Views, Daylight 75% of Spaces         redit 2.1       Renewable Energy, 5%       1       Credit 8.1       Daylight & Views, Views for 90% of Spaces         redit 2.2       Renewable Energy, 10%       1       1	redit 3.1	Water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction	1	1	Credit 3.1	Construction IAQ Management Plan, During Construction
1       Credit 4.1       Low-Emitting Materials, Adhesives & Sealants         inergy & Atmosphere       Possible Points 17       1       Credit 4.1       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet         rereq 1       Fundamental Building Systems Commissioning       Credit 4.2       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet         rereq 3       CFC Reduction in HVAC&R Equipment       Credit 4.1       Low-Emitting Materials, Composite Wood         1       Credit 4.1       Optimize Energy Performance, 20% New / 10% Existing       2       Credit 4.2       Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter         credit 1.1       Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 20% Existing       2       Credit 4.1       Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter         redit 1.2       Optimize Energy Performance, 40% New / 30% Existing       2       Credit 4.1       Daylight & Sistem, Son-Perimeter         redit 1.3       Optimize Energy Performance, 60% New / 50% Existing       2       Credit 8.1       Daylight 25% of Spaces         redit 2.1       Renewable Energy, 5%       1        Permetials       Views, Views for 90% of Spaces         redit 2.3       Renewable Energy, 20%       1       Credit 1.1       Innovation in Design       Possible Point         redit 3       Additional Commissioning       1       Credit 1.1       Innovation in Design       Credit 1.4	redit 3.2	Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction	1	1	Credit 3.2	Construction IAQ Management Plan, Before Occupancy
Energy & Atmosphere       Possible Points       17       1       Credit 42       Low-Emitting Materials, Paints         rereq 1       Fundamental Building Systems Commissioning       Credit 4.3       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet         rereq 2       Minimum Energy Performance       Credit 4.3       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet         Credit 4.4       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet       Credit 4.4       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet         Credit 4.4       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet       Credit 4.4       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet         Credit 4.4       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet       Credit 4.4       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet         Minimum Energy Performance       CFC Reduction in HVAC&R Equipment       Credit 4.4       Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet         Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 10% Existing       Credit 6.1       Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter         Credit 1.4       Optimize Energy Performance, 60% New / 30% Existing       Credit 7.1       Thermal Comfort, Permanent Monitoring System         redit 2.1       Renewable Energy, 5%       1       Credit 8.1       Daylight & Views, Views for 90% of Spaces         redit 2.2       Renewable Energy, 10%       1       1       Credit 1.1       Innovation in Design         redit 4       Ozone Depletion       1       Credit 1.1       Inno				_ 1	Credit 4.1	Low-Emitting Materials, Adhesives & Sealants
rereq 1       Fundamental Building Systems Commissioning         rereq 1       Fundamental Building Systems Commissioning         rereq 2       Minimum Energy Performance         cFC Reduction in HVAC&R Equipment       Credit 4.4       Low-Emitting Materials, Composite Wood         redit 1.1       Optimize Energy Performance, 20% New / 10% Existing       2       Credit 6.1       Controllability of Systems, Perimeter         redit 1.2       Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 20% Existing       2       Credit 6.2       Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter         redit 1.3       Optimize Energy Performance, 40% New / 30% Existing       2       Credit 7.1       Thermal Comfort, Comply with ASHRAE 55-1992         redit 1.3       Optimize Energy Performance, 60% New / 40% Existing       2       Credit 8.1       Daylight & Views, Daylight 75% of Spaces         redit 2.1       Renewable Energy, 5%       1       2       Innovation & Design Process       Possible Poin         redit 2.3       Renewable Energy, 20%       1       Credit 1.1       Innovation in Design:       Surpassing Local Materials (40%)         redit 4       Ozone Depletion       1       Credit 1.4       Innovation in Design         redit 4       Ozone Depletion       1       Credit 1.4       Innovation in Design         redit 4       Ozone Depletion	inergy	<b>y &amp; Atmosphere</b> Po	ossible Points 17	1	Credit 4.2	Low-Emitting Materials, Paints
Fereq 1       Fundamental Building Systems Commissioning       Credt 4.4       Low-Emitting Materials, Composite Wood         Minimum Energy Performance       Minimum Energy Performance       Indoor Chemical & Pollutant Source Control         Optimize Energy Performance, 20% New / 10% Existing       Credt 6.1       Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter         Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 20% Existing       Credt 6.2       Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter         redit 1.2       Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 20% Existing       Credt 7.1       Thermal Comfort, Comply with ASHRAE 55-1992         redit 1.3       Optimize Energy Performance, 50% New / 40% Existing       Credt 8.1       Daylight & Views, Daylight 75% of Spaces         redit 1.4       Optimize Energy Performance, 60% New / 50% Existing       Credt 8.2       Daylight & Views, Views for 90% of Spaces         redit 2.1       Renewable Energy, 5%       1       Innovation & Design Process       Possible Poin         redit 2.3       Renewable Energy, 20%       1       Credt 1.1       Innovation in Design.       Surpassing Local Materials (40%)         redit 4       Ozone Depletion       1       Credt 1.2       Innovation in Design.       Credt 1.4       Innovation in Design         redit 5       Measurement & Verification       1       Credt 1.4       Innovation in Design.       Credt 1.4 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Credit 4.3</td><td>Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet</td></td<>					Credit 4.3	Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet
rereq 2       Minimum Energy Performance       1       Credit 5       Indoor Chemical & Pollutant Source Control         rereq 3       CFC Reduction in HVAC&R Equipment       Credit 5       Indoor Chemical & Pollutant Source Control         Optimize Energy Performance, 20% New / 10% Existing       2       Credit 6.1       Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter         edit 1.2       Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 20% Existing       2       Credit 6.2       Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter         redit 1.3       Optimize Energy Performance, 40% New / 30% Existing       2       Credit 7.1       Thermal Comfort, Comply with ASHRAE 55-1992         redit 1.4       Optimize Energy Performance, 50% New / 40% Existing       2       Credit 8.1       Daylight & Views, Daylight 75% of Spaces         redit 2.1       Renewable Energy, 5%       1       Credit 8.2       Daylight & Views, Views for 90% of Spaces         redit 2.1       Renewable Energy, 20%       1       2       Innovation & Design Process       Possible Poin         redit 4       Ozone Depletion       1       Credit 1.1       Innovation in Design       Gredit 1.4       Innovation in Design         redit 6       Green Power       1       Credit 1.4       Innovation in Design       Gredit 1.4       Innovation in Design	rereq 1	Fundamental Building Systems Commissioning	J		Credit 4.4	Low-Emitting Materials, Composite Wood
rereq3       CFC Reduction in HVAC&R Equipment       Credt 6.1       Controllability of Systems, Perimeter         rereq3       Optimize Energy Performance, 20% New / 10% Existing       2       Credt 6.1       Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter         reddt 1.2       Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 20% Existing       2       Credt 6.2       Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter         reddt 1.2       Optimize Energy Performance, 40% New / 30% Existing       2       Credt 7.1       Thermal Comfort, Comply with ASHRAE 55-1992         redit 1.4       Optimize Energy Performance, 60% New / 40% Existing       2       Credt 8.1       Daylight & Views, Daylight 75% of Spaces         redit 2.1       Renewable Energy, 5%       1       redit 8.2       Renewable Energy, 10%       1         redit 2.3       Renewable Energy, 20%       1       Credt 1.1       Innovation & Design Process       Possible Poin         redit 4       Ozone Depletion       1       Credt 1.2       Innovation in Design       Gredt 1.4       Innovation in Design         redit 5       Measurement & Verification       1       Credt 1.4       Innovation in Design       Gredt 1.4       Innovation in Design         redit 6       Green Power       1       Credt 1.4       Innovation in Design       Gredt 1.4       Innovation in Design <td>rereq 2</td> <td>Minimum Energy Performance</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>Credit 5</td> <td>Indoor Chemical &amp; Pollutant Source Control</td>	rereq 2	Minimum Energy Performance		1	Credit 5	Indoor Chemical & Pollutant Source Control
redit 1.1       Optimize Energy Performance, 20% New / 10% Existing       2       Credit 6.2       Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter         redit 1.2       Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 20% Existing       2       Credit 6.2       Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter         redit 1.3       Optimize Energy Performance, 40% New / 30% Existing       2       Credit 6.2       Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter         redit 1.4       Optimize Energy Performance, 50% New / 40% Existing       2       Credit 8.1       Daylight & Views, Daylight 75% of Spaces         redit 2.1       Renewable Energy, 5%       1       Credit 8.2       Daylight & Views, Views for 90% of Spaces         redit 2.3       Renewable Energy, 20%       1       2       Innovation & Design Process       Possible Poin         redit 4       Ozone Depletion       1       Credit 1.1       Innovation in Design       Surpassing Local Materials (40%)         redit 5       Measurement & Verification       1       Credit 1.2       Innovation in Design         redit 1.4       Green Power       1       Credit 1.4       Innovation in Design	rereq 3	CFC Reduction in HVAC&R Equipment			Credit 6.1	Controllability of Systems, Perimeter
redit 1.2       Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 20% Existing       2       Credit 7.1       Thermal Comfort, Comply with ASHRAE 55-1992         redit 1.3       Optimize Energy Performance, 40% New / 30% Existing       2       Credit 7.1       Thermal Comfort, Comply with ASHRAE 55-1992         redit 1.4       Optimize Energy Performance, 50% New / 40% Existing       2       Credit 7.2       Thermal Comfort, Permanent Monitoring System         redit 1.5       Optimize Energy Performance, 60% New / 50% Existing       2       Credit 8.1       Daylight & Views, Daylight 75% of Spaces         redit 2.1       Renewable Energy, 5%       1       7       Credit 8.2       Daylight & Views, Views for 90% of Spaces         redit 2.3       Renewable Energy, 20%       1       2       Innovation & Design Process       Possible Poin         redit 3       Additional Commissioning       1       1       Credit 1.1       Innovation in Design: Surpassing Local Materials (40%)         redit 4       Ozone Depletion       1       Credit 1.3       Innovation in Design         redit 6       Green Power       1       Credit 1.4       Innovation in Design         redit 6       Green Power       1       Credit 1.4       Innovation in Design	redit 1.1	Optimize Energy Performance, 20% New / 10%	Existing 2		Credit 6.2	Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter
medit 1.3       Optimize Energy Performance, 40% New / 30% Existing       2       Credit 7.2       Thermal Comfort, Permanent Monitoring System         medit 1.4       Optimize Energy Performance, 50% New / 40% Existing       2       Credit 7.2       Thermal Comfort, Permanent Monitoring System         medit 1.5       Optimize Energy Performance, 60% New / 50% Existing       2       Credit 8.1       Daylight & Views, Daylight 75% of Spaces         medit 2.1       Renewable Energy, 5%       1       Credit 8.2       Daylight & Views, Views for 90% of Spaces         medit 2.2       Renewable Energy, 10%       1       2       Innovation & Design Process       Possible Poin         medit 2.3       Renewable Energy, 20%       1       1       Credit 1.1       Innovation in Design: Surpassing Local Materials (40%)         medit 3       Additional Commissioning       1       1       Credit 1.2       Innovation in Design:         medit 5       Measurement & Verification       1       1       Credit 1.2       Innovation in Design         medit 6       Green Power       1       1       Credit 1.4       Innovation in Design         1       Credit 1.4       Innovation in Design       1       Credit 1.4       Innovation in Design	redit 1.2	Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 20%	Existing 2		Credit 7.1	Thermal Comfort, Comply with ASHRAE 55-1992
redit 1.4       Optimize Energy Performance, 50% New / 40% Existing       2       Credit 8.1       Daylight & Views, Daylight 75% of Spaces         redit 1.5       Optimize Energy Performance, 60% New / 50% Existing       2       Credit 8.1       Daylight & Views, Views for 90% of Spaces         redit 2.1       Renewable Energy, 5%       1       2       Innovation & Design Process       Possible Poin         redit 2.2       Renewable Energy, 20%       1       2       Innovation in Design: Surpassing Local Materials (40%)         redit 3       Additional Commissioning       1       1       Credit 1.2       Innovation in Design: Surpassing Local Materials (40%)         redit 4       Ozone Depletion       1       Credit 1.2       Innovation in Design       Credit 1.2         redit 5       Measurement & Verification       1       Credit 1.3       Innovation in Design       Credit 1.4         redit 1.6       Green Power       1       Credit 1.4       Innovation in Design       Terestinal	redit 1.3	Optimize Energy Performance, 40% New / 30%	Existing 2		Credit 7.2	Thermal Comfort, Permanent Monitoring System
medit 1.5       Optimize Energy Performance, 60% New / 50% Existing       2       Credit 8.2       Daylight & Views, Views for 90% of Spaces         medit 2.1       Renewable Energy, 5%       1       2       Innovation & Design Process       Possible Poin         medit 2.2       Renewable Energy, 20%       1       2       Innovation in Design: Surpassing Local Materials (40%)         medit 3       Additional Commissioning       1       Credit 1.1       Innovation in Design: Surpassing Local Materials (40%)         medit 4       Ozone Depletion       1       Credit 1.2       Innovation in Design         medit 5       Measurement & Verification       1       Credit 1.3       Innovation in Design         medit 6       Green Power       1       Credit 1.4       Innovation in Design         1       Credit 1.4       Innovation in Design       1         medit 6       Green Power       1       Credit 1.4       Innovation in Design	redit 1.4	Optimize Energy Performance, 50% New / 40%	Existing 2		Credit 8.1	Daylight & Views, Daylight 75% of Spaces
redit 2.1 Renewable Energy, 5% 1 redit 2.2 Renewable Energy, 10% 1 2 Innovation & Design Process Possible Poin redit 2.3 Renewable Energy, 20% 1 redit 3 Additional Commissioning 1 4 Credit 1.1 Innovation in Design: Surpassing Local Materials (40%) redit 4 Ozone Depletion 1 5 Measurement & Verification 1 6 Green Power 1 5 Credit 1.2 Innovation in Design 1 Credit 1.3 Innovation in Design 1 Credit 1.4 Innovation in Design 1 7 Credit 2 LEED <sup>™</sup> Accredited Professional 1 7 Credit 2 LEED <sup>™</sup> Accredited Profes	redit 1.5	Optimize Energy Performance, 60% New / 50%	Existing 2		Credit 8.2	Daylight & Views, Views for 90% of Spaces
medit 22       Renewable Energy, 10%       1       2       Innovation & Design Process       Possible Poin         medit 2.3       Renewable Energy, 20%       1       1       Credit 1.1       Innovation in Design: Surpassing Local Materials (40%)         medit 3       Additional Commissioning       1       1       Credit 1.1       Innovation in Design: Surpassing Local Materials (40%)         medit 4       Ozone Depletion       1       Credit 1.2       Innovation in Design         medit 5       Measurement & Verification       1       Credit 1.3       Innovation in Design         medit 6       Green Power       1       Credit 1.4       Innovation in Design         1       Credit 1.4       Innovation in Design       1         1       Credit 1.4       Innovation in Design       1         medit 6       Green Power       1       Credit 1.4       Innovation in Design	redit 2.1	Renewable Energy, 5%	1		_	
redit 2.3       Renewable Energy, 20%       1         redit 3       Additional Commissioning       1       1       Credt 1.1       Innovation in Design: Surpassing Local Materials (40%)         redit 4       Ozone Depletion       1       Credt 1.2       Innovation in Design         redit 5       Measurement & Verification       1       Credt 1.3       Innovation in Design         redit 6       Green Power       1       Credt 1.4       Innovation in Design         1       Credt 1.4       Innovation in Design       1         redit 6       Green Power       1       Credt 1.4         1       Credt 1.4       Innovation in Design	redit 2.2	Renewable Energy, 10%	1	2	Innova	tion & Design Process Possible Poi
medit 3       Additional Commissioning       1       1       Credit 1.1       Innovation in Design: Surpassing Local Materials (40%)         medit 4       Ozone Depletion       1       Credit 1.2       Innovation in Design         medit 5       Measurement & Verification       1       Credit 1.3       Innovation in Design         medit 6       Green Power       1       Credit 1.4       Innovation in Design         1       Credit 1.4       Innovation in Design       Credit 1.4         1       Credit 1.4       Innovation in Design         1       Credit 1.4       Innovation in Design	redit 2.3	Renewable Energy, 20%	1			
medit 4       Ozone Depletion       1       Credit 1.2       Innovation in Design         medit 5       Measurement & Verification       1       Credit 1.3       Innovation in Design         medit 6       Green Power       1       Credit 1.4       Innovation in Design	redit 3	Additional Commissioning	1	1	Credit 1.1	Innovation in Design: Surpassing Local Materials (40%)
edit 5 Measurement & Verification 1 Credit 1.3 Innovation in Design edit 6 Green Power 1 1 Credit 1.4 Innovation in Design 1 Credit 1.4 Innovation in Design 1 Credit 2 LEED™ Accredited Professional	redit 4	Ozone Depletion	1		Credit 1.2	Innovation in Design
redit 6 Green Power 1 Credit 1.4 Innovation in Design 1 Credit 2 LEED <sup>TM</sup> Accredited Professional	redit 5	Measurement & Verification	1		Credit 1.3	Innovation in Design
1 Credit 2 LEED™ Accredited Professional	redit 6	Green Power	1		Credit 1.4	Innovation in Design
				1	Credit 2	LEED <sup>™</sup> Accredited Professional

Note: Credits outlined in Red are considered "High Design Impact" credits.

Measures that are met based on GSA standards or mandates (no premium)
Measures with no cost premiums, or with potential cost decreases
Measures with low cost premiums (<50K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures with moderate cost premiums (between 50K - 150K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures with high cost premiums (>150K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures not applicable to the project
Measures unlikely to be pursued on typical GSA projects

# **Step 5:** Review LEED Scorecard after "Initial Considerations" (Steps 1–4)

The number of LEED credits that can be addressed through the "Initial Considerations" steps is not insignificant. As such, upon completion of Steps 1 through 4, the project team should review the status of the LEED scorecard. It may be determined at this stage that a LEED rating is already obtainable, or that a higher rating level is within reach. Alternately, the review will indicate the additional challenges (and potential cost impacts) required to reach the desired rating level.

## Example

In the "Low-Cost" Courthouse model shown in **Table 2-5**, 35 applicable points were identified through the "Initial Considerations" process—enough to achieve a Silver rating. In addition, 14 credits were categorized as "not applicable" or "unlikely." Therefore, of the 69 available LEED points, 49 were already accounted for before the "moderate" and "high-cost" credits were addressed. Of the 35 points targeted, 11 have potentially significant design impacts, and therefore require early consideration and integration by the project team.

For comparison purposes, the point totals at the "Initial Considerations" stage for the other Goldrated models from the *GSA LEED Cost Study* were as follows:

- *"High-Cost" Courthouse:* 22 applicable points,
   20 not applicable/unlikely
- *"Minimal Façade" Office Modernization:*24 applicable points,
  21 not applicable/unlikely
- *"Full Facade" Office Modernization:*24 applicable points,
  23 not applicable/unlikely

After this "Initial Considerations" status review, the LEED Evaluation process continues with the "Detailed Evaluations" steps (6-8). These steps review the remaining LEED credits and assist project teams in establishing the overall LEED approach and rating target for a project.





Figures 2-8 and 2-9. Department of Transportation Building, Lakewood, Colorado. (LEED Silver Rating) *Architect: Opus Architects & Engineers, Inc* 

## Table 2-5 Summary of "Initial Considerations" Stage ("Low Cost" Courthouse Model)

ustai	nable Sites F	Possible Points 14	6	Materia	als & Resources Possible Poin
rereq 1	Erosion & Sedimentation Control		Y	Prereq 1	Storage & Collection of Recyclables
redit 1	Site Selection	1		Credit 1.1	Building Reuse, Maintain 75% of Existing Shell
redit 2	Development Density	1		Credit 1.2	Building Reuse, Maintain 100% of Existing Shell
redit 3	Brownfield Redevelopment	1		Credit 1.3	Building Reuse, Maintain 100% Shell & 50% Non-Shell
redit 4.1	Alternative Transportation, Public Transportatio	n Access 1	1	Credit 2.1	Construction Waste Management, Divert 50%
redit 4.2	Alternative Transportation, Bicycle Storage & C	hanging Rooms 1	1	Credit 2.2	Construction Waste Management, Divert 75%
redit 4.3	Alternative Transportation. Alternative Fuel Refu	ueling Stations 1		Credit 3.1	Resource Reuse, Specify 5%
redit 4.4	Alternative Transportation, Parking Capacity	1		Credit 3.2	Resource Reuse, Specify 10%
redit 5.1	Reduced Site Disturbance, Protect or Restore C	pen Space	1	Credit 4.1	Recycled Content, Specify 5% PC + Pl
redit 5.2	Reduced Site Disturbance, Development Footpr	int 1	1	Credit 4.2	Recycled Content, Specify 10% PC + PI
redit 6.1	Stormwater Management, Rate and Quantity	1	1	Credit 5.1	Local/Regional Materials, 20% Manufactured Locally
redit 6.2	Stormwater Management Treatment	1	1	Credit 5.2	Local/Regional Materials, of 20% Above, 50% Harvested Local
redit 7.1	Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat I	slands Non-Roof 1		Credit 6	Rapidly Renewable Materials
redit 7.2	Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat I	slands Roof 1		Credit 7	Certified Wood
redit 8	Light Pollution Reduction	1		loioari	
ioun o			10	Indoor	Environmental Quality Possible Poin
Vater	Efficiency	ossible Points 5		maoor	
rater			v	Prereg 1	Minimum IAQ Performance
radit 1.1	Water Efficient Landscaning, Reduce by 50%	1	v	Prereg 2	Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control
redit 1.2	Water Efficient Landscaping, No Potable Use of	No Irrigation	-	Credit 1	Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) Monitoring
redit 2	Innovative Wastewater Technologies	1	1	Credit 2	Ventilation Effectiveness
redit 3.1	Water Use Reduction 20% Reduction	1	1	Credit 3.1	Construction IAO Management Plan, During Construction
redit 3.2	Water Use Reduction 30% Reduction	1	1	Credit 3.2	Construction IAQ Management Plan, Before Occupancy
10011 0.2		•	1	Credit 4.1	Low-Emitting Materials Adhesives & Sealants
nera	/ & Atmosphere	ossible Points 17		Credit 4.2	Low-Emitting Materials, Paints
line g				Credit 4.3	Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet
rerea 1	Fundamental Building Systems Commissionin	a		Credit 4.4	Low-Emitting Materials, Composite Wood
rerea 2	Minimum Energy Performance	9	1	Credit 5	Indoor Chemical & Pollutant Source Control
rerea 3	CEC Reduction in HVAC&R Equipment			Credit 6 1	Controllability of Systems Perimeter
redit 1.1	Ontimize Energy Performance, 20% New / 10%	Existing 2	1	Credit 6.2	Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter
redit 1 ?	Optimize Energy Performance 30% New / 20%	Existing 2	1	Credit 7 1	Thermal Comfort Comply with ASHRAE 55-1992
m dit 1 2	Ontimize Energy Performance 40% New / 20%	Existing 2		Credit 7.2	Thermal Comfort, Bermanent Monitoring System
redit 1 /	Ontimize Energy Performance, 50% New / 40%	Existing 2		Credit 8.1	Davlight & Views Davlight 75% of Spaces
redit 1 5	Ontimize Energy Performance 60% New / 50%	Existing 2		Credit 8.2	Davlight & Views, Views for 90% of Spaces
mdit 2.4	Renewable Energy 5%				baying a tions, views for 50 /0 of Spaces
rouit 2.1	Denomatio Energy 109	1	3	Innova	tion & Docian Process Bossible Doin
mdii 2.2	Renewable Energy 20%	1	3	mnova	POSSIBILE POIL
	Additional Commissioning	1		Constant	Innovation in Decian: Dedicated Ventilation System
edit 3	Ozono Doplotion	1	1	Creat 1.1	Innovation in Design. Dedicated ventilation System
redit 4	Manauroment & Verification	1	_ <b></b> _	Credit 1.2	Innovation in Design: Surpassing Local Materials (40%)
redit 5	weasurement & verification	1		Credit 1.3	innovation in Design
redit 6	Green Power	1		Credit 1.4	Innovation in Design
			1	Credit 2	LEED <sup>1</sup> Accredited Professional

Note: Credits outlined in Red are considered "High Design Impact" credits.

Measures that are met based on GSA standards or mandates (no premium)
Measures with no cost premiums, or with potential cost decreases
Measures with low cost premiums (<50K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures with moderate cost premiums (between 50K - 150K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures with high cost premiums (>150K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures not applicable to the project
Measures unlikely to be pursued on typical GSA projects

### Detailed Evaluations

# Step 6: Evaluate "Moderate-Cost" and "High-Cost" Credit Options

After completing the "Initial Considerations" steps, project teams will have narrowed down the remaining LEED credits to a more manageable set of "moderate-cost" and "high–cost" credit options. Based on the results of the *GSA LEED Cost Study*, the majority of these higher-cost credits will have one or more of the following characteristics:

- They require additional space, equipment, or materials (e.g., shower rooms, carbon dioxide sensors, photovoltaic panels)
- They involve material or equipment types that are more expensive than "standard" products (e.g., certified wood, vegetated roofing systems, dimmable ballasts for lighting fixtures, high-efficiency chillers)
- They require additional labor or planning (e.g., construction IAQ management, construction waste management)
- They involve sophisticated architectural and/or mechanical design and execution (e.g., quality daylighting design integrated with photocell-based dimming of lighting fixtures)

The moderate- and high-cost credits also tend to have another common characteristic—they can provide significant benefits for the building occupants and building owner, as well as community and environmental benefits. Because both the costs and benefits are potentially high, the pursuit of credits in this category tends to require more thorough and detailed analysis. Issues to consider include the following:

• Can the high-performance goals directly influence the major architectural, structural, MEP, civil, and landscape design strategies for the building? By incorporating the green goals into the design parameters, an integrated design solution can be developed that erases or blurs the distinctions between "green" building strategies and the overall project design.

These integrated design solutions can meet multiple goals (programmatic, performance, aesthetic) in the most cost-effective manner. A number of the "High Design Impact" credits in LEED are summarized in step 7 of the *Guide*.



Figure 2-10. Atrium at the U.S. EPA Regional Headquarters, Kansas City, Kansas. The atrium design allows most of the office space in the building to receive natural light. The atrium's glass has a lowemissivity coating to allow visible light to enter while reflecting large percentages of the infrared spectrum, thereby controlling heat gain. (Photo: From <u>Off the</u> <u>Shelf</u>, Koll Development Company)

- *What potential synergies exist between various credits?* Connections among credits should be established to determine how particular design strategies can be used to achieve multiple performance goals, and earn multiple LEED credits. Items that appear costly when applied to only one credit may in fact be justifiable when applied to multiple credits. A number of "Synergistic Credits" are identified in step 7 of the Guide.
- What level of benefit is associated with the credit or group of credits being considered? In some cases,

the long-term or life cycle benefits of a credit or group of credits can provide clear justification for the first cost investment. Prime benefits to consider include building operational savings (energy, water, sewer, and maintenance costs), which can typically be quantified and evaluated in detail. In addition, worker productivity benefits (resulting from daylighting, views, occupant control, etc.) should be given significant consideration, particularly in the context of GSA's workplace initiatives (e.g., "<u>The Integrated Workplace</u>" and "<u>Workplace 20.20</u>" programs).

Has money been saved in other areas of the project that can be applied toward the moderate or high cost items? While the cost of an individual LEED credit may be moderate or high, the overall project budget may support a number of such measures. Through integrated design solutions, trade-offs can occur between reduced project costs (e.g., reduced HVAC equipment sizes, elimination of irrigation systems, elimination of perimeter heating systems) and moderate- or high-cost green measures. Cost trade-offs can benefit from creative thinking-sometimes the most significant trade-offs occur from projectspecific conditions that are not initially obvious (e.g., installing a green roof to avoid stormwater detention systems which would otherwise be required by code).

## Example

In evaluating moderate and high cost LEED credits, it is important to consider the overall project goals, and the level of the LEED rating being pursued. For example, Table 2-6 summarizes the "moderate-cost" and "high-cost" credits identified as options for the "Low-Cost" Gold-rated Courthouse model of the GSA LEED Cost Study. Since 35 likely credits were already identified in the "Initial Considerations" stages of the LEED evaluation process, only 6 of the 20 credit options identified are necessary to reach the Gold threshold (including 2 extra "insurance" credits). The evaluation process can therefore focus on which 6 of the 20 available points are most beneficial to the overall project, using the assessment considerations noted above and in step 7.



Figure 2-11. Exterior Light Shelves at the U.S. EPA Regional Headquarters, Kansas City, Kansas. The exterior light shelves reflect natural light upward across the interior ceilings, thereby projecting light deeper into the building. The light shelves also help to reduce glare and increase the visual comfort of the interior spaces for the building occupants. (Photo: Don Horn)

## Table 2-6. "Moderate-Cost" & "High-Cost" Credit Options ("Low Cost" Courthouse Model)

#### **20** Total for "Moderate Cost" and "High Cost" Credit Options

Sustain	hable Sites Possible Points	14	1	Materia	Is & Resources Possible Points
Preren 1	Fracion & Sodimontation Control		v	Prereg 1	Storage & Collection of Recyclables
Credit 1	Site Selection	1	<u> </u>	Credit 1.1	Building Reuse Maintain 75% of Existing Shell
C mdit 2	Development Density	1		Crodit 1.2	Building Reuse, Maintain 100% of Existing Shall
Ciedit 2	Brownfield Podevelopment	1	$\vdash$	Credit 1.2	Building Reuse, Maintain 100% of Existing Shell
Credit 3	Alternative Transportation Dublic Transportation Access	1	-	Credit 1.3	Construction Waste Management, Divert 50%
Credit 4.1	Alternative Transportation, Public Transportation Access			Credit 2.1	Construction Waste Management, Divert 30%
Credit 4.2	Alternative Transportation, Bicycle Stolage & Chaliging Rooms	1	$\vdash$	Credit 2.2	Construction waste management, Divert 75%
Credit 4.3	Alternative Transportation, Alternative Fuel Refueling Stations	1		Credit 3.1	Resource Reuse, Spedry 5%
Credit 4.4	Alternative Transportation, Parking Capacity	1		Credit 3.2	Resource Reuse, Specify 10%
Credit 5.1	Reduced Site Disturbance, Protect or Restore Open Space	1		Credit 4.1	Recycled Content, Specify 5% PC + Pl
Credit 5.2	Reduced Site Disturbance, Development Footprint	1		Credit 4.2	Recycled Content, Specify 10% PC + PI
Credit 6.1	Stormwater Management, Rate and Quantity	1		Credit 5.1	Local/Regional Materials, 20% Manufactured Locally
Credit 6.2	Stormwater Management, Treatment	1		Credit 5.2	Local/Regional Materials, of 20% Above, 50% Harvested Locally
Credit 7.1	Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat Islands, Non-Roo	f <b>1</b>		Credit 6	Rapidly Renewable Materials
Credit 7.2	Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat Islands, Roof	1	1	Credit 7	Certified Wood
Credit 8	Light Pollution Reduction	1			
			4	Indoor	Environmental Quality Possible Points
Water E	Efficiency Possible Points	5			
			Y	Prereq 1	Minimum IAQ Performance
Credit 1.1	Water Efficient Landscaping, Reduce by 50%	1	Y	Prereq 2	Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control
Credit 1.2	Water Efficient Landscaping, No Potable Use or No Irrigation	1	1	Credit 1	Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) Monitoring
Credit 2	Innovative Wastewater Technologies	1		Credit 2	Ventilation Effectiveness
Credit 3.1	Water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction	1		Credit 3.1	Construction IAQ Management Plan, During Construction
Credit 3.2	Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction	1		Credit 3.2	Construction IAQ Management Plan, Before Occupancy
				Credit 4.1	Low-Emitting Materials, Adhesives & Sealants
Energy	& Atmosphere Possible Points	17		Credit 4.2	Low-Emitting Materials, Paints
				Credit 4.3	Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet
Prereq 1	Fundamental Building Systems Commissioning		1	Credit 4.4	Low-Emitting Materials, Composite Wood
Prereq 2	Minimum Energy Performance			Credit 5	Indoor Chemical & Pollutant Source Control
Prerea 3	CFC Reduction in HVAC&R Equipment			Credit 6.1	Controllability of Systems. Perimeter
Credit 1.1	Optimize Energy Performance, 20% New / 10% Existing	2		Credit 6.2	Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter
Credit 1.2	Optimize Energy Performance_30% New / 20% Existing	2		Credit 7.1	Thermal Comfort, Comply with ASHRAE 55-1992
Credit 1 2	Ontimize Energy Performance, 40% New / 20% Existing	2	-	Credit 7.2	Thermal Comfort Permanent Monitoring System
Credit 1.4	Ontimize Energy Performance, 50% New / 40% Existing	2	1	Credit 8.1	Davlight & Views, Davlight 75% of Spaces
2 modit 1 5	Ontimize Energy Performance, 60% New / 40% Existing	2	1	Credit 0.2	Daylight & Views, Daylight 75% of Spaces
Crodit 2.1	Renewable Energy 5%	4		orean o.2	bujingin a riens, views foi 50% of spaces
	Peneweble Energy 40%	1	2	Innove	tion & Design Brasses Bessible Beist
	Renewable Energy, 10%	1	Ľ	mnova	tion & Design Process Possible Points
redit 2.3	Kenewable Energy, 20%	1			Inneutries in Designs, Europed Certified Wood Oritorie (759()
Credit 3	Additional Commissioning	1	1	Credit 1.1	Innovation in Design: Exceed Certified Wood Criteria (75%)
Jredit 4		1	1	Credit 1.2	Innovation in Design: Exceed Heat Island Effect, Non-roof
Credit 5	Nieasurement & Verification	1		Credit 1.3	Innovation in Design
Credit 6	Green Power	1		Credit 1.4	Innovation in Design
				Credit 2	LEED <sup>™</sup> Accredited Professional

Certified 26-32 points Silver 33-38 points Gold 39-51 points Platinum 52-69 points

Note: Credits outlined in Red are considered "High Design Impact" credits.

Measures that are met based on GSA standards or mandates (no premium)
Measures with no cost premiums, or with potential cost decreases
Measures with low cost premiums (<50K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures with moderate cost premiums (between 50K - 150K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures with high cost premiums (>150K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures not applicable to the project
Measures unlikely to be pursued on typical GSA projects

Detailed Evaluations

# Step 7:

Evaluate "High Design Impact" Credits and "Synergistic" Credits for Design Integration Opportunities

As noted in Step 6, the selection of moderate- and high-cost LEED credits typically involves detailed evaluations of the costs, benefits, and design implications. Step 7 of the LEED evaluation process focuses on the "High Design Impact" and "Synergistic" credits in a LEED project.

#### High Design Impact Credits:

## Example

**Table 2-7a** summarizes the "High Design Impact" credits identified as options for the "Low-Cost" Gold-rated Courthouse model of the *GSA LEED Cost Study*. Notably, the credits were identified at various cost impact levels, ranging from no cost to high. The purpose of identifying all of the High Design Impact credits is to establish the level of influence the LEED performance goals may have on the core design approach for a project *before the design is conceptualized*. As illustrated in the Courthouse example, the design impacts of the LEED criteria can be substantial, and may include the following:

- *Site design.* A number of the LEED Sustainable Site credits (particularly the Reduced Site Disturbance and Stormwater Management credits) set criteria that can influence the amount of vegetated or impervious area on a site. This can impact site planting, site paving, and building layout decisions, as well as the basic project approach to stormwater management. Related LEED credits promote native, adaptive, and low-water use plantings (as opposed, for instance, to turf grass), which can further influence a project's approach to landscaping.
- *Building design.* LEED credits for Daylight and Views can significantly influence the building form, footprint, and façade orientations, as well as the floor-to-ceiling heights, the size

and layout of the fenestration, the use of exterior or interior shading devices and light shelves, and the arrangement of interior spaces. Strategies to reduce energy use, to utilize natural ventilation, or to integrate renewable energy sources (particularly photovoltaic panels) can also significantly affect the basic building form, massing, and approach to fenestration.



Figures 2-12 and 2-13. U.S. EPA New England Regional Laboratory, Chelmsford, Massachusetts (LEED Gold Rating, Version 1.0) Reflective light tubes, with diffusers designed to fit suspended ceiling systems, and exterior light shelves bring daylight deep into the building. (Photo: Don Horn)

- Building assemblies. LEED credits to Optimize Energy Performance can influence a project's wall and roof construction assemblies (to minimize heat transfer and air infiltration/exfiltration), as well as a project's glazing selections. In addition, when mechanical humidification is provided to achieve the LEED Thermal Comfort credit, the building envelope must be designed to avoid condensation within the assemblies. In the Courthouse example, the use of an underfloor air distribution system (which contributes to the Ventilation Effectiveness and Controllability of Systems credits) can also influence construction assemblies, as the underfloor plenum must be designed to be airtight.
- *Mechanical systems*. In the Courthouse example, the energy efficiency goals, underfloor air delivery system, and dedicated ventilation system all significantly influence the project approach to HVAC design.

## Application to GSA Projects

A number of other major design decisions can be impacted by LEED credit criteria, depending on the specific project and LEED credits under consideration. However, as indicated by the examples in the *GSA LEED Cost Study*, the "High Design Impact" credits in a GSA project will typically be limited to a manageable group. It is important for design teams to establish what these credits are—and their real implications—prior to formulating their design approach.

#### Credit Synergies:

When reviewing the potential "High Design Impact" credits on a project, it is important that the various design considerations be evaluated in tandem. In some cases the credits will be "synergistic," meaning that strategies or technologies used to achieve one credit can also be used to achieve additional credits. By evaluating the range of credit synergies within a project, an integrated design solution can be formulated to achieve multiple goals (and earn multiple credits) within a comprehensive and justifiable design approach.



Figure 2-14. Green Roof of National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Suitland, Maryland. Vegetated, or green, roofs can contribute to the reduction of heat islands (LEED Sustainable Site Credit 7.2: Heat Island Reduction – Roof) as well as the reduction of stormwater runoff (LEED Sustainable Site Credit 6.1: Stormwater Management – Rate and Quantity) *Architect: Morphosis* (Rendering: Morphosis)

## Example

**Table 2-7b** summarizes the "Synergistic" credit options identified for both the "Low-Cost" and "High-Cost" Gold-rated Courthouse models of the *GSA LEED Cost Study.* As with the "High Design Impact" credits, the synergistic credits were identified at various cost impact levels, ranging from no cost to high. As the table also indicates, credit synergies are not limited to only High Design Impact credits; synergies may also be identified among credits that primarily involve material or equipment selections. Detailed descriptions of credit synergies for the Courthouse models, including the cost implications, can be found in the *GSA LEED Cost Study*.

## Table 2-7a. Summary of "High Design Impact" Credits ("Low Cost" Courthouse Model)

### 20 Total for "High Design Impact" Credits

```
Possible Points 69
```

3 Sustai	nable Sites Possible Po	ints 14		Materia	Is & Resources Possible Points	s 13	
Duran 1	Facility & Sedimentation Control			Down 1	Storage & Collection of Pagyelables		
Credit 1	Site Selection	1		Credit 1.1	Building Bouso Maintain 75% of Existing Shell	1	
Credit 1	Site Selection 1			Credit 1.1	Building Rouse, Maintain 73% of Existing Shell	4	
	Development Density	1		Credit 1.2	Building Reuse, Maintain 100% of Existing Shell	1	
Credit 3	Alternative Transportation Bublic Transportation Access	1		Credit 1.3	Construction Woote Management Divert 50%	1	
Credit 4.1	Alternative Transportation, Public Transportation Access	1		Credit 2.1	Construction Waste Management, Divert 30%	1	
Credit 4.2	Alternative Transportation, Bicycle Storage & Changing Roor	15 1		Credit 2.2	Construction waste management, Divert 75%	1	
Credit 4.3	Alternative Transportation, Alternative Fuel Refueling Station	s 1		Credit 3.1	Resource Reuse, Spedity 5%	1	
C redit 4.4	Alternative Transportation, Parking Capacity	1		Credit 3.2	Resource Reuse, Spedity 10%	1	
1 C redit 5.1	Reduced Site Disturbance, Protect or Restore Open Space	1		Credit 4.1	Recycled Content, Specify 5% PC + Pl	1	
1 C redit 5.2	Reduced Site Disturbance, Development Footprint	1		Credit 4.2	Recycled Content, Specify 10% PC + PI	1	
1 Credit 6.1	Stormwater Management, Rate and Quantity	1		Credit 5.1	Local/Regional Materials, 20% Manufactured Locally	1	
C redit 6.2	Stormwater Management, Treatment	1		Credit 5.2	Local/Regional Materials, of 20% Above, 50% Harvested Locally	1	
Credit 7.1	Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat Islands, Non-	Roof 1		Credit 6	Rapidly Renewable Materials	1	
C redit 7.2	Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat Islands, Roof	1		Credit 7	Certified Wood	1	
Credit 8	Light Pollution Reduction	1					
			5	Indoor	Environmental Quality Possible Points	15	
2 Water	Efficiency Possible Po	ints 5					
				Prereq 1	Minimum IAQ Performance		
1 Credit 1.1	Water Efficient Landscaping, Reduce by 50%	1		Prereq 2	Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control		
1 Credit 1.2	Water Efficient Landscaping, No Potable Use or No Irrigation	1		Credit 1	Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) Monitoring	1	
Credit 2	Innovative Wastewater Technologies	1	1	Credit 2	Ventilation Effectiveness	1	
C redit 3.1	Water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction	1		Credit 3.1	3.1 Construction IAQ Management Plan, During Construction		
Credit 3.2	Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction	1		Credit 3.2	Construction IAQ Management Plan, Before Occupancy	1	
				Credit 4.1	Low-Emitting Materials, Adhesives & Sealants	1	
9 Energy	/ & Atmosphere Possible Po	ints 17		Credit 4.2	Low-Emitting Materials, Paints	1	
				Credit 4.3	Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet	1	
Prereq 1	Fundamental Building Systems Commissioning			Credit 4.4	Low-Emitting Materials, Composite Wood	1	
Prereq 2	Minimum Energy Performance			Credit 5	Indoor Chemical & Pollutant Source Control	1	
Prereq 3	CFC Reduction in HVAC&R Equipment			Credit 6.1	Controllability of Systems, Perimeter	1	
Credit 1.1	Optimize Energy Performance, 20% New / 10% Existing	2	1	Credit 6.2	Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter	1	
2 Credit 1.2	Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 20% Existing	2	1	Credit 7.1	Thermal Comfort, Comply with ASHRAE 55-1992	1	
2 Credit 1.3	Optimize Energy Performance, 40% New / 30% Existing	2	-	Credit 7.2	Thermal Comfort. Permanent Monitoring System	· ·	
2 Credit 1.4	Optimize Energy Performance, 50% New / 40% Existing	2	1	Credit 8.1	Davlight & Views Davlight 75% of Spaces	1	
2 Credit 1.5	Optimize Energy Performance, 60% New / 50% Existing	2	1	Credit 8.2	Daylight & Views, Views for 90% of Spaces		
	Renewable Energy 5%	4		STOUL 0.2	and a riord, views for 3078 of Spaces	4	
C mdit 2.1	Ponowable Energy 10%	4	4	Innovat	ion & Docian Process Doceible Deinte		
	Renewable Energy 20%	1		mnovat	Tor a Design Process Possible Points	່ ວ	
	Additional Commissioning	1			Innevation in Design: Dedicated Ventilation System		
C redit 3	Additional commissioning	1	1	Credit 1.1	Innovation in Design: Dedicated Ventilation System	1	
C redit 4		1		Credit 1.2	Innovation in Design	1	
Credit 5	weasurement & Verification	1		Credit 1.3		1	
Credit 6	Green Power	1		Credit 1.4	Innovation in Design	1	
				Credit 2	LEED <sup>™</sup> Accredited Professional	1	
20 Total	for "High Design Impact" Credits				Possible Points	69	
Certified	26-32 points Silver 33-38 points Gold 39-51 points Platinum	1 52-69 point	ts				

Note: Credits outlined in Red are "High Design Impact" credits

Measures that are met based on GSA standards or mandates (no premium)
Measures with no cost premiums, or with potential cost decreases
Measures with low cost premiums (<50K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures with moderate cost premiums (between 50K - 150K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures with high cost premiums (>150K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures not applicable to the project
Measures unlikely to be pursued on typical GSA projects

## Table 2-7b. Examples of "Synergistic" Credit Options (Courthouse Models)

Sustaina	ble Sites	Possible Points 14		Materia	Is & Resources	Possible Points
Preren 1	Tracion & Sodimontation Control			Prereg 1	Storage & Collection of Recyclables	
Credit 1	Site Selection			Credit 1.1	Building Rouse Maintain 75% of Existin	a Shell
	Development Density			Crodit 1.2	Building Reuse, Maintain 100% of Exist	
Credit 2 F	Brownfield Redevelopment			Crodit 1.2	Building Reuse, Maintain 100% OF Exist	50% Non-Shell
	Alternative Transportation Bublic Transport	ortation Accors	$\vdash$	Crodit 2.1	Construction Waste Management Div	st 50%
	Atemative Transportation, Public Transpo	a & Changing Booms		Credit 2.1	Construction Waste Management, Dive	ort 7.5%
Credit 4.2	Atternative Transportation, Alternative Euro	B & Changing Rooms	$\vdash$	Crodit 2.1	Becourse Bourse Specify 5%	51(7576
	Alternative Transportation, Alternative Fue	invenuening stations		Credit 3.1	Resource Rouse, Specify 10%	
	Reduced Site Disturbance, Protector Dag		$\vdash$	Credit 3.2	Resulter Content Specify F% BC + B	
Credit 5.1	Reduced Site Disturbance, Protect of Res	Forth rint		Credit 4.1	Recycled Content, Specify 10% PC + P	
Ciedit 5.2	Reduced Site Disturbance, Development	rootprint		Credit 4.2	Less/Perional Materials 20% Manufa	rturad Laaally
				Credit 5.1	Local/Regional Materials, 20% Manual	
Credit 6.2	stormwater management, freatment	Used Islands New Deed		Credit 5.2	Local/Regional Materials, of 20% Above	e, 50 % Harvesteu Locally
	andscape & Exterior Design to Reduce I	leat Islands, Non-Roof		Credit 6	Rapidly Renewable Materials	
Credit 7.2	andscape & Exterior Design to Reduce I	Teat Islands, Root		Credit 7	Certified wood	
Credit 8	light Pollution Reduction			I		
	·· ·			Indoor	Environmental Quality	Possible Points
water Eff	liciency	Possible Points 5			Minimum IAO Derfermense	
				Prereq 1		• • •
Credit 1.1	Vater Efficient Landscaping, Reduce by 5	0%		Prereq 2	Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS)	Control
Credit 1.2	Vater Efficient Landscaping, No Potable I	Jse or No Irrigation				
Credit 2	nnovative Wastewater Technologies			Crect	Ventilation Effectiveness	
Credit 3.1 V	Vater Use Reduction, 20% Reduction			Crect 3.1	Construction IAQ Management Plan, [	Ouring Construction
Credit 3.2 V	Vater Use Reduction, 30% Reduction			Crect 3.2	Construction IAQ Management Plan, E	efore Occupancy
_				Crect 4.1	Low-Emitting Materials, Adhesives & S	ealants
Energy &	Atmosphere	Possible Points 17		Crect 4.2	Low-Emitting Materials, Paints	
				Crect 4.3	Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet	
Prereq 1 F	Fundamental Building Systems Commiss	ioning		Crect 4.4	Low-Emitting Materials, Composite Wo	od
Prereq 2	Inimum Energy Performance			Crect 5	Indoor Chemical & Pollutant Source C	ontrol
Prereq 3 C	CFC Reduction in HVAC&R Equipment			Crect 6.1	Controllability of Systems, Perimeter	
Credit 1.1	Dptimize Energy Performance, 20% New	/ 10% Existing		Crect Crect	Controllability of Systems, Non-Perime	ter
Credit 1.2	Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New	20% Existing		Credit 7.1	Thermal Comfort, Comply with ASHRAE	55-1992
Credit 1.3	Optimize Energy Performance, 40% New	30% Existing		Credit 7.2	Thermal Comfort, Permanent Monitoring	g System
Credit 1.4	Optimize Energy Performance, 50% New	40% Existing		Oredit 0.1	Daylight & Views, Daylight 75% of Space	es
Credit 1.5	Optimize Energy Performance, 60% New	50% Existing		Credit 8.2	Daylight & Views, Views for 90% of Spa	ces
Credit 2.1	Renewable Energy, 5%		I			
Credit 2.2	Renewable Energy, 10%			Innovat	ion & Design Process	Possible Points
Credit 2.3 F	Renewable Energy, 20%					
Credit 3 🖌	Additional Commissioning			Credit 1.1	Innovation in Design: Exceed Certified	Wood Criteria (75%)
Credit 4 C	Dzone Depletion			Credit 1.2	Innovation in Design	
Credit 5	leasurement & Verification			Credit 1.3	Innovation in Design	
Credit 6	Green Power			Credit 1.4	Innovation in Design	
				Credit 2	LEED <sup>™</sup> Accredited Professional	

Note: Credits outlined in Red are considered "high design impact" credits. Blue arrows indicate "synergistic" credits.

Measures that are met based on GSA standards or mandates (no premium)
Measures with no cost premiums, or with potential cost decreases
Measures with low cost premiums (<50K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures with moderate cost premiums (between 50K - 150K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures with high cost premiums (>150K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures not applicable to the project
Measures unlikely to be pursued on typical GSA projects

Detailed Evaluations

# **Step 8:** Establish Initial LEED Approach for the Project

The preceding seven steps in the LEED Evaluation Process were designed to assist project teams in establishing reasonable LEED targets for GSA projects, based on a cost-justified approach. After establishing the "low-hanging fruit" from the "Initial Considerations" steps, then evaluating and adding additional moderate- or high-cost credits through the "Detailed Evaluations" steps, project teams should have a clear understanding of their targeted LEED credits (and the attended project implications), as well as a defensible justification for the LEED rating level being pursued.

## Example

**Table 2-8** summarizes the Initial LEED Targetsestablished for the "Low-Cost" Gold-ratedCourthouse model of the GSA LEED Cost Study.The final tally includes:

- All 7 Prerequisites;
- 9 "GSA Standard" credits (no cost premium);
- 23 "No Cost" credits;
- 3 "Low Cost" credits;
- 3 "Moderate Cost" credits; and
- 3 "High Cost" credits.

As established through the *Cost Study*, the estimated cost premium for achieving the LEED Gold rating is \$2.97/GSF, a 1.4 percent cost increase.

## Application to GSA Projects

While other projects may have higher cost impacts, and/or may target a lower LEED rating, the "Low-Cost" Courthouse model demonstrates how the LEED Evaluation Process can be used to set initial green building goals, and achieve a high level of building performance within the programmatic and cost parameters of a GSA project. If approached in this manner, GSA's LEED rating requirement will achieve its intended goal—to integrate building quality and performance with environmental responsibility and long-term fiscal prudence.

## Table 2-8. Summary of Initial LEED Targets ("Low Cost" Courthouse Model)

41 Proj	ect Total				Possible Point	s <b>69</b>
10 Susta	inable Sites Possible Points	14	6	Materia	als & Resources Possible Point	s <b>13</b>
Prereg 1	Frosion & Sedimentation Control		Y	Prereg 1	Storage & Collection of Recyclables	
1 Credit 1	Site Selection	1	-	Credit 1.1	Building Reuse Maintain 75% of Existing Shell	1
1 Cmdit 2	Development Density	1		Crodit 1.2	Building Reuse, Maintain 70% of Existing Shell	
	Prownfield Pedevelopment	4		Credit 1.2	Building Rouse, Maintain 100% of Existing Shell	
	Alternative Transportation Dublic Transportation Access	4			Construction Wests Management Direct 50%	
Credit 4.1	Alternative Transportation, Public Transportation Access			Credit 2.1	Construction Waste Management, Divert 30%	•
Credit 4.2	Alternative Transportation, Bicycle Stolage & Changing Rooms	1	1	Credit 2.2	Construction waste management, Divert 75%	1
Credit 4.3	Alternative Transportation, Alternative Fuel Refueling Stations	1		Credit 3.1	Resource Reuse, Specify 5%	1
Credit 4.4	Alternative Transportation, Parking Capacity	1		Credit 3.2	Resource Reuse. Specity 10%	1
1 Credit 5.1	Reduced Site Disturbance, Protect or Restore Open Space	1	1	Credit 4.1	Recycled Content, Specify 5% PC + Pl	1
1 Credit 5.2	Reduced Site Disturbance, Development Footprint	1	1	Credit 4.2	Recycled Content, Specify 10% PC + PI	1
1 Credit 6.1	Stormwater Management, Rate and Quantity	1	1	Credit 5.1	Local/Regional Materials, 20% Manufactured Locally	1
Credit 6.2	Stormwater Management, Treatment	1	1	Credit 5.2	Local/Regional Materials, of 20% Above, 50% Harvested Locally	1
1 Credit 7.1	Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat Islands, Non-Root	1		Credit 6	Rapidly Renewable Materials	1
1 Credit 7.2	Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat Islands, Roof	1		Credit 7	Certified Wood	1
1 Credit 8	Light Pollution Reduction	1				
			11	Indoor	Environmental Quality Possible Point	s 15
4 Water	Efficiency Possible Points	5				
			Y	Prereg 1	Minimum IAQ Performance	
1 Credit 1.1	Water Efficient Landscaping Reduce by 50%	1	Y	Prereg 2	Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control	
1 Credit 1 2	Water Efficient Landscaping, No Potable Use or No Irrigation	1	1	Credit 1	Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) Monitoring	1
C mdit 2			1	Crodit 2	Ventilation Effectiveness	
Ciedit 2 4	Water Use Poduction 20% Poduction			Credit 2.4	Construction LAO Monorement Plan During Operation	
Ciedit 3.1	Water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction	1	1	Credit 3.1	Construction IAQ Management Plan, During Construction	
1 Credit 3.2	water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction	1	1	Credit 3.2	Construction IAQ management Plan, Belore Occupancy	1
				Credit 4.1	Low-Emitting materials, Adnesives & Sealants	1
7 Energ	y & Atmosphere Possible Points	17	1	Credit 4.2	Low-Emitting Materials, Paints	1
			1	Credit 4.3	Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet	1
Y Prereq 1	Fundamental Building Systems Commissioning			Credit 4.4	Low-Emitting Materials, Composite Wood	1
Y Prereq 2	Minimum Energy Performance		1	Credit 5	Indoor Chemical & Pollutant Source Control	1
Y Prereq 3	CFC Reduction in HVAC&R Equipment			Credit 6.1	Controllability of Systems, Perimeter	1
2 Credit 1.1	Optimize Energy Performance, 20% New / 10% Existing	2	1	Credit 6.2	Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter	1
2 Credit 1.2	Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 20% Existing	2	1	Credit 7.1	Thermal Comfort, Comply with ASHRAE 55-1992	1
1 Credit 1.3	Optimize Energy Performance, 40% New / 30% Existing	2	1	Credit 7.2	Thermal Comfort, Permanent Monitoring System	1
Credit 1.4	Optimize Energy Performance, 50% New / 40% Existing	2		Credit 8.1	Daylight & Views, Daylight 75% of Spaces	1
Credit 1.5	Optimize Energy Performance, 60% New / 50% Existing	2		Credit 8.2	Daylight & Views, Views for 90% of Spaces	1
Credit 2.1	Renewable Energy, 5%	1	L	1	' '	
Credit 2.2	Renewable Energy, 10%	1	3	Innova	tion & Design Process Possible Point	S 5
Credit 2.3	Renewable Energy 20%	1	Ľ			- 3
1 Cmdit 2	Additional Commissioning	1	1	Crodit 1.4	Innovation in Design: Dedicated Ventilation System	-
	Ozone Depletion	1		Crock 1.0	Innovation in Design. Supposite Local Materials (40%)	- '
	Monourement & Verification			Credit 1.2	Innovation in Design: Surpassing Local Materials (40%)	<b>–</b> 1
Credit 5		1	-	Credit 1.3		1
Credit 6	Green Power	1		Credit 1.4	Innovation in Design	1
			1	Credit 2	LEED <sup>™</sup> Accredited Professional	1
41 Proj	ect Total				Possible Point	s <b>69</b>
Certifie	d 26-32 points Silver 33-38 points Gold 39-51 points Platinum 52-	69 point	S			

Note: Credits outlined in Red are considered "high design impact" credits.

Measures that are met based on GSA standards or mandates (no premium)
Measures with no cost premiums, or with potential cost decreases
Measures with low cost premiums (<50K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures with moderate cost premiums (between 50K - 150K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures with high cost premiums (>150K for Models from GSA LEED Cost Study)
Measures not applicable to the project
Measures unlikely to be pursued on typical GSA projects

# Appendix A:

## LEED Scorecard for Evaluating GSA Projects

The following LEED Version 2.1 scorecard is provided for use by GSA project teams in developing and evaluating their project's LEED approach. By engaging the LEED evaluation process early—during the programming and design concepts phases—project teams have the greatest opportunity to pursue integrated design solutions that can deliver life-cycle cost-effective, environmentally responsible, high-performance buildings.

	D version 2.1 Scorecard			Possible Points	s <b>69</b>
Sustai	nable Sites	Possible Points 14	Materia	Is & Resources Possible Points	s 13
Prereq 1	Erosion & Sedimentation Control	Y	Prereq 1	Storage & Collection of Recyclables	Y
Credit 1	Site Selection	1	Credit 1.1	Building Reuse, Maintain 75% of Existing Shell	1
Credit 2	Development Density	1	Credit 1.2	Building Reuse, Maintain 100% of Existing Shell	1
Credit 3	Brownfield Redevelopment	1	Credit 1.3	Building Reuse, Maintain 100% Shell & 50% Non-Shell	1
Credit 4.1	Alternative Transportation, Public Transportati	on Access 1	Credit 2.1	Construction Waste Management, Divert 50%	1
Credit 4.2	Alternative Transportation, Bicycle Storage & 0	Changing Rooms 1	Credit 2.2	Construction Waste Management, Divert 75%	1
Credit 4.3	Alternative Transportation, Alternative Fuel Re	fueling Stations 1	Credit 3.1	Resource Reuse, Specify 5%	1
Credit 4.4	Alternative Transportation, Parking Capacity	1	Credit 3.2	Resource Reuse, Specify 10%	1
Credit 5.1	Reduced Site Disturbance, Protect or Restore	Open Space 1	Credit 4.1	Recycled Content, Specify 5% PC + Pl	1
Credit 5.2	Reduced Site Disturbance, Development Footp	orint 1	Credit 4.2	Recycled Content, Specify 10% PC + PI	1
Credit 6.1	Stormwater Management, Rate and Quantity	1	Credit 5.1	Local/Regional Materials, 20% Manufactured Locally	1
Credit 6.2	Stormwater Management, Treatment	1	Credit 5.2	Local/Regional Materials, of 20% Above, 50% Harvested Locally	1
Credit 7.1	Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat	Islands, Non-Roof 1	Credit 6	Rapidly Renewable Materials	1
Credit 7.2	Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat	Islands, Roof 1	Credit 7	Certified Wood	1
Credit 8	Light Pollution Reduction	1			
			Indoor I	Environmental Quality Possible Points	s <b>15</b>
Water	Efficiency	Possible Points 5			
			Prereq 1	Minimum IAQ Performance	Y
Credit 1.1	Water Efficient Landscaping, Reduce by 50%	1	Prereq 2	Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control	Y
Credit 1.2	Water Efficient Landscaping, No Potable Use	or No Irrigation 1	Credit 1	Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) Monitoring	1
Credit 2	Innovative Wastewater Technologies	1	Credit 2	Ventilation Effectiveness	1
Credit 3.1	Water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction	1	Credit 3.1	Construction IAQ Management Plan, During Construction	1
Credit 3.2	Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction	1	Credit 3.2	Construction IAQ Management Plan, Before Occupancy	1
			Credit 4.1	Low-Emitting Materials, Adhesives & Sealants	1
Energ	y & Atmosphere	Possible Points 17	Credit 4.2	Low-Emitting Materials, Paints	1
			Credit 4.3	Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet	1
Prereq 1	Fundamental Building Systems Commissioni	ng Y	Credit 4.4	Low-Emitting Materials, Composite Wood	1
Prereq 2	Minimum Energy Performance	Y	Credit 5	Indoor Chemical & Pollutant Source Control	1
Prereq 3	CFC Reduction in HVAC&R Equipment	Y	Credit 6.1	Controllability of Systems, Perimeter	1
Credit 1.1	Optimize Energy Performance, 20% New / 10%	6 Existing 2	Credit 6.2	Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter	1
Credit 1.2	Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 20%	6 Existing 2	Credit 7.1	Thermal Comfort, Comply with ASHRAE 55-1992	1
Credit 1.3	Optimize Energy Performance, 40% New / 30%	6 Existing 2	Credit 7.2	Thermal Comfort, Permanent Monitoring System	1
Credit 1.4	Optimize Energy Performance, 50% New / 40%	6 Existing 2	Credit 8.1	Daylight & Views, Daylight 75% of Spaces	1
Credit 1.5	Optimize Energy Performance, 60% New / 50%	6 Existing 2	Credit 8.2	Daylight & Views, Views for 90% of Spaces	1
Credit 2.1	Renewable Energy, 5%	1			
Credit 2.2	Renewable Energy, 10%	1	Innovat	ion & Design Process Possible Points	s 5
Credit 2.3	Renewable Energy, 20%	1			
Credit 3	Additional Commissioning	1	Credit 1.1	Innovation in Design	1
Credit 4	Ozone Depletion	1	Credit 1.2	Innovation in Design	1
Credit 5	Measurement & Verification	1	Credit 1.3	Innovation in Design	1
Credit 6	Green Power	1	Credit 1.4	Innovation in Design	1
			Credit 2	LEED <sup>™</sup> Accredited Professional	1

Certified 26-32 points Silver 33-38 points Gold 39-51 points Platinum 52-69 points

# Appendix B:

## Resources

GSA LEED APPLICATIONS GUIDE

## Organizations, Programs, and Services

# U.S. General Services Administration (GSA), Public Buildings Service, Sustainable Design Program

www.gsa.gov/sustainabledesign

GSA is committed to incorporating principles of sustainable design and energy efficiency into all of its building projects. The result is an optimal balance of cost, environmental, societal, and human benefits while meeting the mission and function of the intended facility. GSA's Sustainable Design Program, through its regional Build Green Coordinators, provides technical assistance and support to GSA project teams for integrating sustainable design as seamlessly as possible into the existing design and construction process.

## U.S. General Services Administration (GSA), Office of Real Property - Sustainable Development Program

www.gsa.gov/sustainabledevelopment

The Office of Real Property, Sustainable Development Program provides access to tools, publications, presentations, and videos developed by GSA to assist agencies in transforming the way they do business.

## U.S. General Services Administration (GSA), Office of Real Property, Integrated Workplace Program

www.gsa.gov/Portal/gsa/ep/channelView.do?pageTypeId=8203&channelPage=%2Fep%2Fchannel%2FgsaO verview.jsp&channelId=-13190

The Integrated Workplace (IW) Program supports GSA's strategic goal to "maintain a world-class workforce and world-class workplace," and provides agencies with information and resources that assist them in developing high-performance workplaces that meet their business needs, are best suited to their employees work functions, and can be readily adapted to accommodate new work practices and strategies with a minimum of expense and delay. The IW website includes access to several GSA publications on the integrated workplace concept, as well as several IW case studies.

## U.S. General Services Administration (GSA) Federal Supply Service (FSS)

www.gsa.gov/fss

GSA facilitates the federal acquisition of environmental products and services through the Federal Supply Service.

#### U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC)

#### www.usgbc.org

The USGBC consists of a coalition of leaders from across the building industry working to promote buildings that are environmentally responsible, profitable, and healthful places to live and work. USGBC and its members developed the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Green Building Rating System<sup>®</sup> as a national consensus-based, market-driven building rating system designed to accelerate the development and implementation of green building practices.

## Publications and Websites

#### U.S. General Services Administration (GSA) LEED® Cost Study, 2004

Available: <u>www.wbdg.org/references/ccbdoc.php?i=280</u>

This major study for GSA defines costs associated with the LEED ratings. Two building types (new construction courthouse and Federal Building modernization) are modeled against two scenarios for each LEED rating (Certification, Silver, Gold), identifying differential costs of construction, design, and documentation/submission requirements.

# U.S. General Services Administration (GSA) Facilities Standards for the Public Buildings Service, P100-2003

Available: <a href="https://www.wbdg.org/references/ccbdoc.php?i=275&r=1">www.wbdg.org/references/ccbdoc.php?i=275&r=1</a>

The Facilities Standards for the Public Buildings Service establishes design standards and criteria for new buildings, major and minor alterations, and work in historic structures for the Public Buildings Service of GSA. This document contains policy and technical criteria to be used in the programming, design, and documentation of GSA buildings.

#### Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Green Building Rating System<sup>®</sup> Available: <u>www.leedbuilding.org</u>

LEED is a voluntary, consensus-based national standard for developing sustainable buildings. LEED® consists of a set of prerequisites and credits that define specific and measurable "green" building criteria. By complying with the prerequisites and a specified number of the available credits, projects can achieve certification as a LEED® Green Building. In GSA building projects, LEED is being used as both a set of criteria and as a measurement tool. As of FY 2000 all new GSA building projects must meet the criteria for basic LEED® certification.

## Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Green Building Rating System<sup>®</sup> Reference Guide for New Construction & Major Renovations

Available: www.leedbuilding.org

A sustainable design guide and user manual for the LEED Green Building Rating System. The *Reference Guide* is a 328-page manual that provides detailed information, resources and standards for the credits covered in LEED. It is intended to help aspiring projects understand the benefits of compliance and apply the criteria.

#### U.S. Courts Design Guide, 4th Edition

Available: <u>www.wbdg.org/references/ccbdoc.php?i=65&r=1</u>

The U.S. Courts Design Guide has been prepared for judges, architects, engineers, and court administrators who will be involved in federal court construction projects. It addresses facilities-related problems caused by heightened security needs, automation of legal processes, and significant caseload increases as well as accommodates the dynamics and complexities of the modern courthouse, and meets demands for high levels of performance.

#### **Design Excellence Program Guide**

Available: www.wbdg.org/references/ccbdoc.php?i=277&r=1

The *Design Excellence Program Guide* clarifies critical elements of the Design Excellence Program and enables GSA officials and staff, GSA clients, architectural/engineering firms hired by GSA, and GSA's private-sector peer professionals to become partners in design excellence and creators of an architectural legacy that all Americans can point to with pride. Use of the *Guide* will help ensure the fairness and integrity of the Design Excellence process and establish the high level of professionalism and respect required among parties to carry a project to a successful and rewarding conclusion. Sustainable Design is one of the evaluation factors for selecting architectural/engineering firms.

#### **Project Planning Guide**

Available:

www.gsa.gov/Portal/gsa/ep/programView.do?pageTypeId=8195&ooid=8149&programPage=%2Fep%2Fprogram%2FgsaDocument.jsp&programId=8307&channelId=-12893

The *Project Planning Guide* was created to assist all of those who develop GSA's Capital Program in evaluating, developing, and implementing federal facilities projects. For GSA staff about to embark on a Feasibility Study or Program Development Study (PDS), this *Guide* outlines the project delivery process and keys to success. For other participants in a Feasibility Study or PDS effort, this *Guide* provides information on how they can support the process, as a team member, expert resource, or intended user of the final product.

#### Whole Building Design Guide (WBDG)

Available: <u>www.wbdg.org</u>

The Whole Building Design Guide (WBDG) is the only knowledge-based Web portal designed to provide government and industry practitioners with one-stop access to up-to-date information on a wide range of federal construction criteria, guidance, and technology from an integrated, or "whole building," perspective. Through dynamic Web technology, the WBDG provides users—design professionals and project managers— an overview of the various topics, concepts, and best practices associated with good whole building design, and then provides a ready means of accessing commercial and government guides, standards, and criteria needed to create such designs. The WBDG contains an entire design objective branch with a number of resource pages related to sustainability and the LEED<sup>®</sup> Green Building Rating System.

#### GreenerBuildings

Available: <u>www.greenerbuildings.com</u>

GreenerBuildings.com is a resource for environmentally responsible building development. It is a resource to help companies of all sizes and sectors understand and address building design, construction, and operation in a way that aligns environmental responsibility with business success. Users can view information by topic areas or by LEED<sup>®</sup> categories.

# Appendix C:

## Summary of LEED Scorecards for Recent GSA Projects

The following table shows the LEED credits pursued or being pursued for a number of recent GSA building projects. ..

U.S. GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION LEED PROJECT CHECKLISTS	COURTHOUSE, YOUNGSTOWN - FINAL	SSA RENOVATION, WOODLAWN- FINAL	SSA CHILD CARE, WOODLAWN- FINAL	EPA TECH CTR, KANSAS CITY	MLK, ATLANTA	MOORHEAD, PITSBURGH	courthouse, little rock	FED BLDG, SAN FRANCISCO	ATF HQ, WASHINGTON, DC	PTO, ALEXANDRIA	EPA LAB, CHELMSFORD V1.0	OKC FED CAMPUS, OKC V1.0	ТАЦТУ (ҮЛТОТАЦ)
Sustainable Sites									V			<b>—</b> ———————————————————————————————————	10/12
Credit 2 Urban Redevelopment	Y	?	N	N	?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	7/12
Credit 3 Brownfield Redevelopment	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	7/12
Credit 4.1 Alternative Transportation, Public Transportation Access	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	?	<b>Y</b>	Y	<b>Y</b>	N		10/12 6/12
Credit 4.3 Alternative Transportation, Alternative Fuel Refueling Stations	N	N	N	?	?	N	N	?	N	N	Ŷ	N	1/12
Credit 4.4 Alternative Transportation, Parking Capacity	?	Y	N	?	Y	Y	?	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	7/12
Credit 5.1 Reduced Site Disturbance, Protect or Restore Open Space	Y	N	Y	Y	? N	N	Ŷ	Ŷ ?	Y	2 N	Y	Y	8/12
Credit 6.1 Stormwater Management, Rate or Quantity	Y	N	Ý	?	?	N	Ý	Y	Ý	N	N	Ŷ	6/12
Credit 6.2 Stormwater Management, Treatment	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	?	Y		3/12
Credit 7.2 Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat Islands, NorRoor	Y	?	?	Y	?	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	6/12
Credit 8 Light Pollution Reduction	Y	?	Y	?	Y	Y	?	Ý	N	?			5/12
Water Efficiency													
Credit 1.1 Water Efficient Landscaping, Reduce by 50%	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	?	Y	$\square$	9/12
Credit 1.2 Water Efficient Landscaping, No Potable Use or No Irrigation	Y	Y	Y	Y 2	N	N	N	? N	? N	N	Y		5/12
Credit 3.1 Water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction	N	N	N	?	?	Y	N	Y	?	N	Y	Y	4/12
Credit 3.2 Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction	N	N	N	N	?	N	N	Y	?	N			1/12
Energy & Atmosphere									_				
Credit 1.1 Optimize Energy Performance, 20% New / 10% Existing	N	Y	Y	?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	?	Y	Y	9/12
Credit 1.2 Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 10% Existing	N	Y	Y	?	?	?	?	Y	?	I N	Y	Y	5/12
Credit 1.2 Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New / 20% Existing	N	Y	Y	?	?	?	?	Y	?	N	Y	Y	5/12
Credit 1.3 Optimize Energy Performance, 40% New / 30% Existing	N	Y	Y	?	N	N	N	Y	?	N	N	N	3/12
Credit 1.3 Optimize Energy Performance, 50% New / 30% Existing	N	N	N	?	N	N	N	Y	I N	N	N		1/12
Credit 1.4 Optimize Energy Performance, 50% New / 40% Existing	N	N	N		N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	1/12
Credit 1.5 Optimize Energy Performance, 60% New / 50% Existing	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	1/12
Credit 2.1 Renewable Energy, 5%	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Ý	?	N		N	1/12
Credit 2.2 Renewable Energy, 10%	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	1/12
Credit 2.3 Renewable Energy, 20% Credit 3 Additional Commissioning	N	N	N 2	N	N	N	2 2	Y	N 2	N	N		1/12
Credit 4 Ozone Depletion	Y	Y	N	?	Y	?	Y	Y	?	?	?	Y	6/12
Credit 5 Measurement & Verification	N	Y	Z	N	?	Y	Y	Y	Y	?	Y	Y	7/12
Credit 6 Green Power	IN	N	N	IN	IN	<u>'</u>	N	1	<u></u>	N	Ť		1/12
Materials & Resources	N		N	N				N		N	N	N	5/12
Credit 1.2 Building Reuse, Maintain 100% of Shell	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Ý	N	N	N	N		3/12
Credit 1.3 Building Reuse, Maintain 100% of Shell & 50% Non-Shell	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	N	0/12
Credit 2.1 Construction Waste Management, Divert 50%	Y	Y	Y	?	?	Y	N	?	N	? N	Y 2	- Y	6/12
Credit 2.2 Construction Waste Management, Diver 75%	N	N	N	N	N	Y	?	N	?	N	N I		1/12
Credit 3.2 Resource Reuse, Specify 10%	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	?	N	N		0/12
Credit 4.1 Recycled Content, Specify 25%	Y	N	Y	?	Y 2	Y 2	?	Y 2	?	? N	Y		7/12
Credit 5.1 Local/Regional Materials, 20% Manufactured Locally	Y	Y	Ý	Y	Y	Y	N	N	?	?	Y	Y	8/12
Credit 5.2 Local/Regional Materials, of 20% Above, 50% Harvested Locally	N	Y	Y	?	?	N	N	N	?	Z :		$\rightarrow$	2/12
Credit 6 Rapidly Renewable Materials Credit 7 Certified Wood	N	N	N	N	?	Y	Y	2 N	?	N ?		+	2/12
Indoor Environmental Quality													· · · ·
Credit 1 Carbon Dioxide Monitoring	Y	Y	N	?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	?	Y	8/12
Credit 2 Increase Ventilation Effectiveness	N	N	?	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y			4/12
Credit 3.1 Construction IAQ Management Plan, During Construction Credit 3.2 Construction IAQ Management Plan, Before Occupancy	Y	Y	Ý	?	Y	?	?	Y	Y	?	Y	Y	7/12
Credit 4.1 Low-Emitting Materials, Adhesives & Sealants	Y	N	Ý	Y	Ý	Y	Y	Ý	?	Y	Y	Y	10/12
Credit 4.2 Low-Emitting Materials, Paints	?	N	N	?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	8/12
Credit 4.3 Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet Credit 4.4 Low-Emitting Materials, Composite Wood	Y	<b>N</b>	N	?	N	?	Y	Y	Y	ř ?	?	+	4/12
Credit 5 Indoor Chemical & Pollutant Source Control	?	N	Y	N	?	N	Ý	Ý	Ý	?	Y	Y	6/12
Credit 6.1 Controllability of Systems, Perimeter	N	N	N	N	?	N	N	N	?	N		+	0/12
Credit 6.2 Controllability of Systems, Non-Perimeter Credit 7.1 Thermal Comfort, Comply with ASHRAE 55-1992	?	Y	Y	?	r Y	?	Y	Y	r Y	Y		+ +	7/12
Credit 7.2 Thermal Comfort, Permanent Monitoring System	?	Y	Y	Y	?	N	Y	Y	Y	?			6/12
Credit 8.1 Daylight & Views, Daylight 75% of Spaces	Y	N	?	N	?	Y	?	Y	?	N		+	3/12
credit 6.2 Daylight & views, views for 90% of spaces		IN		IN	f	IN	IN		<u></u>	IN			2/12
Innovation & Design Process Credit 1.1 Innovation in Design				2	2	N	2		2	N		<b>—</b> ———————————————————————————————————	5/12
Credit 1.2 Innovation in Design	N	?	?	Y	N	N	?	Y	?	N		+	2/12
Credit 1.3 Innovation in Design	N	?	Ν	?	N	N	?	N	?	N			0/12
Credit 1.4 Innovation in Design	N	N	N	?	N		?	N	?	N		┶┷┶	0/12
Crear 2 EEED Accrearies PTORESSIONAL										T			1412
PROJECT TOTALS	Y 27	26	28	18	24	25	25	45	29	11	26	26	
	N 5	0	6	28	22	8	16	8	28	18	5	<u> </u>	·······
LEED Rating Levels       26 - 32     LEED Certified       33 - 38     LEED Certified Silver Level	KEY Y	∕es, pursı ∕laybe, un	ued or wi	ill pursue f will purs	ue or not								



No, not pursued or will not pursue Available credit, but not used



**Smarter Solutions** 

February 2005

GSA Public Buildings Service Office of the Chief Architect

U.S. General Services Administration 1800 F Street, NW Washington, DC 20405 www.gsa.gov