

Ramirez, Angelica

General Public Comment - Group

From: Sandy E <seaston12@comcast.net>
Sent: Saturday, September 11, 2021 6:24 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Conflict of county meeting and election day!



Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Is our County public meeting on our state wide election day? I'm already committed to work elections from 6 am to 9 pm that day. That eliminates my ability to participate in the meeting. Did you consider moving meeting date when election date was set?

Thank you,
Sandra Easton

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Harold Hill <hillhal70@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 11, 2021 2:56 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Opposition to Exxon Trucking Proposal

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Clerk of the Board,

Please deliver this email to the members of the Board and the Planning Commission.

Santa Barbara County's Planning and Development Department has issued a staff report recommending that policymakers approve ExxonMobil's proposal to transport oil by tanker trucks so it can restart three drilling platforms off the California coast. Opponents of the project vow to stop it, starting at Santa Barbara County Planning Commission hearings on the plan set for Sept. 29 and Oct. 1. The report reverses the position staff took last year recommending against the use of hazardous Highway 166 as too dangerous. Just last year a truck hauling petroleum had an accident and spilled petroleum along the Highway 166 portion of the proposed route..

In my opinion, County staff are prioritizing Exxon's profits over protecting Californians from deadly oil tanker truck accidents. Why else would they suddenly drop their concerns about hazardous Highway 166 and its history of trucking accidents? Left to its own devices, Exxon won't hesitate to usher in climate chaos, more offshore oil spills and explosive tanker truck crashes. I urge the Board and the Planning Commission to do everything they can to stop this dangerous project.

The revised final environmental impact report now being considered by county officials concludes there would be significant, unavoidable impacts from the project, including significant impacts on wildlife, water and cultural resources in the event of an oil spill from a tanker truck. The document fails to analyze the numerous harmful impacts of bringing Exxon's offshore platforms back online. Offshore oil development also poses unacceptable risks of spills and air and water pollution. Oil spills along the Santa Barbara coastline threaten a wide range of federally protected endangered species, including blue whales, sea otters and California tiger salamanders.

Best regards,

Harold "Hal" Hill

Santa Barbara County

(805) 680-7528

Ramirez, Angelica

From: K T <ktamazon@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, September 13, 2021 11:23 AM
To: Ramirez, Angelica
Cc: Ron Buckley
Subject: PC 09-14-21
Attachments: BOS 09-14--21.docx

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Angelica,
Please submit my attached public comment into the record. I will make comment in person on Tuesday and register at 9am.
Katie Mickey

Dear County Board of Supervisors,

Over the last 18 months of public comment, Safe Technology for Santa Barbara County has shared with you the need and the legal means to set restrictions based on Federal Law on the placement of small cell wireless antennas.

Public health is our counties priority, yet the Telecommunications Act forbids you to make policy decisions based on biological harm considerations. However, there are many other reasons you are allowed to base your decisions.

By its own admission, the FCC does not “have the resources” to test the radiation emissions from wireless facilities, wireless companies are free to cause their facilities to emit any levels of radiation they choose. The potential danger posed to citizens due to the utter void of actual FCC oversight over radiation emission levels is exacerbated by the fact that applicants seeking zoning approvals often file false FCC compliance reports. These reports falsely claim that a proposed facility will be FCC compliant, when in reality, the facility may expose members of the general public to radiation levels that exceed the FCC’s limits by several hundred percent or more.

The County Code as it stands has no teeth to stop the rollout of 5G small cell wireless infrastructure within feet of residents home. The officials who are charged to review small cell applications hands are tied, not by the TCA but by our own codes abdication of establishing grounds of denial for these applications. Our officials reviewing applications can only deny these applications if we state grounds for denial upfront in our County Code and provide adequate training.

Andrew Campnelli, a leading national telecom attorney, has prepared this 49 page memorandum Federal Law based analysis of Santa Barbara City’s Draft Ordinance for Safe Technology for Santa Barbara County. This document provides a detailed and sophisticated understanding of the legal means to deny applications and ensure Smart City planning based on the Telecommunications Act and our zoning laws.

In follow up to my comment, I am emailing each of you Andrew Campanelli’s Federal Law based analysis. My request is that you read the analysis and that you meet with Safe Tech to discuss amending our County Code.

Katie Mickey

Vice President of Safe Technology for Santa Barbara County