

Attachment 3: CEQA Checklist



State CEQA Guidelines § 15168(c)(4) Checklist for Commercial Cannabis Land Use Entitlement and Licensing Applications

A. Purpose

On February 6, 2018, the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors certified a programmatic environmental impact report (PEIR) that analyzed the environmental impacts of the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program (Program). The PEIR was prepared in accordance with the State CEQA Guidelines (§ 15168) and evaluated the Program's impacts with regard to the following environmental resources and subjects:

- Aesthetics and Visual Resources
- Agricultural Resources
- Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions
- Biological Resources
- Cultural Resources
- Geology and Soils
- Hazards and Hazardous Materials
- Hydrology and Water Quality
- Land Use
- Noise
- Transportation and Traffic
- Utilities and Energy Conservation
- Population, Employment, and Housing

The PEIR evaluated the direct and indirect impacts, as well as the project-specific and cumulative impacts, that would result from the implementation of the Program. The PEIR identified a number of significant impacts and set forth feasible mitigation measures that were included as development standards and requirements in the land use and licensing ordinances, which are applied to site-specific land use entitlement and business licensing applications for commercial cannabis operations authorized under the Program.

The following checklist was prepared pursuant to the State CEQA Guidelines (§ 15168(c)(4)) to document the evaluation of the sites and activities that are the subject of land use entitlement and business licensing applications for commercial cannabis operations authorized under the Program, in order to determine whether the environmental effects of proposed commercial cannabis operations are within the scope of the PEIR.

B. Project Description

Please provide the following project information.

1. Land Use Entitlement Case Number(s): 19DVP-00000-00020
2. Business Licensing Ordinance Case Number(s): _____
3. Project Applicant(s): Creekside Blooms Nursery
4. Property Owner(s): VWV, LLC

5. Project Site Location and Tax Assessor Parcel Number(s): 3508 Via Real, Carpinteria; APN: 005-280-025

6. Project Description:

The Proposed Project includes a request to allow 4.15 acres of cannabis cultivation, consisting of 3.96 acres (172,660 sq. ft.) of mixed-light mature cannabis planting, growing, and harvesting in two existing 3.96-acre permitted greenhouses and 0.19 acres (8,276 sq. ft.) of processing within 0.28 acres of processing, packing, and shipping buildings consisting of permitted and as-built development located between the two greenhouses. Processing will consist of drying, trimming, storage, and packaging. Other activities occurring in the central building will be cannabis and equipment storage, employee breakroom, bathrooms and administrative offices. Cannabis will be taken offsite by a licensed third-party distributor.

There will be up to 50 full-time, year-round line or contract employees, Monday through Saturday. Planting and harvesting will take place continually throughout the year. Hours of operation will be from 6:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. Monday through Friday, and 6:30 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. on Saturday. There will continue to be 39 parking spaces located onsite. The premises will be fenced by 8-ft.-tall fencing consisting of 7-ft.-tall chain link fence with privacy slats topped with one foot of outward leaning strands of barbed wire. Existing chain link fencing located within the environmentally sensitive habitat (ESH) buffer area will be replaced with barbed wire wildlife-friendly fencing where the ESH buffer area is being restored.

Exterior lighting will be downward facing, fully shielded, and mounted at a maximum height of 8 ft. The greenhouses have a mechanized blackout screen system within the growing area to prevent interior night lighting (between sunset and sunrise) from being visible outside of the structure.

The cannabis operation will be equipped with the leading active odor control technology(s) currently available to prevent cannabis nuisance odors from drifting offsite and impacting protected receptors (i.e. residential zoning). These odor control systems are described in detail within the Proposed Project's certified Odor Abatement Plan. Changes to the Odor Abatement Plan will be processed in coordination with the County and may require changes to this permit or a new permit. The Applicant has agreed to observe a set of Community Odor Guidelines that were developed through collaboration between the Cannabis Association for Responsible Producers (CARP Growers) and The Coalition for Responsible Cannabis (Coalition). These Guidelines are not part of the Project Description and not enforceable by the County, but reflect a collaborative effort to ensure that cannabis cultivation can be a sustainable element of Carpinteria's unique community, and are a foundation of the Coalition's decision to support this Project.

The Proposed Project includes a request to increase the height of one permitted 87,120-sq.-ft. (2-acre) greenhouse from 15-ft.-1-in. to 22-ft. and one permitted 85,378-sq.-ft. (1.96-acre) greenhouse from 17-ft.-5-in. to 22-ft., install one new 105,669-gallon buffer water tank for use with the boiler, install one new 25,360-gallon water tank, legalize existing as-built development, demolish portions of existing development.

The following development will be legalized:

- One 1,585-sq.-ft. as-built addition used for walkways and hallways connected to the processing building
- 2,784 sq. ft. of as-built employee support services areas consisting of a 362-sq.-ft. bathroom, a 778-sq.-ft. break room on the ground level and a 1,644-sq.-ft. office on the mezzanine level of the processing building
- One 58-sq.-ft. as-built equipment storage shed
- One 2,362-sq.-ft. as-built irrigation room proposed to also be used as a boiler room
- One 1,089-sq.-ft. as-built processing building
- One 2,421-sq.-ft. as-built cannabis storage structure
- One 25,360-gallon water tank
- Three 36,984-gallon water tanks

The following development will be demolished:

- One 105,669-gallon as-built water tank
- One 3,663-sq.-ft. as-built boiler and processing room
- 35,750 sq. ft. of as-built greenhouse
- 3,240 sq. ft. of permitted greenhouse
- 326 sq. ft. of as-built storage containers
- Three 5,283-gallon wastewater tanks

The Proposed Project includes a request for a setback modification to reduce the 100-ft. setback from the Arroyo Paredon top-of-bank and the 20-ft. setback from the southern property line required by the Carpinteria Agricultural Overlay in order to allow the following development:

- Portions of two existing and permitted nonconforming greenhouses within the 100-ft setback to be increased in height to 22-ft.-tall
- One as-built 25,360-gallon water tank located 16 ft. from the southern property line
- Three as-built 36,984-gallon water tanks located 13 ft. from the southern property line
- One new 25,360-gallon water tank located 16 ft. from the southern property line

The septic system will be upgraded and a new 715 sq. ft. underground detention system will be constructed for storm water purposes. These activities will require approximately 120 cubic yards of grading. No native trees or vegetation will be removed. New landscaping will be planted to further screen the property and enhance native riparian vegetation in the ESH buffer.

The Proposed Project also includes a request to allow a 12,379 sq. ft. packing and shipping facility located between the two existing permitted greenhouses. The facility consists of two permitted structures totaling 4,500 sq. ft. in size as well as 7,879 sq. ft. of as-built additions that will be legalized with approval of the Development Plan. Uses within the packing and shipping facility will include packing, shipping, processing, packaging, storage, office, restrooms, and employee break areas.

Access to the site will continue to be provided from Via Real via an existing 28-ft.-wide paved driveway across neighboring parcels to the southwest. Wastewater treatment will be provided by an upgraded

septic system located in the southern portion of the parcel. Restrooms are located in the processing/central building. Water will continue to be provided by an existing Carpinteria Valley Water District connection. Fire protection will be provided by the Carpinteria/Summerland Fire District, and law enforcement will be provided by the County Sheriff’s Office. The property is an 8.96-acre parcel zoned Agricultural I (AG-I-10), shown as Assessor’s Parcel Number 005-280-025, located at 3508 Via Real in the Toro Canyon Community Plan in the Carpinteria area, First Supervisorial District.

C. PEIR Mitigation Measures/Requirements for Commercial Cannabis Operations

The following table lists the specific mitigation measures set forth in the PEIR and questions to determine if the proposed commercial cannabis operation requires the preparation of a subsequent environmental impact report or negative declaration. Please answer all questions set forth in the following table; Planning and Development Department (P&D) staff complete § C.1 and County Executive Office (CEO) staff complete § C.2. If a question does not apply to the proposed cannabis operation, please check the corresponding “N/A” box.

C.1 Mitigation Measures/Requirements for P&D Staff Review

Mitigation Measure/Requirement	Code/Plan Sections*	Requirement
Aesthetics and Visual Resources		
MM AV-1. Screening Requirements	LUDC § 35.42.075.C.3	Is the proposed cannabis operation visible from a public viewing location? ✓ Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	Article II § 35-144U.C.3	If so, does the proposed project include implementation of the required landscape and screening plan? ✓ Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
Agricultural Resources		
MM AG-1. Cannabis Cultivation Prerequisite Ancillary Use Licenses	LUDC §§ 35.42.075.D.3 and -4	Does the proposed project include ancillary cannabis uses (e.g., manufacturing of cannabis products)? ✓ Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	Article II § 35-144U.C.2.a and -3.a	If the proposed project includes ancillary cannabis uses, does the proposed project comply with the minimum cultivation requirements to allow ancillary cannabis uses? ✓ Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No ✓ N/A
MM AG-2. New Structure Avoidance of Prime Soils	LUDC § 35.42.075.D.1.b	Does the proposed project site have prime soils located on it? ✓ Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	Article II § 35-144U.C.1.b	Does the proposed project involve structural development? ✓ Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If the proposed project involves structural development, are the structures sited and designed

Mitigation Measure/Requirement	Code/Plan Sections*	Requirement
		to avoid prime soils? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions		
MM AQ-3. Cannabis Site Transportation Demand Management	LUDC § 35.42.075.D.1.j	Does the proposed project include cannabis cultivation? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	Article II § 35-144U.1.j	If so, does the project include implementation of the required Site Transportation Demand Management Plan? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
MM AQ-5. Odor Abatement Plan	LUDC § 35.42.075.C.6	<i>This mitigation measure/requirement does not apply to projects in the AG-II zone, unless a Conditional Use Permit is required for the proposed commercial cannabis operation.</i>
	Article II § 35-144U.C.6	Does the proposed project include cannabis cultivation, a nursery, manufacturing, microbusiness, and/or distribution? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If so, does the project include implementation of the required odor abatement plan? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
Biological Resources		
MM BIO-1a. Tree Protection Plan	LUDC § 35.42.075.C.8 and Appendix J	Does the proposed project involve development within proximity to, alteration of, or the removal of, a native tree? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	Article II § 35-144.C.8 and Appendix G	If so, does the project include implementation of the required tree protection plan? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
MM BIO-1b. Habitat Protection Plan	LUDC § 35.42.075.C.8 and Appendix J	<i>Inland.</i> Will the project result in the removal of native vegetation or other vegetation in an area that has been identified as having a medium to high potential of being occupied by a special-status wildlife species, nesting bird, or a Federal or State-listed special-status plant species? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A If so, does the project include implementation of the required habitat protection plan? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A

Mitigation Measure/Requirement	Code/Plan Sections*	Requirement
	Article II § 35-144.C.8 and Appendix G	<p><i>Coastal.</i> Does the project involve development within environmentally sensitive habitat (ESH) and/or ESH buffers? ✓ Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> <p>If so, does the project include implementation of the required habitat protection plan? ✓ Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p>
MM HWR-1a. Cannabis Waste Discharge Requirements Draft General Order	LUDC § 35.42.075.D.1.d	Does the proposed project involve cannabis cultivation? ✓ Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	Article II § 35-144U.C.1.d	If so, did the applicant submit documentation from the State Water Resources Control Board demonstrating compliance with the comprehensive Cannabis Cultivation Policy? ✓ Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
MM BIO-3. Wildlife Movement Plan	LUDC § 35.42.075.C.8 and Appendix J	Is the proposed project site located in or near a wildlife movement area? ✓ Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	Article II § 35-144.C.8 and Appendix G	If so, does the project include implementation of the required wildlife movement plan? ✓ Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
Cultural Resources		
MM CR-1. Preservation MM CR-2. Archaeological and Paleontological Surveys	LUDC § 35.42.075.C.1	Does the proposed project involve development within an area that has the potential for cultural resources to be located within it? ✓ Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	Article II §§ 35-144U.C.1 and 35-65	<p>If so, was a Phase I cultural study prepared? ✓ Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> <p>If so, did the Phase I cultural study require a Phase II cultural study? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes ✓ No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> <p>If so, does the project involve implementation of cultural resource preservation measures set forth in the Phase II cultural study? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No ✓ N/A</p>
Hazards and Hazardous Materials		
MM HAZ-3. Volatile Manufacturing Employee Training Plan	LUDC § 35.42.075.D.4.c	Does the proposed project involve volatile manufacturing of cannabis products? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes ✓ No
	Article II § 35-144U.C.3.c	If so, does the project involve implementation of the required Volatile Manufacturing Employee Training Plan? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No ✓ N/A

Mitigation Measure/Requirement	Code/Plan Sections*	Requirement
Hydrology and Water Quality Impacts		
MM HWR-1. Cannabis Waste Discharge Requirements General Order	<i>See the Biological Resources items, above.</i>	
MM BIO-1b. Cannabis Waste Discharge Requirements General Order	<i>See the Biological Resources items, above.</i>	
Land Use Impacts		
MM LU-1. Public Lands Restriction	LUDC § 35.42.075.D.1.h	Does the proposed project involve cannabis cultivation on public lands? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
	Article II § 35-144U.C.1.h	
MM AQ-3. Cannabis Site Transportation Demand Management	<i>See the Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions items, above.</i>	
MM AQ-5. Odor Abatement Plan	<i>See the Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions items, above.</i>	
MM TRA-1. Payment of Transportation Impact Fees	County Ordinance No. 4270	Is the proposed project subject to the countywide, Goleta, or Orcutt development impact fee ordinance? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If so, did the applicant pay the requisite fee? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
Compliance with Comprehensive Plan Environmental Resource Protection Policies	LUDC § 35.10.020.B	<i>All cannabis applications.</i> Does the proposed project comply with all applicable environmental resource protection policies set forth in the Comprehensive Plan? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	CLUP Chapter 3, § 3.1 and Policy 1-4	<i>Coastal cannabis applications.</i> Does the proposed project comply with all applicable coastal resources protection policies set forth in the Coastal Land Use Plan? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
Noise		
MM AQ-3. Cannabis Site Transportation Demand Management	<i>See the Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions items, above.</i>	
Transportation and Traffic		
MM AQ-3. Cannabis Site Transportation Demand Management	<i>See the Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions items, above.</i>	
MM TRA-1. Payment of	<i>See the Land Use Impacts items, above.</i>	

Mitigation Measure/Requirement	Code/Plan Sections*	Requirement
Transportation Impact Fees		
Unusual Project Site Characteristics and Development Activities		
Activities and Impacts within the Scope of the Program/PEIR	State CEQA Guidelines § 15168(c)(1)	<p>Does the proposed project involve a project site with sensitive or unusual environmental characteristics, or require unusual development activities, which will result in a significant environmental impact that was not evaluated in the PEIR? Examples of unusual environmental characteristics or development activities which might cause a significant environmental impact include, but are not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • construction of a bridge across a riparian corridor that supports listed species protected under the Federal or California endangered species acts, in order to gain access to a project site; • structural development that cannot be screened from a public viewing location pursuant to the requirements of PEIR mitigation measure MM AV-1 (Screening Requirements); or • development activities that will have a significant impact on cultural resources, which cannot be mitigated to a less-than-significant level pursuant to the County's <i>Environmental Thresholds and Guidelines Manual</i> (March 2018). <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>

LUDC = Land Use and Development Code; Chapter 35, Article 35.1 et seq., of the Santa Barbara County Code
 Article II = Coastal Zoning Ordinance; Chapter 35, Article II, § 35-50 et seq., of the Santa Barbara County Code
 CLUP = Santa Barbara County Coastal Land Use Plan
 State CEQA Guidelines = California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, § 15000 et seq.


C.1.1 Environmental Document Determination

Check the appropriate box below, based on the responses to the questions and requests for information set forth in the checklist in § C.1, above, and pursuant to the requirements set forth in State CEQA Guidelines §§ 15162 and 15168.

- ✓ All of the environmental impacts of the proposed commercial cannabis operation are within the scope of the PEIR, and a subsequent environmental document is not required to evaluate the environmental impacts of the proposed commercial cannabis operation.

- Certification is certification and the PEIR is certified for all purposes.
 - The PEIR's certification is not limited to particular purposes or particular areas of the County.
 - The Coastal Commission considered the County's PEIR, and reached their own conclusion using their certified regulatory program, and found the PEIR consistent with the County of Santa Barbara's Local Coastal Program.
 - When the County of Santa Barbara takes action on cannabis entitlements in the Coastal Zone, the County of Santa Barbara relies on both the PEIR and the Local Coastal Program in making consistency findings.
- The proposed commercial cannabis operation will have environmental effects that were not examined in the PEIR, and an initial study must be prepared to determine whether a subsequent environmental impact report or negative declaration must be prepared.

Gwen Beyeler
Name of Preparer of § C.1


Signature of Preparer of § C.1

May 11, 2022
Date

C.2 Mitigation Measures/Requirements for CEO Staff Review

Mitigation Measure/Requirement	Code/Plan Sections*	Requirement
Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions		
MM UE-2a. Energy Conservation Best Management Practices	BLO § 50-10(b)	Does the proposed project include the implementation of the required energy conservation plan? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MM UE-2b. Participation in a Renewable Energy Choice Program	BLO § 50-10(b)2.ii	Does the proposed project include participation in a renewable energy choice program to meet the applicable energy reduction goals for the proposed project? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
MM UE-2c. Plan review by the County Green Building Committee	BLO § 50-10(b)2.iii.K	Did the County Green Building Committee review the proposed project? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A If so, does the proposed project conform to the recommendations of the County Green Building Committee? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
Utilities and Energy Conservation		
MM UE-2a. Energy Conservation Best Management Practices	<i>See the Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions items, above.</i>	
MM UE-2b. Participation in a Renewable Energy Program	<i>See the Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions items, above.</i>	
MM UE-2c. Licensing by the County Green Building Committee	<i>See the Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions items, above.</i>	
Unusual Project Site Characteristics and Development Activities		
Activities and Impacts within the Scope of the Program/PEIR	State CEQA Guidelines § 15168(c)(1)	Does the proposed project involve a project site with sensitive or unusual environmental characteristics, or require unusual development activities, which will result in a significant environmental impact that was not evaluated in the PEIR? Examples of unusual environmental characteristics or development activities which might cause a significant environmental impact include, but are not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • construction of a bridge across a riparian corridor that supports listed species protected under the Federal or California endangered species acts, in order to gain

Mitigation Measure/Requirement	Code/Plan Sections*	Requirement
		access to a project site; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • structural development that cannot be screened from a public viewing location pursuant to the requirements of PEIR mitigation measure MM AV-1 (Screening Requirements); or • development activities that will have a significant impact on cultural resources, which cannot be mitigated to a less-than-significant level pursuant to the County's <i>Environmental Thresholds and Guidelines Manual</i> (March 2018). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

* BLO = Commercial Cannabis Business Licensing Ordinance; Chapter 50, § 50-1 et seq., of the Santa Barbara County Code
 State CEQA Guidelines = California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, § 15000 et seq.

C.2.1 Environmental Document Determination

Check the appropriate box below, based on the responses to the questions and requests for information set forth in the checklist in § C.2, above, and pursuant to the requirements set forth in State CEQA Guidelines §§ 15162 and 15168.

- All of the environmental impacts of the proposed commercial cannabis operation are within the scope of the PEIR, and a subsequent environmental document is not required to evaluate the environmental impacts of the proposed commercial cannabis operation.
- The proposed commercial cannabis operation will have environmental effects that were not examined in the PEIR, and an initial study must be prepared to determine whether a subsequent environmental impact report or negative declaration must be prepared.

 Name of Preparer of § C.2

 Signature of Preparer of § C.2

 Date

Attachment 1

Additional Information for the Proposed Cannabis Activity CEQA Environmental Determination

The following discussion supports the determinations made in the Checklist for the VWV LLC Case No. 19DVP-00000-00020 (Proposed Project), pursuant to the requirements of the State CEQA Guidelines §§ 15168(c) and 15162. The State CEQA Guidelines §§ 15168(c)(1) and -(2) state:

(1) If a later activity would have effects that were not examined in the program EIR, a new Initial Study would need to be prepared leading to either an EIR or a Negative Declaration. That later analysis may tier from the program EIR as provided in Section 15152.

(2) If the agency finds that pursuant to Section 15162, no subsequent EIR would be required, the agency can approve the activity as being within the scope of the project covered by the program EIR, and no new environmental document would be required. Whether a later activity is within the scope of a program EIR is a factual question that the lead agency determines based on substantial evidence in the record. Factors that an agency may consider in making that determination include, but are not limited to, consistency of the later activity with the type of allowable land use, overall planned density and building intensity, geographic area analyzed for environmental impacts, and covered infrastructure, as described in the program EIR.

The requirements of the State CEQA Guidelines § 15168 and 15162 are set forth below, along with an analysis of the Proposed Project with regard to these requirements. The following analysis supplements the information set forth in the State CEQA Guidelines § 15168 checklist prepared for the Proposed Project.

State CEQA Guidelines § 15168(c)(1)

As discussed below, the PEIR analyzed the environmental impacts of the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program. The effects of this particular Project were anticipated and examined in the PEIR and there are no project-specific effects that were not examined in the program EIR. Therefore, no new initial study is required and the PEIR can be relied upon for this Project based upon the checklist prepared pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines 15168(c)(4).

State CEQA Guidelines § 15162

State CEQA Guidelines § 15162 states that when a lead agency has prepared an EIR for a project, no subsequent EIR shall be prepared for that project unless the lead agency determines, on the basis of substantial evidence in the light of the whole record, that certain conditions exist. The specific conditions that warrant the preparation of a subsequent EIR are set forth below, with an analysis of the proposed project immediately following the respective condition.

- (1) Substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or negative declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.**

The Proposed Project includes a request for a commercial cannabis cultivation activity that was anticipated and evaluated in the PEIR. The Proposed Project site is zoned AG-I-10, which is one of the zones that was evaluated for proposed cannabis cultivation activities in the PEIR (PEIR page 2-36, Table 2-5). Furthermore, the South Coast region in which the Proposed Project site is located was one of five regions identified in the PEIR for organizing the data and analyzing the impacts of the Program (Ibid, page 2-5).

As discussed below, the Proposed Project consists of an activity the impacts of which were disclosed in the PEIR. Mixed-light cultivation within greenhouses is a cannabis activity that was anticipated to occur on AG-I-10 zoned lands, such as the AG-II zoned lands which exist in the Lompoc region on which the Proposed Project site is located. The PEIR evaluated the potential increases in employment, traffic, noise, air emissions (including odors), etc., that would result from the Proposed Project and other commercial cannabis activities allowed under the Program. The PEIR further disclosed that cannabis projects may require the demolition or substantial retrofitting of existing structures, and analyzed and mitigated the potential impacts of demolition related to hazardous materials, solid waste, and other resource categories. The Proposed Project includes new structures and the PEIR reviewed cultivation within greenhouses. There is nothing unusual about the Proposed Project.

Therefore, the Proposed Project will not result in substantial changes to the Program which will require major revisions of the PEIR, due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.

(2) Substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.

Currently, there are approximately 12 other land use entitlement applications involving proposed or permitted cannabis cultivation located south of Foothill Road and west of Cravens Lane (Santa Barbara County Interactive Map for Cannabis, available at <https://sbcopad.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=f287d128ab684ba4a87f1b9cf438f91>, accessed on May 11, 2022). The PEIR anticipated that certain areas in which cannabis activities historically have occurred would continue to experience cannabis activities under the Program. Furthermore, the PEIR projected the demand for cannabis cultivation that could occur under the Program (i.e., 1,126 acres of cultivation countywide), based on information that was known at the time the PEIR was prepared. The Program that was analyzed in the PEIR did not include a cap or other requirement to limit either the concentration or total amount of cannabis activities that could occur within any of the zones that were under consideration for cannabis activities (PEIR, pages 3-3, 3-5, 3-12, 3.1-19, and 3.12-26).¹ Although the PEIR did not predict the specific commercial cannabis applications on the properties located on and around the Proposed

¹ The PEIR states, "...[T]he impact analysis in this EIR assumes that **future cannabis activity licenses would not be limited under the Project**, with the total area permitted to be unincorporated areas Countywide that are under County jurisdiction (excludes incorporated cities, state, federal, and tribal lands) (PEIR, page 3-5, emphasis added)."

Project site, the programmatic analysis was broad enough to account for this pattern of development that has resulted from the Program. Therefore, the number and/or location of the commercial cannabis activities that have been either permitted or are currently under consideration within the general area of the Proposed Project site, do not constitute a substantial change with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken.

Furthermore, the potential concentration of cannabis activities near the Proposed Project site will not create new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects evaluated in the PEIR. The PEIR evaluated the cumulative impacts to which cannabis activities, as well as other pending, recently approved, and reasonably foreseeable non-cannabis projects, would contribute (Ibid, page 3-11, Section 3.0.4). The PEIR concluded that unavoidable and significant (Class I) impacts would result from the Program with regard to the following environmental resources or issues:

- Aesthetics and visual resources
- Agricultural resources
- Air quality (including odor impacts)
- Noise
- Transportation and traffic

The Board of Supervisors adopted a Statement of Overriding Considerations concluding that the benefits of the Program outweigh the unavoidable adverse environmental effects identified above.

For this Project, the subject parcel is minimally visible to public viewing areas on Via Real. The two new water tanks will not be visible to public viewing areas. The permitted greenhouse will be increased in height from 15 ft. to 22 ft., and with proposed landscape screening, the greenhouse will not be visible to public viewing areas. All cultivation will take place in the permitted greenhouse and processing will take place in the permitted and as-built processing building area. The Odor Abatement Plan will consist of regenerative carbon scrubbers in the greenhouses and carbon filtration in the processing building area. Existing vapor phase system will also be used onsite until it is not needed. Noise-emitting equipment would produce decibel levels well under 65 at the property lines. According to the Site Transportation Demand Management Plan, employees would be incentivized to rideshare. The site includes adequate onsite parking. The proposed Habitat Protection Plan, Tree Protection Plan, and Wildlife Movement Plan will ensure less than significant impacts to the ESH buffer area and Arroyo Paredon Creek. Water use will be 11.33 AFY compared to 12.32 AFY used for the previous cut flower operation onsite. The Proposed Project also includes water efficiency measures including drip irrigation and water recirculation. The Proposed Project would be subject to the mitigation measures set forth in the PEIR to reduce the Proposed Project's contribution to these cumulative impacts.

These are not new impacts resulting from a substantial change in the Program. As stated above, the Proposed Project is an activity that was anticipated to result from the Program and, consequently, the impacts associated with the Proposed Project were disclosed in the PEIR. As such, the PEIR analysis of cumulative impacts accounted for the impacts from the Proposed Project.

Therefore, no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the Project is undertaken under the Program which will require major revisions of the PEIR, due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.

(3) New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete or the Negative Declaration was adopted, shows any of the following:

(A) The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR or negative declaration;

The PEIR evaluated the direct and indirect impacts of the Program as well as cumulative impacts that would result from the implementation of the Program. More specifically, the PEIR identified the following unavoidably significant (Class I) impacts that would result from the Program:

- Cumulative impacts to aesthetics and visual resources
- Cumulative impacts to agricultural resources
- Project-specific and cumulative impacts to air resources (including odors)
- Project-specific and cumulative noise impacts
- Project-specific and cumulative transportation and traffic impacts

The PEIR also identified the following significant but mitigable (Class II) impacts that would result from the Program:

- Project-specific impacts to aesthetics and visual resources
- Project-specific impacts to agricultural resources
- Project-specific and cumulative impacts to biological resources
- Project-specific impacts to cultural resources
- Project-specific impacts related to hazards and hazardous materials
- Project-specific impacts related to hydrology and water quality
- Project-specific land use impacts
- Project-specific impacts related to utilities and energy conservation

The PEIR identified a number of mitigation measures to reduce the significant impacts that would result from the implementation of the Program. The mitigation measures were included as development standards and other regulations of Chapters 35 and 50 of the County Code, which are applied to commercial cannabis activities resulting from the Program. As shown in Section C of the State CEQA Guidelines § 15168(c)(4) checklist that was prepared for the Proposed Project, the Proposed Project would be subject to the applicable mitigation measures that were included as development standards and other regulations of Chapters 35 and 50 of the County Code.

As stated above, the PEIR did not assume that there would be a cap or other limitation on activities or location. Therefore, although the PEIR did not predict the specific commercial

cannabis applications on the properties located on and around the Proposed Project site, the programmatic analysis was broad enough to account for this pattern of development that has resulted from the Program. Furthermore, the concentration of commercial cannabis activities will not result in a new significant impact which was not disclosed in the PEIR. The cumulative impacts associated with aesthetics and visual resources, agricultural resources, air resources (including odors), noise, and traffic resulting from the Proposed Project and other proposed projects located within proximity to the Proposed Project site were discussed in the PEIR.

The Project includes implementation of a Site Transportation Demand Management Plan, Landscape and Screening Plan, Fencing and Security Plan, Odor Abatement Plan, Lighting Plan, Noise Plan, Wildlife Movement Plan, and Habitat Protection Plan. As such, the Proposed Project will not have any new impacts which were not discussed in the PEIR, because there is nothing unusual about the proposed development or the project site.

Therefore, there is no new information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the PEIR was certified, which shows that the Proposed Project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the PEIR.

(B) Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR;

As stated above, the Proposed Project consists of a cannabis activity that was analyzed as part of the Program studied in the PEIR. There are no unique features of the Proposed Project such that the Proposed Project could cause more severe impacts than shown in the PEIR. The PEIR analyzed the impacts of mixed light cultivation within greenhouses on AG-I-10 zoned lots within the South Coast region. As shown in Section C of the State CEQA Guidelines § 15168(c)(4) checklist that was prepared for the Proposed Project, the Proposed Project complies with the applicable mitigation measures.

Furthermore, the PEIR did not assume that there would be a cap or other limitation on activities or location. Although the PEIR did not predict the specific commercial cannabis applications on the properties located on and around the Proposed Project site, the programmatic analysis was broad enough to account for this pattern of development, and disclosed the corresponding impacts that would result.

Therefore, there is no new information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the PEIR was certified, which shows that significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the PEIR.

(C) Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative; or

There are no mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible that would in fact be feasible and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the Proposed Project which are available at this time for the project proponents to consider.

(D) Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.

There is no new information which was not known and could not have been known at the time the PEIR was certified that shows any mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR which would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment. The Project includes 3.96 acres of planting, growing and harvesting and 0.19 acres of processing. A new detention basin would enhance storm water management associated with the existing greenhouses. The project applicant agrees to adopt all applicable mitigation measures as demonstrated by Section C.1 of the 15168(c)(4) Checklist hereby incorporated into this attachment.

**Attachment 2 –
Board of Supervisor Findings and Statement of
Overriding Consideration for Cannabis Land Use
Ordinances**

ATTACHMENT 2

FINDINGS FOR APPROVAL AND STATEMENT OF OVERRIDING CONSIDERATION CANNABIS LAND USE ORDINANCES

February 6, 2018

**Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00004, 17ORD-00000-00010, 17ORD-00000-00009,
18ORD-00000-00001, and 17EIR-00000-00003**

1.0 CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) FINDINGS

1.1 FINDINGS PURSUANT TO PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE SECTION 21081 AND THE CEQA GUIDELINES SECTIONS 15090, 15091, AND 15163:

1.1.1 CONSIDERATION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

The Board of Supervisors (Board) find that the Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Report (EIR) (17EIR-00000-00003) dated December 2017, and EIR Revision Letter (RV 01), dated January 4, 2018, were presented to the Board and all voting members of the Board reviewed and considered the information contained in the EIR and its appendices and RV 01 prior to approving the project. In addition, all voting members of the Board have reviewed and considered testimony and additional information presented at, or prior to, its public hearings. The EIR, appendices, and RV 01 reflect the independent judgment and analysis of the Board and are adequate for this project. Attachments 7 and 8, of the Board letter, dated February 6, 2018, are incorporated herein by reference.

1.1.2 FULL DISCLOSURE

The Board finds and certifies that the EIR, appendices, and RV 01 constitute a complete, accurate, adequate, and good faith effort at full disclosure pursuant to CEQA. The Board further finds and certifies that the EIR, appendices, and RV 01 were completed in compliance with CEQA.

1.1.3 LOCATION OF RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

The documents and other materials which constitute the record of proceedings upon which this decision is based are in the custody of the Planning and Development Department located at 123 East Anapamu Street, Santa Barbara, CA 93101.

1.1.4 ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTING AND MONITORING PROGRAM

Public Resources Code Section 21081.6 and CEQA Guidelines Section 15091(d) and 15097 require the County to adopt a reporting or monitoring program for the changes to the project that it has adopted or made a condition of approval in order to avoid or substantially lessen significant effects on the environment. The EIR has been prepared as a program EIR pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15168. The degree of specificity in the EIR corresponds to the specificity of the general or program level policies of the project and to the effects that may be expected to follow from the adoption of the project.

A detailed Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) has been provided in Section 7.0 of the EIR, incorporated herein by reference, and all mitigation measures identified in the MMRP have been incorporated directly into the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program as shown in Attachments 1, 2, 3, 6 and 13 of the Board letter dated February 6, 2018, incorporated herein by reference, and into the resolution and amendments to the Uniform Rules for Agricultural Preserves and Farmland Security Zones as shown in Attachment 5 of the Board letter dated February 6, 2018, incorporated herein by reference. To ensure compliance with adopted mitigation measures during implementation of Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program the County Land Use and Development Code (LUDC), Montecito Land Use and Development Code (MLUDC) and the Coastal Zoning Ordinance (CZO) amendments include requirements that future development projects comply with each policy, action, or development standard required by each adopted mitigation measure in the MMRP, as applicable to the type of proposed development. Therefore, the Board adopts the MMRP to comply with Public Resource Code Section 21081.6 and California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15097, and finds that the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program's above referenced ordinance amendments in the LUDC, MLUCD, and CZO are sufficient for a monitoring and reporting program.

1.1.5 FINDINGS THAT CERTAIN UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS¹ ARE MITIGATED TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT FEASIBLE

The EIR (17EIR-00000-00003), its appendices, and EIR Revision Letter (RV 01), for the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program identify several environmental impacts which cannot be fully mitigated and, therefore, are considered unavoidable (Class I). These impacts involve: agricultural resources; air quality and greenhouse gas emissions; noise; transportation and traffic; and aesthetic and visual resources. To the extent the impacts remain significant and unavoidable, such impacts are acceptable when weighed against the overriding social, economic, legal, technical, and other considerations set forth in the Statement of Overriding Considerations included herein. For each of these Class I impacts described in the EIR, feasible changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project which avoid or substantially lessen the significant environmental effects to the maximum extent feasible, as discussed below. The Board letter, dated February 6, 2018, and its attachments are incorporated by reference.

Agricultural Resources

Impacts: The EIR identified significant project-specific and cumulative impacts related to the conversion of prime agricultural soils to a non-agricultural use or the impairment of agricultural land productivity (Impact AG-2).

¹ The discussion of impacts related to aesthetics and visual resources discussed in this section of these findings (below), addresses both the unavoidable cumulative impacts (Class I), as well as the project-specific impacts found to be significant but mitigable to a less-than-significant level (Class II), that are set forth in the EIR.

Mitigation: Mitigation Measure AG-2 requires that any new structures proposed for cannabis site development are sited on areas of the property that do not contain prime soils, to the maximum extent feasible. During the review of applications for cannabis site development, the County Planning and Development Department shall review the proposed location of any new structures proposed for cannabis-related structural development to ensure that they would avoid prime agricultural soils on-site. No other feasible mitigation measures are known that will further reduce impacts. Under a reasonable buildout scenario for cannabis related development, impacts to prime soils will remain significant and unavoidable.

Cumulative impacts to agricultural resources are mitigated to the maximum extent feasible with measure MM AG-2. Program approval would contribute to cumulative agricultural impacts associated with pending and future growth and development projects Countywide. The combined effect of cumulative development is anticipated to result in significant and unavoidable cumulative impacts to agricultural resources.

Findings: The Board finds that the feasible mitigation measure (MM AG-2) has been incorporated into the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program to reduce the significant environmental effects identified in the EIR to the maximum extent feasible. This mitigation measure will be implemented during the review of entitlement applications for cannabis development, to mitigate project-specific and cumulative impacts to agricultural resources to the maximum extent feasible. However, even with this mitigation measure, impacts to agricultural resources (Impact AG-2) will remain significant and unavoidable. Therefore, the Board finds the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program's residual impacts to agricultural resources are acceptable due to the overriding considerations discussed in the Statement of Overriding Considerations in Finding 1.1.8 below.

Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Impacts: The EIR identified significant project-specific and cumulative impacts related to air quality and greenhouse gas emissions from future cannabis activities that would be permitted if the Project is approved. Specifically, the EIR identified the following adverse and unavoidable effects: inconsistency with the Clean Air Plan (Impact AQ-1), traffic generated emissions (Impact AQ-3), inconsistency with the Energy and Climate Action Plan (Impact AQ-4), and exposure of sensitive receptors to objectionable odors (Impact AQ-5).

Mitigation: The EIR identifies two mitigation measures, MM AQ-3 and MM AQ-5 to reduce impacts associated with traffic-generated emissions and objectionable odors, respectively.

MM AQ-3 requires that cannabis Permittees implement feasible transportation demand management (TDM) measures that reduce vehicle travel to and from their proposed sites. Each Permittee must consider location, total employees, hours of operation, site access and transportation routes, and trip origins and destinations associated with the cannabis operation. Once these are identified, the Permittee is required to identify a range of TDM measures as feasible for County review and approval. No other feasible mitigation measures are known that will further reduce traffic-generated emissions impacts. Under a reasonable buildout

scenario for cannabis related development, impacts from traffic-generated emissions will not be fully mitigated and will remain significant and unavoidable.

MM AQ-5 requires that cannabis licensees implement feasible odor abatement plans (OAPs) consistent with Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District requirements and subject to the review and approval of the County. No other feasible mitigation measures are known that will further reduce odor impacts. Under a reasonable buildout scenario for cannabis-related development, impacts from objectionable odors will not be fully mitigated and will remain significant and unavoidable.

Cumulative impacts related to air quality and greenhouse gas emissions are mitigated to the maximum extent feasible with measures MM AQ-3 and MM AQ-5. Since the Project is inconsistent with the Clean Air Plan and the Energy and Climate Action Plan, and the County is anticipated to remain in non-attainment, the Project's contribution to cumulative air quality impacts would be cumulatively considerable and, therefore, significant and unavoidable (Class I).

Findings: The Board finds that feasible mitigation measures (MM AQ-3 and MM AQ-5) have been incorporated into the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program to reduce the significant environmental effects identified in the EIR to the maximum extent feasible. These mitigation measures are implemented during project review to mitigate project-specific and cumulative impacts related to air quality and greenhouse gas emissions, to the maximum extent feasible. However, even with these mitigation measures, impacts related to inconsistency with the Clean Air Plan (Impact AQ-1), traffic generated emissions (Impact AQ-3), inconsistency with the Energy and Climate Action Plan (Impact AQ-4), and exposure of sensitive receptors to objectionable odors (Impact AQ-5), will remain significant and unavoidable. Therefore, the Board finds the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program's residual impacts related to air quality and greenhouse gas emissions are acceptable due to the overriding considerations discussed in the Statement of Overriding Considerations in Finding 1.1.8 below.

Noise

Impacts: The EIR identified significant project-specific and cumulative impacts to sensitive receptors from long-term increases in noise from traffic on vicinity roadways (Impact NOI-2).

Mitigation: As discussed above in the summary of air quality impacts, MM AQ-3 would require cannabis Permittees to implement feasible TDM measures that reduce vehicle travel to and from their proposed sites, subject to the review and approval of the County. No other feasible mitigation measures are known that will further reduce impacts. Under a reasonable buildout scenario for cannabis-related development, impacts to sensitive receptors from long-term noise increases from Project traffic will not be fully mitigated and will remain significant and unavoidable.

Cumulative impacts to sensitive receptors from traffic-generated noise are mitigated to the maximum extent feasible with measure MM AQ-3. The Project has the potential to contribute to cumulative noise impacts from roadway noise effects on ambient noise levels in the County. Combined with other development, increased vehicle trips could increase congestion and daily travel on roadways in rural areas that experience relatively minimal traffic noise. As the Project's contribution would be cumulatively considerable, even with implementation of MM AQ-3 to require reduced employee trips through TDM measures, cumulative impacts from the Project would be significant and unavoidable.

Findings: The Board finds that the feasible mitigation measure (MM AQ-3) has been incorporated into the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program to reduce the significant environmental effects identified in the EIR, to the maximum extent feasible. This mitigation measure will be implemented during the review of entitlement applications for cannabis activities, in order to mitigate project-specific and cumulative impacts to sensitive receptors from traffic generated noise, to the maximum extent feasible. However, even with this mitigation measure, noise impacts related to long-term noise increases (Impact NOI-2) will remain significant and unavoidable. Therefore, the Board finds the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program's residual noise impacts are acceptable due to the overriding considerations discussed in the Statement of Overriding Considerations in Finding 1.1.8 below.

Transportation and Traffic

Impacts: The EIR identified significant project-specific and cumulative impacts related to transportation and traffic from future cannabis activities that would be permitted if the Project is approved. The following adverse and unavoidable effects were identified: increases of traffic and daily vehicle miles of travel that affect the performance of the existing and planned circulation system (Impact TRA-1), and adverse changes to the traffic safety environment (Impact TRA-2).

Mitigation: The EIR identifies two mitigation measures, MM AQ-3 and MM TRA-1, to reduce impacts associated with traffic.

As discussed above in the summary of air quality impacts, MM AQ-3 would require cannabis Permittees to implement feasible TDM measures that reduce vehicle travel to and from their proposed sites, subject to the review and approval of the County. No other feasible mitigation measures are known that will further reduce these traffic impacts. Under a reasonable buildout scenario for cannabis-related development, impacts from traffic will not be fully mitigated and will remain significant and unavoidable.

MM TRA-1 requires that cannabis Permittees pay into the County's existing Development Impact Mitigation Fee Program, at an appropriate level (e.g., Retail Commercial and Other Nonresidential Development) in effect at the time of permit issuance for the County and Goleta and Orcutt Planning Areas to improve performance of the circulation system. No other feasible mitigation measures are known that will further reduce these traffic impacts. Under a

reasonable buildout scenario for cannabis related development, impacts from traffic will not be fully mitigated and will remain significant and unavoidable.

Cumulative impacts related to traffic would be mitigated to the maximum extent feasible with measures MM AQ-3 and MM TRA-1. The Project's contribution to cumulative changes in the transportation environment as a result of generation of new vehicle trips could still result in exceedances of acceptable road segment or intersection Level of Service, as well as inconsistency with the Regional Transportation Plan-Sustainable Communities Strategy. Therefore, the proposed Project would make a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant cumulative traffic impact, and impacts are considered significant and unavoidable.

Findings: The Board finds that feasible mitigation measures (MM AQ-3 and MM TRA-1) have been incorporated into the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program to reduce the significant environmental effects identified in the EIR, to the maximum extent feasible. These mitigation measures will be implemented during the review of entitlement applications for cannabis activities in order to mitigate project-specific and cumulative impacts related to traffic, to the maximum extent feasible. However, even with these mitigation measures, increases of traffic and daily vehicle miles of travel that affect the performance of the existing and planned circulation system (Impact TRA-1) and adverse changes to the traffic safety environment (Impact TRA-2) would remain significant and unavoidable. Therefore, the Board finds the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program's residual impacts related to traffic are acceptable due to the overriding considerations discussed in the Statement of Overriding Considerations in Finding 1.1.8 below.

Aesthetics/Visual Resources

Impacts: Although the EIR identifies that project-specific impacts to County scenic resources would be mitigated to a less-than-significant level, it also found that Project-related future development in combination with other County projects and plans would contribute considerably to aesthetic and visual impacts. Thus, potential cumulative impacts resulting from changes to scenic resources and existing character would be significant and unavoidable.

Mitigation: Mitigation Measure MM AV-1 would reduce direct visual impacts associated with hoop structures and ancillary development for cannabis cultivation, such as fencing, by requiring appropriate screening in compliance with the land use entitlement (e.g., LUP, CDP, or CUP) that would be required for the cannabis operation. To the maximum extent feasible, screening for cannabis cultivation sites shall consist of natural barriers and deterrents to enable wildlife passage, prevent trespass from humans, and shall be visually consistent, to the maximum extent possible, with surrounding lands. Screening requirements would be set forth in the conditions of, and on the plans related to, the entitlement for the cannabis operation. While project-specific impacts to aesthetics/visual resources will be less-than-significant (Class II) with implementation of this mitigation measure, cumulative impacts would remain significant and unavoidable (Class I).

Findings: The Board finds that the feasible mitigation measure (MM AV-1) has been incorporated into the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program to reduce the significant environmental effects identified in the EIR, to the maximum extent feasible. This mitigation measure will be implemented during the review of entitlement applications for cannabis operations in order to mitigate project-specific impacts to a less-than-significant level. However, even with this mitigation measure, the Project's contribution to significant cumulative visual impacts would remain cumulatively considerable, and would be significant and unavoidable. Therefore, the Board finds the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program's residual cumulative impacts to aesthetic and visual resources are acceptable due to the overriding considerations discussed in the Statement of Overriding Considerations in Finding 1.1.8 below.

1.1.6 FINDINGS THAT CERTAIN IMPACTS ARE MITIGATED TO INSIGNIFICANCE BY MITIGATION MEASURES

The EIR (17EIR-00000-00003), its appendices, and EIR Revision Letter (RV 01), for the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program, identify several subject areas for which the project is considered to cause or contribute to significant, but mitigable environmental impacts (Class II). For each of these Class II impacts identified by the EIR, feasible changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project which avoid or substantially lessen the significant environmental effect, as discussed below.

Aesthetics/Visual Resources

As discussed in Section 1.1.4 of these findings (above), the EIR identified potentially significant but mitigable project-specific impacts to County scenic resources from development associated with cannabis cultivation (Impact AV-1). The Board finds that implementation of MM AV-1 would reduce the significant project-specific environmental effects related to aesthetic and visual resources (Impact AV-1) to a less-than-significant level (Class II).

Agricultural Resources

Impacts: The EIR identified potentially significant but mitigable project-specific impacts as a result of potential land use incompatibility from manufacturing and distribution uses on agriculturally zoned lands (Impact AG-1).

Mitigation: MM AG-1 would require cannabis Permittees for manufacturing or distribution on lands designated for agricultural use (e.g., AG-I and AG-II), to cultivate cannabis on-site and have approval for a cultivation license. The requirement would specify that non-cultivation activities must be clearly ancillary and subordinate to the cultivation activities on-site so that the majority of cannabis product manufactured and/or distributed from a cannabis site is sourced from cannabis plant material cultivated on the same site. The requirement would also specify that the accessory use must occupy a smaller footprint than the area dedicated to cannabis cultivation. Further, the requirement would apply to microbusiness licenses (Type

12) to ensure that proposed manufacturing or distribution would be ancillary and subordinate to the proposed cultivation area.

Findings: The Board finds that MM AG-1 has been incorporated into the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program. The Board finds that implementation of MM AG-1 will reduce the significant project-specific environmental effects related to incompatibility with existing zoning for agricultural uses (Impact AG-1) to a less-than-significant level (Class II).

Biological Resources

Impacts: The EIR identified the following potentially significant but mitigable project-specific impacts from future cannabis activities: adverse effects on unique, rare, threatened, or endangered plant or wildlife species (Impact BIO-1); adverse effects on habitats or sensitive natural communities (Impact BIO-2); adverse effects on the movement or patterns of any native resident or migratory species (Impact BIO-3); and conflicts with adopted local plans, policies, or ordinances oriented towards the protection and conservation of biological resources (Impact BIO-4).

Mitigation: The EIR identifies several mitigation measures that would reduce potentially significant impacts to a less-than-significant level.

MM BIO-1a would require applicants who apply for a cannabis permit for a site that would involve pruning, damage, or removal of a native tree or shrub, to submit a Tree Protection Plan (TPP) prepared by a County-approved arborist/biologist. The TPP would set forth specific avoidance, minimization, or compensatory measures, as necessary, given site-specific conditions and the specific cannabis operation for which the applicant would be requesting a permit.

MM BIO-1b would require applicants who apply for a cannabis permit for a site that would involve clearing of sensitive native vegetation, to submit a Habitat Protection Plan (HPP) prepared by a County-approved biologist. The HPP would set forth specific avoidance, minimization, or compensatory measures, as necessary, given site-specific conditions and the specific cannabis operation for which the applicant would be requesting a permit.

MM BIO-3, Wildlife Movement Plan, would be required for outdoor cultivation sites that would include fencing. The Wildlife Movement Plan would analyze proposed fencing in relation to the surrounding opportunities for migration, identify the type, material, length, and design of proposed fencing, and identify non-disruptive, wildlife-friendly fencing, such as post and rail fencing, wire fencing, and/or high-tensile electric fencing, to be used to allow passage by smaller animals and prevent movement in and out of cultivation sites by larger mammals, such as deer. Any required fencing would also have to be consistent with the screening requirements outlined in MM AV-1, which is discussed in these findings (above).

MM HWR-1 would require applicants for cultivation permits to provide evidence of compliance with the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) requirements (or

certification by the appropriate Water Board stating a permit is not necessary). The SWRCB has drafted a comprehensive Cannabis Cultivation Policy which includes principles and guidelines for cannabis cultivation within the state. The general requirements and prohibitions included in the draft policy address a wide range of issues, from compliance with state and local permits to riparian setbacks. The draft general order also includes regulations on the use of pesticides, rodenticides, herbicides, insecticides, fungicides, disinfectants, and fertilizers.

Findings: The Board finds that MM BIO-1a, MM BIO-1b, MM BIO-3, and MM HWR-1 have been incorporated into the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program. The Board finds that implementation of MM BIO-1a, MM BIO-1b, MM BIO-3, and MM HWR-1 would reduce the significant project-specific environmental effects related to biological resources (Impacts BIO-1, BIO-2, BIO-3, and BIO-4) to a less-than-significant level (Class II).

In addition, the Board finds that implementation of MM BIO-1a, MM BIO-1b, MM BIO-3, and MM HWR-1 would reduce the Project's contribution to significant, cumulative impacts to biological resources, such that the Project would not make a cumulatively considerable contribution and, therefore, the Project's contribution to cumulative impacts to biological resources would be less-than-significant with mitigation (Class II).

Cultural Resources

Impacts: The EIR identified potentially significant but mitigable impacts to historical resources (Impact CR-1) as well as to archaeological resources, tribal cultural resources, human remains, or paleontological resources (Impact CR-2) from future cannabis activities.

Mitigation: The EIR identifies two mitigation measures that would reduce potentially significant impacts to a less-than-significant level.

MM CR-1 would require cannabis licensees to preserve, restore, and renovate onsite structures consistent with the requirements of CEQA and the County Cultural Resources Guidelines. This mitigation measure requires an applicant for a cannabis permit to retain a qualified historian to perform a Phase I survey, and if necessary, a Phase II significance assessment and identify appropriate preservation and restoration/renovation activities for significant onsite structures in compliance with the provisions of the most current County Cultural Resources Guidelines.

MM CR-2 would require a Phase I archaeological and paleontological survey in compliance with the provisions of the County Cultural Resources Guidelines for areas of proposed ground disturbance. If the cannabis development has the potential to adversely affect significant resources, the applicant would be required to retain a Planning and Development Department-approved archaeologist to prepare and complete a Phase II subsurface testing program in coordination with the Planning and Development Department. If the Phase II program finds that significant impacts may still occur, the applicant would be required to retain a Planning and Development Department-approved archaeologist to prepare and complete a Phase III

proposal for data recovery excavation. All work would be required to be consistent with County Cultural Resources Guidelines. The applicant would be required to fund all work.

Findings: The Board finds that the feasible MM CR-1 and MM CR-2 have been incorporated into the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program. The Board finds that implementation of MM CR-1 and MM CR-2 would reduce the significant project-specific effects related to cultural resources (Impacts CR-1 and CR-2) to a less-than-significant level (Class II).

Hydrology and Water Resources

Impacts: The EIR identified potentially significant but mitigable impacts to surface water quality (Impact HWR-1) as well as groundwater quality (Impact HWR-2) from future cannabis activities.

Mitigation: MM HWR-1 would require applicants for cultivation licenses to provide evidence of compliance with the SWRCB requirements (or certification by the Regional Water Quality Control Board stating that a permit is not necessary). The SWRCB has drafted a comprehensive Cannabis Cultivation Policy which includes principles and guidelines for cannabis cultivation within the state. The general requirements and prohibitions included in the draft policy address a wide range of issues, from compliance with state and local permits to riparian setbacks. The draft general order also includes regulations on the use of pesticides, rodenticides, herbicides, insecticides, fungicides, disinfectants, and fertilizers.

Findings: The Board finds that the feasible MM HWR-1 has been incorporated into the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program. The Board finds that implementation of MM HWR-1 would reduce the significant project-specific effects related to surface water quality (Impact HWR-1) and groundwater quality (Impact HWR-2) to a less-than-significant level (Class II).

Land Use

Impacts: The EIR identified potentially significant but mitigable impacts related to conflicts with an applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation, specifically with regard to conflicts with public land uses (Impact LU-1).

Mitigation: MM LU-1 would establish a regulation prohibiting cannabis activities on publicly owned lands within the County.

Findings: The Board finds that the feasible MM LU-1 has been incorporated into the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program. The Board finds that implementation of MM LU-1 would reduce the significant project-specific effects related to conflicts with uses on public lands (Impact LU-1) to a less-than-significant level (Class II).

Utilities and Energy Conservation

Impacts: The EIR identified potentially significant but mitigable impacts related to increased demand for new energy resources (Impact UE-2) from future cannabis activities.

Mitigation: The EIR identifies several mitigation measures that would reduce potentially significant impacts to a less-than-significant level.

MM UE-2a would require cannabis licensees to implement energy conservation best management practices to the maximum extent feasible. This would include the use of renewable energy sources and energy efficient development and operations.

MM UE-2b would require that cannabis licensees participate in a Regional Renewable Choice (RRC) program, Green Rate program, Community Renewable program, or similar equivalent renewable energy program, if feasible.

MM UE-2c would encourage cannabis Permittees to participate in the Smart Build Santa Barbara (SB2) Program as part of the permit review process. This measure would ensure that Permittees receive direction on feasible energy conservation measures, incentives, or other energy-saving techniques.

Findings: The Board finds that the MM UE-2a, MM UE-2b, and MM UE-2c have been incorporated into the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program. The Board finds that implementation of MM UE-2a, MM UE-2b, and MM UE-2c would reduce the significant project-specific effects related to increased demand for new energy resources (Impact UE-2) to a less-than-significant level (Class II).

1.1.7 FINDINGS THAT IDENTIFIED PROJECT ALTERNATIVES ARE NOT FEASIBLE

The EIR (17EIR-00000-00003) evaluated a no project alternative and three additional alternatives (Alternative 1 - Exclusion of Cannabis Activities from the AG-I Zone District, Alternative 2 - Preclusion of Cannabis Activities from Williamson Act Land, and Alternative 3 - Reduced Registrants) as methods of reducing or eliminating significant environmental impacts. The Board letter, dated February 6, 2018, and its attachments are incorporated by reference. The Board finds that the identified alternatives are infeasible for the reasons stated.

1. No Project Alternative

The No Project Alternative addresses the potential environmental impacts that could result if the proposed Project is not adopted and the mitigation measures of the Project are not implemented. Under the No Project Alternative, the direct impacts associated with licensing of an expanded cannabis industry would not occur. However, this alternative would not address unregulated and illegal cannabis activities, and would not offer an avenue for licensing and permitting. Thus, it is likely that illegal cannabis activities would continue to

exist. Under the No Project Alternative, existing County law enforcement would continue on a primarily response-to-complaints and call-for-service basis. Over the more than three decades of local, state and federal law enforcement activities cannabis cultivation and related activities have not been eradicated. Even with local, state, and federal participation in cannabis law enforcement, as well as pending state-level regulations and programs developed from MAUCRSA, the illicit cultivation and sale of cannabis in California and the County would likely continue to be a major illicit business. Therefore, there would be no orderly development, nor oversight of cannabis activities within the County, with potential for expanded illegal activities.

Under the No Project Alternative, aesthetic/visual and agricultural resource impacts would likely be reduced. However, potential impacts related to air quality, biology, cultural resources, geology and soils, hazards, hydrology, land use, public services, transportation, and utilities/energy would be more severe under the No Project Alternative.

The No Project Alternative fails to achieve the objectives of the project. Therefore, the Board finds that the project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) is preferable to the No Project Alternative.

2. Alternative 1: Exclusion of Cannabis Activities from the AG-I Zone District

Under Alternative 1 - the Exclusion of Cannabis Activities from the AG-I Zone District, cannabis-related activities would not be allowed within the AG-I zone districts throughout the County. This would reduce the areas of eligibility in the County, particularly within the Carpinteria Valley and the Santa Ynez Valley. Alternative 1 would reduce the total amount of eligible area and sites as compared to the proposed Project, and would require substantial relocation or abandonment of existing cannabis operations. Existing cultivators would need to find locations within the reduced area of eligibility.

The classification of all impacts under Alternative 1 would be similar to those under the proposed Project, including significant and unavoidable impacts to agricultural resources; air quality and greenhouse gas emissions; noise; and transportation and traffic. Adoption of Alternative 1 would achieve most of the Project objectives, which include regulating cannabis activities within the County including: providing an efficient and clear cultivation and manufacturing permit process and regulations; and regulating sites and premises to avoid degradation of the visual setting and neighborhood character, odors, hazardous materials, and fire hazards. However, adoption of Alternative 1 would not achieve Project objectives related to development of a robust and economically viable legal cannabis industry (Objective 1), encouraging businesses to operate legally and secure a license to operate in full compliance with County and state regulations (Objective 4), and minimization of adverse effects of cultivation and manufacturing and distribution activities on the natural environment (Objective 6).

Although this alternative would be consistent with some of the objectives of the Proposed Project, it would not adequately meet Objectives 1, 4, and 6. As such, it has been found infeasible for social, economic and other reasons. The Board finds that the project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) is preferable to Alternative 1.

3. Alternative 2: Preclusion of Cannabis Activities from Williamson Act Land

Alternative 2 considers environmental impacts under a modified set of licensing regulations that would reduce the area of eligibility on lands that are subject to a Williamson Act contract in the County where licenses may be issued for cannabis cultivation activities. Under Alternative 2, cannabis activities would not count towards the minimum cultivation requirements to qualify for an agricultural preserve contract pursuant to the Williamson Act; however, cannabis activities would be considered compatible uses on lands that are subject to agricultural preserve contracts. Cannabis cultivation activities would be limited to a maximum of 22,000 square feet of cannabis canopy cover for each Williamson Act contract premises. Agricultural use data for commercial production and reporting that would be used to determine compliance with minimum productive acreage and annual production value requirements would not include cannabis activities.

This alternative would result in limiting the potential for cannabis activities on over 50 percent of eligible County area, and would eliminate hundreds of potential cannabis operations from occurring on Williamson Act lands. As compared to the proposed Project, the approximate total area of eligibility for manufacturing and distribution would be reduced while retail sales and testing area would remain about the same.

Adoption of Alternative 2 would achieve some of the Project objectives which include regulating commercial cannabis cultivation, manufacturing, and distribution activities within the County, providing an efficient and clear cultivation and manufacturing permit process and regulations, and regulating sites and premises to avoid degradation of the visual setting and neighborhood character, odors, hazardous materials, and fire hazards. However, Alternative 2 would not reduce any significant impacts to a less-than-significant level. Moreover, adoption of this alternative would not achieve some of the basic Project objectives, including those related to development of a robust and economically viable legal cannabis industry (Objective 1), encouraging businesses to operate legally and secure a license to operate in full compliance with County and state regulations (Objective 4), and minimization of adverse effects of cultivation and manufacturing and distribution activities on the natural environment (Objective 6).

Although this alternative would be consistent with some of the objectives of the Proposed Project, it would not adequately meet Objectives 1, 4, and 6. As such, it has been found infeasible for social, economic, and other reasons. The Board finds that the project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) is preferable to Alternative 2.

4. Alternative 3: Reduced Registrants

Under the Reduced Registrants Alternative, the total number of licenses issued by the County would consist of half of the number of each category of licenses that were indicated as part of the 2017 Cannabis Registry. This would restrict the County to issuing a total of 962 licenses (50 percent of the 1,924 identified), which would subsequently limit the representative buildout of the Project analyzed in the EIR by a commensurate 50 percent. Existing operators identified in the 2017 Cannabis Registry would be prioritized for licensing under this alternative, which would substantially reduce the net new buildout, while allowing for limited growth.

Alternative 3 would result in substantial reductions in the severity of most impacts compared to the Project, and would reduce significant and unavoidable impacts to agricultural resources to a less-than-significant level. However, it would not achieve the most basic Project objectives, including those related to development of a robust, economically viable, and legal cannabis industry (Objective 1), and encouraging businesses to operate legally and secure a license to operate in full compliance with County and state regulations (Objective 4).

Although this alternative would be consistent with some of the objectives of the Proposed Project, it would not adequately meet Objectives 1 and 4. As such, it has been found infeasible for social, economic and other reasons. The Board finds that the project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) is preferable to Alternative 3.

1.1.8 STATEMENT OF OVERRIDING CONSIDERATIONS

The Board makes the following Statement of Overriding Considerations: The Cannabis Land Use and Licensing Program EIR (17EIR-00000-00003) found that impacts related to agricultural resources, air quality and greenhouse gas emissions, noise, transportation and traffic, and aesthetic and visual resources (cumulative) will remain significant and unavoidable (Class I). The Board has balanced “the economic, legal, social, technological, or other benefits, including region-wide or statewide environmental benefits” of the project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) against these effects and makes the following Statement of Overriding Considerations, which warrants approval of the project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) notwithstanding that all identified adverse environmental effects are not fully avoided or substantially lessened [CEQA Guidelines Section 15093(a)]. The Board finds that the benefits of the “proposed project outweigh the unavoidable adverse environmental effects,” and therefore, “the adverse environmental effects may be considered ‘acceptable’” [CEQA Guidelines Section 15093(a)].

Each of the reasons for approval cited below is a separate and independent basis that justifies approval of the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program. Thus, even if a court

were to set aside any particular reason or reasons, the Board finds that it would stand by its determination that each reason, or any combinations of reasons, is a sufficient basis for approving the project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) notwithstanding the significant and unavoidable impacts that may occur. The substantial evidence supporting the various benefits can be found in the other Findings for Approval set forth in this document, the EIR, and in the Record of Proceedings, including, but not limited to, public comment received at the numerous public hearings listed in the incorporated Board letter dated February 6, 2018.

Pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21081(b) and CEQA Guidelines Sections 15043, 15092, and 15093, any unavoidable adverse environmental effects of the project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) are acceptable due to the following environmental benefits and overriding considerations:

- A. The project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) provides for a robust and economically viable legal cannabis industry to ensure production and availability of high quality cannabis products to help meet local demands, and, as a public benefit, improves the County's tax base. For a detailed discussion of the economic viability, see the Fiscal Analysis of the Commercial Cannabis Industry in Santa Barbara County, prepared by Hdl Companies and dated October 31, 2017 and incorporated herein by reference:
<https://santabarbara.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=5685428&GUID=E6A9F289-B740-40DC-A302-B4056B72F788>
- B. The project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) enhances the local economy and provides opportunities for future jobs, business development, and increased living wages. Moreover, the project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) promotes continued agricultural production as an integral part of the region's economy by giving existing farmers access to the potentially profitable cannabis industry, which in turn would provide relief for those impacted by competition from foreign markets and rising costs of water supply.
- C. The project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) expands the production and availability of medical cannabis, which is known to help patients address symptoms related to glaucoma, epilepsy, arthritis, and anxiety disorders, among other illnesses.
- D. The project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) allows for the orderly development and oversight of commercial cannabis activities by applying development standards that

- require appropriate siting, setbacks, security, and nuisance avoidance measures, thereby protecting public health, safety, and welfare.
- E. The project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) provides a method for commercial cannabis businesses to operate legally and secure a permit and license to operate in full compliance with County and state regulations, maximizing the proportion of licensed activities and minimizing unlicensed activities. Minimization of unlicensed activities will occur for two reasons. First, the County will be providing a legal pathway for members of the industry to comply with the law. Secondly, the County will use revenue from the project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) to strengthen and increase code enforcement actions in an effort to remove illegal and noncompliant operations occurring in the County unincorporated areas.
 - F. The project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) establishes land use requirements for commercial cannabis activities to minimize the risks associated with criminal activity, degradation of neighborhood character, groundwater basin overdraft, obnoxious odors, noise nuisances, hazardous materials, and fire hazards.
 - G. The project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) minimizes the potential for adverse impacts on children and sensitive populations by imposing appropriate setbacks and ensuring compatibility of commercial cannabis activities with surrounding existing land uses, including residential neighborhoods, agricultural operations, youth facilities, recreational amenities, and educational institutions. For detailed discussions on compatibility, see Section 3.9, *Land Use and Planning*, in the EIR, incorporated herein by reference, as well as the other Findings for Approval in this document.
 - H. The project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) provides opportunities for local testing labs that protect the public by ensuring that local cannabis supplies meet product safety standards established by the State of California.
 - I. The project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) protects agricultural resources, natural resources, cultural resources, and scenic resources by limiting where cannabis activities can be permitted and by enacting development standards that would further avoid or minimize potential impacts to the environment.

2.0 ADMINISTRATIVE FINDINGS FOR CANNABIS LAND USE ORDINANCES

In compliance with Section 35.104.060.A (Findings for Comprehensive Plan, Development Code and Zoning Map Amendments) of the Santa Barbara LUDC the Board shall make the

findings below in order to approve a text amendment to the County Land Use and Development Code (LUDC).

The findings to approve a text amendment to the County's certified Local Coastal Program are set forth in Section 35-180.6 (Findings Required for Approval of Rezone or Ordinance Amendment) of the Coastal Zoning Ordinance (CZO). In compliance with Chapter 2, Administration, Article V, Planning and Zoning, Section 2-25.2, Powers and Duties, the Board shall make the following findings in order to approve the text amendment to the CZO.

In compliance with Section 35.494.050 (Action on Amendment) of the Montecito Land Use and Development Code (MLUDC), the Board shall make the following findings in order to approve the text amendment to the MLUDC.

2.1 The request is in the interests of the general community welfare.

The proposed ordinance amendments are in the interest of the general community welfare since the amendments will serve to (1) define new land uses associated with cannabis activities (2) indicate those zones that allow the Cannabis land uses, and (3) set forth development standards for various permitted commercial cannabis activities to avoid compromising the general welfare of the community, as analyzed in the Board letter, dated February 6, 2018, which is hereby incorporated by reference.

2.2 The request is consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, the requirements of state planning and zoning laws, and the LUDC, CZO, and MLUDC.

Adoption of the proposed ordinances, as analyzed in the Board letter, dated February 6, 2018, which is hereby incorporated by reference, will provide more effective implementation of the State planning and zoning laws by revising the LUDC, CZO, and MLUDC to provide clear zoning standards that will benefit the public, consistent with the state licensing program for the cannabis industry. The proposed ordinances: define the uses associated with commercial cannabis activities; identify the zones in which cannabis land uses would be prohibited; and set forth a number of development standards and other requirements that would apply to personal cultivation, in order to avoid or otherwise minimize adverse effects from cannabis activities. The proposed ordinances would be consistent with the adopted policies and development standards of the Comprehensive Plan, including the Community Plans. The proposed ordinance amendments are also consistent with the remaining portions of the LUDC, CZO, and MLUDC that these ordinance amendments would not be revising. Therefore, the proposed ordinance amendments would be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan including the Community Plans, the requirements of State Planning and Zoning Laws, and the LUDC, CZO, and MLUDC.

2.3 The request is consistent with good zoning and planning practices.

The proposed ordinances, as analyzed in the Board letter, dated February 6, 2018, which are hereby incorporated by reference, clearly and specifically address personal cultivation and commercial cannabis activities within the unincorporated area of Santa Barbara County. The ordinances are consistent with sound zoning and planning practices to regulate land uses for

the overall protection of the environment and community values since it provides for clear direction regarding where cannabis land uses are allowed and prohibited, which serves to minimize potential adverse impacts to the surrounding area. As discussed in Finding 2.2, above, the amendments are consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, including the Community Plans, LUDC, CZO and MLUDC. Therefore, the proposed ordinances are consistent with sound zoning and planning practices to regulate land uses.

3.0 ADMINISTRATIVE FINDINGS FOR AMENDMENTS TO ARTICLE X (CASE NO. 18ORD-00000-00001)

In compliance with Section 35.104.060.A (Findings for Comprehensive Plan, Development Code and Zoning Map Amendments) of the Santa Barbara LUDC the Board shall make the findings below in order to approve the amendment and partial rescission of Article X, Medical Marijuana Regulations, of Chapter 35, Zoning, of the Santa Barbara County Code (Case no. 18ORD-00000-00001).

3.1 The request is in the interests of the general community welfare.

The proposed ordinance to amend and partially rescind Article X is in the interest of the general community welfare since it will:

- Maintain the amortization of Legal Nonconforming medical marijuana operations as established by the Board in November of 2017.
- Clarify the timing of the amortization periods for Legal Nonconforming medical marijuana operations, thereby providing certainty to the operators and the public alike regarding the status of the operations.
- Rescind the existing prohibition against medical marijuana cultivation upon the operative dates of the Cannabis Land Use Ordinances (Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00004, -00009, -00010), thereby ensuring that the new regulations are not in conflict with existing regulations.
- Rescind the entirety of Article X upon the termination of Legal Nonconforming uses, thereby removing obsolete regulations.

3.2 The request is consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, the requirements of state planning and zoning laws, and the LUDC and CZO.

Adoption of the proposed ordinance, as analyzed in the Board letter, dated February 6, 2018, which is hereby incorporated by reference, will ensure that the provisions in Article X are consistent with the new regulations in the LUDC, CZO, and MLUDC should the Board adopt the Cannabis Land Use Ordinances (Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00004, -00009, -00010). The amended Article X would be consistent with the adopted policies and development standards of the Comprehensive Plan, including the Community Plans. Together with the Cannabis Land Use Ordinances, the amended Article X will allow for more effective implementation of the State planning and zoning laws by ensuring consistency with the new State licensing program for the cannabis industry. Therefore, the proposed ordinance amendments would be

consistent with the Comprehensive Plan including the Community Plans, the requirements of State Planning and Zoning Laws, and the LUDC, CZO and MLUDC.

3.3 The request is consistent with good zoning and planning practices.

The proposed amendments to Article X are consistent with sound zoning and planning practices since they will ensure that there is no conflict between the new cannabis regulations and the existing medical marijuana regulations. Moreover, the amendments provide a clear timeframe for the termination of Legal Nonconforming uses for medical marijuana cultivation. Finally, the amendments provide for Article X to be rescinded entirely once Legal Nonconforming medical marijuana operations are terminated and the separate medical marijuana regulations are no longer necessary. Thus, the proposed amendments are consistent with sound zoning and planning practices to regulate land uses.

4.0 AMENDMENT TO THE UNIFORM RULES FINDINGS (Case No. 17ORD-00000-00019)

4.1 The request is in the interests of the general community welfare.

The proposed amendment to the Uniform Rules would limit the amount and types of cannabis activities that would be permitted on Williamson Act lands. This is in the interests of the general community welfare because the preservation of a maximum amount of the limited supply of agricultural land is necessary to the conservation of the state's economic resources, and also for the assurance of adequate, healthful, and nutritious food for residents of the state and the nation. The amendment would also specify that cannabis activities are not compatible with Williamson Act contracts for open space or Williamson Act contracts for recreation, thereby ensuring the continued protection of scenic, biological and recreational resources in those preserves.

4.2 The request is consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, the requirements of state planning and zoning laws, and the LUDC and CZO.

The amendment of the Uniform Rules, as analyzed in the Board letter, dated February 6, 2018, which is hereby incorporated by reference, would be consistent with the adopted policies and development standards of the Comprehensive Plan, including the Land Use and Agricultural Elements. The Agricultural Element contains goals and policies which require the protection of agriculture lands, the reservation of prime soils for agricultural uses, and the preservation of a rural economy. The amendment would limit the types and amounts of cannabis activities that would be permitted on Williamson Act lands. It would also specify that some cannabis activities, including cultivation, are compatible with the agricultural uses on Williamson Act lands, thereby ensuring consistency with the Cannabis Land Use Ordinances (Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00004, -00010).

4.3 The request is consistent with good zoning and planning practices.

The Agricultural Preserve Advisory Committee (APAC) held three hearings on the matter of cannabis activities to be permitted on Williamson Act lands. At the hearings, public input was received and information such as current zoning and planning practices, assessor policies and procedures, potential environmental impacts, and approaches taken by other counties was discussed. The purpose of agricultural preserve program and uniform rules was also discussed

as a factor in making a recommendation to the Board. APAC recommended the proposed amendments to the Uniform Rules on December 1, 2017, with particular consideration given to applying good zoning/planning practices while preserving agricultural and open space land in the County. As also stated under 4.2 above, the proposed Uniform Rules amendment is consistent with all applicable policies of the Comprehensive Plan and Land Use and Development Code.

**Attachment 3 –
Biological Resources Assessment, Wildlife Movement
Plan, Habitat Protection Plan, and Tree Protection Plan**



2565 Puesta Del Sol Road #3
Santa Barbara, CA 93105
(805) 682-2065
www.storrerenvironmental.com

**REVISED BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES ASSESSMENT
FOR THE
CREEKSIDE BLOOMS NURSERY, LLC MIXED-LIGHT CANNABIS
CULTIVATION PROJECT (19CDP-00000-00027, 19DVP-00000-00020)
3508 VIA REAL (APN 005-280-025), CARPINTERIA, CALIFORNIA**



Prepared for:
VWV, LLC
Ivan Van Wingerden
3508 Via Real
Carpinteria, California 93013

Prepared By:
Storrer Environmental Services, LLC
2565 Puesta Del Sol Road #203
Santa Barbara, California 93105

Revised: November 23, 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	PROJECT LOCATION	1
1.2	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	1
1.2.1	<i>Proposed Native Habitat Enhancement and Native Landscaping</i>	2
2.0	ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	6
3.0	REGULATORY FRAMEWORK	6
3.1	FEDERAL REGULATIONS	7
3.1.1	<i>Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C. § 1531 et seq.)</i>	7
3.1.2	<i>Clean Water Act – Section 404</i>	7
3.1.3	<i>Waters of the U.S.</i>	8
3.2	STATE REGULATIONS	10
3.2.1	<i>California Endangered Species Act (California Fish and Game Code § 2050, et seq.)</i>	10
3.2.2	<i>Native Plant Protection Act (California Fish and Game Code §§ 1900 - 1913, § 2062 and § 2067)</i>	10
3.2.3	<i>Clean Water Act – Section 401</i>	11
3.2.4	<i>SWRCB Cannabis Cultivation Policy – Principles and Guidelines for Cannabis Cultivation (Attachment A)</i>	12
3.2.5	<i>California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 722 – General Lake or Streambed Alteration Agreement or Activities Related to Cannabis Cultivation (General Agreement)</i>	12
3.3	LOCAL LAND USE POLICIES.....	12
3.3.1	<i>County Stream and Riparian Habitat Protection</i>	12
3.3.2	<i>Oak Tree Protection</i>	13
3.3.3	<i>California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)</i>	13
3.3.4	<i>County Land Use Development Code (LUDC) §35.42.075</i>	14
4.0	METHODS	15
4.1	BACKGROUND REVIEW	15
4.2	FIELD METHODOLOGY	15
4.2.1	<i>Botanical Surveys</i>	15
4.2.2	<i>Wildlife Surveys</i>	16
4.2.3	<i>Delineation of ESH and Jurisdictional Limits</i>	16
5.0	RESULTS	17
5.1	HYDROLOGY.....	17
5.2	VEGETATION COMMUNITIES & LAND USE TYPES	17
5.2.1	<i>Western Sycamore-Arroyo Willow Woodland (Platanus racemosa-Quercus agrifolia-Salix lasiolepis Association)</i>	18

5.2.2	Ornamental Trees/Landscape Plantings.....	18
5.2.3	Ruderal/Developed	19
5.3	GENERAL WILDLIFE HABITAT	19
5.4	SPECIAL-STATUS PLANT AND WILDLIFE SPECIES AND SENSITIVE HABITATS	19
5.4.1	Special-status Plant Species	28
5.4.2	Individual Native Trees.....	28
5.4.3	Special-status Wildlife Species	28
5.5	JURISDICTIONAL WATERS AND ESH.....	29
6.0	IMPACT DISCUSSION	29
6.1	SUMMARY OF PROJECT IMPACTS	30
6.2	IMPACTS TO ESH AND ESH BUFFER.....	31
6.3	IMPACTS TO NATIVE TREES	32
6.4	IMPACTS TO SPECIAL-STATUS PLANTS.....	32
6.5	IMPACTS TO WILDLIFE MOVEMENT	32
6.6	IMPACTS TO SPECIAL-STATUS WILDLIFE	32
6.6.1	Aquatic and Semi-aquatic Species.....	33
6.6.2	Sensitive Raptors and Nesting Birds.....	33
7.0	RECOMMENDED AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES	33
7.1	SPECIES-SPECIFIC AND ESH AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES.....	33
7.2	GENERAL CONSTRUCTION AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES	35
8.0	CONCLUSIONS.....	35
9.0	LITERATURE CITED	37

Tables

Table 1 – Proposed Planting Palette for the Arroyo Paredon Creek Native Habitat Enhancement Area	3
Table 2 – Biological Surveys Conducted in 2019 and 2020.....	15
Table 3 – Summary of Vegetation and Land Use Types	17
Table 4 – Special-status Plant and Wildlife Species Occurrences Documented within a 5-miles Radius of the Project Site	21
Table 5 – Summary of Project Impacts.....	30

Figures

Figure 1 – Site Vicinity Map

Figure 2a – Site Plan

Figure 2b – Wastewater Treatment System

Figure 2c – Fencing and Security Plan

Figure 2d – Lighting Plan

Figures 3a – Arroyo Paredon Creek Native Habitat Enhancement Plan

Figure 3b – Creekside Blooms Native Landscaping Plan

Figure 4 – Vegetation Communities & Land Use Types

Figure 5 – Sensitive Biological Resources

Appendices

Appendix A – Site Photographs

Appendix B – CNPS Vegetation Rapid Assessment Form

Appendix C – Wildlife Movement Plan

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Revised Biological Resources Assessment (Revised Assessment) was prepared in support of a Coastal Development Permit and Development Plan application (19CDP-00000-00027, 19DVP-00000-00020) from the County of Santa Barbara (County) for the Creekside Blooms Nursery, LLC (Applicant) Mixed-Light Cannabis Cultivation Project (Project), located at 3508 Via Real (APN 005-280-025), Carpinteria, California.

This Revised Assessment has been prepared in compliance with County Ordinance 5027 and the corresponding requirements of Land Use Development Code (LUDC) §35.42.075 (Cannabis Regulations) and is also applicable to the requirements by other California resources agencies (e.g., California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Regional Water Quality Control Board) pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The investigation was completed by Storrer Environmental Services, LLC (SES).

The objectives and scope of this Revised Assessment are to 1) identify the nature and extent of biological resources present within and in proximity to the cannabis cultivation area, with focus on native habitats and/or species afforded special protection by federal, state, and/or local policies and regulations; 2) recommend measures to minimize project-related impacts to Environmentally Sensitive Habitat (ESH); 3) include a Wildlife Movement Plan per the County’s LUDC; ad, 4) determine whether there are any site-specific impacts not generally assessed in the County’s Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) for the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program (County 2017).

1.1 PROJECT LOCATION

The Project Site is 8.96 acres located at 3508 Via Real, approximately 0.6-mile west of the City of Carpinteria, within the Carpinteria Agricultural Overlay District (CCC 2015) (Latitude 34.414047°, Longitude -119.556086°) (Figure 1 – Site Vicinity Map). Arroyo Paredon Creek runs along the northern Project Site boundary.

1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Project is an application for a Coastal Development Permit and Development Plan pursuant to the County’s Coastal Zoning Ordinance for mixed light cannabis cultivation and associated uses in existing greenhouses and structures. There is no change of use from the current agricultural operations on site. The Project includes propagation of immature plants (nursery) and cultivation in 172,660 square feet of greenhouse and 17,441 square feet of agricultural accessory structure space that support the cultivation activities. The Project includes the demolition of 43,640 square feet of existing permitted and unpermitted-greenhouse and accessory structure area for conformity with permit history and for compliance with building and fire safety codes. The Project includes a total of eight (8) water tanks, seven (7) existing/as built and one proposed tank, and a request to increase the height of the existing 15-foot-tall greenhouses to 22-feet for improved airflow circulation and humidity controls.

In addition, the Project proposes to relocate a portion of the security fencing and dirt access road away from the Arroyo Paredon Creek corridor and restore 35,718 square feet (0.82-acre) of native habitat along Arroyo Paredon Creek (Figure 3a – Arroyo Paredon Creek Native Enhancement

Plan), as well as installation of 18,845 square feet (0.43-acre) of native landscaping within the permitted operations area that falls within the County-prescribed ESH Buffer (Figure 3b – Creekside Blooms Native Landscaping Plan).

1.2.1 Proposed Native Habitat Enhancement and Native Landscaping

As mentioned above, native habitat enhancement and native landscaping are proposed as part of the Project. The proposed Native Habitat Enhancement Area is 0.82-acre adjacent to Arroyo Paredon Creek. Existing chain-link security fencing along the top-of-bank (TOB) of Arroyo Paredon Creek will be replaced with barb wire fence to allow for wildlife passage and the Native Habitat Enhancement Area will be separated and protected from the permitted operations area by the relocated security fencing (Figure 2c – Fencing and Security Plan; Figure 3a – Arroyo Paredon Creek Native Enhancement Plan). Further, 0.43-acre of native landscaping is proposed in the permitted operations area, within the security fencing, in disturbed areas and locations where demolition is planned (Figure 3b – Creekside Blooms Native Landscaping Plan).

The existing security fence and dirt access road in the northeast corner of the Project Site will be relocated away from the TOB of Arroyo Paredon Creek, while still allowing for fire department access if necessary (Figure 2c – Fencing and Security Plan; Figure 3a – Arroyo Paredon Creek Native Enhancement Plan). The remainder of the access road on the north side of the property is permitted and will remain in place.

1.2.1.1 Native Habitat Enhancement Goals & Objectives

The objective of the planting design is to enhance the riparian habitat associated with Carpinteria Creek in the northern portion of the Project Site. This would be accomplished by planting a variety of regionally endemic riparian shrubs and herbaceous plants that will improve ecosystem functions, support a wider diversity of wildlife, and be compatible with existing riparian habitat.

Specific restoration goals and objectives include the following:

- restore native vegetation and establish self-sustaining native plant communities.
- manage non-native, invasive vegetation.
- restrict human entry.
- increase native plant diversity.
- improve ecosystem functions and services; and,
- improve wildlife and pollinator habitat.

1.2.1.2 Planting Palette for Native Habitat Enhancement Area

A combination of plugs, 4-inch pots, 1-gallon, and 15-gallon container plantings will be used to establish native vegetation. The objective of the planting design is to enhance the habitat in the northern portion of the Project Site, adjacent to the riparian corridor of Arroyo Paredon Creek. A variety of regionally appropriate shrubs and herbs will be planted to improve ecosystem functions, support a wider diversity of wildlife, and be compatible with existing riparian habitat. All recommended shrubs and herbaceous plant species are native to the south coast of Santa Barbara County. Planting palettes are summarized in Table 1 and Figures 3a and 3b.

**Table 1 – Proposed Planting Palette for the
 Arroyo Paredon Creek Native Habitat Enhancement Area**

Scientific Name	Common Name	Container Size	Quantity
Shrubs			
<i>Acmispon glaber (Lotus scoparius)</i>	deer weed	1 gallon	33
<i>Artemisia californica</i>	California sagebrush	1 gallon	127
<i>Baccharis salicifolia</i>	mulefat	1 gallon	32
<i>Encelia californica</i>	bush sunflower	1 gallon	124
<i>Eriophyllum confertiflorum</i>	Golden yarrow	1 gallon	56
<i>Frangula californica</i>	California coffeeberry	5 gallon	17
<i>Heteromeles arbutifolia</i>	toyon	5 gallon	41
<i>Isocoma menziesii</i> var. <i>menziesii</i>	coastal goldenbush	1 gallon	42
<i>Malosma laurina</i>	laurel sumac	1 gallon	52
<i>Rosa californica</i>	California rose	1 gallon	81
<i>Rubus ursinus</i>	California blackberry	1 gallon	55
<i>Sambucus nigra</i> ssp. <i>caerulea</i>	blue elderberry	1 gallon	57
<i>Scrophularia californica</i>	California figwort	1 gallon	56
<i>Venegasia carpesioides</i>	Canyon sunflower	1 gallon	93
Vines			
<i>Calystegia macrostegia</i> ssp. <i>cyclostegia</i>	chaparral morning-glory	1 gallon	8
<i>Clematis ligusticifolia</i>	creek clematis	1 gallon	8
Perennial Herbs			
<i>Artemisia douglasiana</i>	mugwort	1 gallon	61
<i>Asclepias fascicularis</i>	narrow-leaf milkweed	1 gallon	159
<i>Eriophyllum confertiflorum</i>	golden yarrow	1 gallon	43
<i>Sisyrinchium bellum</i>	blue-eyed grass	1 gallon	28
Perennial Grasses/Sedges			
<i>Bromus carinatus</i>	California brome	4-inch	114
<i>Elymus triticoides</i>	alkali rye	1 gallon	68
<i>Muhlenbergia rigens</i>	deer grass	1 gallon	44
<i>Stipa [Nassella] pulchra</i>	purple needlegrass	1 gallon	90

Container plants will be acquired from and/or contract collected and grown by a local wholesale California native seed distributor and/or plant nursery. Using plants grown from locally sourced seed will take advantage of the local genetic adaptations of these species. All plants shall be propagated from material (seed or cuttings) collected from local south coast watersheds from

Gaviota to Rincon. Following installation of container plants, a 4- to 6-inch layer of mulch should be applied around the base of each plant to a radius of 3 feet. Mulch should be placed a minimum of 3 inches from the plant stem to avoid the risk of moisture or fungus damage. Mulch used in the restoration areas should be free of invasive plant species seed and plant material, including eucalyptus bark.

1.2.1.3 Irrigation

A temporary drip irrigation system will be used during the first and second years of restoration to ensure successful germination and plant establishment. The drip tubing should be installed after planting and prior to mulch application around each container plant. Frequency of irrigation will depend on water availability, climatic conditions, and soil moisture, and may be adjusted as needed by the biologist. The drip irrigation should utilize a programmable irrigation controller with a flow sensor to detect leaks.

New plantings will be watered two to three times a week for the first three months after installation. After the initial three-month period, watering frequency should be reduced to one to two times per week or until seasonal rainfall provides sufficient moisture. Watering will be gradually decreased the second year after planting at the discretion of the biologist. Irrigation may continue in the third year after planting if drought conditions exist or if otherwise determined necessary.

1.2.1.4 Maintenance

Maintenance performed in the Arroyo Paredon Creek Native Habitat Enhancement Area will include weed eradication, repair of the irrigation system (as necessary), and trash removal. The primary maintenance activity in the Native Habitat Enhancement Area will be the control of non-native, invasive plant species. Invasive plant species should regularly be managed by manual/mechanical treatments (e.g., hand pulling, weed whipping). Although hand pulling is the environmentally preferred method for weed management, this technique may not be feasible for some deep-rooted and rhizotomous weeds or for large patches of broadleaf species. If herbicides are to be used in the native habitat enhancement area, they must be suitable for use near aquatic environments, such as *Aquamaster* and/or *Rodeo*, and will not be used within 72 hours of a rain event. All herbicide use conditions for mixing, application and clean-up shall conform to all applicable federal, state, and local regulations. No herbicides shall be used where threatened or endangered species occur, when wind velocities are above 5 miles per hour, or when nesting birds could be exposed.

1.2.1.5 Monitoring Methods

A qualified biologist will oversee the monitoring and reporting program throughout the 5-year maintenance period or until success criteria have been satisfied and the restoration is considered complete. The monitoring program will include oversight during the demolition, site preparation, seeding/planting, and maintenance phases of the restoration. A combination of quantitative and qualitative methods will be used to evaluate progress toward attainment of habitat restoration goals and objectives.

The following criteria will be used to evaluate progress during regular qualitative surveys:

- Native plant diversity.

- Mortality of native plantings (quantitative).
- Health and vigor of native plantings (qualitative).
- Size of native plantings (quantitative).
- Percent cover native and non-native vegetative cover (determined through annual quantitative surveys)
- Percent of bare ground (determined through annual quantitative surveys)
- Evidence of native plant recruitment.
- Evidence of erosion.
- Evidence of wildlife usage.
- Need for implementation of adaptive management strategies (e.g., plant protection, erosion control, reseeding, additional planting, and additional weed control).

Annual quantitative surveys will include identification of approximate percent cover of vegetation within the restoration areas utilizing vegetation relevés following CNPS protocol (CNPS 2016) and/or a line-transect method with a one-meter squared quadrat or point-intercept sampling technique (as described in *A Manual of California Vegetation*, Sawyer and Keeler-Wolf, 1995). Quantitative sampling should be completed in late-spring or early summer (April-June) when annual species are identifiable.

Monitoring and maintenance frequency should include weekly site inspections by on-site personnel and monthly inspections by the qualified biologist during the first year. Inspections for Years 2 and 3 should be a minimum of once per month for on-site personnel and quarterly for the qualified biologist. Inspections for Years 4 and 5 will be performed as needed. Monitoring and maintenance frequency may be adjusted, as necessary, by the qualified biologist to ensure the restoration success criteria are achieved.

1.2.1.6 Reporting Requirements

Annual reports will be prepared and submitted to the County for review. Annual reports will summarize maintenance activities performed, provide results of monitoring surveys, and describe progress with habitat restoration for each year. The annual reports describing the work completed to-date and the monitoring results will be submitted to the regulatory agencies by January 31 for each year of the Project (up to five annual reports).

Photographs will be taken from established photo-points during each phase of restoration (e.g., site preparation, planting, maintenance). Photo-points will be noted on graphics submitted with the annual reports.

1.2.1.7 Success Criteria

The qualitative and quantitative monitoring methods described above will be used to evaluate progress toward attainment of the restoration goals and objectives. Success criteria are designed to measure progress toward this goal. Non-native annual grasses that are listed as naturalized by the Jepson Herbarium E-flora (Jepson 2020) and not ranked as highly invasive by the California Invasive Plant Council (Cal-IPC 2020) will not be considered in the total weed cover (e.g., *Avena* sp., *Bromus diandrus*, *Hordeum* sp.).

Success criteria for the Native Habitat Enhancement Area include the following:

- Relative cover of native/naturalized species shall be 20% by Year 2, 30% by Year 3, 50% by Year 4, and 80% by Year 5 or shall be greater than or equal to the relative native plant cover in a comparable reference site.
- Cover by targeted invasive plant species shall be less than 10% by the end of Year 5.
- Soil is stable, erosion control BMPs are in place, no sedimentation into Arroyo Paredon Creek.

2.0 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

The Project Site is located in the Coastal Zone, approximately 0.6-mile south of foothills of the Santa Ynez Mountains and 0.13-mile northeast of the Pacific Ocean (Figure 1 – Site Vicinity Map). Surrounding land use is predominantly agriculture, with residential neighborhoods to the north and west.

The parcel is zoned agriculture (AG-1-10) and the entire Project Site is currently in agricultural production (Figure 2a – Site Plan). Arroyo Paredon Creek runs along the northern Project Site boundary. An existing chain-link fence parallels the TOB of the creek. Agricultural use on the property consists entirely of indoor greenhouses and support structures (e.g., equipment storage areas, boiler room, processing areas, etc.).

Approximately 40,400 square feet of the unpermitted greenhouses and support structures encroach into the County-prescribed 100-foot ESH buffer and will be demolished as part of the Project. The area where these support structures are situated is characterized as ruderal. Historically, this area has been used as a 25-foot-wide graded access road and included accessory structures as early as 1978.

The property slopes gently to the northwest, toward Arroyo Paredon Creek and Via Real, and ranges in elevation from 35 feet above mean sea level (msl) at the southeast corner to approximately 14 feet above msl at the site entrance off of Via Real. Based on review of the Web Soil Survey of the of Santa Barbara County, California, South Coastal Part the following two soil units are mapped in the Project Site:

- Camarillo fine sandy loam (Cb), fine substratum. The western half of the Project Site is comprised of the Cb soil type. Camarillo fine sandy loam is a poorly drained soil that forms in floodplains. Cb parent material is alluvium derived from calcareous sedimentary rock. Camarillo fine sandy loam is considered farmland of statewide importance (NRCS 2021); and,
- Elder sandy loam (EaA), 0 to 2 percent slopes. The eastern half of the Project Site is comprised of the EaA soil type. Elder sandy loam is a well-drained soil that forms on alluvial fans and floodplains. The parent material consists of mixed alluvium. Elder sandy loam is considered prime farmland if irrigated (NRCS 2021).

3.0 REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

Sensitive biological resources, including special-status plant and wildlife species, unique plant communities, wildlife corridors, nesting birds, and jurisdictional waters and wetlands, are

protected under various federal, state, and local laws, regulations, and land use policies. The following sections summarize the regulations and policies administered by resource agencies pertaining to biological resources that are known to occur or have the potential to occur on the property.

3.1 FEDERAL REGULATIONS

3.1.1 Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C. § 1531 et seq.)

The Endangered Species Act of 1973 (ESA) provides for the protection of plant and animal species listed by the federal government as “endangered” or “threatened,” and “the ecosystems upon which they depend.” The USFWS and National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) share responsibility for administration of the federal ESA. An “endangered” species is one that is “in danger of extinction” throughout all or a significant portion of its range. A “threatened” species is one that is “likely to become endangered” within the foreseeable future. The ESA prohibits “take” of threatened or endangered species except under certain circumstances and only with authorization from the USFWS. “Take” as defined by the ESA, “means to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct.” This can also include the modification of a species’ habitat. For plants, this statute governs removing, possessing, maliciously damaging, or destroying any listed plant on federal land and removing, cutting, digging up, damaging, or destroying any listed plant on non-federal land in knowing violation of state law (16 U.S.C. § 1538(c)).

When non-federal entities, such as states, counties, local governments, and private landowners, wish to conduct an otherwise lawful activity that might incidentally, but not intentionally, “take” a listed species, an incidental take permit must first be obtained via formal consultation with the USFWS using one of two methods. If a federal nexus is not available, an incidental take permit (ITP) must be obtained for the project following formal consultation with the USFWS via Section 10 of the ESA (ESA § 10(a)(1)(B)).

If a federal nexus is available, then an incidental take permit may be obtained by the federal agency involved in the nexus (e.g., USACE) via Section 7 of the ESA (ESA § 7). Section 7 stipulates that any federal agency action that may affect a species listed as threatened or endangered requires a formal consultation with USFWS to ensure that the action is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of the listed species or result in destruction or adverse modification of designated critical habitat (16 U.S.C. 1536(a)(2)). The Biological Opinion issued by the USFWS at the conclusion of the consultation may include authorization for incidental take of a listed species.

3.1.2 Clean Water Act – Section 404

The Clean Water Act (CWA) is comprehensive legislation established to protect the nation’s water from pollution by setting water quality standards and by limiting the discharge of effluents in the waters of the United States. Section 404 of the CWA regulates the discharge of dredged and/or fill material into waters of the U.S., including wetlands. Section 404 of the CWA is jointly administered and enforced by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Activities in waters of the U.S. regulated under Section 404 include dredge or fill for development, water resources projects (i.e., dams and levees), infrastructure development (i.e., highways and airports), and mining projects. With the exception

of certain farming and forestry activities that are exempt from Section 404 regulation, a Section 404 permit is required before any dredged or fill material may be discharged into waters of the U.S. The Section 404 program prohibits discharge of dredged or fill material if waters of the U.S. would be significantly degraded or a practical alternative exists that is less damaging to the aquatic environment.

3.1.3 Waters of the U.S.

On April 21, 2020, the EPA and USACE published the Navigable Waters Protection Rule (2020 Rule) that defines waters of the U.S. and clarifies the limits of federal jurisdiction over wetlands, streams, and ditches under the CWA. The 2020 Rule became effective on June 22, 2020.

3.1.3.1 Jurisdictional Waters

For purposes of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251 *et seq.* and its implementing regulations, the term “waters of the U.S.” means:

- (1) The territorial seas, and waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
- (2) Tributaries.
- (3) Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters; and,
- (4) Adjacent wetlands.

The limit of USACE’s jurisdiction in non-tidal waters extends to the ordinary high water mark (OHWM). The term OHWM means that line on the shore established by the fluctuations of water and indicated by physical characteristics such as a clear, natural line impressed on the bank, shelving, changes in the character of soil, destruction of terrestrial vegetation, the presence of litter and debris, or other appropriate means that consider the characteristics of the surrounding areas.

The term adjacent wetlands applies to wetlands that:

- (i) Abut, meaning to touch at least at one point or side of, a water identified in paragraphs (1), (2), or (3) of this section.
- (ii) Are inundated by flooding from a water identified in paragraphs (1), (2), or (3) of this section in a typical year.
- (iii) Are physically separated from a water identified in paragraph (1), (2), or (3) of this section only by a natural berm, bank, dune, or similar natural feature; or
- (iv) Are physically separated from a water identified in paragraph (1), (2), or (3) of this section only by an artificial dike, barrier, or similar artificial structure so long as that structure allows for a direct hydrologic surface connection between the wetlands and the water identified in paragraph (1), (2), or (3) of this section in a typical year, such as through a culvert, flood or tide gate, pump, or similar artificial feature. An adjacent wetland is jurisdictional in its entirety when a road or similar artificial structure divides the wetland, as long as the structure allows for a direct hydrologic surface connection through or over that structure in a typical year.

The term “lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters” means:

Standing bodies of open water that contribute surface water flow to a water identified in paragraph (1) of this section in a typical year either directly or through one or more waters identified in paragraph (2), (3), or (4) of this section. A lake, pond, or impoundment of a jurisdictional water does not lose its jurisdictional status if it contributes surface water flow to a downstream jurisdictional water in a typical year through a channelized non-jurisdictional surface water feature, through a culvert, dike, spillway, or similar artificial feature, or through a debris pile, boulder field, or similar natural feature. A lake or pond, or impoundment of a jurisdictional water is also jurisdictional if it is inundated by flooding from a water identified in paragraph (1), (2), or (3) of this section in a typical year.

3.1.3.2 Non-jurisdictional Waters

Per the 2020 Rule, the following are not “waters of the U.S.”:

- (1) Waters or water features that are not identified in paragraphs (1), (2), (3), or (4) of the previous section.
- (2) Groundwater, including groundwater drained through subsurface drainage systems.
- (3) Ephemeral features, including ephemeral streams, swales, gullies, rills, and pools.
- (4) Diffuse stormwater run-off and directional sheet flow over upland.
- (5) Ditches that are not waters identified in paragraphs (1) or (2) of the previous section, and those portions of ditches constructed in waters identified in paragraph (4) of the previous section that do not satisfy the definitions of adjacent wetlands.
- (6) Prior converted cropland.
- (7) Artificially irrigated areas, including fields flooded for agricultural production, that would revert to upland should application of irrigation water to that area cease.
- (8) Artificial lakes and ponds, including water storage reservoirs and farm, irrigation, stock watering, and log cleaning ponds, constructed or excavated in upland or in non-jurisdictional waters, so long as those artificial lakes and ponds are not impoundments of jurisdictional waters.
- (9) Water-filled depressions constructed or excavated in upland or in non-jurisdictional waters incidental to mining or construction activity, and pits excavated in upland or in non-jurisdictional waters for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel.
- (10) Stormwater control features constructed or excavated in upland or in non-jurisdictional waters to convey, treat, infiltrate, or store stormwater run-off.
- (11) Groundwater recharge, water reuse, and wastewater recycling structures, including detention, retention, and infiltration basins and ponds, constructed or excavated in upland or in non-jurisdictional waters; and,
- (12) Waste treatment systems.

3.2 STATE REGULATIONS

3.2.1 California Endangered Species Act (California Fish and Game Code § 2050, et seq.)

Fish and wildlife resources are protected by a number of laws and programs administered by the CDFW, formerly the California Department of Fish and Game. The California Endangered Species Act (CESA) generally parallels the provisions of the federal ESA, and states that “all native species of fishes, amphibians, reptiles, birds, mammals, invertebrates, and plants, and their habitats, threatened with extinction and those experiencing a significant decline which, if not halted, would lead to a threatened or endangered designation, will be protected or preserved.”

Under the CESA, “endangered” is defined as “a native species or subspecies of a bird, mammal, fish, amphibian, reptile, or plant which is in serious danger of becoming extinct throughout all, or a significant portion, of its range;” and “threatened” is defined as “a native species or subspecies of a bird, mammal, fish, amphibian, reptile, or plant that, although not presently threatened with extinction, is likely to become an endangered species in the foreseeable future in the absence of the special protection and management efforts.” “Take” is defined as “to hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill, or attempt to hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill” an individual of a species, but the definition does not include “harm” or “harass,” as the ESA does. As a result, the threshold for a take under the CESA is higher than that under the federal ESA. Exceptions to the take prohibition are limited to authorization of collection for “necessary scientific research”.

Consistent with the CESA, CDFW has established lists of endangered, threatened, and candidate species that may or may not be included on a federal ESA list. CDFW also maintains a list of Species of Special Concern for those species that have declining populations, limited distribution, diminishing habitat, or unusual scientific, educational, or recreational value. In addition, CDFW manages a “watch list” of species that have been de-listed or are vulnerable. Species of Special concern and watch list species are not afforded the same legal protection as listed species.

Pursuant to California Fish and Game Code Section 2081, CESA allows for incidental take permits to otherwise lawful development projects that could result in the take of a state-listed threatened or endangered species. The application for an incidental take permit under Section 2081(b) has a number of requirements including the preparation of a conservation plan, generally referred to as a Habitat Conservation Plan. CESA emphasizes early consultation to avoid potential impacts to rare, endangered, and threatened species and to develop appropriate mitigation planning to offset project-caused losses of listed species.

3.2.2 Native Plant Protection Act (California Fish and Game Code §§ 1900 - 1913, § 2062 and § 2067)

The CDFW also manages the California Native Plant Protection Act (NPPA), which designates and protects species eligible for state listing. Eligible species include those identified on California Native Plant Society (CNPS) Rare Plant Ranks (CRPRs) 1A, 1B, and 2 meet the definitions of Sections 1901, Chapter 10 (NPPA) or Sections 2062 and 2067 (CESA) of the California Fish and Game Code. CRPR 3 and 4 species, though not meeting the criteria for listing by CDFW, may be considered during project review by the agencies.

3.2.3 Clean Water Act – Section 401

The CWA Section 401 Water Quality Certification (Section 401 Certification) provides states and authorized tribes an opportunity to address the aquatic resource impacts of federally issued permits and licenses, to help protect water quality. Under Section 401 of the CWA, any applicant for a federal license or permit to conduct any activity that may result in any discharge into waters of the U.S. must obtain a Section 401 Certification from the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) that the proposed activity will comply with state water quality standards. In California, Section 401 Certifications are issued by Regional Water Quality Control Boards (RWQCB) located throughout the state. The Central Coast RWQCB issues Section 401 Certifications for projects in the County. The federal CWA Section 404 permit is dependent on and subject to the terms of the Section 401 Certification. Therefore, under Section 401, a federal agency cannot issue a permit or license for an activity that may result in discharge into waters of the U.S. until the RWQCB has granted or waived the Section 401 Certification. Section 401 Certification is limited to federally jurisdictional waters and wetlands.

3.2.3.1 Waters of the State

California Code of Regulations, title 23, section 3831(w) states that “all waters of the United States are also ‘waters of the state.’” This regulation has remained in effect despite federal decisions which added limitations to what could be considered a water of the U.S. Therefore, the regulation reflects the SWRCB’s intent to include a broad interpretation of waters of the U.S. into the definition of waters of the state. Waters of the state includes features that have been determined by the EPA or the USACE to be “waters of the U.S.” in an approved jurisdictional determination; “waters of the U.S.” identified in an aquatic resource report certified by the USACE upon which a permitting decision was based; and features that are consistent with any current or historic final judicial interpretation of “waters of the U.S.” or any current or historic federal regulation defining “waters of the U.S.”

Because the interpretation of waters of the U.S. in place at the time section 3831(w) was adopted was broader than subsequent definitions (including the 2020 Rule) that incorporated more limitations into the scope of federal jurisdiction, it is consistent with the SWRCB’s intent to include both historic and current definitions of waters of the U.S. into the SWRCB’s wetland jurisdictional framework. Further, a wetland will continue to be protected when it has been regulated in the past as a water of the U.S. regardless of any subsequent changes in federal regulations. The inclusion of both current and historic definitions of “waters of the U.S.” will help ensure some regulatory stability in an area that has otherwise been in flux. Like the other categories of the SWRCB’s wetland jurisdictional framework, the status as a water of the U. S. may only be used to establish that a wetland qualifies as a water of the state; it cannot be used to exclude a wetland from qualifying as a water of the state. In other words, wetlands that are categorically excluded from qualifying as a water of the U.S. may nevertheless qualify as waters of the state under another jurisdictional category.

The SWRCB generally excludes certain areas and activities from the application procedures in order to better align the SWRCB’s dredge or fill program with the federal CWA section 404 program. Activities and areas excluded from the procedures include:

- (1) Normal farming, ranching, and silviculture activities; constructing and maintaining stock or farm ponds and irrigation ditches; constructing or maintaining farm, forest, or mining roads; maintaining or reconstructing structures that are currently serviceable; and constructing temporary sediment basins for construction.
- (2) Suction dredge mining.
- (3) Routine emergency operation and maintenance activities.
- (4) Prior converted cropland that was cleared, drained, or otherwise manipulated for cropland use prior to December 23, 1985.
- (5) Fields used for rice cultivation; and,
- (6) Features used for agricultural purposes (e.g., stock ponds, irrigation ditches, etc.).

3.2.4 SWRCB Cannabis Cultivation Policy – Principles and Guidelines for Cannabis Cultivation (Attachment A)

The SWRCB has adopted “General Requirements and Prohibitions” with respect to cannabis cultivation. Among these are “minimum riparian setbacks” measured from the edge of the wetland as determined by a qualified professional familiar with the USACE Wetlands Delineation Manual. Prescribed setbacks for cannabis cultivation and support facilities (e.g., materials/vehicle storage, pumps, water storage tanks) are as follows:

- Perennial watercourses (e.g. lakes, ponds, springs): 150 feet;
- Intermittent watercourses or wetlands: 100 feet;
- Ephemeral watercourses: 50 feet; and,
- Man-made irrigation canals and reservoirs: limits of riparian vegetation zone.

The SWRCB guidelines also include requirements for cleanup, restoration, and mitigation for impacts to riparian vegetation and/or oak trees. A revegetation plan may be required for impacts to these habitat types resulting from cannabis operations.

3.2.5 California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 722 – General Lake or Streambed Alteration Agreement or Activities Related to Cannabis Cultivation (General Agreement)

The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) requires a General Agreement under the referenced statute for “construction, reconstruction or repair of stream crossings in the form of a bridge, culvert, or rock ford, and water diversion on non-fish streams and lakes that are used or will be used for the purpose of cannabis cultivation, each a “covered activity””.

3.3 LOCAL LAND USE POLICIES

3.3.1 County Stream and Riparian Habitat Protection

The Environmental Thresholds and Guidelines Manual (County 2008) defines riparian habitat as the “terrestrial or upland area adjacent to freshwater bodies, such as the banks of creeks and streams, the shores of lakes and ponds, and aquifers which emerge at the surface as springs or seeps. This habitat can also occur along arroyos and barrancas, and other types of drainages throughout the County”.

County-prescribed setbacks (i.e., buffer areas) from the outer (upland) edge of the riparian canopy, or the top-of-bank of the water body in the absence of riparian vegetation, are 50 feet in urban areas, and 100 feet in rural areas. Intrusion within the buffer areas for riparian habitats and streams may be considered significant.

3.3.2 Oak Tree Protection

The County's Standard Conditions and Mitigation Measures (County 2011) require that grading, trenching, ground disturbance, construction activities and structural development occur beyond six feet of the dripline of all oak trees. Mitigation for impacted coast live oak trees requires posting of a performance security and tree replacement at a 10:1 ratio, preferably on-site (County 2019).

3.3.3 California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

This Revised Assessment is intended to support County review of the proposed Project. The adopted County-wide Programmatic FEIR for the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program (County 2017) generally covers individual cannabis projects when the EIR CEQA analysis applies. The guidelines for determining CEQA significance are followed in this Revised Assessment. The following threshold criteria, as defined by the CEQA Guidelines Appendix G Initial Study Checklist, were used to evaluate potential effects to biological resources. Based on these criteria, the proposed Project would have a significant effect on biological resources if it would:

- a) *Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive or special-status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS).*
- b) *Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, and regulations or by the CDFW or USFWS.*
- c) *Have a substantial adverse effect on State or federally protected wetlands (including marsh, vernal pool, and coastal areas) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means.*
- d) *Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites.*
- e) *Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance.*
- f) *Conflict with the provisions of an adopted habitat Conservation plan, natural community conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional or state habitat conservation plan.*

In addition, based on the following County-adopted CEQA thresholds from the County's Environmental Thresholds and Guidelines Manual (County 2008) the Project would have a significant effect on biological resources if it would:

- Substantially reduce or eliminate species diversity or abundance.
- Substantially reduce or eliminate quantity or quality of nesting areas.
- Substantially limit reproductive capacity through losses of individuals or habitat.
- Substantially fragment, eliminate, or otherwise disrupt foraging areas and/or access to food sources.
- Substantially limit or fragment range and movement (geographic distribution or animals and/or seed dispersal routes).
- Substantially interfere with natural processes, such as fire or flooding, upon which the habitat depends.

3.3.4 County Land Use Development Code (LUDC) §35.42.075

The County LUDC provides development standards, permit requirements, and procedures for commercial cannabis activities (County 2019). As summarized in Appendix J: Cannabis Activities Additional Standards of the LUDC, the following measures are to be implemented to protect biological resources, if present.

A. Tree Protection Plan

- A.1. The Applicant for a land use entitlement for a commercial cannabis activity that would involve pruning, damage, or removal of a native tree, shall prepare and submit to the County Planning and Development Department (Department) a Tree Protection Plan prepared by a Department-approved arborist designed to determine whether avoidance, minimization, or compensatory measures are necessary.

B. Habitat Protection Plan

- B.1. The Applicant for a land use entitlement for a cannabis activity that would involve clearing of native vegetation or other sensitive vegetation in an area that has been identified as having a medium to high potential of being occupied by a special-status wildlife species, nesting bird, or a Federal or State-listed special-status plant species, shall prepare and submit a Habitat Protection Plan prepared by a Department-approved biologist, in coordination with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) as required for State or Federal permits and State or Federally listed species, designed to determine whether avoidance, minimization, or compensatory measures are necessary.
- B.3. If the project site is located within the known habitat of a species listed as rare, threatened, or endangered by the USFWS and/or CDFW, the issuance of a permit does not relieve the permit-holder of any duties, obligations, or responsibilities under the Endangered Species Act or any other law.

C. Wildlife Movement Plan

- C.1. The Applicant shall prepare a Wildlife Movement Plan for all commercial cannabis activities proposed in or near wildlife movement areas for the Department's review and approval. A Department-approved biologist shall review the Plan and confirm the

adequacy of design for passage of smaller wildlife and safe prevention of entry by larger mammals, such as deer. The Applicant shall demonstrate to the Department that all perimeter fencing requirements are in place as required prior to commencement of cannabis activities.

4.0 METHODS

4.1 BACKGROUND REVIEW

Public domain information was reviewed prior to field work, including the NRCS Web Soil Survey of Santa Barbara County, California, South Coastal Part (NRCS 2021), USGS Carpinteria CA 7.5-minute quadrangle map, the National Hydrography Dataset (NHD) (USGS 2021), National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) (USFWS 2021), California Natural Diversity Data Base (CNDDDB) (CDFW 2021), and weather data. The CNDDDB query provided locations of special-status plant populations, sensitive natural communities, and special-status wildlife documented within a 5-mile radius of the Project Site.

4.2 FIELD METHODOLOGY

Biological field investigations included pedestrian surveys of the Project Site to facilitate mapping of primary vegetation types, documentation of dominant plant species and wildlife, delineation of the limits of ESH, and spring botanical surveys. Mapping of jurisdictional limits and the vegetation sampling points were performed in the field using an iPad tablet with ArcCollector and an EOS Arrow 100 Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) receiver. Table 2 provides a summary of survey types, dates, and field personnel.

Table 2 – Biological Surveys Conducted in 2019 and 2020

Type of Survey	Date	Field Personnel	Area Surveyed
Botanical Survey Wildlife Survey ESH/Vegetation Mapping	February 27, 2019	Jessica Peak Justine Cooper	Entire parcel
Botanical Survey Wildlife Survey ESH/Vegetation Mapping	March 13, 2019	Jessica Peak Justine Cooper	Entire parcel & Arroyo Paredon Creek Corridor
Spring Botanical Survey Wildlife Survey CNPS Vegetation Rapid Assessment of Riparian Habitat	May 7, 2020	Jessica Peak	Entire parcel & Arroyo Paredon Creek Corridor

4.2.1 Botanical Surveys

The field investigations included mapping and documentation of primary vegetation types using CDFW-CNPS protocol for Vegetation Rapid Assessment, when applicable (Appendix B – CNPS Vegetation Rapid Assessment Forms). Descriptions of vegetation communities are adapted from *A Manual of California Vegetation, Second Edition* (MV-II) (Sawyer et al. 2009) and *A Manual of California Vegetation Online* (CNPS 2021a). Nomenclature for plant species follows *The Jepson Manual, Second Edition* (Baldwin et al. 2012). Vegetation Rapid Assessment was performed at two locations, one in the riparian habitat along Arroyo Paredon Creek and one in the ruderal habitat in the proposed native habitat enhancement area (Figure 4 – Vegetation

Communities & Land Use Types). The ornamental trees/landscape plantings land use type on the perimeter of the Project Site was not sampled using the CNPS Vegetation Rapid Assessment Form because it does not fall within the MV-II classification system. Vegetation communities and land use types are discussed in detail in Section 5.2 below.

The March and May botanical surveys were conducted during the appropriate blooming period to detect and identify special-status plant species that have the potential to occur in the Project Site (e.g., umbrella larkspur, Santa Barbara honeysuckle, Nuttall's scrub oak, etc.). The spring survey was performed by walking through the vegetated areas of the Project Site and Arroyo Paredon Creek to determine whether sensitive plants are present.

4.2.2 Wildlife Surveys

The evaluation of wildlife use of the property was made in part through field reconnaissance but was also based on habitat suitability within the Project Site and known occurrence of various species in the Project vicinity. Wildlife species that were observed or detected via scat or vocalizations were recorded. Habitat conditions and current status of special-status wildlife species were a particular focus of the wildlife surveys. Potential for nesting, roosting, or foraging by sensitive bird species and various raptors was also assessed.

4.2.3 Delineation of ESH and Jurisdictional Limits

The extent of ESH and jurisdictional limits were documented during field surveys. The ESH boundary (i.e., edge of riparian canopy) along Arroyo Paredon Creek was mapped using an iPad tablet with ArcCollector and an EOS Arrow 100 High Accuracy Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) receiver (Figures 4 and 5).

4.2.3.1 Waters of the U.S.

Arroyo Paredon Creek is adjacent to the Project Site and is depicted as an intermittent blue-line stream in the USGS's National Hydrography Dataset (USGS 2019) and National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) (USFWS 2019).

Pursuant to Section 401 of the Clean Water Act (CWA), the limit of U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) jurisdiction in non-tidal waters extends to the OHWM and includes all adjacent wetlands. The OHWM is an element used to identify the lateral limits of non-wetland waters based on stream geomorphology and vegetation response to the dominant stream discharge (Lichvar and McColley 2008). The OHWM was not mapped as part of this Assessment; however, the OHWM is within the mapped riparian area, below TOB, and Arroyo Paredon Creek is assumed to be under USACE jurisdiction.

4.2.3.2 CDFW & County Streams

Pursuant to Section 1600 *et seq.* of the California Fish and Game code, the extent of California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) jurisdiction was determined based on presence of a defined physical bed, bank, and channel. CDFW jurisdiction extends to the TOB or the edge of riparian vegetation, whichever is further. County jurisdiction along streams corresponds to the extent of CDFW jurisdiction.

The TOB of Arroyo Paredon Creek and the extent of the associated riparian habitat was mapped using an iPad tablet with ArcCollector and an EOS Arrow 100 GPS receiver (Figure 4 – Sensitive Biological Resources).

5.0 RESULTS

5.1 HYDROLOGY

Arroyo Paredon Creek flows from east to west along northern boundary of the Project Site, continues under Via Real and Highway 101, and outlets to the Pacific Ocean approximately 900 feet downstream of the property. The existing fence line along the parcel boundary parallels the creek, ranging from 2 to 15 feet from the TOB.

At the time of the March 2019 surveys, the Carpinteria area had received 16.54 inches rain during the 2019 water year (September 1 – August 31) (County 2019). Surface flow in Arroyo Paredon Creek varied from 2 to 12 inches deep and 5 to 20 feet wide, with limited small pools (see Site Photographs). In May 2020, there was minimal surface flow in the creek, averaging one inch in depth and 2 to 5 feet wide (Appendix A – Site Photographs). The channel bottom consists of sand and cobble.

There are two culverts in the Project Site that convey stormwater runoff to Arroyo Paredon Creek (Figure 4 – Vegetation Communities & Land Use Types). All water used in agricultural production on the Project Site is captured, purified, and reused.

Arroyo Paredon Creek is U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)-designated critical habitat for southern California steelhead trout and tidewater goby and is under USACE, CDFW, RWQCB, CCC, and County jurisdiction. The portion of Arroyo Paredon Creek adjacent to the Project Site is regularly maintained (i.e., cleared of vegetation and debris) by the County Flood Control District.

5.2 VEGETATION COMMUNITIES & LAND USE TYPES

There are three vegetation communities/land use types present in the Project Site: western sycamore-arroyo willow woodland, ornamental trees/landscaping plantings, and ruderal/developed. Vegetation communities were mapped based on field observations using aerial imagery. Descriptions of vegetation communities are provided below. Vegetation communities and land use types present in the Project Site are summarized in Table 3 and the distribution of these communities is illustrated in Figure 4 – Vegetation Communities & Land Use Types.

Table 3 – Summary of Vegetation Communities/Land Use Types in the Project Site

Vegetation Alliance/Land Use Type ¹	Vegetation Association ¹	Rarity Ranking ³	Area in Project Site (acres)
Sensitive Vegetation and Native Trees			
Western Sycamore-Arroyo Willow Woodland	<i>Platanus racemosa-Quercus agrifolia-Salix lasiolepis</i>	G3, S3	2.61
Individual Coast Live Oak	N/A	Protected by County/State policies	0.03

Other Land Use Types			
Ornamental Trees/Landscape Plantings ²	N/A	N/A	0.40
Ruderal/Developed ²	N/A	N/A	7.32

¹Vegetation Alliances and Associations follow *A Manual of California Vegetation, Second Edition* (MV-II) (Sawyer et al. 2009), where applicable.

² Not a recognized community in MV-II.

³ Global/State rarity rankings follow the CDFW California Natural Communities List (CDFW 2019). Natural communities with ranks 1-3 are considered sensitive.

G1/S1 – Critically imperiled. At very high risk of extinction due to extreme rarity (often 5 or fewer populations), very steep declines, or other factors.

G2/S2 – Imperiled. At high risk of extinction due to very restricted range, very few populations (often 20 or fewer), steep declines, or other factors.

G3/S3 – Vulnerable. At moderate risk of extinction due to a restricted range, relatively few populations (often 80 or fewer), recent and widespread declines, or other factors.

G4/S4 – Apparently Secure. Uncommon but not rare; some cause for long-term concern due to declines or other factors.

G5/S5 – Demonstrably Secure. Common; widespread and abundant.

5.2.1 Western Sycamore-Arroyo Willow Woodland (*Platanus racemosa-Quercus agrifolia-Salix lasiolepis* Association)

The riparian habitat associated with Arroyo Paredon Creek consists of western sycamore-arroyo willow woodland. This vegetation community most closely aligns with the Western Sycamore Woodland Alliance and *Platanus racemosa-Quercus agrifolia-Salix lasiolepis* Association in MV-II (Sawyer et al. 2009) (Appendix B – CNPS Vegetation Rapid Assessment Form VEG-01). This habitat type consists of a mixed tree canopy dominated by arroyo willow (*Salix lasiolepis*) and western sycamore (*Platanus racemosa*), with scattered coast live oaks along the upper banks. The understory is comprised primarily of non-native plant species including garden nasturtium (*Tropaeolum majus*), cape ivy (*Delairea odorata*), poison hemlock (*Conium maculatum*), tree tobacco (*Nicotiana glauca*), giant reed (*Arundo donax*), smilo grass (*Stipa miliaceum*), and sticky snakeroot (*Ageratina adenophora*) (Appendix A – Site Photographs). Native riparian species observed in the understory included creek clematis (*Clematis ligusticifolia*), coast morning glory (*Calystegia macrostegia* ssp. *cyclostegia*), canyon sunflower (*Venegasia carpesioides*), mugwort (*Artemisia douglasiana*), California man-root (*Marah fabacea*), Douglas’ nightshade (*Solanum douglasii*), and California figwort (*Scrophularia californica*). Western sycamore-coast live oak woodlands are designated as ESH by the County and CCC.

5.2.2 Ornamental Trees/Landscape Plantings

There is one citrus tree located in the northeast corner of the Project Site and ornamental and fruit trees are planted along the eastern perimeter of the parcel, on the neighboring property (Appendix A – Site Photographs). This vegetation type is not a recognized community in MV-II, as it consists of species not native to the region that have been planted and/or exotic species that typically don’t occur in the natural landscape outside of urban areas. Ornamental and fruit tree species observed include Italian stone pine (*Pinus pinea*), myoporum (*Myoporum laetum*), avocado (*Persea americana*), and lemon (*Citrus limon*).

5.2.3 Ruderal/Developed

The entire parcel is developed with greenhouses, associated structures/supplies, water tanks, and access roads. Ruderal (i.e., disturbance adapted) plant species including poison hemlock, garden nasturtium, smilo grass, cheeseweed (*Malva parviflora*), lamb's quarters (*Chenopodium album*), lesser swine cress (*Lepidium didymum*), prickly sow thistle (*Sonchus asper*), and mustards (*Brassica nigra*, *Hirschfeldia incana*) were observed within the Project Site along the fence lines and in between greenhouses and structures (Appendix A – Site Photographs) (Appendix B – CNPS Vegetation Rapid Assessment Form VEG-02).

5.3 GENERAL WILDLIFE HABITAT

The field survey enabled a characterization of habitat quality and assessment of potential for occurrence of special-status wildlife species within and surrounding the Project Site. Because the Project Site is currently used for agricultural purposes and contains structural features like greenhouses, containers, and buildings, it has limited habitat value for wildlife. These developed areas are regularly managed and maintained, which precludes use by most wildlife species.

During field surveys, wildlife was only observed or detected near the northern perimeter of the Project Site or within Arroyo Paredon Creek. Bird species observed include California towhee (*Pipilo crissalis*), acorn woodpecker (*Melanerpes formicivorus*), American crow (*Corvus brachyrhynchos*), yellow-rumped warbler (*Setophaga coronata*), Anna's hummingbird (*Calypte anna*), lesser goldfinch (*Spinus psaltria*), wrentit (*Chamaea fasciata*), oak titmouse (*Baeolophus inornatus*), pacific slope flycatcher (*Empidonax difficilis*), red-tailed hawk (*Buteo jamaicensis*), and red-shouldered hawk (*Buteo lineatus*). Other wildlife observed/detected included western fence lizard (*Sceloporus occidentalis*), Baja California treefrogs (*Pseudacris hypochondriaca*) vocalizing in the creek, and numerous raccoon (*Procyon lotor*) tracks in the sediment deposits along the creek banks. No tadpoles were observed in the creek channel during any of the field surveys in 2019 and 2020.

Arroyo Paredon Creek functions as a dispersal and migration corridor for upland and aquatic wildlife. The continuous band of riparian habitat allows wildlife movement across a landscape that is fragmented by agricultural and urban development, and enables passage from upland to lowlands and facilitates genetic exchange within populations. Mature sycamore, willow, and oak trees along Arroyo Paredon Creek provide suitable nesting habitat for raptors and other bird species.

As mentioned above, Arroyo Paredon Creek is USFWS-designated critical habitat for southern California steelhead and tidewater goby. There is a documented occurrence of tidewater goby near the western corner of the Project Site, where the creek flows under Via Real (CNDDDB 2021). Arroyo Paredon Creek could also support other sensitive semi-aquatic wildlife species (e.g., California red-legged frog, two-striped garter snake), during periods of intermittent stream flow. Special-status wildlife species with the potential to occur are discussed in more detail in following the sections.

5.4 SPECIAL-STATUS PLANT AND WILDLIFE SPECIES AND SENSITIVE HABITATS

Special-status species and habitats include plant and wildlife taxa, vegetation communities, or other unique biological features that are afforded special protection by local land use policies

and/or state and federal regulations. Vegetation communities may warrant special status if they are of limited distribution, support protected plants and animals, have high wildlife value, or are particularly vulnerable to disturbance. Special-status plant and animal species are those that are listed as rare, threatened, or endangered under the state and/or federal Endangered Species Acts or those that appear on various “watch lists” compiled by academic institutions, conservation organizations, and wildlife agencies. These include the CNDDDB lists of “*Special Animals*” and “*Special Plants*” (CNDDDB 2021), CNPS Inventory of Rare and Endangered Vascular Plants of California (CNPS 2021), “*California Bird Species of Special Concern*” (Shuford and Gardali 2008), “*Amphibian and Reptile Species of Special Concern in California*” (Jennings and Hayes 1994), and “*Terrestrial Mammal Species of Special Concern in California*” (CDFG 1998).

Eleven (11) special-status plant species and fifteen (15) special-status wildlife species are documented (i.e., are tracked by the CNDDDB) within a 5-mile radius of the Project Site. The likelihood for these special-status plant and wildlife species to occur within the habitats present in the Project Site was evaluated as part of this Revised Assessment.

Plant and wildlife species dependent on coastal salt marsh, beach, dune, or vernal pool communities (e.g., Coulter’s saltbush, salt marsh bird’s beak, Coulter’s goldfields, western snowy plover, light-footed Ridgway’s rail, Belding’s savannah sparrow, sandy beach tiger beetle, globose dune beetle, and wandering (=saltmarsh) skipper) are excluded from consideration in Table 4 due to the lack of suitable habitat.

Table 4 lists special status plants and animals that have a reasonable possibility to occur in the Project Site based on habitat suitability and requirements, elevation and geographic range, soils, topography, surrounding land uses, and proximity of known occurrences in the CNDDDB database to the Project Site. The likelihood for special-status species to occur within the property was assessed using information from the various listed sources and wildlife and botanical surveys. Narratives are provided for species for which there are land use planning and regulatory implications.

Table 4 – Special-status Plant and Wildlife Species Occurrences Documented within the Vicinity of the Project Site

Common Name Scientific Name (Arranged alphabetically by scientific name)	Listing Status*	Habitat Requirements/Habitat Affinity	Suitable Habitat Present in Project Site (Y/N)	Likelihood for Occurrence within the Project Site
Plants¹				
Late-flowered mariposa lily <i>Calochortus fimbriatus</i>	CRPR 1B.3 G3, S3	Dry, open coastal woodland and chaparral. Elevation range: 0 – 3,000 feet. Blooming period: July – August.	No	There is no dry, open coast live oak woodland or chaparral habitat in the Project Site to support late-flowered mariposa lily. The closest documented location of this species is approximately 2.5 miles northwest of the Project Site in sandstone substrate in chaparral habitat 0.5-mile northwest of Buell Reservoir. Late-flowered mariposa lily is not expected to occur in the Project Site.
Palmer’s mariposa lily <i>Calochortus palmeri</i> var. <i>palmeri</i>	CRPR 1B.2 G3, S2	Meadows, vernal moist places in yellow-pine forest and chaparral. Elevation range: 3,900 – 7,200 feet. Blooming period: May – July.	No	There is no suitable mesic meadow, pine forest, or chaparral habitat in the Project Site to support Palmer’s mariposa lily. In addition, this species generally occur at much higher elevations. Palmer’s mariposa lily is not expected to occur in the Project Site.
Umbrella larkspur <i>Delphinium umbraculorum</i>	CRPR 1B.3 G3, S3	Oak woodland and chaparral, prefers moist locations. Elevation range: 1,320 – 5,300 feet. Blooming period: April – June.	Yes	Although there is mesic woodland habitat adjacent to the Project Site along Arroyo Paredon Creek that could support umbrella larkspur, the riparian corridor is highly degraded and dominated by invasive, non-native species. This species would have been in bloom at the time of the May 7, 2020 survey and was not observed. Umbrella larkspur is not expected to occur in or adjacent to the Project Site.
Ojai fritillary <i>Fritillaria ojaiensis</i>	CRPR 1B.2 G2, S2	Occurs on rocky slopes and in river basins. Known from mesic broadleaf upland forest, chaparral, and lower montane coniferous habitats. Elevation range: 990 – 1,650 feet. Blooming period: February – May.	No	Although Ojai fritillary can occur along creek corridors, it is generally at much higher elevations. This species would have been in bloom during both the March 2019 and May 2020 field surveys and was not observed. Ojai fritillary is not expected to occur in or adjacent to the Project Site.

Table 4 – Special-status Plant and Wildlife Species Occurrences Documented within the Vicinity of the Project Site

Common Name Scientific Name (Arranged alphabetically by scientific name)	Listing Status*	Habitat Requirements/Habitat Affinity	Suitable Habitat Present in Project Site (Y/N)	Likelihood for Occurrence within the Project Site
Santa Barbara honeysuckle <i>Lonicera subspicata</i> var. <i>subspicata</i>	CRPR 1B.2 G5, S2	Chaparral, cismontane woodland, coastal scrub. Elevation range: 0 – 3,300 feet. Blooming period: April – May.	Yes	Although the riparian corridor adjacent to the Project Site contains western sycamore-arroyo willow woodland that could support Santa Barbara honeysuckle, this perennial species would have been detectable and/or in bloom during field surveys and was not observed. Santa Barbara honeysuckle does not occur in the Project Site.
White-veined monardella <i>Monardella hypoleuca</i> ssp. <i>hypoleuca</i>	CRPR 1B.3 G4, S3	Oak woodland and chaparral. Elevation range: 0 – 5,000 feet. Blooming period: May – October.	No	Oak woodland and chaparral suitable to support white-veined monardella are not present in or adjacent to the Project Site. This perennial species would have been in bloom during the May 7, 2020 survey and was not observed. White-veined monardella does not occur in the Project Site.
Nuttall’s scrub oak <i>Quercus dumosa</i>	CRPR 1B.1 G3, S3	Generally sandy soils near the coast, sandstone, chaparral, coastal sage scrub. Elevation range: 0 – 600 feet. Blooming period: March – May.	No	Suitable scrub and chaparral habitats for Nuttall’s scrub oak are not present in the Project Site. This perennial species would have been detectable during field surveys and was not observed. Nuttall’s scrub oak does not occur in the Project Site.
Sonoran maiden fern <i>Thelypteris puberula</i> var. <i>sonorensis</i>	CRPR 2B.2 G5, S2	Meadow and seeps, found along streams and seepage areas. Elevation range: 160-1,800 feet. Blooming period: January – September.	Yes	There is suitable habitat along Arroyo Paredon Creek to support Sonoran maiden fern. This perennial species would have been detectable during field surveys and was not observed. Sonoran maiden fern does not occur in the Project Site.
Invertebrates				

Table 4 – Special-status Plant and Wildlife Species Occurrences Documented within the Vicinity of the Project Site

Common Name Scientific Name (Arranged alphabetically by scientific name)	Listing Status*	Habitat Requirements/Habitat Affinity	Suitable Habitat Present in Project Site (Y/N)	Likelihood for Occurrence within the Project Site
Monarch butterfly <i>Danaus plexippus</i> (California overwintering population)	SA G4, S2	Overwintering sites (i.e., roosts) extend from Mendocino to Baja California, Mexico and are located in wind-protected tree groves (typically eucalyptus, Monterey pine, and cypress), with nectar source and water nearby.	No	There are fifteen known monarch butterfly overwintering locations within five miles of the Project Site (CNDDDB 2021). The closest occurrence is 0.6-mile to the west in a dense eucalyptus grove near Serena Park. The arroyo willow-western sycamore woodland associated with Arroyo Paredon Creek is not dense enough to provide an adequately wind-protected tree grove suitable for overwintering aggregations. Monarch butterflies are not expected to use the habitat adjacent to the Project Site as an overwintering site.
Fish				
Tidewater goby <i>Eucyclogobius newberryi</i>	FE, SSC G3, S3	Occurs in fresh to brackish water in coastal lagoons, bays, and lower reaches of coastal streams, up to a mile upstream from the ocean. Prefers vegetated pools of slow (but not stagnant) areas of streams. Spawning occurs on coarse sand substrates.	Yes	Arroyo Paredon Creek is USFWS-designated critical habitat for tidewater goby. Tidewater goby was documented in the lower reach of Arroyo Paredon Creek, just south of the Project Site, in 2001 (CNDDDB 2021). When water is present, Arroyo Paredon Creek provides suitable habitat for tidewater goby.
Southern California steelhead DPS <i>Oncorhynchus mykiss irideus</i>	FE, SSC G5, S1	Coastal streams less than 8,000 feet in elevation.	Yes	Arroyo Paredon Creek is USFWS-designated critical habitat for the Southern California Distinct Population Segment (DPS) of steelhead. There has been one contemporary (post-1980) documented occurrence of steelhead in Arroyo Paredon Creek (Stoecker 2002). When water is present, Arroyo Paredon Creek provides suitable habitat for steelhead.

Table 4 – Special-status Plant and Wildlife Species Occurrences Documented within the Vicinity of the Project Site

Common Name Scientific Name (Arranged alphabetically by scientific name)	Listing Status*	Habitat Requirements/Habitat Affinity	Suitable Habitat Present in Project Site (Y/N)	Likelihood for Occurrence within the Project Site
Amphibians				
Foothill yellow-legged frog <i>Rana boylei</i>	SC, SSC G3, S3	Rocky streams and rivers in forests, chaparral, and woodlands. Sometimes found in isolated pools, vegetated backwaters, and deep, shaded, spring-fed pools. Elevation range: sea level to 6,000 feet.	Yes	Arroyo Paredon Creek offers suitable habitat for foothill yellow-legged frog. The documented occurrence is from 1966, approximately 4.0 miles north of the Project Site in the Santa Ynez River, and is believed that foothill yellow-legged frog was extirpated from here in 1975-1978 (CNDDB 2021). Santa Barbara County is at the southern end of the range of foothill yellow-legged frog. This frog originally ranged from northern Oregon west of the Cascades south along the coast ranges to the San Gabriel Mountains, and south along the foothills of the western side of the Sierra Nevada Mountains to the edge of the Tehachapi Mountains (Stebbins 2003). No occurrences of this species have been recorded in Santa Barbara County since the mid-1970s (pers. comm. Paul Collins 2016). The species is not expected to occur in the Project Site.
California red-legged frog (CRLF) <i>Rana draytonii</i>	FT, SSC G2, S2	Uses a variety of aquatic, riparian, and upland habitats. Requires a pond, slow-flowing stream reach, or deep pool within a stream with vegetation or other material to which egg masses may be attached. Uses both riparian and upland habitats for foraging, shelter, cover. Will also use small mammal burrows and moist leaf litter as refugia.	Yes	There is one documented occurrence of CRLF in Arroyo Paredon Creek approximately 1.0-mile upstream of the Project Site (CNDDB 2021). The surface flow in Arroyo Paredon Creek varied from 2 to 12 inches deep and 5 to 20 feet wide, with limited small pools or vegetative cover that would support breeding habitat for CRLF. CRLF could occur within Arroyo Paredon Creek on a transitory basis.

Table 4 – Special-status Plant and Wildlife Species Occurrences Documented within the Vicinity of the Project Site

Common Name Scientific Name (Arranged alphabetically by scientific name)	Listing Status*	Habitat Requirements/Habitat Affinity	Suitable Habitat Present in Project Site (Y/N)	Likelihood for Occurrence within the Project Site
Reptiles				
Northern (silvery) legless lizard <i>Anniella pulchra</i>	SSC G3, S3	Inhabits moist soil in sparsely vegetated areas of beach dunes, chaparral, pine-oak woodlands, desert scrub, sandy washes, and stream terraces with sycamores, cottonwoods, or oaks. Leaf litter under trees and shrubs in sunny areas and dunes stabilized with bush lupine and mock heather often indicate suitable habitat. Can also be found under surface objects such as rocks, boards, driftwood, and logs.	No	Although northern legless lizard was documented near the Project Site in 1983 from historical aerials in a U.S. Forest Service database (CNDDDB 2021), the dense understory vegetation of Arroyo Paredon Creek does not provide suitable habitat for this species. Northern legless lizard is not expected to occur in the Project Site.
Two-striped garter snake <i>Thamnophis hammondi</i>	SSC G4, S3	Generally found around pools, creeks, cattle tanks, and other water sources. Often in rocky areas in oak woodland, chaparral, brushland and coniferous forests.	Yes	During periods of intermittent stream flow, Arroyo Paredon Creek could support two-striped garter snake, but there are no records for this species in the creek. The closest documented occurrences are approximately 5 miles to the northwest of the Project Site in San Ysidro Creek (Peak pers, obs. 2019). The likelihood of occurrence of two-striped garter snake in the Project Site is considered low.
Birds				

Table 4 – Special-status Plant and Wildlife Species Occurrences Documented within the Vicinity of the Project Site

Common Name Scientific Name (Arranged alphabetically by scientific name)	Listing Status*	Habitat Requirements/Habitat Affinity	Suitable Habitat Present in Project Site (Y/N)	Likelihood for Occurrence within the Project Site
Least Bell's vireo <i>Vireo bellii pusillus</i>	FE, SE G5, S2	Dense, willow-dominated riparian habitat with lush understory. Summer resident of Southern California low riparian in the vicinity of water or dry river bottoms.	No	The western sycamore-arroyo willow woodland associated with Arroyo Paredon Creek is not dense enough to provide suitable nesting habitat for Least Bell's vireo. The closest documented occurrence was in 1980, approximately 5 miles north of the Project Site on the Santa Ynez River (CNDDDB 2021). Least Bell's vireo is not expected to occur in the Project Site.
Mammals				
Townsend's big-eared bat <i>Corynorhinus townsendii</i>	SC, SSC, G3, S2	Found in a variety of habitats including coniferous forests and woodlands, deciduous riparian woodland, semi-desert and montane shrublands. Hibernates in mines or caves in the winter months. Roosts in a variety of features including limestone caves, lava tubes, and man-made structures.	Yes	Townsend's big-eared bat could utilize the riparian corridor of Arroyo Paredon Creek for foraging. The closest documented occurrence of this species is from near the Carpinteria Salt Marsh in 1941 (CNDDDB 2021). The likelihood of occurrence of Townsend's big-eared bat outside of the creek corridor is considered low.

*Listing Status/ Rarity Ranking Notes:

- Federal: FE – Federally listed Endangered
- FT – Federally listed Threatened
- FC – Federal Candidate Species
- WL – USFWS Watch list
- BCC – USFWS Bird of Conservation Concern
- MTBA – Migratory Bird Treaty Act
- State: SE – State listed Endangered

ST – State listed Threatened
SC – State Candidate Species
SR – State Rare Species
SA – State Special Animal
FP – CDFW Fully Protected Species
SSC – CDFW Species of Special Concern
WL – CDFW Watch List

CRPR: California Native Plant Society Rare Plant Rank

CBR – Considered but Rejected
1B – Rare, threatened, or endangered in CA and elsewhere
2 – Rare, threatened, or endangered in CA but common elsewhere
4 – Limited distribution (Watch-list)
CBR – Considered but Rejected

CRPR Extensions

0.1 – Seriously endangered in California
0.2 – Fairly endangered in California
0.3 – Not very endangered in California

CNDDDB Element Rankings

Global/State Rarity Ranking: G1/S1 – Critically imperiled. At very high risk of extinction due to extreme rarity (often 5 or fewer populations), very steep declines, or other factors.
G2/S2 – Imperiled. At high risk of extinction due to very restricted range, very few populations (often 20 or fewer), steep declines, or other factors.
G3/S3 – Vulnerable. At moderate risk of extinction due to a restricted range, relatively few populations (often 80 or fewer), recent and widespread declines, or other factors.
G4/S4 – Apparently Secure. Uncommon but not rare; some cause for long-term concern due to declines or other factors.
G5/S5 – Demonstrably Secure. Common; widespread and abundant.

¹ – Unless otherwise noted, habitat, elevation, and blooming period for special-status plant species is from *The Jepson Manual, Online Edition 2021* and CNPS 2021.

5.4.1 Special-status Plant Species

No special-status plant species were observed during 2019 and 2020 field surveys and no special-status plant species are expected to occur in the Project Site or the adjacent riparian corridor along Arroyo Paredon Creek. The field surveys were conducted in February, March, and May, within the typical blooming season to detect/identify all of the special-status plant species that are known to occur in the Project vicinity.

5.4.2 Individual Native Trees

There are two native trees inside of the fence line in the northeast corner of the Project Site: one 24-inch coast live oak tree one 85-inch western sycamore. Both trees occur in ruderal habitat and will be incorporated into the proposed Native Habitat Enhancement Area (Figure 3a – Arroyo Paredon Creek Native Enhancement Plan; Figure 4 – Vegetation Communities & Land Use Types).

5.4.3 Special-status Wildlife Species

No special-status wildlife species were observed in the Project Site or creek corridor during field surveys. However, Arroyo Paredon Creek is USACE-designated critical habitat for tidewater goby and Southern California steelhead and generally provides moderate to high value upland and aquatic wildlife habitat. In addition to tidewater goby and Southern California steelhead, three (3) other special-status wildlife have a low to moderate potential to occur in Arroyo Paredon Creek or adjacent habitat: California red-legged frog, two-striped garter snake, and Townsend's big-eared bat. Sensitive wildlife species that were observed or have the potential to occur are discussed in detail below.

5.4.3.1 Tidewater Goby and Southern California Steelhead

As mentioned above, Arroyo Paredon Creek is USACE-designated critical habitat for tidewater goby and the Southern California Distinct Population Segment (DPS) of steelhead. Tidewater goby can be found up to a mile upstream from the ocean. Steelhead are anadromous, born in freshwater streams, and they migrate to the ocean and remain pelagic until returning to freshwater to spawn.

Tidewater goby was most recently documented in the lower reach of Arroyo Paredon Creek, under the Highway 101 bridge and downstream, in 2001 (CNDDDB 2021). There has been one contemporary (post-1980) documented occurrence of steelhead in Arroyo Paredon Creek (Stoecker 2002). When water is present, Arroyo Paredon Creek provides suitable habitat for both tidewater goby and Southern California steelhead.

5.4.3.2 California Red-legged Frog

California red-legged frog (CRLF) typically occur in ponds, slow-flowing stream reaches, or deep pools within a stream with riparian or emergent vegetation. There is one documented occurrence of CRLF in Arroyo Paredon Creek, approximately 0.9-mile upstream of the Project Site (CNDDDB 2021).

The surface flow in Arroyo Paredon Creek varied from 2 to 12 inches deep and 5 to 20 feet wide, with limited small pools or vegetative cover that would support breeding habitat for CRLF. Due to the lack of pools and short duration of the hydroperiod in the creek, CRLF breeding in the vicinity of the Project Site is considered unlikely. Upland CRLF dispersal and migration occur under wet conditions during fall and winter. If CRLF utilize the habitat near the Project Site, it would likely be on a transient basis only and they would be expected to remain largely within the riparian habitat along Arroyo Paredon Creek.

5.4.3.3 *Two-Striped Garter Snake*

Two-striped garter snakes are typically found in segments of streams and rivers sustaining prolonged surface flow or standing pools that afford cover and food resources. When water is present, Arroyo Paredon Creek provides habitat for two-striped garter snake. However, there are no pools near the Project Site and there are no documented occurrences of this species in Arroyo Paredon Creek. The closest occurrence of two-striped garter snake is in San Ysidro Creek, approximately 5 miles northwest of the Project Site (Peak pers. obs. 2019). Two-striped garter snake is unlikely to inhabit the degraded understory along the creek banks near the Project Site, but could occur in the creek during dispersal upstream/downstream.

5.4.3.4 *Townsend's Big-eared Bat*

Townsend's big-eared bat could utilize the riparian corridor of Arroyo Paredon Creek and the western sycamore-arroyo willow woodland habitat for foraging and roosting. There is no suitable habitat for this species in the remainder of the Project Site.

5.5 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS AND ESH

Arroyo Paredon Creek is considered ESH by the County and is regulated by the USACE, CDFW, RWQCB, and CCC. The County-prescribed setback (i.e., buffer area) from the edge of the western sycamore-arroyo willow woodland (i.e., riparian vegetation), is 100 feet within the Carpinteria Agricultural Overlay District (CCC 2015). Individual native trees inside of the property fence line (i.e., coast live oak and western sycamore) are also considered sensitive under County policies.

6.0 IMPACT DISCUSSION

The following impact discussion is based on existing conditions within the Project Site and the sections below describe the potential impacts of the proposed Project to biological resources. Consistent with the County's Environmental Thresholds and Guidelines Manual (County 2008) and the County-wide FEIR for the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program (County 2017), the impacts on biological resources are considered significant if a proposed Project:

- Has a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any on any sensitive natural community or plant or wildlife species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special-status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the CDFW or USFWS.
- Has a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means.

- Interferes substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites.
- Conflicts with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance.
- Conflicts with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan.

6.1 SUMMARY OF PROJECT IMPACTS

Direct impacts from the Project will only occur in ruderal habitat or developed areas that already contain existing infrastructure. All unpermitted non-conforming greenhouses and structures that extend under the riparian canopy and into the ESH Buffer will be removed as part of the Project and the chain-link security fencing and access road will be relocated away from the TOB of Arroyo Paredon Creek. Disturbed areas along the creek and in the permitted operations area will be restored with native vegetation (Figure 3a – Arroyo Paredon Creek Native Enhancement Plan; Figure 3b – Creekside Blooms Native Landscaping Plan).

Temporary/indirect impacts (e.g., noise, dust) to native habitat along Arroyo Paredon Creek could result from demolition of non-conforming greenhouses/structures and restoration implementation in the Native Habitat Enhancement Area. Project impacts are summarized in Table 5 below.

Table 5 – Summary of Project Impacts (Conversion of Existing Use)

Project Component	Habitat Impacted	Total Area of Impact	Area to Remain in ESH Buffer	Area in ESH	Type of Impact ¹
Demolition of As-built Greenhouses	Ruderal/Developed	35,750 sq. ft. (0.82-acre)	0	0	Temporary
Demolition of Permitted Greenhouse	Ruderal/Developed	3,240 sq. ft.	0	0	Temporary
Demolition of As-built Boiler and Processing Room	Ruderal/Developed	3,663 sq. ft.	0.	0	Temporary
Demolition of As-built Water Tank	Ruderal/Developed	661 sq. ft.	0	0	Temporary
Demolition of As-built Storage Containers	Ruderal/Developed	236 sq. ft.	0	0	Temporary
Installation of Underground Stormwater Detention Basin	Ruderal/Developed	1,800 sq. ft.	0	0	Temporary
Arroyo Paredon Creek Native Habitat Enhancement Area	Ruderal/Disturbed	35,718 sq. ft. (0.82-acre)	0.82-acre	0	Beneficial/Temporary
Native Landscaping in Permitted Operations Area	Ruderal/Disturbed	18,845 sq. ft. (0.43-acre)	0.43-acre	0	Beneficial/Temporary

¹ With implementation of the recommended avoidance and minimization measures, Project impacts would be considered less than significant.

6.2 IMPACTS TO ESH AND ESH BUFFER

No adverse direct impacts to Arroyo Paredon Creek or the associated western sycamore-arroyo willow woodland are expected as part of the proposed Project. All non-conforming greenhouses and accessory structures that extend under the riparian canopy and are in the ESH Buffer will be removed as part of the Project and disturbed areas will be restored. As described above, only permitted features (e.g., greenhouses, access road), native landscaping, and the Native Habitat Enhancement Area will remain in the ESH Buffer (Figure 3a – Arroyo Paredon Creek Native Enhancement Plan). Installation of the stormwater detention basin and new septic system will occur in ruderal/developed areas, outside of the ESH buffer (Figure 2b – Wastewater Treatment System).

The access road and chain-link security fencing in the northeast corner of the Project Site will be relocated away from the TOB of Arroyo Paredon Creek and replaced with a barb wire fence that will allow for wildlife passage. The relocated security fencing and access road have been situated to allow for fire department access, if necessary (Figure 2c – Fencing and Security Plan). The remainder of the permitted access road in the ESH buffer, that extends to the site entrance, will continue to be used for operational purposes and native landscaping will be installed on both sides of the road (Figure 3b – Creekside Blooms Native Landscaping Plan).

The proposed demolition of non-conforming structures and restoration of 0.82-acre of the ESH buffer will improve the ecosystem functions and value of the riparian habitat along Arroyo Paredon Creek through implementation of the following:

- removal of structural features from within the riparian buffer.
- removal of invasive species (e.g., Cape ivy, garden nasturtium, poison hemlock) and management of non-native vegetation in the ESH Buffer.
- restoration of native vegetation and establishment of a self-sustaining riparian plant community in the ESH Buffer.
- increasing native plant diversity; and,
- improving wildlife habitat.

The existing and relocated security fence line will prevent intrusion into the Native Habitat Enhancement Area and the riparian corridor of Arroyo Paredon Creek. In addition, replacing the fence on the TOB of the creek with barb wire will allow wildlife to access the restored area. Additional avoidance and minimization measures to prevent impacts to ESH and native trees during demolition are outlined below. Prior to the start of demolition, sediment controls (e.g., fiber rolls, silt fence) will be installed along the TOB of the creek to prevent sediment from entering the riparian habitat. Protective fencing will also be installed around the western sycamore tree and coast live oak tree that are inside the fence to prevent inadvertent impacts during demolition.

Implementation of avoidance and minimization measures would reduce the potential for incidental impacts to sensitive wildlife (e.g., sedimentation) to a less than significant level. In addition, recommended measures would ensure that temporary/indirect impacts (i.e., noise, dust) to Arroyo Paredon Creek and riparian habitat are less than significant.

6.3 IMPACTS TO NATIVE TREES

No native trees will be removed as part of the proposed Project. The coast live oak and western sycamore trees in the Project Site will be incorporated in the Native Habitat Enhancement Area. Protective fencing will be installed around these trees prior to demolition and will remain until demolition is complete. With implementation of the recommended avoidance and minimization measures outlined below, no impacts to native trees are expected.

6.4 IMPACTS TO SPECIAL-STATUS PLANTS

No special-status plant species are present in or adjacent to the Project Site. No special-status plants were observed in the riparian habitat along Arroyo Paredon Creek during field surveys conducted in February, March, and May and there is no suitable habitat in the remainder of the Project Site to support sensitive plant species.

6.5 IMPACTS TO WILDLIFE MOVEMENT

No impacts to wildlife corridors will occur as a result of the Project. Conversion to cannabis cultivation within permitted greenhouses will not result in an impediment or obstruction to wildlife movement. The existing fence line does not impede wildlife passage within Arroyo Paredon Creek up- or downstream of the property.

As part of the Native Habitat Enhancement Plan, the chain-link security fence in the northeast corner of the property will be relocated as far as possible from the TOB of Arroyo Paredon Creek, while still allowing for fire department access. In its place, a barb wire fence will be installed along the property line at the TOB of the creek that will allow wildlife to access the restored riparian habitat. The security fence to remain around permitted features in the ESH Buffer prevents intrusion into the adjacent riparian corridor from Project activities and it prevents wildlife movement into the operations area, where animals could be subject to injury or mortality (i.e., trampled, crushed, etc.). Measures to prevent impacts to wildlife, should they happen to occur in the cultivation area, are provided in the Wildlife Movement Plan (Appendix C).

6.6 IMPACTS TO SPECIAL-STATUS WILDLIFE

Five special-status wildlife species have the potential to occur in Arroyo Paredon Creek or the associated riparian habitat. These species are discussed in detail below.

Proposed lighting adjacent to Arroyo Paredon Creek is ‘dark sky’ compliant (i.e., hooded, faced downward) and is motion activated to reduce impacts to wildlife using the creek corridor and adjacent habitats. The existing light shielding systems (blackout shades) will be maintained and utilized in all greenhouse structures at night to prevent light trespass.

Conversion to cannabis cultivation within permitted greenhouses will not result in impacts to special-status wildlife. Restoration of 0.82-acre of the ESH buffer and allowing wildlife access to the northeast corner of the Project Site will improve the value and extent of native habitat along Arroyo Paredon Creek.

6.6.1 Aquatic and Semi-aquatic Species

When water is present, Arroyo Paredon Creek provides suitable habitat to support tidewater goby, Southern California steelhead, CRLF, and two-striped garter snake. Due to the intermittent nature of Arroyo Paredon Creek and lack of pools, the likelihood for sensitive fish species (i.e., tidewater goby, Southern California steelhead) near the Project Site is considered low. Because water used in agricultural production on the Project Site is captured, purified, and reused, flow into the creek from the Project Site is limited to surface stormwater runoff and is not expected to result in impacts to fish.

The semi-aquatic CRLF and two-striped garter snake could migrate outside of the creek channel during wet conditions in the breeding season (i.e., fall and winter). However, the likelihood of these species occurring outside of the riparian corridor is considered low. The proposed Native Habitat Enhancement Area will provide additional refuge habitat for CRLF and two-striped garter snake adjacent to the creek.

The Project does not impede wildlife passage within the creek corridor up- or downstream of the property and the proposed barb wire fence in the northeastern corner of the Project Site allows for wildlife movement into the northeast side of the property. The existing and relocated security fence will prevent wildlife from entering the active operations areas, where they could be incidentally harmed. With implementation of the recommended avoidance and minimization measures (e.g., fiber rolls, silt fence), potential impacts to special-status fish and semi-aquatic amphibian and reptile species would be reduced to less than significant.

6.6.2 Sensitive Raptors and Nesting Birds

The riparian habitat along Arroyo Paredon Creek provides suitable nesting habitat for a wide variety of birds and nesting birds are likely to occur adjacent to the Project Site.

Indirect impacts to nesting birds could occur during the proposed demolition and habitat restoration. Impacts to nesting birds can be mitigated through implementation of the avoidance and minimization measures outlined below.

7.0 RECOMMENDED AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES

The following avoidance and minimization measures are recommended to reduce impacts to biological resources that might result from the Project. Recommended species-specific and sensitive habitat protection measures are listed first, followed by general construction measures and standard Best Management Practices (BMPs).

7.1 SPECIES-SPECIFIC AND ESH AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES

1. A worker environmental awareness training pamphlet will be prepared and available on-site for all employees (including site supervisors, equipment operators, and laborers). The training will emphasize the sensitivity of the riparian habitat, native trees, and presence of special-status species within Arroyo Paredon Creek (e.g., steelhead, tidewater goby, CRLF, two-striped garter snake), identification of those species, their habitat requirements, applicable regulatory policies and provisions regarding their protection, measures being implemented to avoid and/or minimize impacts, and penalties for noncompliance. The training will also

emphasize that if listed species are observed within or near the cultivation area, work will be suspended, the species are not be touched or moved, and the CDFW and USFWS should be notified immediately.

2. If the demolition or restoration is implemented during the bird nesting season (February 1 to August 31), a County-approved biologist shall conduct a pre-construction survey of the areas adjacent to Arroyo Paredon Creek within 7 days of construction commencement (i.e., mobilization, staging, vegetation clearing, or demolition) to avoid impacts to nesting raptors and other birds. Surveys shall be conducted along the length of the creek adjacent to the Project Site and in the Native Habitat Enhancement Area. If breeding birds with active nests are found, a County-approved biologist shall oversee the establishment of a buffer (prescriptively 300 feet for passerines and 500 feet for raptors) around the nest. No activities will be allowed within the buffer(s) until the young have fledged from the nest or the nest fails.
3. A County-approved biologist shall conduct a pre-construction survey of the demolition and Native Habitat Enhancement Area for special-status wildlife that have the potential to occur (e.g., CRLF, coast range newt, two-striped garter snake). Wildlife observed within work areas will be captured and relocated to suitable habitat outside the construction zone. Incidental take permits are not being requested, so no handling (i.e., capture and relocation) of state- and/or federally-listed species is not proposed. If listed species are observed within or near the work area, work will be suspended and the CDFW and USFWS notified.
4. A County-approved biologist shall monitor all demolition adjacent to native trees, removal of invasive species along the riparian corridor of Arroyo Paredon Creek, and restoration implementation. The monitor will document demolition and restoration activities, any damage to native trees, and provide documentation of impact avoidance and monitoring results to the County within 30 days of the completion of demolition and restoration activities.
5. Prior to demolition, fiber rolls and/or silt fencing shall be installed along the existing fence line, between work areas and the riparian habitat along Arroyo Paredon Creek, to prevent impacts to ESH and special-status species that have the potential to occur in or adjacent to Arroyo Paredon Creek.
6. Prior to demolition, protective fencing shall be installed around the dripline plus 6 feet, where feasible, of the western sycamore and coast live oak trees that are present in within the property fence line.
7. Oak trees, and other native tree species, should be protected consistent with County policies and guidelines. No grading or cultivation should occur within 6 feet of the dripline of native trees. If incidental damage occurs to native trees (e.g., removal, broken limbs, impacts to critical root zones) the trees should be examined by a County-approved arborist or biologist to determine whether compensatory measures are necessary.
8. Measures provided in the Wildlife Movement Plan shall be implemented to ensure there are no impacts to wildlife traversing the northern portion property.

7.2 GENERAL CONSTRUCTION AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES

9. All staged supplies, temporary storage trailers, etc. should maintain a minimum 100-foot setback from the ESH boundary of Arroyo Paredon Creek, except in the permitted access road and ruderal/disturbed habitat outside of the Native Habitat Enhancement Area.
10. Precautions shall be taken to prevent sediment transport into Arroyo Paredon Creek and downstream locations. Erosion control measures (e.g., silt fencing, fiber rolls, etc.) shall be used throughout all phases of demolition and restoration where sediment runoff from exposed areas could enter the creek. All erosion control materials shall be free from plastic to prevent entanglement of wildlife. Temporary BMPs (such as protective fencing, silt fencing, and fiber rolls) must be maintained regularly to ensure effectiveness. BMPs shall be removed following installation/implementation of the Native Habitat Enhancement Plan.
11. Prior to planting within the Native Habitat Enhancement Area, site preparation should include removal of invasive species within the planting areas. Non-native and invasive plant species should be removed from the Native Habitat Enhancement Area on a regular basis to ensure natives become established.
12. Any herbicide use within the creek restoration area shall not be conducted within 72 hours of a predicted rain event. Only herbicides suitable for use near aquatic environments, such as *Aquamaster* and/or *Rodeo* shall be used. Herbicide application will be performed using a hand-held or backpack sprayer, under the supervision of a licensed applicator.

8.0 CONCLUSIONS

Conversion to cannabis cultivation in permitted greenhouses will not result in significant, adverse effects to plants, wildlife, or sensitive vegetation. The proposed Project does not result in impacts to native trees or vegetation and therefore, there would be no need for a Tree Protection Plan or Habitat Protection Plan per the County LUDC. Per the recommended avoidance and minimization measures, coast live oak and western sycamore trees within the perimeter fence line will be protected prior to demolition, consistent with County policies.

The Project does not impede wildlife passage within the Arroyo Paredon Creek corridor up- or downstream of the property. As part of the Native Habitat Enhancement Plan, the chain-link security fence in the northeast corner of the property will be relocated as far as possible from the TOB of Arroyo Paredon Creek, while still allowing for fire department access. In its place, a barb wire fence will be installed along the property line at the TOB of the creek that will allow wildlife to access the restored riparian habitat. The security fence to remain around permitted features in the ESH Buffer prevents intrusion into the adjacent riparian corridor from Project activities and it prevents wildlife movement into the operations area, where animals could be subject to injury or mortality (i.e., trampled, crushed, etc.).

Erosion/sedimentation/stormwater impacts to Arroyo Paredon Creek are not anticipated due to the RWQCB-required implementation, maintenance, and monitoring of BMPs. In addition, erosion controls (i.e., fiber rolls, silt fence) are proposed to be installed between work areas and Arroyo Paredon Creek prior to demolition and restoration activities as part of avoidance and minimization measures.

Aquatic and semi-aquatic special-status wildlife species that are present or have the potential to occur in Arroyo Paredon Creek (i.e., Southern California steelhead, tidewater goby, CRLF, and two-striped garter snake) are not expected to occur in the operations area, with the exception of a possible transient CRLF during dispersal/migration. Recommended avoidance and minimization measures to reduce the likelihood of impacts to wildlife have been included in this Revised Assessment and the Wildlife Movement Plan (Appendix C).

Proposed native habitat enhancement will improve the habitat value for birds and native pollinators along Arroyo Paredon Creek, stabilize soils along the creek bank, and decrease sedimentation to the creek from stormwater runoff.

9.0 LITERATURE CITED

- Baldwin, B. G., D. H. Goldman, D. J. Keil, R. Patterson, T. J. Rosatti, and D. H. Wilken, editors. 2012. *The Jepson Manual: Vascular Plants of California, Second Edition*, Revised. University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles.
- California Coastal Commission (CCC). 2015. Santa Barbara County Local Coastal Program Amendment No. LCP-4-STB-14-0834-2-Part A (Carpinteria Agricultural Overlay District View Corridor Map Change) for Public Hearing and Commission Action at the December 10, 2015 Commission Meeting in Monterey. November 19, 2015.
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2010. California Code of Regulations Title 14,670.5, - Animals of California declared to be endangered or threatened, subdivision (b)(3)(G).
- California Department of Fish and Game. 1991. *Endangered and Threatened Animals of California: State of California*, The Resources Agency, Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, California, 5 p.
- California Department of Fish and Game. 1998. *Terrestrial Mammal Species of Special Concern in California*. Edited by B. C. Bolster. May 1998.
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife, California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDDB). 2021. Natural Heritage Division. Sacramento, California. Available online at: <http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/mapsanddata.asp>. Accessed October 2019 and March 2020.
- Cal-IPC. 2021. California Invasive Plant Inventory Database. California Invasive Plant Council: Berkeley, CA. Available online at: <http://www.cal-ipc.org/paf/>.
- California Native Plant Society (CNPS). 2016. *CDFW-CNPS Protocol for the Combined Vegetation Rapid Assessment and Relevé Field Form*. April 28, 2016.
- California Native Plant Society (CNPS). 2021a. *A Manual of California Vegetation Online*. Accessed at <http://vegetation.cnps.org/>.
- California Native Plant Society (CNPS), Rare Plant Program. 2021b. Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants (online edition, v8-02). California Native Plant Society, Sacramento, CA. Website <http://www.rareplants.cnps.org>.
- County of Santa Barbara Department of Planning and Development (County). 2008. *County of Santa Barbara Environmental Thresholds and Guidelines Manual*. Published October 2008.
- County of Santa Barbara Planning and Development Department (County). 2011. *A Planner's Guide to Conditions of Approval and Mitigation Measures*. Published December 2002. Revised March 2011.
- County of Santa Barbara Planning and Development Department (County). 2017. Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) for the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program, Volumes I and II. SCH No. 2017071016. December 2017.

- County of Santa Barbara Planning and Development Department (County). 2019. Santa Barbara Land Use and Development Code (LUDC) §35.42.075 (Cannabis Regulations). Published June 2018. Revised August 2019.
- County of Santa Barbara Flood Control District (County). 2019. Rainfall and Reservoir Summary Water year 2019. Accessed at www.countyofsb.org/pwd/hydrology.sbc.
- Jennings, M. and M. Hayes. 1994. *Amphibian and Reptile Species of Special Concern in California*. Final Report Submitted to the California Department of Fish and Game Inland Fisheries Division. November 1, 1994.
- Jepson Flora Project (eds.) (Jepson). 2021. Jepson eFlora. Available at: <https://ucjeps.berkeley.edu/eflora/>
- Lehman, P. E. 2019. *The Birds of Santa Barbara County, California*, Revised edition, August 2017, available at <http://www.sbcobirding.com/lehmanbosbc.html>, 2019. Original edition: The Vertebrate Museum, University of California, Santa Barbara, 1994.
- Lichvar, Robert W. and Shawn M. McColley. 2008. *A field Guide to the Identification of the Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM) in the Arid West Region of the Western United States*. A delineation Manual. Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.
- Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). 2021. Web Soil Survey – Santa Barbara County, South Coastal Part. Available online at <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/>.
- Sawyer, J. and T. Keeler-Wolf. 2009. *A Manual of California Vegetation. Second Edition (MV-II)*. California Native Plant Society.
- Shuford, W. D., and Gardali, T., editors. 2008. *California Bird Species of Special Concern: A ranked assessment of species, subspecies, and distinct populations of birds of immediate conservation concern in California*. Studies of Western Birds 1. Western Field Ornithologists, Camarillo, California, and California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento.
- State Water Resources Control Board. 2017. Cannabis Cultivation Policy. Principles and Guidelines for Cannabis Cultivation. Attachment A. October 17.
- Stoecker, Matt W. and Conception Coast Project (Stoecker). 2002. *Steelhead Assessment and Recovery Opportunities in Southern Santa Barbara County, California*. June.
- United State Geological Survey (USGS). 2021. National Hydrography Dataset and Watershed Boundary Dataset. Accessible online at <http://nhd.usgs.gov/index.html>.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2002. *Recovery Plan for the California red-legged Frog (Rana aurora draytonii)*. Region 1 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland, Oregon. May 28, 2002.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2021. National Wetlands Inventory. Available online at <http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Data/Mapper.html>.

Personal Communications/Observations

Peak, J. 2019. Personal observation of two-striped garter snake in San Ysidro Creek. April 18, 2019.

FIGURES




Terra Solutions
 777 Mutsuhito Avenue
 San Luis Obispo, CA. 93401
 (805) 782-0969

STORREN ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES
 2565 Puesta del Sol #3
 Santa Barbara, CA. 93105
 (805) 682-2065
 www.storrenenvironmental.com

Site Vicinity Map
 Revised Biological Resources Assessment
 Creekside Blooms Nursery, LLC
 Cannabis Cultivation Project
 3508 Via Real, Carpinteria, CA

Figure 1

November 22, 2021



SEPTIC SYSTEM NOTES

- ALL SEWER PIPES SHALL BE SDR 35 P.V.C. CONFORMING TO ASTM D-3034 OR EQUIVALENT UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
 - TRENCHING, BEDDING, AND BACKFILL SHALL BE PER COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA SPECIFICATIONS.
 - ALL SEWERS SHALL BE TESTED BY THE CONTRACTOR PER COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA STANDARDS.
 - ALL SEWER IMPROVEMENTS AND DISPOSAL FIELDS SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES, CALIFORNIA PLUMBING CODE, AND THESE PLANS.
 - THE LOCATION OF SEPTIC TANKS, BOXES, AND DISPOSAL FIELDS SHALL BE STAKED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION TO COMPLY WITH SETBACK REQUIREMENTS.
- | SEPTIC TANK | DISPOSAL |
|------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| SETBACK TO PROPERTY LINE | 5' |
| SETBACK TO BUILDING | 5' |
| SETBACK TO PUBLIC WATER MAIN | 10' |
| SETBACK TO TOP OF CREEK BANK | 100' |
| SETBACK TO PRIVATE WATER WELL | 100' |
| SETBACK TO ADDITIONAL DISPOSAL FIELDS | 5' |
| SETBACK TO ONSITE WATER SERVICE LINE | 5' |
| HORIZONTAL DISTANCE FROM LEACHING SYSTEM TO GROUND SURFACE | 15' |
| SETBACK TO DISTRIBUTION BOX | 5' |
6. PER CPC - MINIMUM SPACING BETWEEN TRENCHES OR LEACHING BEDS SHALL BE FOUR (4) FEET PLUS TWO (2) FEET FOR EACH ADDITIONAL FOOT OF DEPTH IN EXCESS OF (1) FOOT BELOW THE BOTTOM OF THE DRAIN LINE.

PERCOLATION TESTS REPORT

REFERENCED PERCOLATION TESTS AND RESULTS GENERATED BY PACIFIC MATERIALS LABORATORY IN PERCOLATION TESTS REPORT DATED OCT. 21, 2019.

ENGINEERS NOTE

AS PER THE LAMP 18C-3(F)(2) THE DESIGNING ENGINEER SHOULD CONDUCT PERIODIC INSPECTIONS OF ONSITE WASTEWATER TREATMENT SYSTEMS AFTER EXCAVATION AND PRIOR TO THE PLACEMENT OF ANY ROCK OR FILL MATERIAL. PRIOR TO FINAL APPROVAL, A SIGNED REPORT SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO EHS CONFIRMING THAT THE OWT'S INSTALLATION HAS BEEN COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE APPROVED DESIGN. THIS DOES NOT PRECLUDE THE NORMAL INSPECTION PROCESS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT.

OWTS STATISTICS

PARCEL SIZE	9.96 ACRES
NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES	50
TYPICAL FLOW (INDUSTRIAL BLDG)	15 GPD/EMPLOYEE
	(PER EPA OWTS MANUAL TABLE 3-4 SEE DETAIL C, SHEET SS2)
FLOW RATE	750 GPD (50 EMP x 15 GPD/EMP)
LOADING RATE	0.184 GPD/SF (PER GEOTECH.)
REQUIRED LEACHING AREA	200% (COMMERCIAL)
ADVANCED TREATMENT DOSING	YES
REQ. ABSORPTION AREA (100%)	750 GPD/0.184 GPD/SF = 4,076 SF
REQ. ABSORPTION AREA (200%)	8,152 SF
LEACH FIELD ABSORPTION AREA	7 SF /LF
LEACH LENGTH REQ. (100%)	582 LF
LEACH LENGTH REQ. (200%)	1,165 LF
LEACH LINES (100%)	6
LEACH LINES (200%)	12
LEACH LINE LENGTH	100 LF
LEACH LENGTH PROVIDED (100%)	600 LF (S-882 OK)
LEACH LENGTH PROVIDED (200%)	1,200 LF (>1,165 OK)
TRENCH WIDTH	3 FT
SEPTIC TANK SIZING	
750 GPD x 3 DAYS = 2,250 GALLONS	
USE 2,500 GALLON TRAFFIC RATED MIDSTATE CONCRETE SEPTIC TANK OR APPROVED EQUAL.	
DISPOSAL NOTE: COMMERCIAL PROJECTS REQUIRE TWICE THE 100% DISPOSAL AREA TO BE INSTALLED WITH AN ADDITIONAL 100% HELD IN RESERVE.	

EHS NOTE

SEPTIC SYSTEM INSTALLERS NEED TO WORK FROM THE EHS STAMPED APPROVED PLANS ONLY AND SHOULD NOT DIVERT FROM THE ENGINEERED DESIGN.

LEGEND

- PROPOSED SEWER LINE
- EXISTING SEWER LINE
- SETBACK
- PROPERTY LINE
- EXISTING FENCE
- PERCOLATION TEST LOCATION
- MEASURED PERCOLATION RATE, MIN/IN
- ALLOWABLE LOADING RATE (PER LAMP REQUIREMENTS)

TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION:

SURVEY PREPARED BY: RIGHT ANGLE LAND SURVEY - GARY SALMEN
 DATE PERFORMED: MARCH 12, 2020
 VERTICAL DATUM: NAVD88 FROM NGS OPUS USING GEOID 18
 HORIZONTAL DATUM: NAD83 SPC 0405 (2011)(EPOCH:2010.0000)


CONSULTANTS

FIRM: COAST ENGINEERING AND SURVEY, INC.
 CIVIL ENGINEER: SCOTT SMITH, P.E. 74892 (805) 439-1920
 GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER: PACIFIC MATERIALS LABORATORY (805) 964-6901

SHEET INDEX

- SS1 TITLE SHEET, SITE PLAN
- SS2 ONSITE WASTEWATER TREATMENT SYSTEM PLAN
- SS3 DETAILS

VICINITY MAP



ASSESSORS PARCEL MAP



COAST ENGINEERING & SURVEY, INC.
 22 ANACAPITA ST. #2
 SANTA BARBARA, CA. 93101
 PH: (805) 439-1920

REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER
 CIVIL
 STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 No. 74892

DESCRIPTION:
 DATE:
 REVISIONS:
 DATE:

LOCATION: 3508 VIA REAL, CARPINTERIA, CA. 93013
 PROJECT NO.: 19020
 CLIENT: IVAN VAN WINGERDEN

DRAWN: DEA
 CHECKED: STS

3508 VIA REAL ONSITE WASTEWATER TREATMENT SYSTEM DESIGN PLANS
 TITLE SHEET, SITE PLAN

SHEET: **SS1** OF 3
 DATE: JULY 8, 2020

APPROVED _____ DATE _____

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

TERRA SOLUTIONS
 Terra Solutions
 777 Mutsuhito Avenue
 San Luis Obispo, CA. 93401
 (805) 782-0969


STORREN ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

 2565 Puesta del Sol #3
 Santa Barbara, CA. 93105
 (805) 682-2065
 www.storrenenvironmental.com


**Wastewater Treatment System
 Revised Biological Resources Assessment
 Creekside Blooms Nursery, LLC
 Cannabis Cultivation Project
 3508 Via Real, Carpinteria, CA**

Figure 2b

November 22, 2021



LIGHT MOUNTING DETAIL 4
SCALE: 1" = 1'-0"



LIGHT MOUNTING HEIGHT DIAGRAM 3
SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"

MaxLite PROJECT NAME: _____ CATALOG NUMBER: _____
 MODEL: _____ FEATURE SCHEDULE: _____ Page 1 of 3

LED WALLMAX LARGE WALL PACK FULL CUTOFF WPCL SERIES

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION:
 MaxLite Wall Packs meet full cut-off standards established by the IESNA. Fixture can mount to electrical box or direct to wall.

FEATURES:

- Requires up to 300 Watt Metal Halide
- CCT 3000K
- Self-contained design
- Maintenance free, no UV
- Quick To Clean™ Occupancy sensor compatible
- Can mount on electrical box or direct to wall
- UL 7805 listed available
- 3 Year Limited Warranty
- 200 and 300 models available

CONTROLS:
 100VAC/50/60 Hz AC Photocell: Voltage specific photocell sensor that fixture will turn on when light levels reach 20 lux or below and turn off at 20 lux or higher. Operating temperature of 0°-100° F Photocell mounted externally.

Motion/Delay Sensor: Motion/Delay Sensor 0-10V
 Available infrared sensor motion sensor with integral photocell, allowing for those outdoor settings. 100% photocell control of the fixture. Operates on heat, time, daylight threshold, and dimming level can be adjusted via the screwable push-button. The operating temperature of the sensor is 40°-140° F. At its maximum mounting height of 80 feet, the sensor will detect motion up to 30 feet away. Sensor mounted externally, embedded within fixture backhousing.

PHOTOMETRICS:
 All IES files available online. Please see page 3 for detailed photometric data.

MODEL	WATTAGE RANGE	OPERATING TEMPERATURE	UL LISTED	UL TYPE	PHOTOMETRIC DATA
WPCL 100W Full Cut Off	100W	0°-100° F	Yes	UL Type	IESNA, Photometric Data
WPCL 200W Full Cut Off	200W	0°-100° F	Yes	UL Type	IESNA, Photometric Data
WPCL 300W Full Cut Off	300W	0°-100° F	Yes	UL Type	IESNA, Photometric Data

NOTES:
 1. Fixture factory set for 100V.
 2. Photocell is 1/2 inch from the fixture backhousing and is not to be covered by any other material or painted.

MaxLite
 Phone: 1-800-655-6829 | Fax: 973-244-7333 | Web: www.maxlite.com | E-mail: info@maxlite.com | Revised 01-06-10


LIGHT DETAIL - WALL MOUNT 2

plan legend

- PROPERTY LINE
- FENCE
- ⌘ DOWN POINTING LED FLOOD LIGHTS HOODED ON A TIMER OF MOTION SENSOR

general notes

- PURSUANT TO ARTICLE II (SECTION 35-144U.C.4) OF THE SANTA BARBARA COUNTY COASTAL ZONING ORDINANCE, ANY OUTDOOR LIGHTING USE FOR THE ILLUMINATION OF PARKING AREAS AND/OR LOADING AREAS, OR FOR SECURITY SHALL BE FULLY SHIELDED AND DIRECTED DOWNWARD. LIGHTING IS PROHIBITED IN HOOP STRUCTURES.
- LIGHTING NECESSARY FOR SECURITY SHALL CONSIST SOLELY OF MOTION-SENSOR LIGHTS THAT DO NOT REMAIN ON LONGER THAN 10 TO 12 MINUTES AFTER ACTIVATION.
- LIGHTING HEIGHT 8'-0".



LIGHTING PLAN 1



DESIGN STUDIO INCORPORATED
 P H | 8 0 5 . 9 6 3 . 2 1 0 0
 F X | 8 0 5 . 9 6 3 . 2 3 0 0
 A 2 0 E H A L E Y
 S T R E E T
 S A N T A B A R B A R A | C A L I F O R N I A 9 3 1 0 1
 W W W . A B D E S I G N S T U D I O . C O M
 P R O J E C T T E A M

(CIVIL ENGINEER)
 SCOTT SMITH, P.E.
 COAST ENGINEERING & SURVEY, INC.
 5553 HOLLISTER AVE. #5
 GOLETA, CA 93117
 T: (760) 522-1527

(LAND-USE PLANNER)
 JAY HIGGINS
 LAND PLANNER & ACQUISITIONS
 3217 CALLE NOGUERA, SUITE C
 SANTA BARBARA, CA 93105
 T: 805.617.4563

(BIOLOGIST)
 JESSICA PEAK
 STORRER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, LLC
 2565 PUESTAL DEL SOL ROAD, SUITE 203
 SANTA BARBARA, CA 93105
 T: 805.234.2337

AB design studio, inc.	
11/17/2021	
plot stamp	
PROJECT / REVISION	
01.15.2020	PLANNING SUBMITTAL
05.20.2020	PLANNING RESUBMITTAL
07.08.2020	PLANNING RESUBMITTAL
08.05.2020	P-D REVISION
10.08.2020	PLANNING RESUBMITTAL
11.16.2021	PLANNING RESUBMITTAL
PROJECT INFO	
PROJECT 19028.00	
CREEKSIDE BLOOMS NURSERY, LLC.	
PROJECT ADDRESS 3508 VIA REAL CARPINTERIA, CA	
OWNER CONTACT VVV, LLC.	



Terra Solutions
 777 Mutsuhito Avenue
 San Luis Obispo, CA. 93401
 (805) 782-0969

STORRER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES
 2565 Puesta del Sol #3
 Santa Barbara, CA. 93105
 (805) 682-2065
 www.storrenenvironmental.com

Lighting Plan
Revised Biological Resources Assessment
Creekside Blooms Nursery, LLC
Cannabis Cultivation Project
3508 Via Real, Carpinteria, CA

Figure 2d

November 22, 2021

Irrigation Notes:

Provide allowance in bid for up to (10) drip valves to irrigate all new plantings indicated on plan.
 The irrigation system is temporary for California native plant establishment period only. The irrigation system will be turned off after a maximum of two years after plants are installed.
 Install irrigation system per manufacturer's specifications, irrigation details, and local codes.
 The irrigation system shall be zoned according to microclimatic setting and plant requirements.
 Contractor to provide irrigation to ALL new plants. The contractor shall be responsible for making any and all adjustments to the irrigation system necessary to ensure 100% irrigation coverage of all planting areas.
 All piping installed under pathways or paved areas, through walls or footings shall be placed inside schedule 40 PVC sleeves of adequate size to allow free movement of the pipe in the sleeve.
 Do not trench within driplines of existing trees.
 Adjust controller run times and emitters to eliminate all runoff.
 Turn over all irrigation product manuals, irrigation product tools, and installation instructions to Owner at completion of project.
 Contractor shall guarantee to the Owner that the irrigation system is free from defects in materials and workmanship for a period of (1) year from completion of project.
 Test all pressure mainline under hydrostatic pressure of 150 pounds per square inch and prove watertight.
 Use Teflon tape for all threaded connections.
 Irrigation controller run times shall be adjusted to not allow any irrigation water overspray onto paved surfaces.

State Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance Notes:

The irrigation system is for temporary irrigation only, therefore the requirements of section 429.7 of Chapter 2.7 of the Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance do not apply to this project.
 I have complied with the criteria of the State of California Water Conservation in Landscaping (AB 1881) and applied them accordingly for the efficient use of water in the irrigation design plan.
 I have complied with the criteria of the MWELDO and applied them accordingly for the efficient use of water in the irrigation design plan.
 All irrigation emission devices will meet the criteria as set forth in MWELDO Section 492.7(a)(1)(M) and shall be installed and operated according to the manufacturer's instructions and recommendations.
 Pressure regulating devices shall be installed where necessary to ensure that the dynamic pressure at each emission device is within manufacturer's recommended pressure range for optimal performance.
 Slopes greater than 25% shall not be irrigated with an irrigation system with application rate exceeding 0.75 inches per hour unless an alternate technology is utilized and approved by the authority having jurisdiction.
 Irrigation system design has incorporated drip irrigation, or other similar conditions where irrigation water flows onto non-targeted areas (e.g., adjacent property, non-irrigated areas, hardscapes, roadways or structures) in accordance with MWELDO Sections 492.7(a)(1)(i) and 492.7(a)(1)(j).

Irrigation Equipment



Item	Manufacturer	Model	Notes
Backflow Device (install 1 at each point of connection)	Wilkins	975XL, 1-1/4" size	Install per manufacturer specifications.
Ball Valve	Spears	True Union I-2000, 1-1/4" size	Match mainline size. Install in locking rectangular valve box with gravel fill.
Irrigation Valve and Solar Powered Controller.	D.I.G.	LEFT1-MLV-100 with PVF-25-100 filter pressure regulator combination.	
Dripline	Netafim	17mm TLCV blank tubing.	Install below bark mulch and stake every 6'.
Drip Emitters (pressure compensating)	Netafim	Techflow WPC 20-250, 2.0 GPH, Green color.	Install (1) per 1 gallon plant. Install (2) per 5 gallon plant. Install (4) per 15 gallon plant.
Drip Air Vacuum Relief Valve	Netafim	TLAVRV	Install in locking 6" round valve box at the high point of each planter.
Automatic Drip Flush Valve	Netafim	TLFV-1	Install in locking 6" round valve box with gravel fill.
Above Ground Lateral Line	Lasco or equal	Schedule 40 PVC UV Resistant Brownline 1/2" size, typ.	Install on finish grade with rebar stakes.
Above Ground Mainline	Lasco or equal	Schedule 40 PVC UV Resistant Brownline, 1-1/4" size, typ.	Install on finish grade with rebar stakes.

Planting Notes:

Take one soil sample from the project site. Send soil sample to Wallace Labs Soil Testing Laboratory (310-615-0116) for testing of suitability for California native plantings. Request from soils lab that only only organic amendments and fertilizers are included in the recommendations. Make adjustments to the rate and analysis of fertilizer & amendments as recommended to provide a suitable backfill mix for planting. Notify the Landscape Architect of any potential problems which may result due to harmful substances found in the soil. Failure to act as specified may result in contractor assuming financial responsibility for any damage to plants.
 Contractor shall provide and install 3" min. depth shredded bark mulch (ES-2 mulch from Agromin). Contractor shall provide mulch samples for review and approval by Landscape Architect prior to ordering.
 Plant material shall be planted per details and specifications. Soil preparation, weed removal and amendments shall be per the recommendations of the agronomic soils report.
 Existing drainage patterns must be maintained during irrigation and planting operations. Contractor may not alter established grade and flow lines without the knowledge and permission of the Landscape Architect. Contractor shall be responsible for fine grading required for surface drainage to the satisfaction of the Landscape Architect. Advise Landscape Architect of drainage problems and make recommendations for solution.
 Plant material may have to be contract grown to ensure plant availability for the project. Contact Landscape Architect if any plant sourcing difficulties arise.
 Contractor shall verify planting installation date with Landscape Architect a minimum of (2) weeks prior to installation.
 Contractor shall supply replacement plant material for any substandard or unhealthy plants at no additional cost to owner.
 Contractor shall guarantee all plant material for a period of (1) year from date of final acceptance. Contractor shall replace dead and unhealthy plants without additional cost to Owner, as determined by Landscape Architect at the end of the (1) year period.
 Contractor shall place all plant material in locations as indicated on the plans for review and approval by Landscape Architect prior to planting. Landscape Architect shall be notified 2 weeks in advance of plant placement.
 Contractor shall verify quantity of plants listed on the plan. If discrepancies exist, consult Landscape Architect for clarification.
 Contractor shall maintain all installed plants (on a weekly basis) for a period of (1) year from date of completion of installation. This period shall begin after installation and extend continuously for 365 days until final acceptance. Failure to eradicate weeds and maintain areas may result in an extension of the maintenance period.
 In the event of discrepancies in plant count, quantities indicated by plant symbols on the plan prevail.
 Follow all recommendations in agronomic soil report. Notify the Landscape Architect of any potential problems which may result due to harmful substances found in the soil. Failure to act as specified may result in contractor assuming financial responsibility for any damage to plants.
 The Landscape Architect reserves the right to review all plant material at the nursery prior to delivery to job site. In lieu of nursery review the Landscape Architect may request photos and/or specifications of plant material to be provided prior to delivery.
 Landscape Architect reserves the right to refuse plants delivered to site that are substandard. Replacement plants are to be supplied by contractor at no additional cost to owner.
 Set out all plant materials as shown on plan. Final locations must be approved by the Landscape Architect and project Biologist prior to planting.
 Stake trees according to industry standards.
 Plant backfill per planting specifications and agronomic soils report recommendations.
 Preserve and protect all existing trees unless otherwise noted.
 Completely eradicate all bermuda, kikuyu grass, other weed growth, and invasive weeds from areas within project limits prior to installing planting. DO NOT REMOVE ANY EXISTING NATIVE PLANTS.

Native Habitat Enhancement Area Plant Schedule:

Trees				
Botanical Name	Common Name	Quant.	Size	Notes
Platanus racemosa	California Sycamore	7	15 Gal	Natural form
Quercus agrifolia	Coast Live Oak	6	15 Gal	Natural form
Shrubs, Grasses, Groundcovers and Vines				
Botanical Name	Common Name	Quant.	Size	Notes
Artemisia californica	California Sagebrush	101	1 Gal	-
Artemisia douglasiana	Mugwort	55	1 Gal	-
Asclepias fascicularis	Narrow Leaf Milkweed	123	1 Gal	-
Baccharis salicifolia	Mulefat	27	1 Gal	-
Bromus carinatus	California Brome	67	1 Gal	-
Calyptegia macrostegia ssp. cyclostegia	Chaparral Morning Glory	7	1 Gal	Train to existing fence with nursery tape.
Clematis ligusticifolia	Creek Clematis	6	1 Gal	Train to existing fence with nursery tape.
Elymus triticoides	Alkali Rye	70	1 Gal	-
Encelia californica	Bush Sunflower	103	1 Gal	-
Eriophyllum confertiflorum	Golden Yarrow	43	1 Gal	-
Frangula californica	Coffeeberry	13	5 Gal	-
Heteromeles arbutifolia	Toyon	29	5 Gal	-
Isocoma menziesii	Coastal Goldenbush	33	1 Gal	-
Lotus scoparius	Deer Weed	24	1 Gal	-
Malosma laurina	Laurel Sumac	44	1 Gal	-
Muhlenbergia rigens	Deer Grass	54	1 Gal	-
Rosa californica	California Wild Rose	66	1 Gal	-
Rubus ursinus	California Blackberry	48	1 Gal	-
Sambucus nigra ssp. caerulea	Blue Elderberry	52	1 Gal	-
Scrophularia californica	California Figwort	50	1 Gal	-
Sisyrinchium bellum	Blue Eyed Grass	33	1 Gal	-
Stipa pulchra	Purple Needle Grass	85	1 Gal	-
Venegasia carpesioides	Canyon Sunflower	90	1 Gal	-
Carex praegracilis	CA Meadow Sedge	1,290	Plugs	Plant @30" O.C.





3508 VIA REAL
 Carpinteria, CA 93013
 APN# 005-280-025

NATIVE HABITAT
 ENHANCEMENT PLAN

Date/Issue
 2020.05.18
 Sheet 1 of 2

L3.1



THIS IS A COPYRIGHTED DOCUMENT AND MAY NOT BE REPRODUCED IN PART OR WHOLE WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION OF ERIN O'CARROLL, LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT. COPYRIGHT 2020.


Terra Solutions
 777 Mutsuhito Avenue
 San Luis Obispo, CA. 93401
 (805) 782-0969

STORREN ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES
 2565 Puesta del Sol #3
 Santa Barbara, CA. 93105
 (805) 682-2065
 www.storrenenvironmental.com

Arrayoy Paredon Creek Native Habitat Enhancement Plan
Revised Biological Resources Assessment
Creekside Blooms Nursery, LLC
Cannabis Cultivation Project
3508 Via Real, Carpinteria, CA

Figure 3a

November 22, 2021



3508 VIA REAL
 Carpinteria, CA 93013
 APN# 005-280-025

CREEKSIDE AREA NATIVE
 PLANTING PLAN

Date/ Issue
 2020.05.18
 Sheet 2 of 2

L3.2

Terra Solutions
 777 Mutsuhito Avenue
 San Luis Obispo, CA. 93401
 (805) 782-0969


STORREN ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES
 2565 Puesta del Sol #3
 Santa Barbara, CA. 93105
 (805) 682-2065
 www.storrenenvironmental.com

Creekside Blooms Native Landscaping Plan
Revised Biological Resources Assessment
Creekside Blooms Nursery, LLC
Cannabis Cultivation Project
3508 Via Real, Carpinteria, CA

Figure 3b

November 22, 2021






LEGEND:

- Culverts
- Coast Live Oak Tree
- Arroyo Paredon Creek
- - - 50-foot ESH Buffer from ESH Boundary
- - - 100-foot ESH Buffer from ESH Boundary
- Environmentally Sensitive Habitat (ESH) Boundary
- Top of Bank
- X - Fence Line
- Area of Encroachment in ESH (11,525 sq. ft.)
- Parcel Boundary (APN 005-280-025)

Sensitive Vegetation Communities and Native Trees

- Coast Live Oak
- Western Sycamore-Arroyo Willow Woodland



Terra Solutions
777 Mutsuhito Avenue
San Luis Obispo, CA. 93401
(805) 782-0969



STORRER
ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES

2565 Puesta del Sol #3
Santa Barbara, CA. 93105
(805) 682-2065
www.storrerenvironmental.com

Sensitive Biological Resources
Revised Biological Resources Assessment
Creekside Blooms Nursery, LLC
Cannabis Cultivation Project
3508 Via Real, Carpinteria, CA

Figure 5

November 22, 2021

APPENDIX A
SITE PHOTOGRAPHS



Photo 1: Project Site entrance, ruderal habitat along existing fence to be landscaped with natives, and riparian corridor of Arroyo Paredon Creek (Aspect: West). Photo taken 5/7/2020.



Photo 1: Edges of greenhouses to be demolished, ruderal habitat to be landscaped with natives, and riparian corridor of Arroyo Paredon Creek (Aspect: East). Photo taken 5/7/2020.



Photo 1: Greenhouse and broiler to be demolished in ESH Buffer (Aspect: East). Photo taken 2/27/2019.



Photo 2: Water tank and greenhouse to be demolished in ESH Buffer (Aspect: East). Photo taken 2/27/2019.



Photo 3. Western sycamore, coast live oak, and Native Habitat Enhancement Area in northeast corner of Project Site (Aspect: West). Greenhouse will be demolished. Photo taken 5/7/2020.



Photo 4: East side of Project Site where underground stormwater detention basin will be located, outside of the ESH buffer (Aspect: North). Photo taken 5/7/2020.



Photo 5: Giant reed infestation along Arroyo Paredon Creek adjacent to the Project Site (Aspect: East). Photo taken 3/13/2020.



Photo 6. Arroyo Paredon Creek along northern boundary of Project Site (Aspect: West). Photo taken 5/7/2020.



Photo 7: Dense understory vegetation, comprised of non-native species, along the banks of Arroyo Paredon Creek (Aspect: South). Photo taken 5/7/2020.



Photo 8: Culvert behind the water tank that discharges stormwater into Arroyo Paredon Creek (Aspect: East).

APPENDIX B
CNPS VEGETATION RAPID ASSESSMENT FORM

Combined Vegetation Rapid Assessment and Relevé Field Form

(Revised April 28, 2016)

For Office Use:	Final database #:	Final vegetation type:	Alliance Association
I. LOCATIONAL/ENVIRONMENTAL DESCRIPTION			circle: Relevé or RA
Database #: VEG-01	Date: 5/7/2020	Name of recorder: Jessica Peak	
	Other surveyors:		
Location Name: 3508 Via Real - Creekside Floral			
GPS name: ipad/APRAN 100 receiver		For Relevé only: Bearing°, left axis at ID point ___ of Long / Short side	
UTME _____		UTMN _____	
Zone: 11 NAD83 GPS error: ft./ m./ PDOP 1.7 ft			
Decimal degrees: LAT 34.414946 LONG -119.555204			
GPS within stand? Yes / No If No, cite from GPS to stand: distance (m) ___ bearing° ___ inclination° ___			
and record: Base point ID _____ Projected UTM: UTME _____ UTMN _____			
Camera Name: JP Cardinal photos at ID point:			
Other photos: Representative photos of habitat			
Stand Size (acres): <1, 1-5 , >5 Plot Size (m ²): 100 / _____ Plot Shape ___ x ___ m RA Radius 20 m			
Exposure, Actual °: ___ NE NW SE SW Flat Variable Steepness, Actual °: ___ 0° 1-5° >5-25° >25			
Topography: Macro: top upper mid lower bottom Micro: convex flat concave undulating			
Geology code: _____ Soil Texture code: _____ Upland or Wetland/Riparian (circle one)			
% Surface cover: (Incl. outcrops) (>60cm diam) (25-60cm) (7.5-25cm) (2mm-7.5cm) (Incl sand, mud)			
H2: 2 BA Stems: 5 Litter: 3 Bedrock: 0 Boulder: 1 Stone: 3 Cobble: 10 Gravel: 10 Fines: 66 = 100%			
% Current year bioturbation 0 Past bioturbation present? Yes / No % Hoof punch 0			
Fire evidence: Yes / No (circle one) If yes, describe in Site history section, including date of fire, if known.			
Site history, stand age, comments: Sampling point representative of habitat along Arroyo Paredon Creek			
- Non-native species extremely dense in understory (Garden nasturtium, giant reed)			
- Flow in Arroyo Paredon Creek minimal; 1" deep, 2-5 ft wide			
- section of creek regularly maintained by SB Flood Control District			
Disturbance code / Intensity (L,M,H): #, 01, 03, 05, 11 15 "Other" _____			
II. HABITAT DESCRIPTION			
Tree DBH: T1 (<1" dbh), T2 (1-6" dbh), T3 (6-11" dbh), T4 (11-24" dbh), T5 (>24" dbh), T6 multi-layered (T3 or T4 layer under T5, >60% cover)			
Shrub: S1 seedling (<3 yr. old), S2 young (<1% dead), S3 mature (1-25% dead), S4 decadent (>25% dead)			
Herbaceous: H1 (<12" plant ht.), H2 (>12" ht.)			
Desert Riparian Tree/Shrub: 1 (<2ft. stem ht.), 2 (2-10ft. ht.), 3 (10-20ft. ht.), 4 (>20ft. ht.)			
Desert Palm/Joshua Tree: 1 (<1.5" base diameter), 2 (1.5-6" diam.), 3 (>6" diam.)			
III. INTERPRETATION OF STAND			
Field-assessed vegetation Alliance name: Platanus racemosa Woodland Alliance			
Field-assessed Association name (optional): Platanus racemosa - Quercus agrifolia - Salix lasiolepis			
Adjacent Alliances/direction: Ruderal / disturbed GS			
Confidence in Alliance identification: L M H Explain: _____			
Phenology (E,P,L): Herb P Shrub P Tree P Other identification or mapping information: _____			

Combined Vegetation Rapid Assessment and Relevé Field Form

(Revised April 28, 2016)

For Office Use:	Final database #:	Final vegetation type:	Alliance Association
I. LOCATIONAL/ENVIRONMENTAL DESCRIPTION			circle: Relevé or (RA)
Database #: VEG-02	Date: 5/7/2020	Name of recorder: Jessica Peak	
		Other surveyors:	
Location Name: 3508 Via Real - Creekside Floral			
GPS name: iPad / ARKW-100 Receiver For Relevé only: Bearing°, left axis at ID point ___ of Long / Short side			
UTME _____		UTMN _____	
Zone: 11 NAD83 GPS error: ft./ m./ PDOP 1.4 ft			
Decimal degrees: LAT 34.415068 LONG -119.552420			
GPS within stand? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes / <input type="checkbox"/> No If No, cite from GPS to stand: distance (m) ___ bearing° ___ inclination° ___			
and record: Base point ID _____ Projected UTM: UTME _____ UTMN _____			
Camera Name: JP Cardinal photos at ID point:			
Other photos: Representative photos of habitat			
Stand Size (acres): <1, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-5, >5 Plot Size (m²): 100 / _____ Plot Shape ___ x ___ m RA Radius 20 m			
Exposure, Actual °: ___ NE NW SE SW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Flat <input type="checkbox"/> Variable Steepness, Actual °: ___ 0° <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-5° >5-25° >25			
Topography: Macro: top upper <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> mid <input type="checkbox"/> lower bottom Micro: convex <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> flat <input type="checkbox"/> concave undulating			
Geology code: _____ Soil Texture code: _____ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Upland or Wetland/Riparian (circle one)			
% Surface cover: (Incl. outcrops) (>60cm diam) (25-60cm) (7.5-25cm) (2mm-7.5cm) (Incl sand, mud)			
H₂O: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BA Stems: Litter: Bedrock: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Boulder: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Stone: Cobble: 10 Gravel: 40 Fines: 47 =100%			
% Current year bioturbation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Past bioturbation present? Yes / <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No % Hoof punch <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Fire evidence: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (circle one) If yes, describe in Site history section, including date of fire, if known.			
Site history, stand age, comments:			
Ruderal/disturbed habitat is present along existing roads, greenhouses, & adjacent to fence line along Arroyo Paredon Creek where restoration is proposed.			
Disturbance code / Intensity (L,M,H): H 1 0 1 1 0 3 1 0 5 1 _____ "Other" _____			
II. HABITAT DESCRIPTION			
Tree DBH: T1 (<1" dbh), T2 (1-6" dbh), T3 (6-11" dbh), T4 (11-24" dbh), T5 (>24" dbh), T6 multi-layered (T3 or T4 layer under T5, >60% cover)			
Shrub: S1 seedling (<3 yr. old), S2 young (<1% dead), S3 mature (1-25% dead), S4 decadent (>25% dead)			
Herbaceous: H1 (<12" plant ht.), H2 (>12" ht.)			
Desert Riparian Tree/Shrub: 1 (<2ft. stem ht.), 2 (2-10ft. ht.), 3 (10-20ft. ht.), 4 (>20ft. ht.)			
Desert Palm/Joshua Tree: 1 (<1.5" base diameter), 2 (1.5-6" diam.), 3 (>6" diam.)			
III. INTERPRETATION OF STAND			
Field-assessed vegetation Alliance name: Ruderal / disturbed (not recognized in MV-II)			
Field-assessed Association name (optional): _____			
Adjacent Alliances/direction: Western sycamore - arroyo willow woodland (N) _____			
Confidence in Alliance identification: L M <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> H Explain: _____			
Phenology (E,P,L): Herb P Shrub P Tree P Other identification or mapping information: _____			

APPENDIX C
WILDLIFE MOVEMENT PLAN

**WILDLIFE MOVEMENT PLAN
CREEKSIDE BLOOMS NURSERY, LLC
MIXED-LIGHT CANNABIS CULTIVATION PROJECT
(19CDP-00000-00027, 19DVP-00000-00020)
3508 VIA REAL (APN 005-280-025)
CARPINTERIA, CALIFORNIA**

This Wildlife Movement Plan was prepared in support of an application for a Coastal Development Permit and Development Plan from the County of Santa Barbara (County) for the Coastal Blooms Nursery, LLC (Applicant) Mixed-Light Cannabis Cultivation Project (Project), located at 3508 Via Real (APN 005-280-025), Carpinteria, California. A CDP is necessary to be in compliance with County Ordinance 5027 and the corresponding requirements of Land Use Development Code (LUDC) §35.42.075 (Cannabis Regulations).

Measures described herein are intended to prevent incidental impacts to wildlife that have the potential to occur in the property and proposed cultivation area, including California red-legged frog (*Rana draytonii*) and two-striped garter snake (*Thamnophis hammondi*).

PROJECT LOCATION AND SETTING

The Project Site is approximately 8.96 acres located at 3508 Via Real, approximately 0.6-mile west of the City of Carpinteria, within the Carpinteria Agricultural Overlay District (CCC 2015) (Latitude 34.414047°, Longitude -119.556086°). The Project Site is in the Coastal Zone, approximately 0.6-mile south of foothills of the Santa Ynez Mountains and 0.13-mile northeast of the Pacific Ocean. Surrounding land use is predominantly agriculture, with residential neighborhoods to the north and west.

The parcel is zoned agriculture (AG-1-10) and the entire Project Site is currently in agricultural production. Arroyo Paredon Creek runs along the northern Project Site boundary. An existing chain-link perimeter fence parallels the TOB of the creek. Agricultural use on the property consists entirely of indoor greenhouses and support structures (e.g., equipment storage areas, boiler room, processing areas, etc.).

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

There is no change of use from the current agricultural operations on site. The Project includes propagation of immature plants (nursery) and cultivation in 172,660 square feet of greenhouse and 17,441 square feet of agricultural accessory structure space that support the cultivation activities. The Project includes the demolition of 43,640 square feet of existing permitted and unpermitted greenhouse and accessory structure area for conformity with permit history and for compliance with building and fire safety codes. The Project also includes a total of eight (8) water tanks (2,023 square feet), seven (7) existing/as built and one proposed tank, and a request to increase the height of the existing 15-foot-tall greenhouses to 22-feet for improved airflow circulation and humidity controls.

In addition, the Project proposes to relocate a portion of the security fencing and dirt access road away from the Arroyo Paredon Creek corridor and restore 35,718 square feet (0.82-acre) of native

habitat along Arroyo Paredon Creek, as well as installation of 18,845 square feet (0.43-acre) of native landscaping within the permitted operations area that falls within the County-prescribed ESH Buffer.

EXISTING WILDLIFE HABITAT

The Project Site has historically been used for agricultural purposes and the entire property contains structural features like greenhouses and buildings or roads that have limited habitat value for wildlife. These developed areas are regularly managed and maintained, which precludes use by most wildlife species.

Arroyo Paredon Creek functions as a dispersal and migration corridor for upland and semi-aquatic wildlife. The continuous band of riparian habitat along the northern property line allows for wildlife movement across a landscape that is fragmented by agricultural and urban development. This corridor enables passage from the east to west along the property and facilitates genetic exchange within populations. Mature sycamore, willow, and oak trees along Arroyo Paredon Creek and tributary provide suitable nesting habitat for raptors and other bird species.

Arroyo Paredon Creek is USFWS-designated critical habitat for southern California steelhead and tidewater goby. Arroyo Paredon Creek could also support other sensitive semi-aquatic wildlife species (e.g., California red-legged frog, two-striped garter snake), during periods of intermittent stream flow.

No special-status species were observed during 2019 and 2020 field surveys. Wildlife use detected or observed during the surveys include Baja California treefrogs (*Pseudacris hypochondriaca*) vocalizing in the creek and numerous raccoon (*Procyon lotor*) tracks in the sediment deposits in the riparian corridor. No tadpoles were observed in the creek channel.

WILDLIFE MOVEMENT

The lack of fences and structures within the Arroyo Paredon Creek riparian corridor permits wildlife movement upstream and downstream of the Project Site. As part of the Native Habitat Enhancement Plan, the chain-link security fence in the northeast corner of the property will be relocated as far as possible from the TOB of Arroyo Paredon Creek, while still allowing for fire department access. In its place, a barb wire fence will be installed along the property line at the TOB of the creek that will allow wildlife to access the restored riparian habitat. The security fence to remain around permitted features in the ESH Buffer prevents intrusion into the adjacent riparian corridor from Project activities and it prevents wildlife movement into the operations area, where animals could be subject to injury or mortality (i.e., trampled, crushed, etc.). Neither movement nor genetic exchange of animals would be significantly obstructed or impaired by security or barb wire fencing.

Generally, the riparian habitat within Arroyo Paredon Creek is dense and the channel is narrow, which limits access for large mammals but allows for small mammal passage (e.g., raccoon, brush rabbit). However, the channel is regularly managed with heavy equipment by the County Flood Control District, which removes large portions of riparian habitat and temporarily opens up/widens the creek channel.

The proposed barb wire fencing in the northeastern portion of the site will allow for wildlife access to the to the 0.82-acre of restored riparian vegetation along Arroyo Paredon Creek, which will provide additional foraging and refuge habitat for wildlife. Providing wildlife access to the northeastern corner of the property will be particularly important immediately following flood control maintenance in the creek corridor, which regularly eliminates wildlife forage and refuge opportunities.

Semi-aquatic sensitive wildlife such as California red-legged frog (CRLF) and two-striped garter snake are expected to remain largely within the creek and the associated riparian habitat, including the proposed Native Habitat Enhancement Area. These species would not be drawn to developed areas where there are limited food resources and poor sheltering habitat. Wildlife protection measures are recommended below in the event a CRLF or two-striped garter snake were to occur outside of the riparian corridor.

Smaller terrestrial wildlife species (e.g., lizards, gopher snakes) would also generally be expected to utilize the habitat in the creek and tributary for dispersal. However, small wildlife will be able to move through the barb wire and chain-link fence without harm and disperse across the northern side of the property and operations area if they so choose.

To further reduce potential for incidental impacts to CRLF and other wildlife species, workers will receive an environmental awareness pamphlet, emphasizing the special-status species within Arroyo Paredon Creek (e.g., steelhead, tidewater goby, CRLF, two-striped garter snake). The pamphlet will be posted on-site and will include photographs of sensitive species that have the potential to occur in or around the Project Site, will clearly show contact information for California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), and will provide instructions what to do if a sensitive species is found. In addition, a qualified biologist will be present to oversee site preparation and non-native plant removal in the Native Habitat Enhancement Area to ensure there are no impacts to sensitive wildlife or native plant species.

WILDLIFE PROTECTION MEASURES

The following measures address both common and sensitive terrestrial and semi-aquatic wildlife species that have the potential to be impacted by Project-related activities as they move through the landscape, in or adjacent to the Project Site.

1. A worker environmental awareness training pamphlet will be prepared and posted on-site for all employees (including site supervisors, equipment operators, and laborers). The training will emphasize the presence of special-status species within Arroyo Paredon Creek (e.g., steelhead, tidewater goby, CRLF, two-striped garter snake), identification of those species, their habitat requirements, applicable regulatory policies and provisions regarding their protection, measures being implemented to avoid and/or minimize impacts, and penalties for noncompliance. The pamphlet will also emphasize that if listed species are observed within or near the cultivation area, work will be suspended, the species are not be touched or moved, and the CDFW and USFWS should be notified immediately.

2. A qualified biologist should be present to oversee demolition and site preparation (e.g., non-native plant removal) in the Native Habitat Enhancement Area to ensure there are no impacts to sensitive wildlife or native plant species.
3. On-going activities within the prescribed 100-foot ESH Buffer from Arroyo Paredon Creek will be limited to use of permitted greenhouses, the access/fire road, and implementation of native habitat enhancement/landscaping.
4. If listed wildlife species are observed, work will be suspended and the CDFW and USFWS (as applicable) will be notified immediately. Sensitive wildlife species may be moved from the work area by a qualified biologist holding the necessary permits.
5. All erosion control materials shall be free from plastic to prevent entanglement of wildlife.
6. Trash and food items will be placed in secured waste storage daily so as not to attract wildlife.

**HABITAT PROTECTION PLAN
COASTAL BLOOMS NURSERY, LLC
MIXED-LIGHT CANNABIS CULTIVATION PROJECT
(19CDP-00000-00027, 19DVP-00000-00020)
3508 VIA REAL (APN 005-280-025)
CARPINTERIA, CALIFORNIA**

This Habitat Protection Plan was prepared in support of an application for a Coastal Development Permit and Development Plan from the County of Santa Barbara (County) for the Coastal Blooms Nursery, LLC (Applicant) Mixed-Light Cannabis Cultivation Project (Project), located at 3508 Via Real (APN 005-280-025), Carpinteria, California. A CDP is necessary to be in compliance with County Ordinance 5027 and the corresponding requirements of Land Use Development Code (LUDC) §35.42.075 (Cannabis Regulations).

Measures described herein are intended to prevent incidental impacts to Environmentally Sensitive Habitat (ESH) (i.e., western sycamore-arroyo willow riparian woodland along Arroyo Paredon Creek) and ESH buffer areas that occur in or directly adjacent to the property and proposed cultivation area.

PROJECT LOCATION AND SETTING

The Project Site is approximately 8.96 acres located at 3508 Via Real, approximately 0.6-mile west of the City of Carpinteria, within the Carpinteria Agricultural Overlay District (CCC 2015) (Latitude 34.414047°, Longitude -119.556086°). The Project Site is in the Coastal Zone, approximately 0.6-mile south of foothills of the Santa Ynez Mountains and 0.13-mile northeast of the Pacific Ocean. Surrounding land use is predominantly agriculture, with residential neighborhoods to the north and west.

The parcel is zoned agriculture (AG-1-10) and the entire Project Site is currently in agricultural production. Arroyo Paredon Creek runs along the northern Project Site boundary. An existing chain-link perimeter fence parallels the TOB of the creek. Agricultural use on the property consists entirely of indoor greenhouses and support structures (e.g., equipment storage areas, boiler room, processing areas, etc.).

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

There is no change of use from the current agricultural operations on site. The Project includes propagation of immature plants (nursery) and cultivation in 172,660 square feet of greenhouse and 17,441 square feet of agricultural accessory structure space that support the cultivation activities. The Project includes the demolition of 43,640 square feet of existing permitted and unpermitted greenhouse and accessory structure area for conformity with permit history and for compliance with building and fire safety codes. The Project also includes a total of eight (8) water tanks (2,023 square feet), seven (7) existing/as built and one proposed tank, and a request to increase the height of the existing 15-foot-tall greenhouses to 22-feet for improved airflow circulation and humidity controls.

In addition, the Project proposes to relocate a portion of the security fencing and dirt access road away from the Arroyo Paredon Creek corridor and restore 35,718 square feet (0.82-acre) of native habitat along Arroyo Paredon Creek, as well as installation of 18,845 square feet (0.43-acre) of native landscaping within the permitted operations area that falls within the County-prescribed ESH buffer.

IMPACTS TO ESH AND ESH BUFFER

No adverse direct impacts to Arroyo Paredon Creek or the associated western sycamore-arroyo willow woodland are expected as part of the proposed Project. All non-conforming greenhouses and accessory structures that extend under the riparian canopy and are in the ESH buffer will be removed as part of the Project and disturbed areas will be restored. Only permitted features (e.g., greenhouses, access road), native landscaping, and the Native Habitat Enhancement Area will remain in the ESH buffer. Installation of the stormwater detention basin and new septic system will occur in ruderal/developed areas, outside of the ESH buffer.

The access road and chain-link security fencing in the northeast corner of the Project Site will be relocated away from the TOB of Arroyo Paredon Creek and replaced with a barb wire fence that will allow for wildlife passage. The relocated security fencing and access road have been situated to allow for fire department access, if necessary. The remainder of the permitted access road in the ESH buffer, that extends to the site entrance, will continue to be used for operational purposes and native landscaping will be installed on both sides of the road.

The proposed demolition of non-conforming structures and restoration of 0.82-acre of the ESH buffer will improve the ecosystem functions and value of the riparian habitat along Arroyo Paredon Creek through implementation of the following:

- removal of structural features from within the riparian buffer.
- removal of invasive species (e.g., Cape ivy, garden nasturtium, poison hemlock) and management of non-native vegetation in the ESH buffer.
- restoration of native vegetation and establishment of a self-sustaining riparian plant community in the ESH buffer.
- increasing native plant diversity; and,
- improving wildlife habitat.

The existing and relocated security fence line will prevent intrusion into the Native Habitat Enhancement Area and the riparian corridor of Arroyo Paredon Creek. In addition, replacing the fence on the top of bank (TOB) of the creek with barb wire will allow wildlife to access the restored area. Additional protection measures to prevent impacts to ESH and native trees during demolition are outlined below.

Prior to the start of demolition, sediment controls (e.g., fiber rolls, silt fence) will be installed along the TOB of the creek to prevent sediment from entering the riparian habitat. Protective fencing will also be installed around the western sycamore tree and coast live oak tree that are inside the fence to prevent inadvertent impacts during demolition.

Implementation of recommended protection measures would reduce the potential for incidental impacts to native trees, ESH, and ESH buffer areas (e.g., damage to trees, sediment deposition,

erosion) to a less than significant level. In addition, recommended measures would ensure that temporary/indirect impacts (i.e., noise, dust) to Arroyo Paredon Creek and riparian habitat are less than significant.

HABITAT PROTECTION MEASURES

The following protection measures are recommended to reduce potential impacts to ESH and ESH buffer areas that could result from the Project.

1. A worker environmental awareness training pamphlet will be prepared and posted on-site for all employees (including site supervisors, equipment operators, and laborers). The training will emphasize protection of native trees, sensitive habitat areas, and the presence of special-status species within Arroyo Paredon Creek (e.g., steelhead, tidewater goby, CRLF, two-striped garter snake).
2. Prior to demolition, fiber rolls and/or silt fencing shall be installed along the existing fence line, between work areas and the riparian habitat along Arroyo Paredon Creek, to prevent impacts to ESH and special-status species that have the potential to occur in or adjacent to Arroyo Paredon Creek.
3. On-going activities within the prescribed 100-foot ESH buffer from Arroyo Paredon Creek will be limited to use of permitted greenhouses, the access/fire road, and implementation of native habitat enhancement/landscaping.

**TREE PROTECTION PLAN
COASTAL BLOOMS NURSERY, LLC
MIXED-LIGHT CANNABIS CULTIVATION PROJECT
(19CDP-00000-00027, 19DVP-00000-00020)
3508 VIA REAL (APN 005-280-025)
CARPINTERIA, CALIFORNIA**

This Tree Protection Plan was prepared in support of an application for a Coastal Development Permit and Development Plan from the County of Santa Barbara (County) for the Coastal Blooms Nursery, LLC (Applicant) Mixed-Light Cannabis Cultivation Project (Project), located at 3508 Via Real (APN 005-280-025), Carpinteria, California. A CDP is necessary to be in compliance with County Ordinance 5027 and the corresponding requirements of Land Use Development Code (LUDC) §35.42.075 (Cannabis Regulations).

Measures described herein are intended to prevent incidental impacts to native trees (e.g., coast live oak and western sycamore) that are present adjacent to the proposed cultivation area and Native Habitat Enhancement Area.

PROJECT LOCATION AND SETTING

The Project Site is approximately 8.96 acres located at 3508 Via Real, approximately 0.6-mile west of the City of Carpinteria, within the Carpinteria Agricultural Overlay District (CCC 2015) (Latitude 34.414047°, Longitude -119.556086°). The Project Site is in the Coastal Zone, approximately 0.6-mile south of foothills of the Santa Ynez Mountains and 0.13-mile northeast of the Pacific Ocean. Surrounding land use is predominantly agriculture, with residential neighborhoods to the north and west.

The parcel is zoned agriculture (AG-1-10) and the entire Project Site is currently in agricultural production. Arroyo Paredon Creek runs along the northern Project Site boundary. An existing chain-link perimeter fence parallels the TOB of the creek. Agricultural use on the property consists entirely of indoor greenhouses and support structures (e.g., equipment storage areas, boiler room, processing areas, etc.).

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

There is no change of use from the current agricultural operations on site. The Project includes propagation of immature plants (nursery) and cultivation in 172,660 square feet of greenhouse and 17,441 square feet of agricultural accessory structure space that support the cultivation activities. The Project includes the demolition of 43,640 square feet of existing permitted and unpermitted greenhouse and accessory structure area for conformity with permit history and for compliance with building and fire safety codes. The Project also includes a total of eight (8) water tanks (2,023 square feet), seven (7) existing/as built and one proposed tank, and a request to increase the height of the existing 15-foot-tall greenhouses to 22-feet for improved airflow circulation and humidity controls.

In addition, the Project proposes to relocate a portion of the security fencing and dirt access road away from the Arroyo Paredon Creek corridor and restore 35,718 square feet (0.82-acre) of native habitat along Arroyo Paredon Creek, as well as installation of 18,845 square feet (0.43-acre) of native landscaping within the permitted operations area that falls within the County-prescribed ESH buffer.

IMPACTS TO NATIVE TREES

No native trees will be removed as part of the proposed Project. The coast live oak and western sycamore trees in the Project Site will be incorporated in the Native Habitat Enhancement Area. Protective fencing will be installed around these trees prior to demolition and will remain until demolition is complete. With implementation of the recommended protection measures outlined below, no impacts to native trees are expected.

TREE PROTECTION MEASURES

The following protection measures are recommended to reduce potential impacts to native trees that could result from the Project.

1. A worker environmental awareness training pamphlet will be prepared and posted on-site for all employees (including site supervisors, equipment operators, and laborers). The training will emphasize protection of native trees, sensitive habitat areas, and the presence of special-status species within Arroyo Paredon Creek (e.g., steelhead, tidewater goby, CRLF, two-striped garter snake).
2. A County-approved biologist shall monitor all demolition adjacent to native trees, removal of invasive species along the riparian corridor of Arroyo Paredon Creek, and restoration implementation. The monitor will document demolition and restoration activities, any damage to native trees, and provide documentation of impact avoidance and monitoring results to the County within 30 days of the completion of demolition and restoration activities.
3. Prior to demolition, protective fencing shall be installed around the dripline plus 6 feet, where feasible, of the western sycamore and coast live oak trees that are present in within the property fence line.
4. Oak trees, and other native tree species, should be protected consistent with County policies and guidelines. No grading or cultivation should occur within 6 feet of the dripline of native trees. If incidental damage occurs to native trees (e.g., removal, broken limbs, impacts to critical root zones) the trees should be examined by a County-approved arborist or biologist to determine whether compensatory measures are necessary.