

Abib, Daniela

From: Daniela Abib
Sent: Sunday, July 05, 2015 12:38 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Santa Barbara Ranch Project

To the Board of Supervisors:

For the following reasons I ask you to deny the transfer request, terminate the Inland Development Agreement and take the necessary steps to ensure that the developer pursues a different project.

Given its unique and priceless natural status, Naples must be protected and preserved for the public. As approved, the project remains financially unfeasible and therefore the Board should deny Standard Portfolio's request to consent to the Transfer Agreement. Furthermore, the Board should terminate the Inland Development Agreement as the 2008 approvals are seriously flawed and the developer needs to start over with a proposal that is more sensitive to the preservation of the natural assets of the land and the public's access to it.

Susan Shields

The Santa Barbara Ranch Project is the wrong project for this exceptional coastal property. **Please ask the Board of Supervisors to deny the transfer request, terminate the Inland Development Agreement and take the necessary steps to ensure that the developer pursues a different project.**

TALKING POINTS - SBR DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT TRANSFER:

- The future of Naples is exceptionally important to the public, given its unmatched scenic, ecological, cultural and recreational value.
- The Board should deny Standard Portfolios' request to consent to the Transfer Agreement.
 - Standard Portfolios' submittal does not provide sufficient evidence of its financial condition, history and reputation to demonstrate that the developer can fulfill the obligations of the Development Agreement.
 - Matt Osgood, a key member of the Standard Portfolios' team, orchestrated the 2008 approvals of the financially unfeasible project that went into foreclosure in 2010; leading to First Bank ownership of SBR. Mr. Osgood's reputation casts doubt on the ability of Standard Portfolios to fulfill the obligations of the Development Agreement.
 - Standard Portfolios has not demonstrated the ability to develop the Project consistent with the Development Agreement. Several changes in circumstances indicate that the approved Project cannot be developed including the current drought and changes at Dos Pueblos Ranch (DPR) affecting the Agricultural Conservation Easement (ACE).
 - The Project remains financially unfeasible as approved.
- The Board should terminate the Inland Development Agreement.
 - The Inland Development Agreement is not effective until the ACE is recorded, and accordingly may be terminated by the Board.
 - The Inland Development Agreement should be terminated because conditions have changed that affect the ability of the developer to perform the obligations under the Development Agreement.
 - The Naples property requires a sensitively designed Project that strikes an appropriate balance between open space preservation, public access, agricultural resource protection, and residential development. The 2008 approvals are fatally flawed and the developer must start again with a more appropriate proposal.

Abib, Daniela

From: ~ ~
Sent: Sunday, July 05, 2015 4:09 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Comments for July 7th Board hearings

My comments on Hearing items are listed below.

LAS VARAS RANCH PROJECT (File #15-00548)

- The Las Varas Ranch Project is inconsistent with County policies protecting agricultural land:
 - The Project would facilitate the sale of individual lots to different owners, fragmenting the ownership of lands currently owned and managed as a single viable agricultural operation.
 - Individual lots are not agriculturally viable, and collective management of the ranching operation cannot effectively be enforced with CC&Rs as proposed.
 - Proposed development envelopes will enable spill-over of residential uses that will adversely impact the agricultural operation.
- The Project is inconsistent with County policies protecting visual resources:
 - The Project intensifies residential development potential in the iconic viewshed and Rural Historic Landscape on Las Varas Ranch visible from Highway 101, and on the coastal bluff visible from the railroad, beach, and ocean.
 - Up to two-acres of contiguous residential development and additional structures including horse stables and agricultural employee dwellings will degrade scenic coastal views across these open and historically significant landscapes.
- The Project is inconsistent with County biological resource protection policies:
 - New residential uses including introduced pets and equestrian use will adversely impact sensitive native plant communities and sensitive wildlife species including California red-legged frog, southwestern pond turtle, and many species of sensitive raptors and bats.
 - Future development of large rural estates including unlimited amounts of agriculturally related structures such as horse stables and greenhouses will fragment foraging areas, interrupt wildlife movement, and degrade native vegetation.

SANTA BARBARA RANCH - DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT TRANSFER (File #15-00585)

- The future of Naples is exceptionally important to the public, given its unmatched scenic, ecological, cultural and recreational value.
- The Board should deny Standard Portfolios' request to consent to the Transfer Agreement.
 - Standard Portfolios' submittal does not provide sufficient evidence of its financial condition, history and reputation to demonstrate that the developer can fulfill the obligations of the Development Agreement.
 - Matt Osgood, a key member of the Standard Portfolios' team, orchestrated the 2008 approvals of the financially unfeasible project that went into foreclosure in 2010, leading to First Bank ownership of SBR. Mr. Osgood's reputation casts doubt on the ability of Standard Portfolios to fulfill the obligations of the Development Agreement.
 - Standard Portfolios has not demonstrated the ability to develop the Project consistent with the Development Agreement. Several changes in circumstances indicate that the approved Project

cannot be developed including the current drought and changes at Dos Pueblos Ranch (DPR) affecting the Agricultural Conservation Easement (ACE).

- The Project remains financially unfeasible as approved.
- The Board should terminate the Inland Development Agreement.
 - The Inland Development Agreement is not effective until the ACE is recorded, and accordingly may be terminated by the Board.
 - The Inland Development Agreement should be terminated because conditions have changed that affect the ability of the developer to perform the obligations under the Development Agreement.
 - The Naples property requires a sensitively designed Project that strikes an appropriate balance between open space preservation, public access, agricultural resource protection, and residential development. The 2008 approvals are fatally flawed and the developer must start again with a more appropriate proposal.

TAJIGUAS LANDFILL - RESOURCE RECOVERY PROJECT FUNDING (File # 15-00497)

- The County should not expand and intensify operations at the Tajiguas Landfill. The proposed new facilities will have severe adverse impacts to the Gaviota Coast.
- Responsible and timely closure of Tajiguas Landfill is imperative to safeguarding the coastal resources of the Gaviota Coast.
- The County is going further out on a limb in considering using public funds for what had been described as a privately-funded project. The need and basis for this material change in financing has not been publicly disclosed in advance of the hearing.
- From the outset, the RRP was promised as financially self-supporting and would be constructed and operated at no cost to the public. Public funds should not be risked for this ill-conceived and financially unsustainable project.

Thank you very much for considering my comments.

Mr. Greg Sweel