

Ramirez, Angelica

Public Comment - Group 2

From: Marc Tappeiner <marc@lifestyledesign.com>
Sent: Friday, May 13, 2022 7:06 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Save Naples, the Gaviota coast and open space...forever



Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

We have made great progress protecting one of our most amazing natural resources.

The Gaviota Coast and Naples are like Big Sur, or any other wild and historic costal zone. Similar to San Simeon or the Channel Islands.

Based on the process that will be reviewed on May 17th, the developer is in noncompliance and staff has overlooked the need for the developer to follow through with obligations relative to compliance.

Beyond the technicalities, I hope you will consider it your obligation to protect this land from deployment now and for future generations. It is far to valuable for any person or company to develop.

Thank you,
 Marc



lifestyledesign



Marc Tappeiner
 President and Principal Designer

Email: marc@lifestyledesign.com
 studio: 805 967 0700 | mobile: 805 886 3966

216 E. Cota Street,
 Santa Barbara, CA 93101
www.lifestyledesign.com

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Deane Plaister <dmp3mail@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 13, 2022 7:23 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Naples Inland Development Agreement - Item #4 May 17

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to ask that you support the appeal filed jointly by the Gaviota Coast Conservancy, the Environmental Defense Center, and Surfrider Foundation-Santa Barbara Chapter, in response to the decision by the Planning and Development Director to declare the Naples developer in compliance with the Naples Inland Development Agreement.

The developer was clearly tasked with a creek restoration project at any one of numerous sites, but has failed to do so, and if the appeal is denied, will receive substantial benefit not balanced by works enhancing environmental and public good.

In addition to upholding the appeal, the Board should continue the hearing to be held at the same time as the developer's own separate appeal.

It makes little sense to forge agreements with developers giving them substantial relief from building constraints in exchange for environmental restoration, and then just let them drift away when a claim is made that "We tried, but..." Make the deal stand. Support the appeal.

Thank you,

Deane Plaister

30 W. Valerio St. #7

Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Patricia T Reed <pattyreedplmdsrt@aol.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 14, 2022 10:21 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Protect the CA coastline FOR EVERYONE and Hold developers accountable

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

To Members of the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors:

I am writing to add my support to the Gaviota Coast Conservancy that works to protect the California coastline and make it available to ALL of us. I include, in my support, the Environmental Defense Center and the Surfrider Foundation.

I strongly urge the Board to again vote that the Santa Barbara Ranch Developer has NOT complied with the Inland Development Agreement. It is unconscionable that Staff has not prepared findings of non-compliance — an act that reflects on the legitimacy of their recommendation. The County has separately found the Developer in non-compliance for the 2021-2022 review period.

Please continue to uphold the current appeal and find the Developer in non-compliance with the IDA for 2020 - 2021, in line with the Board's vote and direction to Staff in December 2021.

Once Staff has fulfilled their obligation to prepare findings of non-compliance, the Board should continue this hearing and concurrently, hear the developer's appeal.

Sincerely,

Patricia T Reed
760-340-5341

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Ellen Linder <inbox@ellenlinder.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 14, 2022 3:51 PM
To: sbcob; Hart, Gregg
Subject: Santa Barbara Ranch - May 17th/Item 4 agenda topic

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

To Gregg Hart and the Santa Barbara County Supervisors,

I'm writing with regard to the topic on the May 17th agenda, Item 4, the Santa Barbara Ranch developer.

I support the Gaviota Coast Conservancy, Environmental Defense Center, and Surfrider Foundation's appeal regarding this developer. The Board should vote again to find that the Santa Barbara Ranch Developer has not complied with the Inland Development Agreement, and continue the hearing to the same time as the developer's appeal hearing.

I am a resident of Santa Barbara county. my name and address are

Ellen Linder
883 N Hope Ave
Santa Barbara 93110

Thank you for your consideration,
Ellen Linder

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Fran Davis <francespdavis@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 14, 2022 5:24 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: item 4

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

To the SB County Board of Supervisors:

We ask that you give your support to the appeal filed by the Gaviota Coast Conservancy, the Environmental Defense Center and Surfrider Foundation and that you continue the hearing to the date of the developer's appeal hearing. It seems clear that the developer has not fulfilled his commitment to restoration and that staff has been somewhat lagard with regard to its "findings."

Thank you,
Fran & Roger Davis
249 Moreton Bay Lane
Goleta, CA 93117

--
Fran

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Christiane Schlumberger <c.schlumberger@icloud.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 15, 2022 2:41 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Save Naples!

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear commissioners,

I am writing in support of the appeal by the Gaviota Coast Conservancy, Environmental Defense Center, and Surfrider Foundation. The Board should vote again to find that the Santa Barbara Ranch Developer has not complied with the Inland Development Agreement, and continue the hearing to the same time as the developer's appeal hearing.

Thank you for your consideration.

Christiane Schlumberger
Santa Barbara

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Hattie and Michael Beresford <hattieberesford@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 16, 2022 9:10 AM
To: sbcob
Cc: Doug Kern, Gaviota Coast Conservancy
Subject: Naples

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

Please vote again to find that the Santa Barbaa Ranch Developer has not complied with the Inland Development Agreement. The recommendation from County staff that it is is both erroneous and misguided.

Historic research shows that Naples townsite failed and the property was reabsorbed into the Dos Pueblos Rancho. There is no prior basis, therefore, for the right to development. Naples should remain agriculturally zoned and not become overblown ranchettes for the wealthy nor dense edifices to house the multitudes. Human beings need open space and nature.

We value our historic landscape, and do not want our buffer zone of beautiful rural land between increasingly congested communities that lie to the northwest and southeast to disappear into that mass of housing one sees in the Pismo Beach area and elsewhere. Keep it green, keep it open, keep it untented agriculture.

Regards,
Hattie Beresford
Local historian and author of "The Way It Was"

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Hattie and Michael Beresford <hattieberesford@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 16, 2022 9:10 AM
To: sbcob
Cc: Doug Kern, Gaviota Coast Conservancy
Subject: Naples

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

Please vote again to find that the Santa Barbaa Ranch Developer has not complied with the Inland Development Agreement. The recommendation from County staff that it is is both erroneous and misguided.

Historic research shows that Naples townsite failed and the property was reabsorbed into the Dos Pueblos Rancho. There is no prior basis, therefore, for the right to development. Naples should remain agriculturally zoned and not become overblown ranchettes for the wealthy nor dense edifices to house the multitudes. Human beings need open space and nature.

We value our historic landscape, and do not want our buffer zone of beautiful rural land between increasingly congested communities that lie to the northwest and southeast to disappear into that mass of housing one sees in the Pismo Beach area and elsewhere. Keep it green, keep it open, keep it untented agriculture.

Regards,
Hattie Beresford
Local historian and author of "The Way It Was"

Ramirez, Angelica

From: John Conroy Images <johnconroyimages@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 16, 2022 1:07 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Naples/Santa Barbara Ranch

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Council,

- I support Gaviota Coast Conservancy, Environmental Defense Center, and Surfrider Foundation's appeal to deny anything to the current applicant.

I hope and pray that this property will never be developed and should be returned to the Chumash.

John Conroy
PO Box 3902
Santa Barbara, CA 93130

805.895.7963

residence
112 Romaine Drive
Santa Barbara, CA 93105

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Greg Karpain <gregkarpain@comwrite.net>
Sent: Monday, May 16, 2022 2:21 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Public Comment in support of item #4: support FOR GCC, EDC and Surfrider appeal

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please accept my public comment that the Board of Supervisors should clearly stand behind its original vote and direction to Staff to bring findings that the developer is not in good faith in compliance with the Inland Development Agreement (IDA) and to proceed writing a letter noticing the developer's default, etc.

Not only did the developer completely fail (e.g. nothing was done), but the funds set aside for creek restoration as a condition of development rights, are gone.

In consideration of this blatant non-compliance, I strongly believe it is incumbent on the Board to enforce legal agreements such as the IDA (which was approved at the time by the then sitting Board). I ask the Board to continue to uphold the Appeal put forth by Gaviota Coast Conservancy, Environmental Defense Center, and Surfrider Foundation and its arguments and conclusions (e.g. find that the Developer is in non-compliance with the IDA for 2020-2021, and as supported in line with the Board's vote and direction to Staff in December 2021).

The Board should vote again to find that the Santa Barbara Ranch Developer has not complied with the Inland Development Agreement, and continue the hearing to the same time as the developer's appeal hearing.

I also want to comment that trust in the Board doing what it says it will and votes for is an important quality to engender to the public, and that upholding your previous vote is also an issue of trust for me. Although, I do not always agree with every decision the BOS makes, I have grown to trust that the BOS is to be trusted that it will do as it says and that it will uphold its own votes and regulations.

Having said that, I also greatly appreciate all the work and effort the Board puts into running this complex county, and you have my gratitude for your work. My father was at one time the City Manager of Avalon and at a young age, I grew to appreciate that it takes incredible patience and commitment to hear and weigh "every" opinion. You are all heroes in my book.

Greg Karpain

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Greg Karpain <gregkarpain@comwrite.net>
Sent: Monday, May 16, 2022 2:21 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Public Comment in support of item #4: support FOR GCC, EDC and Surfrider appeal

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please accept my public comment that the Board of Supervisors should clearly stand behind its original vote and direction to Staff to bring findings that the developer is not in good faith in compliance with the Inland Development Agreement (IDA) and to proceed writing a letter noticing the developer's default, etc.

Not only did the developer completely fail (e.g. nothing was done), but the funds set aside for creek restoration as a condition of development rights, are gone.

In consideration of this blatant non-compliance, I strongly believe it is incumbent on the Board to enforce legal agreements such as the IDA (which was approved at the time by the then sitting Board). I ask the Board to continue to uphold the Appeal put forth by Gaviota Coast Conservancy, Environmental Defense Center, and Surfrider Foundation and its arguments and conclusions (e.g. find that the Developer is in non-compliance with the IDA for 2020-2021, and as supported in line with the Board's vote and direction to Staff in December 2021).

The Board should vote again to find that the Santa Barbara Ranch Developer has not complied with the Inland Development Agreement, and continue the hearing to the same time as the developer's appeal hearing.

I also want to comment that trust in the Board doing what it says it will and votes for is an important quality to engender to the public, and that upholding your previous vote is also an issue of trust for me. Although, I do not always agree with every decision the BOS makes, I have grown to trust that the BOS is to be trusted that it will do as it says and that it will uphold its own votes and regulations.

Having said that, I also greatly appreciate all the work and effort the Board puts into running this complex county, and you have my gratitude for your work. My father was at one time the City Manager of Avalon and at a young age, I grew to appreciate that it takes incredible patience and commitment to hear and weigh "every" opinion. You are all heroes in my book.

Greg Karpain