

Ramirez, Angelica

Public Comment - Group 1

From: Hartmann, Joan
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 2:02 PM
To: Alexander, Jacquelyne
Subject: Fw: Joan, Cannabis in Orcutt, CA - Do Not Approve
Attachments: Letter Regarding Marijuana in Orcutt CA 05'09'20.pdf

Jacquelyne,

I am not sure if this was sent to all board members but can you please submit this for the record for the June 2 meeting?

Thank you.

Joan

From: D.J. Stornetta
Sent: Saturday, May 9, 2020 12:28 PM
To: Hartmann, Joan
Subject: Joan, Cannabis in Orcutt, CA - Do Not Approve

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

May 9, 2020
To: County of Santa Barbara
Regarding: Cannabis and Narcotics Sales in Orcutt, CA, 93455

To the County of Santa Barbara:

I am a resident of Orcutt, CA. Do not grant any certificates, approvals, plans, licenses to any business, person, entity, or other organization to sell, market, distribute, grow, process, package, or store cannabis or any product with any measurable THC (tetrahydrocannabinol) content in Orcutt, CA, 93455, or any nearby unincorporated areas of Santa Barbara County.

This includes the building at 405 E Clark Ave, Orcutt, CA 93455, Natural Healing Center, Helios "Bobby" Dayspring, any of their affiliates, associates, entities, or related partnerships, entities and other parties.

Enabling the sale, promotion, branding, and awareness of marijuana and related narcotics in Orcutt, CA will directly expedite all of the following:

- Increased crime
- Increase physical damage and loss of value to all private, public, residential, business properties, vehicles, and other physical assets
- Increased exposure, branding, awareness to children in directly adjacent and nearby residences and schools
- Increased unemployment
- Increased social decay

Allowing any cannabis and narcotics in Orcutt will have a severe negative impact on the community. I ask that you show strength of character and not approve any license, plans, process, or any other component that enables, directly or indirectly, cannabis in Orcutt.

Santa Barbara County is to protect its citizens and create a healthy and vibrant community, not to directly contribute to its decay and failure.

Stand up, stand strong, do the right thing for the children of Orcutt, and don't expose them to the branding, use, and consumption of cannabis products and narcotics in Orcutt, CA.

Letter attached.

Signed,

D.J. Stornetta

Mobile 805-441-1041

Email djsnewproject@gmail.com

D.J. Stornetta

1562 Solomon View Road
Santa Maria, CA 9345
djsnewproject@gmail.com

May 9, 2020

To: County of Santa Barbara

Regarding: Cannabis and Narcotics Sales in Orcutt, CA, 93455

To the County of Santa Barbara:

I am a resident of Orcutt, CA. Do not grant any certificates, approvals, plans, licenses to any business, person, entity, or other organization to sell, market, distribute, grow, process, package, or store cannabis or any product with any measurable THC (tetrahydrocannabinol) content in Orcutt, CA, 93455, or any nearby unincorporated areas of Santa Barbara County.

This includes the building at 405 E Clark Ave, Orcutt, CA 93455, Natural Healing Center, Helios "Bobby" Dayspring, any of their affiliates, associates, entities, or related partnerships, entities and other parties.

Enabling the sale, promotion, branding, and awareness of marijuana and related narcotics in Orcutt, CA will directly expedite all of the following:

- Increased crime
- Increase physical damage and loss of value to all private, public, residential, business properties, vehicles, and other physical assets
- Increased exposure, branding, awareness to children in directly adjacent and nearby residences and schools
- Increased unemployment
- Increased social decay

Allowing any cannabis and narcotics in Orcutt will have a severe negative impact on the community. I ask that you show strength of character and not approve any license, plans, process, or any other component that enables, directly or indirectly, cannabis in Orcutt.

Santa Barbara County is to protect its citizens and create a healthy and vibrant community, not to directly contribute to its decay and failure.

Stand up, stand strong, do the right thing for the children of Orcutt, and don't expose them to the branding, use, and consumption of cannabis products and narcotics in Orcutt, CA.

Signed,

D.J. Stornetta

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Adam Peck <theadampeck@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2020 12:25 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: CUP for Cannabis / PLEASE READ THIS INTO THE RECORD

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

PLEASE READ THIS INTO THE RECORD:

I am a homeowner at 3236 Beach Club Road in Carpinteria, CA 93013 and want you all to know how upset and concerned I am about the cannabis growers in Carpinteria. The odor and fumes not only make me and my wife nauseous but they are creating problems for my lifelong asthma which is severe and the whole reason we moved to be by the sea here.

Thank you.

Adam Peck

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Jim Mannoia <jim.mannoia@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2020 2:00 PM
To: Hart, Gregg; Hartmann, Joan; Williams, Das; Adam, Peter; Lavagnino, Steve; sbcob
Subject: Board of Supervisors Meeting June 2 - C.U.P.s - PLEASE READ INTO THE RECORD

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to strongly urge you at your upcoming meeting in early June to accept the recommendations from your own Planning Commission to change the Zoning and Land Use rules so that ALL cannabis grows in the county require conditional-use permits (C.U.P.s). I know you understand the advantages this would give you AND us. I don't need to repeat them. But with this industry so new and the effects and the technology changing so rapidly, requiring C.U.P.s would give you the ability to help us your constituents more effectively. It would allow you on a case-by-case basis to control the terrible odor we continue to experience at periodic times especially in the evening and in the mornings. It would also allow you to control the unsightly parking which is accumulating along many of our formerly beautiful scenic rural roads. And it would allow you to reduce the ugly chain fences and tacky barriers now hiding what has always been beautiful country views.

Please PLEASE embrace this recommendation and help us.

Sincerely!

Jim Mannoia

3375 Foothill Road #911

Carpinteria, CA

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Patti Ruben <pattiruben@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2020 8:54 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: CUP for Cannabis

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

To the Board of Supervisors: PLEASE READ THIS INTO THE RECORD. CUP's are required throughout county businesses. Regarding cannabis cultivation; the odor, unknown particles, air quality and unbreathable journeys from place to place is unbearable. For over 2 years driving at Casitas Pass and Foothill is a choking nightmare. Way before COVID-19 I carried a mask in my car and practiced social distancing routes! I have to believe COVID-19 will find its cured with a vaccine, but what happens with Final Permits? As I understand it, they RUN WITH THE LAND? If true, in terms of "forever"why would the Board choose anything other than these common practices and requirements? It's a mystery.....What would the Board gain by not? Frankly, it's an embarrassment that a constituent needs to even defend this.

We purchased in Carpinteria 3 years ago, both my husband and I have never had respiratory problems til now. Never! Find out what's happening before it's too late, or tell us why you would decide not to?

Patti Ruben

Ramirez, Angelica

From: gnekow1@aol.com
Sent: Sunday, May 17, 2020 3:37 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: "PLEASE READ THIS INTO THE RECORD"

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

For the June 2nd meeting regarding sensible cannabis cultivation, please note the following urgent concerns:

1. Highway 246 is the major highway in the Santa Ynez valley. In Colorado, since cannabis legalization, traffic fatalities have tripled, due to impaired drivers. Concentrating cannabis farms on this major highway, with the increase in impaired drivers it will bring, will be a clear danger to my family and neighbors whose homes front the highway.
2. Since legalization, Colorado has had a quadrupling of emergency room visits for 'cannabis induced psychosis', which includes paranoid schizophrenia, which can be irreversible. Dear friends, godparent to our granddaughter, experienced a murder-suicide involving paranoid schizophrenia from cannabis use, and our family has yet to recover. A local friend has had a similar experience in his family, and our daughter has encountered multiple cases of it in San Diego, where she is a police officer. This danger is tragic and real, and should not be encouraged to be concentrated in our valley when we have other options now available
3. Cannabis cultivation in Carpinteria has resulted in lawsuits against established agriculture, plummeting home values and chronic debility due to the odor. Because of the turpine creep, cannabis can destroy established wineries in our valley and degrade or ruin a billion dollar wine industry that has taken decades to establish. Cannabis does not seem to be compatible with existing agriculture as required by state agricultural standards when a new crop is introduced.

Thank you,

Pamela and William Gnekow, MD

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Len Fleckenstein <lenfleck@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2020 12:05 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: BOS Hearing June 2 re Cannabis

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

As a Santa Barbara County resident I am asking the County Board of Supervisors to approve the Planning Commission's recommended zoning ordinance amendments regarding cannabis growing. These amendments would require a conditional use permits for cannabis cultivation & on-site processing as well as odor abatement.

As a Buellton resident I care greatly about the changes taking place in this part of the County. Our agricultural neighbors are being adversely affected by the extensive expansion of cannabis cultivation. The entire viticulture sector is being adversely affected by the less desirable cannabis sector, which is bringing a range of undesirable impacts to the Santa Ynez Valley, including odor, unsightly hoops, plastic pollution, and the risks of increased crime and more unsafe drivers on the roads. Cannabis cultivation applications require more scrutiny and more CUPs.

Sincerely,

Leonard Fleckenstein
430 Valley Dairy Rd.
Buellton, CA 93427

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Theresa Reilly <tree101@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2020 3:14 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Re: Cannabis Land Use Ordinances Recommendations

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear County Supervisors,

As a resident of Buellton, I am very concerned about the proliferation of cannabis cultivation in the corridor between Buellton and Lompoc. While I support measured, well-planned cultivation in general, I believe there are too many acres being concentrated along Hwy 246 in an area close to schools, residences, senior living communities, parks and pre-existing agricultural industries such as vineyards, equestrian centers and other small farms. Odor is a major concern as there are already days that the skunk smell of cannabis is reaching my home and the River View Park. Carpinteria is so horrendous that I am affected by the odor even driving on 101 with my windows and vents closed. Increased traffic, safety and security issues, lighting, and aesthetic degradation of the viewscape are all factors to consider.

I urge you to approve the County Planning Commission's recommendations amending the Cannabis Land Used Ordinances to:

- 1) Require Conditional Use Permits for cannabis cultivation and on-site processing activities on agriculturally designated lands.
- 2) Require Odor Abatement Plans for all cannabis cultivation and processing.

With regard to Odor Abatement, methods which add other odors that may be noxious to sensitive individuals are not good solutions!

Thank you for your diligence in monitoring this burgeoning industry with a balanced approach taking our local communities into consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

Theresa Reilly

(Note: Comments - without my address - may be read into the record.)

Sent from Outlook

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Jan Davidson <winemath@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2020 4:21 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: JUNE 2, BOS CANNABIS HEARING

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Please vote YES to

- 1) Require Conditional Use Permits (CUPs) for all applications and
- 2) Require Odor Abatement Plans for all cultivation and processing.

These applicants should have to prove they were already producing medicinal cannabis per county requirements, not simply be permitted to lie on their applications.

I am a 30 year property owner living in paradise on rural Ag II land in the Sta. Rita Hills appellation west of Buellton.

Last year I was stunned to find myself enveloped by the overwhelming odor of Cannabis while driving down Highway 246 with my windows rolled up! The sickening stench was from a single cannabis grow that I couldn't even see, but later learned was above the Pence property.

I am deeply concerned about the Negative consequences to our air quality and our right to enjoy living in this once pristine environment, if hundreds of acres of cannabis are allowed to concentrate in the proposed "cannabis corridor" west of Buellton. Are we going to have to wear face masks forever?

This is nationally unprecedented, irresponsible and unacceptable! Santa Barbara County already produces more cannabis than can be consumed within our state. So who are we really supplying? Think about it.

Please support the residents you were elected to represent. Please don't turn our rural paradise into a County overrun by Industrial Cannabis production, with the enormous negative consequences to productive residents, vineyard owners and farmers already here for generations beforehand.

Thank you,

Jan Davidson
Santos Road

--
Cheers!



Jan Davidson
805-736-3354
Jan@LaughLinesCo.com
Laugh Lines
85 West Highway 246
Buellton, CA 93427

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Colin Miller <colin@bluepacificbio.com>
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2020 4:23 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: YES on Cannabis Land Use Ordinances

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Sirs,

Please vote Yes on the proposed ordinances:

1. To Require Conditional Use Permits for ALL Applications
2. To Require Odor Abatement Plans for ALL Cultivation and Processing.

It is imperative that both the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors have the ability to apply more conditions to proposed projects and reject applications as they see fit.

Thank you for your consideration,

Colin Miller
805-965-8180 (o)
562-818-8234 (c)

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Susan Bott <susanbott@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2020 1:23 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Cannabis Recommendations

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I am asking that you approve the County Planning Commission's recommendations amending the Cannabis Land Use Ordinances at your June 2nd hearing.

Please require Conditional Use Permits (CUPs) for cannabis cultivation and on-site processing activities on agricultural designated lands. Also please require odor abatement plans for all cannabis cultivation and processing. The effect of cannabis in the Carpinteria Valley has been echoed in the Santa Ynez Valley as well.

While I believe that cannabis can co-exist with vineyards and other agriculture in the Santa Ynez Valley, it won't be an easy task. County regulation will be an integral part of the continuing "health" of the Santa Ynez Valley.

Thank you for your concern,

Susan Bott

160 Willow Drive

Solvang, CA 93463

805-708-6337

Ramirez, Angelica

From: merrily peebles <merpeebles@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2020 6:03 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: CUP for Cannabis

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am pleased the Planning Commission recommends amending our Zoning and Land Use code to require a Conditional Use Permit for Cannabis growers. Where I live I cannot enter or exit our neighborhood without smelling cannabis. Some days I smell it at my home depending on the wind. Unbelievably there are now three homes for sale in La Mirada, that is about 10% of the homes here. One has been for sale for almost two years with price drops. Another one went on the market last summer. The realtors say cannabis is to blame.

The odor impacts my family's daily life. The warmer the weather the worse the smell. Is it fair to put up with this for 3 years? Please take this opportunity to do the right thing and require CUPS for Cannabis growers. **PLEASE READ THIS INTO THE RECORD.**

Sincerely,

Merrily Peebles

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Judy Dean <judycathryndean@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2020 6:57 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Please read for the record on June 2.at BOS meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

We support the proposed requirement for Conditional Use Permits for cannabis projects in Santa Barbara County. Without them, the Planning Commission and neighbors are limited in their ability to consider and implement appropriate compatibility with surrounding properties and residents.

Santa Barbara has too many cannabis projects in the pipeline for approval, far more than counties of similar size and population. We endanger existing neighborhoods, farms, vineyards, and businesses without the careful consideration of these projects that a CUP process can provide.

Just imagine that whiskey was produced *directly*, ready to consume from a plant. Would we encourage or even allow its cultivation near schools, neighborhoods, and existing businesses? We absolutely would not. It is a product reserved for people over 21, it is both taxed and regulated, and we would consider it reckless to allow its cultivation in our County without thorough scrutiny and oversight. Yet this is what is happening with cannabis. Through the most lenient regulations in the State of California our County is subjecting residents to a dangerous and reckless disregard for good planning policy. The resulting outcry over odors, business disruption, crime, and neighborhood incompatibility can be heard all the way to Poughkeepsie. **Hopefully you can hear the outcry right here, in this "hearing" room.**

Please correct this dereliction of your duty to protect the citizens of this County. Require CUP's for all cannabis projects. Stop catering to the industry "experts", paid consultants, and those looking for profits above people.

Sincerely,
Judy Dean MD

Ramirez, Angelica

From: gowingcnyranch@aol.com
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2020 8:35 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Stop Cannabis growing in Cebada Canyon
Attachments: Supervisor Letter PDF.pdf

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Sirs/Madams,

I am writing this letter in hopes that you will do something to help our quaint community of Cebada Canyon in Lompoc, that my family and I have lived in for over 25 years. I live at 2955 Wild Oak Road in Lompoc. In the past 24 months, our community has been over run by Cannabis growers.

This use to be a quiet community with folks living here for the peace and tranquility of country living. That has all changed. Now we have a never-ending stream of cars and large semi trucks coming in and out on our entrance and utilizing our very narrow and small roads . Our streets are not designed for this type of traffic and there have been many close calls with accidents .

This community has been turned into a business district which is not what all of the residents that live here signed up for. Not to mention the stink that is now coming off the properties that are growing the Cannabis. Cebada Canyon is a residential area with large lots. It's not designed, nor fitted, to be running commercial pot grows. There are plenty of areas in Santa Barbara County that this type of business can be run without upsetting the residents that live there. This cannot be tolerated and we are desperately seeking your help. As our elected officials we depend on you to represent and look out for the best interests of the community. The impact that these commercial cannabis operations have had on our community is not in our best interest and is an invasion on our homes.

I believe that the board has recognized this by deeming this area an EDRN zone. But more work is required and we need your help now more than ever. I am asking you to please not permit these grows in our canyon and you help protect the peace and tranquility of our homes. I appreciate your help with this matter.

Regards
Mark Gowing

Ramirez, Angelica

From: County Executive Office
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2020 11:42 AM
To: sbcob
Cc: Anderson, Nancy
Subject: FW: June 2 Cannabis Hearing. Please Read into the Public Record

From: Larry Nimmer
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2020 10:15 AM
To: County Executive Office ; Williams, Das ; Hart, Gregg ; Hartmann, Joan ; Adam, Peter ; Lavagnino, Steve
Cc: Tara O'Reilly
Subject: June 2 Cannabis Hearing. Please Read into the Public Record

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

My wife and I believe that cannabis farming in Carpinteria fits with our ag community and deserves county support to make it work, within the rules, into the future. With the Pandemic and the new reality, cannabis farming has become even more important. We need the tax dollars, we need thriving businesses in Carpinteria, we need employers who pay a good wage, we need non-polluting businesses and many people need the benefits of cannabis.

The flower industry is in danger of losing its market. We need cannabis farming more than ever in this area. And, with proper odor control systems, it's a good fit.

Sincerely yours,
Larry Nimmer
Tara O'Reilly

Larry Nimmer
Nimmer Pictures, Inc.,
1040 A Linden Ave.
Carpinteria, CA 93013
Tel 805 708 4753
larry@nimmer.net
www.Nimmer.net
www.NimmerArt.net

Ramirez, Angelica

From: P.J. Kundar <patriciajk@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2020 12:23 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: CUP for Cannabis

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

PLEASE READ THIS INTO THE RECORD

To: The Board of Supervisors
Subject: CUP for Cannabis

Once again we appeal to the Board of Supervisors. It is so important to us and the Santa Barbara community that the Board act responsibly and consider the recommendation of the Planning Commission to amend our zoning and land use code and mandate a Conditional Use Permit for cannabis growers.

Controls and regulations need to be put in place for any business or industry in order for that business to operate and conduct it's activity legally and responsibly. With Conditional Use Permits in place for cannabis growers, the Santa Barbara residents and the community as a whole can feel more confident that our health will not be compromised.

Growers and manufacturers need to be a safe distance from schools and parks. There need to be regulations limiting the number of cannabis businesses permitted. There are environmental concerns. The odor that comes with cannabis cultivation would have controls through technology and our quality of life and property values will not be in jeopardy. Regulations around the use of storage of fertilizers, herbicides and pesticides need to be in place. These things can all be addressed by a Conditional Use Permit.

We hope that sensible regulations be achieved by the Board and keep the Santa Barbara community safe and thriving.

Sheryl and Barry K. Schwartz

Ramirez, Angelica

From: becca marcus <1beccamarcus@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2020 1:29 PM
To: sbcob
Cc: Kay Walters; Paul
Subject: CUP for Cannabis

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

PLEASE READ THIS INTO THE RECORD

Almost a half century ago, in 1972, the Nimmer family in Southern California realized a family dream by constructing a house right on the beach at 3475 Padaro Lane. It truly was a wonderful dream come true as grandparents, parents, grandchildren and now even great grandchildren have been able to enjoy the wonderful beach, join in the growing community, and witness the development of the charming town of Carpinteria.

This dream, however, was hit hard over a year ago. Prior to that time, one of the joys of being in Carpinteria—especially by the water—was to have clean crisp air to breath at all hours of the day. A year ago we began to smell quite toxic fumes during much of the day, particularly in the morning and evening. This noxious odor was coming from the farms and processing units in the business of cultivating cannabis. It is unpleasant and truly offensive for us, and our house is right on the beach. Inland, of course, in the more densely populated region, the odor would be much stronger.

We ask that you do your very best to limit and contain the activities which cause this unpleasant situation; we ask that you work to eliminate the distress to the community and ourselves. We have always enjoyed the healthy air in Carpinteria and hope that you take action to ensure we have clean and pure air without this obnoxious odor in the future.

Thank you very much,
Becca Nimmer Marcus
Paul Marcus

Ramirez, Angelica

From: penny2ca@aol.com
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2020 4:16 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Amendments to cannabis ordinance

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Clerk of the Board
Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors

I am writing in support of the two changes to the cannabis ordinance, particularly the one requiring a CUP for all agriculturally zoned land in the County. The reason I favor this approach is because it seems to me that one size does not fit all circumstances so that each land use approval should be considered separately. Although it might prove more time-consuming and expensive for the land owner, in the end it will be more efficient, as there will be fewer appeals.

The odor abatement issue will be hard to solve, but surrounding landowners and businesses, need to know that this is being effectively addressed.

I look forward to watching the hearing, and am hopeful for a win-win conclusion.

Penny Knowles
1446 Calzada Ave.
Santa Ynez, CA 93460

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Neal Olinger <neal.olinger@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2020 6:50 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Board of Supervisors Meeting- June 2nd

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

I understand there will be a discussion on two recommendations made by the County Planning Commission during this meeting. I want to communicate my support that all cannabis operations require a Conditional Use Permit and must provide for odor abatement for all cultivation and processing.

I live on Mail Road just West of Buellton and can attest to the concentration of cannabis operations in the immediate area. The quality of life in this scenic area has already been marred by the stench of the cultivation and processing, much of which occurred before permits were issued. I can't begin to imagine the impact such an offensive odor will have on the tourist activities in Solvang, Santa Ynez and Santa Barbara.

Many residents don't seem to understand the impact of these operations until they wake up to the foul smell every day. These are not grocery vegetable farms many are used to. These proposed operations are for producing narcotics. They belong in industrial areas and should never have been identified as agriculture. There is no benefit to those living in the area they are proposing to locate. These operations do not offer anything of value to their neighbors but guaranty they will destroy your property value.

Then the question of their output comes under scrutiny. For instance, will their production be monitored? What will happen to excess production? Will the local population be taxed to provide oversight of their operations?

It's strange how an individual moves to California from Louisiana to strike it rich in cannabis at the expense of those that chose this area to live in because of it's beauty, not profit at a still federally illegal activity. How many of the "local" operations are anything but locally operated.

In closing I urge that the recommendations of the County Planning Commission be adopted regarding required CUP's and EFFECTIVE odor abatement.

Respectfully,
Neal Olinger, Property Owner
871 Mail Road
Lompoc, CA 93436

Ramirez, Angelica

From: susan belloni <susanbelloni@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 22, 2020 8:26 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Cannabis Ordinance June 2, 2020

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

>>> Dear Chair Hart and Board of Supervisors,

>>>

>>> The original permit application for the Cannabis Ordinance seemed to be an attempt to streamline the process. I have always thought streamlining processes for an industry brand new to the County was going to be difficult and full of unintended consequences. Here is a description of the original permit process from a Santa Ynez Valley resident's perspective:

>>>

>>> One day, a neighbor is surprised to get a notice in the mail of a cannabis cultivation application, the only notice. They have to call the County to become an "aggrieved party" within the unknown amount of time before the permit is approved if they want any input as a neighbor. They have to figure out how to determine the exact day when a permit IS approved. Then, they have 10 days from approval to make any sort of appeal. There is no public hearing.

>>>

>>> Here are reasons I think a CUP is a better standard for permitting cannabis than the original one above and why I urge you to adopt it today:

>>>

>>> • It's essential to give the neighbors and public the right to a public hearing for something that's never been done before in the Santa Ynez Valley or the County.

>>> • The Santa Ynez Community Plan has a goal to protect the rural, scenic character of the Santa Ynez Valley. We have a Design Overlay that gets forgotten but needs to be adhered to in order to protect our rural, visual resources.

>>> • Each parcel has different considerations as to compatibility with vineyards, ranch residences, food crops or sensitive populations nearby.

>>> • I believe these permits run with the land and there will be a limit to total acreage allowed for cannabis so it's very important to get the permitting right. A CUP will have a better chance of getting it right.

>>

>>> I also urge you to require odor abatement for all cannabis cultivation and processing due to the fact that schools can be affected like they are in Carpinteria and sensitive children can live on a ranch and not just be in daycare situations. Lastly, the elderly in retirement communities still don't seem to be considered yet and they may exist near to a cultivation or processing area, too.

>>>

>>> Lastly, I want to thank you for using your right to change the regulations in the Cannabis Ordinance at any time and for your concern, in the Amendment to the Right to Farm Ordinance, for young children plus sensitive populations and the potential for crime.

>>> The Amendment to the Right to Farm Ordinance says:

>>> "Given the status of cannabis as a highly regulated controlled

>>> substance, which as of the date of the ordinance amendment adding

>>> this subsection is illegal under Federal law, cannabis cultivation

>>> involves potential adverse effects that differ from the cultivation

>>> of other types of crops (e.g., criminal activity, and impacts on

>>> children and sensitive populations)State and County cannabis
>>> regulations include a number of development standards and permitting
>>> requirements to avoid or mitigate these adverse effects, which are
>>> not required for the cultivation of other types of crops on
>>> agricultural lands. Therefore, cannabis cultivation is excluded from
>>> the protections of this ordinance.“

>>>

>>> Sincerely,
>>> Susan Belloni
>>> Solvang, CA

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Patricia Saragosa <patricia.saragosa@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 22, 2020 9:37 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: ConditionalUse Permit.

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

My wife and I are in favor of conditional use permits for the Marijuana growers and no should be Grandfathered in. If you don't meet the county requirements you don't get a permit, I don't care how much they donated to your campaign fund.

Pat and Francis Saragosa. Native Carpinterians

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Evan Turpin <epturpin@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 22, 2020 11:53 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: CUP for Cannabis

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Please read this into the Record

I am a resident, home owner in Carpinteria on Foothill Road, near Cravens Lane with a small avocado orchard. I am requesting that the County of SB require CUP's of the cannabis in their permitting process, as is required of so many businesses in SB.

I have been affected by the constant odors coming from the greenhouses across the street from me, and increased traffic and parking issues in my neighborhood.

Carpinteria is an agricultural town, and avocado growers have been impacted by the cannabis industry. Carpinteria is also a tourist destination and that industry has been impacted as well, due to the overbearing odors from the open vented greenhouses.

Please stand with the people that live here---require the cannabis operators to go through the same permitting processes as our other businesses and residents.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Evan Turpin

Ramirez, Angelica

From: robyn geddes <robyn_geddes@hotmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 23, 2020 3:08 PM
To: Miyasato, Mona; Melekian, Barney; Plowman, Lisa; Mason, Steve; Klemann, Daniel; sbcob
Subject: C.U.P.s Cannabis Cultivation

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

With all the problems now associated with cannabis, hopefully the Board of Supervisors will agree to C.U.P.s. This status would give the Board flexibility in making decisions and implementing solutions.

In reference to what is now happening in the Buelton and Lompoc area. Although cannabis tax revenues boast numbers, the wine industry probably employs more people. The wine industry is a different set of demographics and encompasses growers, tourism, fine dining and media services. Losing the wine industry in Santa Barbara County will have a far-reaching negative impact.

Sincerely,

Robyn Geddes
3375 Foothill Rd.
Carpinteria

Ramirez, Angelica

From: A.L. Bardach <albardach@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 23, 2020 4:41 PM
To: Villalobos, David
Cc: Miyasato, Mona; Melekian, Barney; Plowman, Lisa; Mason, Steve; Klemann, Daniel; sbcob; SB Coalition for Responsible Cannabis; Curtis Robert; Chytilo Marc; Wiley Steve
Subject: Re: C.U.P.s for Cannabis Cultivation - Herbal Angels

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

It seems we have to agree if we are a county of laws, legal processes, statutes and norms. And do those legal norms apply to all - *except the cannabis industry?*

Because it appears to many that is the way the BOS has applied County law. Let's state, for the record, what we all know. If any resident in Santa Barbara wants to modify their bathroom, they will likely face a 6 month process of jumping over an array of hurdles. But if you announce you want a 100 acres of cannabis, it's open season. Anything goes, anywhere in the County whether it trashes the wine and/or avocado industries or quality of life for SB residents, who continue to live with horrific smells and odors from growing industrial level of marijuana in a residential community of approx 500,000.

So far, the BOS has more than once dismissed Planning Commission rulings and recommendations. And CUPS would seem to be the minimum protection to be required of any pot grower. Although the Board's posted cannabis tax revenues cite increases, you have omitted the crucial. data: a cost-benefit analysis- and fail to note the many privileges given Cannabis growers during the COVID shutdown as "an essential industry." E.g the wine industry employs more people and is a huge boost to tourism. Drugs - and marijuana is a drug- simply don't have the same appeal and are a negative for tourism. Let's be clear: losing the wine industry and damaging tourism because folks don't want to smell skunk at the beach will have a far-reaching negative impact on County revenues.

Herbal Angels, LLC claim of "legal, non-conforming" status falls apart with any scrutiny - as neither Herbal Angels nor Avo Vista Farms had any connection to the property in January 2016, so could not possibly qualify for that status. The property was sold in May, 2017.

So how can this be justified?

Again, are we all playing by the same rules or we do now have the SB Supervisors' Pot Exception?

Then consider that ownership and legal status of Herbal Angels, LLC is unknown. Its corporation name is not listed with the California Secretary of State or with any surrounding state (e.g., the State of Nevada, where Avo Vista Farms, LLC is registered).

The Santa Barbara County Sheriff's Cannabis Compliance Team raided the property in December 2019 and seized \$1 million in alleged contraband. Are you seriously considering rewarding the scofflaws?

How about the recent explosion in LA that seriously wounded 11 fireman growing the same product in the same manner as Herbal Angels, we're told, and the of course the Brand grow, also busted. And Herbal Angels is a an especially tricky high fire area.

So we have two questions:

Can we all get the Pot Exception now?

How soon should we expect the cannabis extraction oil fires?

Sincerely,

A.L. Bardach

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Robert Lesser <bobbyless@aol.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 23, 2020 8:08 PM
To: Villalobos, David
Cc: Miyasato, Mona; Melekian, Barney; Plowman, Lisa; Mason, Steve; Klemann, Daniel; sbcob
Subject: Re: C.U.P.s for Cannabis Cultivation & NO to Herbal Angels

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

With all the unhappiness and problems now associated with cannabis in SB, the Board of Supervisors must impose C.U.P.s on all cannabis grows.

Moreover, the Herbal Angels operation should be shut down - period for all its past and ongoing violations - and would be, in any other County but that of SB.

Sincerely,
Robert Lesser

Carpinteria, Ca

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Joann Chase <scarlettmom@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 24, 2020 8:28 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Cannabis regulations

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Please impose structures on everyone growing pot to keep down the odors we voters have been subjected to in Carpinteria. You have helped them destroy air quality.

Jo Ann and Howard chase
Carpinteria

Sent from my iPhone

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Dan Emmett <demmett@douglasemmett.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 24, 2020 9:15 AM
To: Hartmann, Joan; Das Williams; sbcob
Cc: Benjamin D. Williams IV; Charlotte Brownlee; tim@igsb.com
Subject: Cannabis Cultivation

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

As a local resident of south County I strongly urge that the County very diligently review any request for cultivation of cannabis in our area, and require a CUP for cannabis cultivation or any related business.

I say this as a resident, an avocado grower, a trustee of Cate School and grandfather of two current Cate students and four Cate graduates.

The effects on odor and air quality, on nearby schools, on nearby orchards and on the nature and reputation of our community are dramatic. These effects are well described by Concerned Carpenterians in materials they have provided to you.

Please do the right thing for the sake of your constituents and our community.

Thank you!

Dan Emmett

Sent from my iPhone

--

*Important Notice: * This message is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed. The information provided is confidential.

Accordingly, any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of this information by, or disclosure of it to, anyone other than the intended recipient could have significant adverse effects and is prohibited. This message does not constitute an offer to purchase or sell any securities or other interests.

Ramirez, Angelica

From: TURNER's <mt0923@aol.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 24, 2020 3:32 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Conditional Use Permits(CUP) for Commercial Cannabis Cultivation

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support the requirement of CUP's for all commercial cannabis cultivation. This will ensure that the grows are compatible with surrounding land uses, will be reviewed by the Planning Commission via public hearings, and will provide the county the necessary authority to customize cannabis project size, concentration and reduce environmental impacts.

With each new revenue stream you introduce into a county's existing infrastructure, the goal should always be to integrate the new venture with careful thought and planning to avoid negatively impacting your existing sources of revenue.

Land Use permits have unintentionally allowed cannabis cultivation to overtake, rather than integrate into the existing community and as a result has negatively impacted most of the county's existing revenue streams.

The move to CUP's will help to restore a much needed balance.

Sincerely,

Mike and Lynn Turner
6965 Shepard Mesa Rd
Carpinteria, Ca 93013

Ramirez, Angelica

From: MaryPat OCONNOR <mpo93014@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 24, 2020 6:43 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Please read into the record

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Please support amending the Cannabis Ordinance to require Conditional Use Permits for all cannabis cultivation projects.

**MaryPat OConnor
Carpinteria**

Sent from my iPad

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Scott Van Der Kar <pinehillranch@cox.net>
Sent: Sunday, May 24, 2020 8:22 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: In Favor of Conditional Use Permit for Cannabis

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

The State of California regulations intended to control the growing of cannabis clearly show that it is a unique crop and different from conventional agricultural crops. The negative impacts to communities and neighboring agricultural crops support the need for a Conditional Use Permit.

Thank you,
Scott Van Der Kar
Carpinteria

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Susan Ashbrook <sjashbrook@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2020 7:52 AM
To: sbcob; sbcob
Subject: Conditional Use Permit Required for Commercial Cannabis
Attachments: CUP requirement for cannabis grows.docx

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

To: The Board of Supervisors,

As a resident of the County of Santa Barbara for over 20 years we have witnessed illegal, unpermitted, untaxed commercial cannabis grows. The CUP process allows neighbors, residents and the planning commission to make sure cannabis growers adhere to responsible cannabis conditions. A “check and balance” system with rigorous regulations is necessary as the Land Use Permit process is not transparent.

- A Conditional Use Permit considers the surrounding neighborhood to include odor control, use of pesticide, compatibility and the impact on the environment to the area.
- A Conditional Use Permit allows the public to review the plans and holds public hearings to let neighbors express their opinions.
- A Conditional Use Permit considers the project size. In our rural neighborhood of horse properties and barns, one cannabis project is asking for a 747 size, 2 story processing factory and a WalMart style parking lot.
- A Conditional Use Permit should require truthful applications. Perjury in a Government affidavit should be considered grounds for denial of the CUP.

Please change the Cannabis Ordinance to require a Conditional Use Permit for all commercial cannabis projects.

Susan Ashbrook + Derek McLeish

To: The Board of Supervisors: Sbcob@countyofsb.org

Subject: Conditional Use Permit (CUP) Required for Commercial Cannabis

As a resident of the County of Santa Barbara for over 20 years we have witnessed illegal, unpermitted, untaxed commercial cannabis grows. The CUP process allows neighbors, residents and the planning commission to make sure cannabis growers adhere to responsible cannabis conditions. A “check and balance” system with rigorous regulations is necessary as the Land Use Permit process is not transparent.

- A Conditional Use Permit considers the surrounding neighborhood to include odor control, use of pesticide, compatibility and the impact on the environment to the area.
- A Conditional Use Permit allows the public to review the plans and holds public hearings to let neighbors express their opinions.
- A Conditional Use Permit considers the project size. In our rural neighborhood of horse properties and barns, one cannabis project is asking for a 747 size, 2 story processing factory and a WalMart style parking lot.
- A Conditional Use Permit should require truthful applications. Perjury in a Government affidavit should be considered grounds for denial of the CUP.

Please change the Cannabis Ordinance to require a Conditional Use Permit for all commercial cannabis projects.

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Andrew Bailard <abailard@cox.net>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2020 9:37 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Conditional use permit or cannabis

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

I am opposed to any more cannabis growers in Carpinteria. Since the county and the community are aware of illegal operations that (thankfully) have been shut down, we need your help and continued supervision to keep this under control.

The Bailard Family has been in Carpinteria since 1867. Three generations later we are still involved with farming - Avocados now. We plan to leave our property to our children who have a great interest in continuing the family legacy. Honor the growers who value the land, past history and deserve your support in controlling the growth of cannabis.

We do not want our lovely County to be known as Pot Central of California!

Carol W. Bailard
6618 Arozena Lane
Carpinteria, Ca 93013

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Alison Werts <alison@wertsfilms.com>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2020 11:52 AM
To: sbcob; Hart, Gregg; Williams, Das; Hartmann, Joan; peter.adam@sountyofsb.org; Lavagnino, Steve
Subject: CUP/Please Read into the Record

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Cannabis has negatively impacted our precious and unique town of Carpinteria since I moved out four years ago (after living for 30 years in the foothills on Cravens Land aka "Cannabis Alley"). This is due to the unregulated and out-of-control business of cannabis growing. I lucked out selling when I did because I know that real estate values have gone down. Why would that happen? A couple of main reasons are:

- The smell is the most obvious. It is a skunk smell that is unsettling and also affects many people's health and well-being.
- The impact on school-age children of the smell of and being surrounded by cannabis along with the impact on them by the divisiveness and disfunction that has come to our once peaceful and bucolic town.

I voted to legalize cannabis, so my above concerns have nothing to do with growing it. My concerns have to do with how the cannabis industry is steam rolling their way through our community without any thought, regard, nor consideration for the community as a whole.

The word greed comes to mind.

I know there is a way our sweet, dear town can live in harmony with the cannabis growers, but if they have complete disregard for our community and won't regulate themselves, you all need to step up and regulate them.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Alison Werts

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Scott Rowland <scott.rowland1@cox.net>
Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2020 8:33 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for Cannabis

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

May 26, 2020

To The Board of Supervisors,

I support amending the Cannabis Ordinance to require a Conditional Use Permit for all cannabis cultivation projects.

By now it is abundantly clear the original approvals that the local Marijuana Cartels were able to push through were inadequate and poorly considered. Those Board Members that were so easily bought off originally should be ashamed of themselves. Now is the time to correct their mistakes and to protect the quality of life for ALL THE RESIDENTS of Santa Barbara County.

Respectfully,

Scott Rowland
4891 Frances St
Santa Barbara, CA 93111

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Andrew Bailard <abailard@cox.net>
Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2020 8:54 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Cannabis Ordinance

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Attn: Das Williams

I live in Carpinteria and have been disturbed for a long time about increased cannabis production being approved in the Carpinteria valley. The smell is bad and there have been illegal operations discovered.

I urge you to support amending the Cannabis Ordinance to require Conditional Use Permits for all cannabis cultivation projects. We don't want to allow Carpinteria to become more of a dumping ground for cannabis just because the county likes the revenue from it.

G. Andrew Bailard
Carpinteria Resident

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Renee ONeill <chasingstar2701@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2020 1:48 PM
To: sbcob; Villalobos, David
Cc: Hart, Gregg; Hartmann, Joan; Dan Blough; Lavagnino, Steve; Williams, Das; Laura Bradley; John Parke; Michael Cooney; Larry Ferini; Adam, Peter
Subject: Re: BOS Hearing scheduled for June 2 amending CUP (add EDRN)

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors and Planning Commissioners,

The Board Letter for June 2nd will be sent out tomorrow.

The Tepusquet Canyon Crisis Committee is writing to urge the Board to include discussion (re CUP agenda item), to address the issue of prohibiting commercial Cannabis industry from developing/operating in all EDRN's and EDRN's that are adjacent to or accessed through an EDRN. I believe this issue was brought up months ago, but has not been added to either PC or BOS Agendas. Please add it to June 2 Agenda.

Conditional Use Permits do not provide adequate protection, especially considering most Tepusquet growers have no electricity and use "prohibited" generators. There are many issues that we have brought to your attention over the years. We are in a high-fire-hazard region; isolated/remote; First Responders' arrival time 20-35 minutes; growers in our canyon have added numerous levels of danger to our community, including loss of life, increased vehicle accidents, illegal activity, etc.

Considering all the serious issues we have with commercial Cannabis Industry, in Tepusquet and Cebada Canyon, we feel it is essential to include discussion and prohibit commercial Cannabis in EDRN's. Our County Legislators and Authorities must put Public Health, Safety and Welfare above the self-serving interests of commercial Cannabis industry operators.

On June 2, we ask that you not only require CUP's for all Commercial Cannabis Licenses but also Prohibit commercial Cannabis industry from developing and/or operating in EDRN's. Tepusquet Community/County Staff have requested/recommended that you adopt this restriction in the Zoning Ordinance, since 2016/2017.

Thank You,

Renee O'Neill

Tepusquet Community Crisis Committee

Ramirez, Angelica

From: nanette@giordanoct.com
Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2020 3:48 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Cannabis Conditional Use Permit (CUP)/ June 2 BOS Hearing

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

PLEASE READ

Board Of Supervisors:

A CUP is often required for many neighborhood-impacting endeavors, including: schools, churches, restaurants, bars, and clubs. As this Board knows, a CUP would give the County ongoing control for permits that forever run with the land.

A CUP would also provide transparency in a scheme that, thus far, has been shrouded in darkness. A darkness made worse by the blending of Land Use Permits and Legal Nonconforming Use allowances that have yet to include the required public hearings.

A CUP would also benefit the Cannabis landowner in that it too would run with the land and demonstrate a County-sanctioned operation. It would demonstrate that the County was considering community compatibility and - more importantly – that customized odor mitigation measures were being maintained and actually working which, unfortunately, they are not.

Recently, a Cannabis Oil explosion in LA severely injured 11 firefighters and resulted in a criminal investigation. In January, a warrant served at a licensed farm in Carpinteria revealed 100 gallons of Cannabis Oil and an extraction lab. It was reported that this raid “highlighted how little officials knew about the operation they approved.” I’d ask you to please do two things --Connect these dots and require a CUP so that ongoing County control is, as the PC recommends, a requirement.

Thank you,

Nanette Giordano
SBC Resident

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Joyce Millikan <joycem@hope4marriages.org>
Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2020 3:55 PM
To: sbcob
Cc: Joyce Millikan; Greg Millikan
Subject: Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for Cannabis

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

To: Board of Supervisors for Santa Barbara,
I'm writing to convey my hope that you, our County representatives, **will require Conditional Use Permits of all Cannabis crop businesses in the Santa Ynez Valley.**

Cannabis cultivation in the Santa Ynez Valley without Conditional Use Permits would be detrimental to individual health, as well as the health and wellbeing of our civic, business and social fabric.

Please do not vote to deregulate or help the Cannabis Industry to succeed in our Valley.

Monetary gain through tax revenue seems to be its main draw, but the detrimental impact exacted upon individuals, families and healthy food crops in our Valley far outweigh its meager benefits.

Please assure that your vote will require Conditional Use Permits of All Cannabis crop businesses in the Santa Ynez Valley.

With kind regards,
Joyce Millikan

Greg and Joyce Millikan
1227 Hans Park Trail
Solvang, CA 93463

Home: 805-697-7431
Cell: 626-710-0908