From:

Kristine mw <mainlandwhite@gmail.com>

Sent:

Monday, November 13, 2017 11:03 AM

To:

sbcob

Subject:

Please honestly reconsider and stop the TRRP

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I strongly request that you strongly reconsider and stop the approval of the TRRP. Stop it despite the momentum behind it, despite the 'emergency' status and/or the perception of having to do something, anything, this, fast.

Planning for the project was started so long ago that new solutions have evolved that are superior environmentally and financially to this project. It is inappropriate on a coastline with multiple elements that have become more endangered in the last twenty years, from species to the quality of the Channel. They are jeopardized in large or small part by this project, but every part, even if it is small, is cumulative.

There is a saying in the Audubon Society, of which I am an active member. Every bird counts. Providing for that bird also provides for the less visible or notable species that surround it, its habitat, and the larger habitat of which it is a part. Every bird, plant, stream, tree, frog... they all count.

There is much momentum for the TRRP in the work, time, funds that have gone into its planning by the Planning Department, by those entities with an investment. That is not good reason to go with this project. There are other and better solutions before you. There are experts who know what they're doing, and strongly advise against it. There is our responsibility to preserve and restore what cannot be replaced, and the Gaviota Coast certainly qualifies as a unique, biologically rich, and scarce "last stand" on the California Coast. It is not ours to develop. It is ours to protect. This 1967 landfill is ours to restore.

Have the alternative proposals to the TRRP's purported reduction of greenhouse gases or other benefits been evaluated any time recently against other projects?

Thank you for your consideration,

Kris Mainland White 555 El Sueno Rd. Santa Barbara, CA

From: Sheryl Schroeder

 siguglystick@hotmail.com>

Sent: Monday, November 13, 2017 11:21 AM

To: sbcob

Subject: TRRP Important

As a fourth generation Native Californian and a 35 year Santa Barbara resident, surfer and lifelong ocean person I am writing to you to plead for you to vote NO on the Tajiguas Landfill.

The Tajiguas Landfill is a massive Coastal Act violation, and until the scopt of the violations and the remediation steps are known, it is premature to expand the use of the landfill.

In a time of environmental crises, we need to make sure we protect our beautiful coastal heritage on the Gaviota Coast. That area is one of the LAST undeveloped areas of coast in the entire state and it is precious.

There are endangered species (threatened red-legged frogs) that have been discovered in the area, and the revised TRRP introduces night operations and more traffic that will crush these frogs and other native species.

As an environmentalist and wildlife lover, I beseech you to do the right thing and vote NO on an expansion of this dangerous and polluting landfill.

Studies have been done and many experts agree that the TRRP is a **bad** solution to a problem that can be *easily resolved* with better source separation at a fraction of the cost.

Don't Trash the Gaviota Coast!

Sheryl Schroeder California Native Daughter

From: Maia Kazaks <mkazaks@communityservices.us>

Sent: Monday, November 13, 2017 11:49 AM

To: sbcob

Subject: TRRP opposition

To the County Board of Supervisors-

I have a deep appreciation for the Gaviota Coast even though I have moved to Oregon recently. I am writing to express my concern that the Tajiguas Resource Recovery Project is under reconsideration. Please vote NO on this poor idea. This problem could be more cost efficiently solved with better source separation, and even so, the landfill is a Coastal Act violation and should absolutely not be expanded until the scope of the violation and remediation steps are known.

PLEASE uphold a responsible NO vote and protect this piece of coastline from getting trashed.

Maia Kazaks (UCSB alum 2008)

Energy Education Coordinator - Housing and Energy Services Community Services Consortium 2995 SW Ferry Street Albany, OR 97322 Phone: 541-758-2785

mkazaks@communityservices.us www.communityservices.us



Helping People - Changing Lives

If you believe you have received this email in error, please delete it immediately, without forwarding, copying or sharing, and notify the sender in writing. Thank you!

From:

Betty Noling

bnoling@gmail.com>

Sent:

Monday, November 13, 2017 12:23 PM

To:

sbcob

Subject:

Vote NO on expansion of Tajiguas Landfill

Hello Board of Supervisors,

We are urging a NO vote on expansion of the Tajiguas Landfill. This is a premature decision and violates the Coastal Act. We support better source separation, and more research on better ways to control SB waste. This is an important decision. Do not rush it.

Betty and Mike Noling Santa Barbara, CA. 93108

From:

john conroy <jconroy65@aol.com>

Sent:

Monday, November 13, 2017 2:04 PM

To:

sbcob; das.williams@gmail.com

Subject:

Land Fill and the Revised TRRP

I am in opposition to the revised TRRP and the industrialization of the Gaviota Coast Please vote NO

John Conroy PO Box 3902 Santa Barbara, CA 93130

From:

Ann dusenberry <aberry4peace@gmail.com>

Sent:

Monday, November 13, 2017 2:10 PM

To:

sbcob

Subject:

No TRRP Expansion! Composting can be another 'hallmark' of Santa Barbara's

progressive sustainable reputation. But not with this!

SO MANY REASONS TO VOTE NO - MY HEAD IS SPINNING.

I won't be using the "compost" coming from this site - not since there will be no sorting to remove the plastics.

SOOOO bad for the environment. Please listen to the experts. We can do this less-expensively, and more effectively.

Ann Dusenberry

From: ron wean <r_wean@hotmail.com>

Sent: Monday, November 13, 2017 2:19 PM

To: sbcob
Subject: TRRP

Sent from my iPhone. Please NO on TRRP!!