

Ramirez, Angelica

General Public Comment

**From:** Marc Anthony Hayles-Dunn <wisertodaythanyesterday@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 31, 2021 2:14 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Public Comment



**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear County of Board Supervisors,

My name is Marc Hayles-Dunn, I have been resident of Santa Barbara for over 15 years. My interest in coming to speak before you today stems from my concern for the health and well-being of our community. It is my understanding, based on August 13th ruling by the United States Court of Appeals, that the current FCC guidelines that protect the public against harmful effects of exposure to wireless technologies to be inadequate at best. The extensive scientific evidence and evidence of existing health issues related to microwave radiation demand that the County protect itself from state wireless broadband bills SB 556 and AB 537. I ask the County Board of Supervisors to revise county codes to protect its citizens from the rollout of 5G small cell wireless infrastructure in residential zones based on the threat it poses to public health. I am asking the County Board of Supervisors to adopt smart city measures that reflect the scientific evidence of the harm wireless radiation poses to public health by amending the county code. SafeTechSBC, a group of concerned citizens, are well informed on how the County can proceed forward to ensure that public health and safety remain our number one priority. It is my hope that the County Board of Supervisors has the foresight to heed the immediate and long term consequences of wireless radiation to public health by taking the necessary action to restore and retain its capacity protect our community.

Thank you, for your time and consideration!

Marc Hayles-Dunn

Sent from my iPhone

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Marc Anthony Hayles-Dunn <wisertodaythanyesterday@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 31, 2021 3:59 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Re: Public Comment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

I edited my public comment. I retracted my request for an urgency ordinance, and substituted it for an amendment to County Codes.

Thank you,

Marc Hayles-Dunn

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 31, 2021, at 2:13 PM, Marc Anthony Hayles-Dunn <wisertodaythanyesterday@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear County of Board Supervisors,

My name is Marc Hayles-Dunn, I have been resident of Santa Barbara for over 15 years. My interest in coming to speak before you today stems from my concern for the health and well-being of our community. It is my understanding, based on August 13th ruling by the United States Court of Appeals, that the current FCC guidelines that protect the public against harmful effects of exposure to wireless technologies to be inadequate at best. The extensive scientific evidence and evidence of existing health issues related to microwave radiation demand that the County protect itself from state wireless broadband bills SB 556 and AB 537. I ask the County Board of Supervisors to revise county codes to protect its citizens from the rollout of 5G small cell wireless infrastructure in residential zones based on the threat it poses to public health. I am asking the County Board of Supervisors to adopt smart city measures that reflect the scientific evidence of the harm wireless radiation poses to public health by amending the county code. SafeTechSBC, a group of concerned citizens, are well informed on how the County can proceed forward to ensure that public health and safety remain our number one priority. It is my hope that the County Board of Supervisors has the foresight to heed the immediate and long term consequences of wireless radiation to public health by taking the necessary action to restore and retain its capacity protect our community.

Thank you, for your time and consideration!

Marc Hayles-Dunn

Sent from my iPhone

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** K T <ktamazon@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 31, 2021 11:11 PM  
**To:** sbcob; Ramirez, Angelica  
**Subject:** PC regarding Agenda item #5  
**Attachments:** BOS- PCR test 8-31-21.docx

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Angelica,  
Please submit by Public comment for agenda item #5 into the record. Thank you,  
Katie Mickey

August 31, 2021

Dear County Board of Supervisors,

Last May the Lompoc Hospital contracted the Santa Barbara Body Therapy Institute to provide 108 massages for their nurses and doctors for nurses appreciation week. They had no concern whether our students who provided massages were vaccinated. Receiving massages was their number one request. We were honored to provide bodywork to our frontline workers. They got relief from the stress, they had endured this past year and everyone stayed safe.

I appreciate your desire to keep everyone safe as you decide on this County mandate. Please consider that not all vaccine doses are the same. Moderna has pulled 1.6 million vials from usage due to contamination.

Also, please consider that the PCR test you are offering as an alternative to vaccination is now in question due to the analysis done by Dr. Antonietta Gatti of the Italian Institute of Technology's Project of Nanoecotoxicology (NESE). Under the microscope, Dr Gatti's team found "nanoparticles made of hard materials containing a variety of (nano) particles made of silver, aluminum, titanium, glass fibers, etc., many of which are undeclared in the package leaflet. When they enter the mucous membrane, they can cause wounds and inflammation." See Dr. Antonietta Gatti's previous research on nanoparticles. Read the actual scientific report and see the pictures yourself, and Dr. Antonietta Gatti has personally verified the conclusions and images in an email:

<https://evolvetoecology.org/2021/05/05/what-is-in-the-pcr-tests/>

A concerned pediatrician, "told 2020 News that they are finding more hardened mucous membranes in people who are often tested for SARS-CoV-2. Mucous membranes that are no longer intact can no longer fulfill their task of repelling viruses, bacteria and fungi before they reach the airways. The germs thus penetrate into the airways without any immune filter." Professor Gatti describes her research on **covid nasal testing swabs** using an electron microscope. Here is a **synopsis** of one interview (paraphrased by someone who speaks Italian).

"Porcupine type fibers on the swabs can break off when pressed against the internal mucosa. The fibers are made of silicon zirconium, or coated w/ zirconium. Why?! The fibers all contain small dots of zirconium. Broken fibers can remain in the mucosa and they can induce a granuloma or fibrosis, a problem! Nobody has verified the biocompatibility of these fibers. Zirconium was probably added to increase the "hardness" (durability) of the fibers, but they can scratch the internal mucosa, sometimes causing bleeding and/or infection. If testing like this is repeated chronically, it could decrease the thickness of the internal membrane. Without adequate time to recover between tests, deeper tissue is exposed. Oropharyngeal mucosa is very soft (delicate). Nasal swabbing goes close to the brain membrane.

If you choose to move forward with the mandate to require testing or vaccination can you please confirm that tests you require will not expose those tested to any nanoparticles of silver, aluminum, titanium, glass fibers on these swabs to be used on a weekly basis or make an alternative test kit available such as saliva direct or a saliva test free of charge for all government employees.

Thank you  
Katie Mickey  
Director of the Santa Barbara Body Therapy Institute