

#4

Ramirez, Angelica

Public Comment- Group 3

**From:** Michael English <moe4jesus@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, February 25, 2022 4:50 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Galileo Pisa Concerns for Mar 1 Hearing



**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

I am writing today to express my concerns about the Galileo Pisa building project. The proposed buildings would make a residential area that has too dense a population, has a height that will detract value from other homes in the area, and is inconsistent with the current Community Plan. The layout of the access places are too close to Patterson, and this is likely to cause traffic and safety concerns. The developer has expressed similar concerns about another development nearby — those neighbors raised these concerns which went unheeded. Please reconsider the plans to make something that will address these concerns before you start building.

Sincerely,  
Michael English  
534 Tepic Pl.  
Santa Barbara, CA 93111

--  
— Michael

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Ron Golan <rongolan@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, February 25, 2022 4:53 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Galileo Pisa 3/1 BOS hearing  
**Attachments:** Orchard Park HOA Letter\_Galileo Pisa\_February 2022.docx

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Good afternoon-

Please find attached comments regarding the Galileo Pisa project, scheduled for discussion on 3/1.

Thank you.

February 23, 2021

Dear Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, Board of Supervisors, and Planning Committee,

We write to you in regards to the planned Galileo Pisa Project on the corner of Calle Real and Patterson. As representatives of the Orchard Park HomeOwners Association, a community of 20 homes directly across the street from the proposed project and the neighbors most affected by this development, we would like share our committee's thoughts and potential solutions as they relate to the Galileo Pisa project.

We understand and acknowledge the need for more housing in our area, and so we hope to develop an amicable and collaborative relationship with the developing committee.

1. We sincerely hope the committee will consider moving the proposed entrance to the complex further west down Calle Real towards the entrance of the self-storage facility. There are a number of issues with the current proposed site of this left turn entrance, as it is simply too close to the turn off of Patterson and the 101. First, our Orchard Park Lane community will face a very challenging time exiting our street onto the already busy Calle Real if their entrance is opposite ours. Second, accelerating traffic coming from Patterson will deal with potential back-ups in their left turn lane and set the situation for unsafe conditions. Moving the entrance further west down Calle Real will create a more safe entrance for not just their tenants and our community, but for all those driving west on Calle Real.

2. The next topic relates to the aesthetics and density of the project. Rather than a solitary dominant and overshadowing three-story structure which does not align with the aesthetics and appearance of the surrounding community, we would support the utilization of smaller and more clustered structures as has been done elsewhere in our area. We hope the committee will push for a viable solution to maintain cosmetics of the structure while not entirely limiting surrounding views and altering the appearance of our neighborhood with a towering building. We also support keeping the parking for their structure concealed

behind their building, and keeping parking off the street of the already very busy and speedy Calle Real. We ask that the committee ensure adequate resident and guest parking within their property lines, given their proposed density of the complex.

3. We hope that stringent environmental and quality oversight as it relates to air quality, sound pollution, land use, water, and energy will be considered and monitored in this proposed high-density project. Our community, along with the surrounding neighborhoods, greatly enjoys the natural aspects offered by our beautiful land in Goleta on which our homes are built. We have mature orchards, gardens, play spaces and community spaces that may be affected if unsafe and inconsiderate building practices are utilized.

Thank you for taking our suggestions into consideration, and hope that you may reach out with any questions or concerns.

Respectfully,

Orchard Park HomeOwners Association Board

Traci Russell, president  
Michael Wallman  
Ron Golan  
Martin Stokes  
Matthew Long

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Marilyn Kandus <m.kandus@icloud.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, February 26, 2022 11:00 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Cc:** m.kandus@gmail.com  
**Subject:** Galileo Pisa Concerns for Mar 1 Hearing:

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

To all it may concern,

I am a homeowner and live near Hollister and Patterson. I have many concerns about the proposed project.

Galileo Pisa Concerns for March 1 Hearing:

1. Project is too dense, too tall, and is inconsistent with Community Plan aspirations.
2. Proposed access places a turn lane too close to Patterson - posing traffic and safety concerns.
3. The developer's own words of concern about a nearby development acknowledge many of the same concerns our neighborhood has about her project.

Please delay or curtail this project until these concerns can be adequately met.

Thank you,  
Mari Kandu

m.kandus@gmail.com

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Nancy Russo <NRUSSOSB@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Saturday, February 26, 2022 1:09 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** FW: Galileo Pisa Concerns for Mar 1 Hearing

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Please put my email below in the packet for review by the Board of Supervisors.  
Thank you,  
Nancy Russo

---

**From:** Nancy Russo <NRUSSOSB@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 22, 2022 2:31 PM  
**To:** 'sbcob@countyofsb.org' <sbcob@countyofsb.org>  
**Subject:** Galileo Pisa Concerns for Mar 1 Hearing

Board of Supervisors,

Please take steps to have an open discussion about the plan to build the Galileo Pisa project. This project is far too dense; 16.7 units per acre rather than 4 to 5 units per acre. Additionally it is too tall - buildings in Noleta should be limited to 2 stories maximum and save the character of our neighborhoods and what views we have left. There should be multiple buildings rather than 27 units in one large building. We have already seen what this kind of density did to the aesthetics and traffic in northern Goleta. You can add a reasonable amount of housing without going to this extreme. We have to live here and want to keep a community that looks residential. Additionally, parking should be located in the back of the building, not on Calle Real. I also have huge concerns about where we are going to get water now and in the future to support this kind of density. Please listen to the citizens who have to live here before approving this project.

Nancy Russo

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Vernon Lingley <clonebot2350@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, February 26, 2022 1:51 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Galileo Pisa Comments for Mar 1 Hearing

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Hello, I would like to leave comments on the Galileo Pisa project for the March 1st hearing.

I wanted to voice my support for additional construction and housing in the area. Having alternatives to single-family homes is important to having a healthy and thriving community, and we are severely lacking in those options. I know that you have had a number of objections from locals over the density and height of a construction, but I feel that this is something that needs to happen to have a wide range of voices and peoples in our community and ensure it's long-term success and health. If anything, we should have more constructions like this with multi-story construction and housing alternatives.

I do have one point of concern with the specific project, though. I know they're not many locations to build in the Santa Barbara and Goleta areas, but this location does provide a fairly significant disruption to the traffic flow to an already busy intersection. I have a few suggestions to improve them over the current design:

- \* Push the complex exits farther down Calle Real towards the shopping centers, to or past the current exit for the storage units. This would minimize the impact to traffic at the intersection of Patterson and Calle Real.
- \* Minimize the parking spaces in the complex. The last I looked at the plan it was two spaces per apartment. If we lowered it to one and a half per apartment it would minimize the traffic in the area.
- \* Work with MTB on updating the routes to support additional bus use. Increasing traffic to the bus stop across the street would increase the appeal of the apartments and minimize the traffic to the intersection.
- \* Provide a connection to the Santa Barbara bike networks. The crosstown biking route is just one block to the east and is very close to the complex in question. This could provide an easy way for people in that complex to access the route and get around town, which would minimize the impact on traffic.

Thank you again for hearing my concerns. I really hope that we could have good sustainable improvements to the area that ensure the entire community's long-term health and success.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Russhmd <russhmd@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, February 27, 2022 9:52 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Galileo Pisa Concerns for Mar 1, 2022 Hearing

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Attn: Clerk of the Board

Please record and pass on our concerns for the Galileo Pisa project.

- Project is over the top in density, too tall, and is inconsistent with Community status and plans.
- Project abuts next to a turn lane too close to Patterson Ave. This gives immense future traffic and safety concerns. We have existing traffic problems now there, and don't need to increase these problems.
- The developers own words of concern about a nearby development shows many of the same concerns our neighborhood has about her project. Problems with parking, not an adequate traffic study made, not enough data about normal adjacent shopping center growth, parking, and expansion. Not enough data to even consider expansion of nearby Cottage Hospital and shopping nearby.

This project should be denied completely in it's present form. There is a substantial majority of community members here who presently feel the same way. Please deny this ill-designed project.

Sincerely,

Russell & Karren Hagen  
5745 Stow Canyon Rd.  
Goleta, Ca. 93117



## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Ted Cabeen <ted@cabeen.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, February 27, 2022 12:06 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Galileo Pisa Comments for Mar 1 Hearing

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello, I would like to leave comments on the Galileo Pisa project for the March 1st hearing.

Firstly, I want to voice my strong support for additional construction and housing in the area. Building alternatives to single-family homes is important to having a healthy and thriving community, and we are severely lacking in those options. As a property located between a major road and the 101 freeway, this project seems to be an excellent one for adding additional higher-density housing in an area that does not have an existing neighborhood or nearby residences.

Some concerns have been shared about the impact to the nearby intersection. While these concerns are legitimate, and should be addressed as much as possible, I don't feel they should stop the project from going forward.

A few ideas to help with the traffic issues:

- \* Push the complex exits farther down Calle Real to the West, to the degree possible. Perhaps the county could work with the storage facility owners to allow apartment complex traffic to use their entrance; storage facilities are pretty quiet.

- \* Reduce the parking spaces in the complex. The last I looked at the plan it was two spaces per apartment. If we lowered it to one per apartment, that could help. Right now, the property devotes more land to parking than to actual apartments. Yes, some people might not want to live here with less parking, but that can be their choice. I generally support broad elimination of parking requirements, allowing developers to determine parking needed for the customers they are trying to attract.

- \* Work with MTD on updating bus routes to support additional transit use. Increasing the utility of the nearby bus stops would increase the appeal of the apartments and minimize the traffic to the intersection.

Perhaps a bus that goes down the 217 to UCSB?

- \* Provide a better connection to the Santa Barbara bike networks. The crosstown and UCSB biking route is just one block to the east and is very close to the complex in question. This could provide an easy way for people in that complex to access the route and get around town, which would reduce the impact on traffic.

Thank you again for hearing my concerns. I really hope that we can build the housing needed to ensure the entire community's long-term health and success.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Kathy Hunt <dahunts@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Sunday, February 27, 2022 4:20 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Galileo Pisa Concerns for Mar 1 Hearing

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Please add our concerns about this project at Calle Real and Patterson. We completely agree with all the objections put forth by the "Patterson Neighborhoods". This is absolutely the worst place we can think of for a project of this size. Would you really want to put your stamp of approval on a project that would be disastrous for an intersection that is already too busy and a safety concern? We travel through there frequently, and it is awful, especially during commute times. You should be fixing it, not making it worse!

Allan and Kathy Hunt

1454 Camino Meleno Santa Barbara

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Mikah Dusette <dusette@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Sunday, February 27, 2022 6:48 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Galileo Pisa Concerns for Mar 1 Hearing

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Please enter my email in the packet for review by the Board of Supervisor for the Mar 1 hearing on the Galileo Pisa project.

I agree 100% with the recommendations in the Patterson Neighborhoods letter to the Board of Supervisors. This Galileo Pisa project is dreadful.

1. Project is too dense, too tall, and is inconsistent with Community Plan aspirations. 2. Proposed access places a turn lane too close to Patterson - posing traffic and safety concerns. 3. The developer's own words of concern about a nearby development acknowledge many of the same concerns our neighborhood has about her project. Additional Resources Concerns Map: An overview of traffic and planning concerns Letter to Board of Supervisors: Stating our request for a thorough conversation about planning and traffic safety matters and suggested project improvements

Just Thursday 2/24/22 there was yet another vehicle collision at the intersection of Patterson and Calle Real. There is zero possibility that traffic safety will improve with this ill-gotten project. Every day there is a near miss. I cannot comprehend how the obvious safety concerns are being ignored. I feel so betrayed by my Community Supervisors who essentially snuck this project in. I learned well after the fact that zoning had been changed. Essential planning steps were bypassed because this was ostensibly "low-income". Now evidently it's not? That notwithstanding, this project is all wrong and if approved would forever negatively impact and change this area and will be the cause of untold traffic accidents. Mark my words. Please. Reconsider.

Mikah Dusette

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Kristin Kuroda Jackson <kkuroda@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, February 27, 2022 7:28 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Galileo Pisa Concerns for Mar 1 Hearing

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Hi, please include my email in the packet for review by the Board of Supervisors regarding the Galileo Pisa housing project.

As a resident of one of the nearby houses, I have many concerns regarding this proposed housing project, including traffic and safety concerns and the density of the project. Just because there is some space to build on, does not mean it *should* be built on. Do that many people want to live right next to a freeway? I know I wouldn't. There are studies that show living close to a freeway is not good for one's health because of all the pollution and car emissions.

That area already has many traffic issues, with traffic getting backed up various times throughout the day, and lots of accidents happen there even when it is not extremely busy. Adding a dense housing project with so many units is adding a lot more cars that will regularly drive in that area. One of the projects doesn't even have one parking space per unit. To believe that every tenant will not have a car is a big assumption, and what happens if units are occupied by more than one person? That's at least 2 vehicles per unit. Where are those people going to park? Also traffic-related, the proposed turn lane on Calle Real is a terrible option because traffic *will* get backed up there.

Cars pulling out of a development right next to the freeway off ramp is just asking for more accidents as well. It's already a problem with people pulling out of the storage facility parking lot and crossing multiple lanes across Patterson to get to the left turn lane to get on Calle Real. Additionally, if there were residents leaving that development, the only way they could access the freeways is to cut across those lanes and make a u-turn. That many cars making u-turns at Patterson and Calle Real will also contribute to the traffic issues.

Please take these things into consideration when making a decision on this project. We all know more housing is needed, but this area of Patterson/Calle Real is not the answer.

Thank you,  
Kristin

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Susan Rodriguez <Susan.Rodriguez@bbrown.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 28, 2022 9:21 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Galileo Apartments - Planning & Development Department Case Nos. 19GPA-00000-00003, 19RZN-00000-00002, and 19 DVP-00000-00039

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Members of the Board,

I had planned to speak during public comment in support of the project but due to work commitments, I am unable hence this email.

I have watched this project through the BAR and the Planning Commission. I live in the neighborhood just up the street off of Kellogg.

We need rental housing so badly in Santa Barbara and this building will be an ideal location for people getting to work in the immediate area. In addition it is a good location for seniors with many nearby social, shopping and entertainment venues.

Ms. Carey has bent over backwards to work with the neighbors and they have had good input. I hope you will support the project.

Respectfully,

**Susan Rodriguez, CIC, CRIS** | Santa Barbara

Senior Vice President

Brown & Brown Insurance Services of California, Inc. (NYSE: BRO) License #0D04053

Street and Mailing Address: 1001 Mark Avenue, Suite 201, Carpinteria, CA 93013

Email: [susan.rodriguez@bbrown.com](mailto:susan.rodriguez@bbrown.com)

Direct Line: 805.690.2606 | Direct Fax 805.690.2706

Cell 805.698.1565

Main: 805.965.0071 800.350.6328 | [www.bbinsurance.com](http://www.bbinsurance.com)

Professional Designations:

CIC: Certified Insurance Counselor

CRIS: Construction Risk Insurance Specialist



**B & B** Brown & Brown  
INSURANCE\*

[bbinsurance.com](http://bbinsurance.com)



## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Kevin Reilly <kevin@peaklighting.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 28, 2022 11:12 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Galileo Pisa Concerns for Mar 1 Hearing

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am a local business owner that resides in University Cr. We are located just on the other side of the fire station on Calle Real. In short, I agree with the recommendations in the Patterson Neighborhoods letter to the Board of Supervisors. I believe the project is too dense, too tall, and is inconsistent with Community Plan aspirations. I believe access and turn lanes will pose traffic and safety concerns. I believe the developer's personal interest are being put ahead of the people that live here. I also believe the state, in general is too aggressive with the high-density projects.

I hope I am joined by several other neighbors to express our concern.

Please put this email in the packet for review by the Board of Supervisors.

Regards,

Kevin Reilly  
CEO, PEAK Lighting and Energy  
[kevin@peaklighting.com](mailto:kevin@peaklighting.com)  
off: 626.852.0802 ~ fax 866.588.2655 ~ cell 626.252.6663  
[www.peaklighting.com](http://www.peaklighting.com)  
PO Box 6129, Santa Barbara, CA 93160  
CA Contractor's License - B1 #788114



## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Gloria Peyrat <glor2@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 28, 2022 11:26 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Galileo Pisa Concerns for Mar 1 Hearing

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Please submit prior to the Board of Supervisors meeting tomorrow. Thank you.

To the Board of Supervisors:

I object to the proposed Galileo development—it is way too dense, too tall, and in the wrong location for safety and in keeping with the neighborhood.

The access lane is too close to Patterson. Have you been to Patterson at all times of the day, especially in the morning, noon and evening when the congestion is huge and movement is slow? Adding more cars to this already crowded area is dangerous.

Please reconsider and find another location for this development.

Thank you,

Gloria Peyrat  
426 Merida Dr.  
Santa Barbara, CA 93111  
805-964-3845

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Robert Loomis <rsleloomis@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 28, 2022 11:50 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Imacted resident comments on proposed Galileo Pisa project

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

I am a Home owner who will be impacted by the proposed Galileo Pisa development and wish to raise my voice, along with many of my fellow home owners in opposition to this project.

This proposed development is too dense, to unsightly and will cause additional congestion to an intersection already severely impacted by the recent opening of the massive "Tree Farm" development.

I find myself in agreement with all of the issues raised by the Patterson Neighborhoods memo of February 22, 2022 and request that the Board reject this proposal.

Sincerely,  
Bob Loomis



## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Jon Dohm <jondohm@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 28, 2022 12:02 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Cc:** Paul Bradford  
**Subject:** Galileo Pisa Concerns for March 1 Hearing

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Supervisors:

My wife and I live very close to the two, ill-conceived projects in close proximity to the Patterson Avenue/Calle Real intersection. One, adjacent to the northbound Patterson Avenue off-ramp is inconceivably poorly thought out and will create numerous traffic concerns at the existing intersection. The second, the subject of your hearing tomorrow, will continue this trend of shoe-horning large projects into very small areas at the Calle Real/Patterson intersection.

As has been stated by numerous neighbors of the Galileo Pisa project, we too have numerous concerns about this project and implore your Board to deny the project.

Our concerns are briefly stated below.

1. The project is too dense, too tall, and is inconsistent with the Community Plan. The State of California should not dictate overriding these concerns.
2. The proposed vehicular access to the project places a turn lane that is clearly too close to Patterson. This will pose new traffic and safety concerns and exacerbate existing traffic issues at an already crowded intersection..
3. Even the project's developer has expressed concerns about a nearby development and acknowledges many of the same concerns the neighborhood has expressed about the project.
4. Water use needs to be considered here. Residents are alarmed about the lack of rainfall to the Goleta area over the last several years, yet Goleta seems hell-bent on receiving all new projects. Where will all the new water usage come from?

Please stand up to State mandates and do the right thing here. Deny this project.

Jon and Karen Dohm  
Adjacent Residents since 1985  
SB Residents since 1974

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Justin Vowels <justinvowels@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 28, 2022 12:27 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Galileo Pisa Concerns for Mar 1 Hearing

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Hello -

I am emailing to have this included in the packet for review by the Board of Supervisors regarding the Galileo Pisa proposed construction. I am a concerned citizen that lives in the Patterson/University area of this proposed project. The Galileo Pisa proposal is extremely inconsistent with the Community Plan vision and is too dense. The area of Patterson/Calle Real is already a very congested traffic area, and adding a dense housing development to this area will only exacerbate the traffic and cause dangerous driving conditions. Thank you.

Justin Vowels

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Andy & Carol Lefley <a.lefley@verizon.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 28, 2022 12:36 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Galileo Pisa Concerns for Mar 1 Hearing

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Sir or Madam,

We own a house on Parejo drive and would like to express our views about the proposed Galileo Pisa development:

As a regular cyclist who is trying to do my part for climate change and traffic congestion, I am deeply concerned about the new left turn lane that will make the westbound turn for cyclists very dangerous. Please consider how vulnerable cyclists are when planning new projects and do not approve dangerous proposals like this one.

As a homeowner I am disturbed by the 3 level proposed property that is out of character with the neighborhood and which ignores EGVCP guidance in the interests of density. The project, along with the removal of the green median, will visually degrade the entrance to our neighborhood.

By holding public hearings when the majority of the neighborhood residents are at work, the process is stacked against homeowners. Please believe that the opposition to this project is widespread, and you will not get a fair representation of this when public sessions are held during working hours. We are all working hard in this neighborhood and paying our property taxes, please listen to our concerns.

Thank you,

Andy and Carol Lefley (24 year residents).

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Janet Caminite <janetcaminite@bhhsca.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 28, 2022 2:15 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Galileo Pisa Project

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Good afternoon – there are many of us neighbors who have been extremely concerned about this project, have written many emails over the seriousness of what is planned and I understood that we were promised our concerns would be discussed and considered at the last meeting, which they were not.

We've already reiterated many times that this particular project at this location is too dense and tall, and doesn't conform to the rest of the Community Plan. The current proposed turn lane has been placed way too close to Patterson which will absolutely create a traffic and safety problem which it currently already is. I can't tell you how many times I've almost been struck by cars u-turning at that corner and seen children and adults almost been struck as well when walking. Placing that turn lane that close will only add to an already dangerous situation. I suppose folks are willing to risk injuries in order to get this built. Great project, wrong location.

Even the developer's own words stated serious concerns about a nearby development acknowledging that are many of the same concerns that our neighbors have about her project. Isn't anyone really listening?

As a real estate agent, I understand the need for additional housing – there's no denying that and that it will hopefully take care of those in need for housing in our community. However, 'where' that housing is developed makes a huge difference in Santa Barbara and not mainly because someone owns some land, wants to develop it and create some financial upside for themselves while creating additional housing. That's all fair as well – but to not take into consideration all that we have been pointing out is detrimental not only to the area, but to what Santa Barbara has been and hopes still to be. These decisions the Board are making are and will change the Santa Barbara we all knew to love and why we chose and choose to live here.

Below is an overview of traffic and also our previous letter to the Board for your further review.

- [Concerns Map](#): An overview of traffic and planning concerns
- [Letter to Board of Supervisors](#): Stating our request for a thorough conversation about planning and traffic safety matters and suggested project improvements

The folks that helped you into your current positions are begging you to really take a hard look at all of these aspects before putting it through.

**ALL THE BEST,**

**JANET CAMINITE, ASSOCIATE MANAGER**  
DRE #01273668  
**CHAIRMAN'S CIRCLE PLATINUM, TOP 1% GLOBALLY**

**BERKSHIRE HATHAWAY LUXURY COLLECTION**  
**1170 COAST VILLAGE ROAD**

**MONTECITO, CALIFORNIA 93108**

**805.896.7767** CELL

**805.563.4061** DIRECT OFFICE

[JANETCAMINITE@BHHSCAL.COM](mailto:JANETCAMINITE@BHHSCAL.COM)

[WWW.SANTABARBARALUXURYREALTY.COM](http://WWW.SANTABARBARALUXURYREALTY.COM)

[WWW.BEACHESOFVENTURA.COM](http://WWW.BEACHESOFVENTURA.COM)

**YOU CAN HAVE EVERYTHING IN LIFE YOU WANT, IF YOU WILL JUST HELP ENOUGH OTHER PEOPLE GET WHAT THEY WANT. — ZIG ZIEGLER**

**FRAUD DISCLOSURE:** Communicating through email is not secure or confidential; therefore, Berkshire Hathaway HomeServices California Properties will never send instructions to you regarding wire transferring of funds or requests for confidential financial information such as credit card numbers or bank account or routing numbers by email. If you receive an email concerning any transaction involving Berkshire Hathaway HomeServices California Properties that requests financial or confidential information, do not respond to the email and immediately contact BHHSCP IT Department at (858) 792-6085.

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Steve Ferry <sjferry@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 28, 2022 2:27 PM  
**To:** Villalobos, David; sbcob  
**Subject:** Please deny the Galileo Pisa project

**Caution:** This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr. Villalobos – Please forward to County Board of Supervisors

Subject: Concerns Regarding Galileo Pisa Project at 101/Patterson

Dear Santa Barbara County Planning Commissioners:

I am a 38-year resident of the unincorporated area of the Goleta Valley. I live near the Highway 101/Patterson interchange and have used that interchange nearly every day while I have lived here. I have seen the traffic and danger of accidents at the interchange increase steadily over the years. The last thing that interchange needs is another housing project (or two)!

I urge you to deny this project based on the traffic impacts alone.

But this project would have other negative impacts which would be more than enough to require denial:

The project is too dense – 27 units is too many for this lot.

The project is too tall – three stories is out of character for this neighborhood.

The project too close to the freeway – residents will suffer from small-particle pollution from the freeway; such pollution can never be totally filtered out. Many jurisdictions now require housing to be at least 500 feet from freeways.

The proposed three-story building should be broken up into smaller two-story buildings. The parking lot should be located behind the apartments rather than along Calle Real. Mountain views should be preserved (consistent with the Eastern Goleta Valley Community Plan).

The proposed turn lane on Calle Real is in the wrong place. Accelerating traffic from Patterson onto Calle Real would go right into the back of likely traffic back-ups from the short proposed left turn lane. To make matters worse, drivers at the afternoon rush hour will have direct sun in their eyes. The potential for more accidents is obvious.

Considering all the negative impacts, I urge you to deny this project.

Sincerely,

Stephen J. Ferry

5557 Camino Galeana

Santa Barbara, CA 93111

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Jon Dohm <jondohm@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 28, 2022 2:54 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Re: Galileo Pisa Concerns for March 1 Hearing

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Yes to coffee. Anytime. Good luck tomorrow and thanks for all your efforts!!! This place is starting to look a little like Irvine.

Sent from my iPhone

> On Feb 28, 2022, at 12:01 PM, Jon Dohm <jondohm@gmail.com> wrote:

>

>

> Dear Supervisors:

>

> My wife and I live very close to the two, ill-conceived projects in close proximity to the Patterson Avenue/Calle Real intersection. One, adjacent to the northbound Patterson Avenue off-ramp is inconceivably poorly thought out and will create numerous traffic concerns at the existing intersection. The second, the subject of your hearing tomorrow, will continue this trend of shoe-horning large projects into very small areas at the Calle Real/Patterson intersection.

>

> As has been stated by numerous neighbors of the Galileo Pisa project, we too have numerous concerns about this project and implore your Board to deny the project.

>

> Our concerns are briefly stated below.

>

> 1. The project is too dense, too tall, and is inconsistent with the Community Plan. The State of California should not dictate overriding these concerns.

> 2. The proposed vehicular access to the project places a turn lane that is clearly too close to Patterson. This will pose new traffic and safety concerns and exacerbate existing traffic issues at an already crowded intersection..

> 3. Even the project's developer has expressed concerns about a nearby development and acknowledges many of the same concerns the neighborhood has expressed about the project.

> 4. Water use needs to be considered here. Residents are alarmed about the lack of rainfall to the Goleta area over the last several years, yet Goleta seems hell-bent on receiving all new projects. Where will all the new water usage come from?

>

> Please stand up to State mandates and do the right thing here. Deny this project.

>

> Jon and Karen Dohm

> Adjacent Residents since 1985

> SB Residents since 1974

>

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Joe Boris <pbandjnsb@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 28, 2022 2:57 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Galileo Pisa Concerns for Mar 1 Hearing

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

We would hope that the Board denies this development as:

1. It is too dense, too tall, and inconsistent with the Community Plan.
2. The proposed development will create additional traffic hazards in an area that already poses traffic and safety concerns.

Sincerely, Joseph and Patricia Boris

5331 Berkeley Rd

Santa Barbara 93111



## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Katie Sanders <katiesanders@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 28, 2022 3:19 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Galileo Pisa Concerns for Mar 1 Hearing

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Hi Clerk of the Board,

Please include the following email in the packet for review by the Board of Supervisors for the March 1st Hearing regarding the Galileo Pisa project.

I am writing to give input on the proposal to build apartments at the intersection of Calle Real and Patterson Ave. I am wholeheartedly opposed to this project and I believe it will cause a traffic nightmare at this intersection in addition to multiple other issues with parking and safety.

I agree with the recommendations in the [Patterson Neighborhoods letter to the Board of Supervisors](#).

There is another apartment project on the corner of Patterson and 101 off-ramp plus the project at Calle Real and Patterson. This intersection is already crowded with cars, but it will be horrendous once these apartments are built. I am appalled that there are 2 projects for high density housing at this intersection and as a neighbor, I feel powerless to have my voice heard.

My address is 5125 Tabano Way (off Patterson and University) and I will be directly impacted by the proposed project as I use the intersection on a daily basis.

The apartments should not be built for the following reasons:

1. Project is too dense, too tall, and is inconsistent with Community Plan aspirations.
2. Proposed access places a turn lane too close to Patterson - posing traffic and safety concerns.
3. The [developer's own words](#) of concern about a nearby development acknowledge many of the same concerns our neighborhood has about her project.

### Additional Resources

- [Concerns Map](#): An overview of traffic and planning concerns
- [Letter to Board of Supervisors](#): Stating the community's request for a thorough conversation about planning and traffic safety matters and suggested project improvements

Sincerely,

Katie Sanders

5125 Tabano Way  
Santa Barbara, CA 93111  
805-895-6786

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Maureen McFadden <mcmpr101@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 28, 2022 3:21 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Cc:** Paul Bradford  
**Subject:** Patterson & Calle Real Developmt

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ladies & Gentlemen,

I am writing to oppose any development at the intersection of Calle Real & Patterson. I am a resident of Goleta and live with 2 miles of this very, very busy intersection.

The added vehicle and probably pedestrian traffic will only make it worse.  
I present my personal experience as evidence for a NO on this proposal.

I had a very close call at that intersection Thanksgiving week. I actually saw my life pass before my eyes in seconds. The left turn light had engaged and the two lanes of cars were proceeding through the intersection. My car was about 3 or 4 back on the inside lane. Then...an SUV charged through the red light coming on Patterson towards the ocean. Where the two lanes were in motion. Cars were crossing Patterson, it was not at the change of alight ...noooo this driver was completely unaware and unapologetic. If another car in the turning lane had not blown its horn to alert us to this, I would not be writing to you now.

We landed about 18" from our front bumpers and I was physically shaking. Belated thank you to the driver who saw this car....not stopping and laid on their horn !!

It was the closest call I've ever had... the woman just shrugged as she took off. No apology, no are you okay or are you alright.

Please don't let this parcel become a dense apartment complex. You're asking for trouble, injuries and lawsuits.

Thank you  
Maureen McFadden

Rancho Goleta Mobile Home Park, Ward Drive, Goleta. 93111

Sent from my iPhone

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Ravid Raphael <r Raphael@twodancers.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 28, 2022 3:59 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Cc:** Arlene Raphael  
**Subject:** Galileo Pisa, LLC Apartments Project

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Santa Barbara County Supervisors:

We are writing in regards to the proposed Galileo Apartments project as a concerned neighbors.

While there is clearly a need for more housing in our county, the proposed density and size of this project is not an appropriate fit with our neighborhood. As we and many others pointed out to both the SBAR and Planning Commission, the building is too tall. The height will block significant views of our beautiful Santa Ynez mountains. The building should be lowered to two stories, particularly on the east end where the viewshed obstruction is the most impactful and would be more compatible with the neighborhood.

The Eastern Goleta Valley Community plan, which was developed with input from many stakeholders, has specific policies and guidelines for protecting viewsheds and promoting compatibility with the surrounding neighborhood. Unfortunately, neither SBAR nor the Planning Commission addressed these important policies and guidelines when presented with them.

We hope that the Board of Supervisors will take our concerns into consideration and send the project back for modification.

Sincerely,  
Ravid and Arlene Raphael  
5546 Berkeley Rd., Goleta