de la Guerra, Sheila Public Comment

From:

TURNER's <mt0923@aol.com>

Sent:

Monday, February 28, 2022 5:02 PM

To:

sbcob

Subject:

Items A-4 and 5



Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I would like to voice my strong objection to the following:

- **Gutting the Cap:** Item A-4, Would have the effect of allowing further proliferation of both processing and cultivation in Carpinteria Valley in excess of the 186 acre cap. In Carpinteria there are currently already 20 (!) different sites with processing permits (10 of which aren't even yet in operation but soon will be). The existing processing is already causing a huge nuisance. Removing processing from the cap of 186 acres should not be considered for Carpinteria, as we are already suffering from incredibly dense cannabis activities here.
- Appeal of Huge Processing Facility Near Beach: Item 5 Appeal hearing of G&K's
 (Graham Farrar's) proposed new 25,000 sq. foot warehouse located adjacent to Arroyo Paredon
 Creek at 3480 Via Real, in addition to his existing 8.17 acre cannabis project at 3561 Foothill
 Rd. County records show that Farrar's two Carpinteria properties are first and second for the
 most cannabis odor complaints filed by residents since 2015, with 285 and 252 complaints
 each. Last year, 100 members of Concerned Carpinterians signed a petition against this
 processing project.
 - o Carpinteria does not need more density of cannabis and processing activities, particularly when the grower has a poor track record. There are already 20 cannabis projects permitted or in the pipeline in the Nidever Rectangle area alone (between Nidever and Cravens, Foothill and Via Real).
 - o The County has been unable to enforce even its own meager controls that were supposed to protect residents. Case in point there have not been the required quarterly industrial hygienist inspections for the existing greenhouses on the site to ensure the odor control system is effective in preventing noxious fumes in residential zones. Planning officials cannot identify the source of odors when there are so many projects next to each other and as a result, there has been no effective enforcement.
 - o Additionally, the fumes from this location have been a significant nuisance in La Mirada, the Polo Condos and Santa Claus/Padaro areas even at the beach. There are significant Coastal Act considerations here, given that this project affects an ESH (environmentally sensitive habitat), the Arroyo Paredon coastal feeding creek and impacts residents' and visitors' ability to enjoy the coastal zone.

It is telling when the Carpinteria City Council via a formal letter and city planners have already voiced strong objections to this and it continues to fall on deaf ears.

Carpinteria Resident,

Lynn Turner