Ramirez, Angelica

From:

Villalobos, David

Sent:

Friday, January 24, 2020 1:33 PM

To:

sbcob

Cc:

Pfeifer, Kathy

Subject:

FW: Sierra Club Los Padres Chapter Support for Strauss Wind Project

Attachments:

Sierra Club Los Padres Chapter to BOS re Strauss Wind.pdf

From: Jonathan Ullman < jonathan.ullman@sierraclub.org>

Sent: Friday, January 24, 2020 12:27 PM

To: Villalobos, David <dvillalo@co.santa-barbara.ca.us>; Williams, Das <DWilliams@countyofsb.org>; Hartmann, Joan

<jHartmann@countyofsb.org>; Adam, Peter <peter.adam@countyofsb.org>; Lavagnino, Steve

<steve.lavagnino@countyofsb.org>; Briggs, Errin <ebriggs@co.santa-barbara.ca.us>; Zorovich, John <Jzoro@co.santa-barbara.ca.us>; McShirley, Kadie <kmcshirley@countyofsb.org>; Fischer, Gina <gFischer@countyofsb.org>; Bertrand,

Ethan <eBertrand@countyofsb.org>; Kruzel, Ashley BOS D2 <Ashley.Kruzel@countyofsb.org>

Subject: Sierra Club Los Padres Chapter Support for Strauss Wind Project

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Supervisors and staff:

Please accept the attached letter from the Sierra Club Los Padres Chapter in support of the Strauss Wind Project.

Regards,

Jonathan Ullman Director Sierra Club Los Padres Chapter Santa Barbara and Ventura Counties, California

P O Box 31241 Santa Barbara, CA 93130-1241

Phone: (805) 617-3106



Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors

123 E. Anapamu Street

January 23, 2020

Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Via email: sbcob@co.santa-barbara.ca.us

Re: Strauss Wind Energy Project (Modified Project Layout) - SUPPORT

Dear Honorable Supervisors,

As the oldest environmental organization in California, the Sierra Club is deeply committed to conservation. Our local chapter has been protecting the Gaviota Coast, our oak woodlands and our region's unique ecosystems for more than sixty years. We lead hundreds of free hikes every year and engage in environmental and climate advocacy and education every day. Despite the identified impacts, on balance, we see this project as the best way to advance conservation goals. We support staff's recommendation of denying the appeals and approving the environmentally superior modified project as unanimously approved by the Planning Commission.

- Oak removal is a serious concern, which is why we supported the "environmentally superior alternative" which greatly decreased the number of oak tree removals. Unlike oil, which is a highly globalized product, electric energy is used locally. The energy produced here will be used here and along PG&Es transmission lines. We have limited options for producing that power and none without impacts. This is the only serious wind proposed in our county in the past decade. Northern Santa Barbara County, located at one end of PG&E's grid, needs some forms of utility power generation as we begin a transition to meet California's 100% renewable energy goal.
- We appreciate the EIR's detailed look at risk to birds and comprehensive mitigations, including BIO-15a which requires surveys so that they site each turbine away from nests, water sources and bird-attracting habitat. The modified plan also reduces turbines in areas where raptors were observed, and "active control technology" would shut down turbines if large birds are detected on site per BIO-15b. There is no evidence that additional measures, such as more smaller turbines or different placement would be any better.
- We also understand the impacts to plants like the Gaviota tarplant. We live in a unique and biodiverse region. On the plus side, this project will enable conservation measures and studies that wouldn't otherwise be possible.
- Big picture, climate change poses the biggest threat to birds and other species.
 Golden eagles, for instance, are losing breeding range due to climate change,
 and their migration patterns are out of sync with when food is available to
 feed their young. The risk to our ecosystems and birds like these is not that

Chair Katie Davis

Vice-Chair Jim Hines

Secretary Gerry Ching

*Treasurer*Richard Hunt

At Large Michael Stubblefield

At Large David Gold

At Large Alex Pujo

Arguello Group Rep Rebecca August

SB Group Rep Martha Sadler

Conejo Group Rep Hugh Warren

Ventura Network Rep Elizabeth Lamar we will build wind turbines, but that we will not build enough wind turbines fast enough to save them.

• We should also keep in mind that people, including our friends and neighbors, are demonstrably suffering due to climate change already. The fires and mudslides here were made more deadly and damaging due to climate change. People have died. People have lost everything they own. People are traumatized and struggling to put their lives back together. (The three most expensive wildfires in world history have happened in the last two years in California.) In addition, people are suffering from the pollution associated with our current still mostly fossil-fuel energy supply. The only thing that has changed in the last decade since the original Lompoc Wind Project was approved, is the urgency of action on climate change. Scientific report after report explains that failure to rapidly transition away from fossil fuel energy will bring profound societal and ecosystem crisis — in our lifetimes and for generations as far into the future as we can imagine. This is the challenge of our time. Your decisions will help determine whether we do our part in meeting it or not.

We disagree with the impacts related to "visibility" of the turbines. We look forward to one day viewing the wind turbines as a positive symbol of our clean energy future and a beacon of hope for a more sustainable world.

We urge you to approve the Strauss Wind project.

Thank you,

Jonathan Ullman Chapter Director

Sierra Club, Los Padres Chapter