# SANTA BARBARA COUNTY BOARD AGENDA LETTER



Clerk of the Board of Supervisors 105 E. Anapamu Street, Suite 407 Santa Barbara, CA 93101 (805) 568-2240 Agenda Number:

Prepared on: 03-07-06

Department Name: Public Works

Department No.: 054/02/02

Agenda Date: 03/28/06

Placement: Departmental

**Estimate Time:** 60 min total; 30 min presentation

**Continued Item:** NO **If Yes, date from:** 

**TO:** Board of Supervisors

**FROM:** Phillip M. Demery, Director x3010

**Public Works** 

STAFF Scott D. McGolpin - 568-3064
CONTACT: Deputy Director-Transportation

**SUBJECT:** Road Maintenance Annual Plan, FY 2006-07

All Supervisorial Districts

## **Recommendation(s):**

That the Board of Supervisors:

- A. Adopt the FY 2006-07 Road Maintenance Annual Plan (RdMap);
- B. Approve the funded projects listed for Maintenance Program (County Forces) and Surface Treatment Program (Contracts/County Forces) within the Road Maintenance Annual Plan;
- C. Approve Notice of Exemptions pursuant to the County's California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) guidelines for the above; (POST)
- D. Authorize the Director of Public Works to advertise the above mentioned projects contained within the FY 2006-07 Road Maintenance Annual Plan.

## **Alignment with Board Strategic Plan:**

The recommendations are primarily aligned with Goal No. 1: An efficient Government Able to Respond Effectively to the Needs of the Community.

Agenda Date: March 28, 2006

Page 2

# **Executive Summary and Discussion:**

# Road Infrastructure and Summary of Needs

The Transportation Division of the Public Works Department is responsible for the repair and maintenance of the County transportation system. This system includes over 1,660 lane miles of roadways and their adjacent bike paths and major bridge and culvert structures. The system also includes over 15,000 street trees, curb, gutter and sidewalks (including curb ramps for the physically challenged), equestrian trails and traffic signals. The estimated replacement value of the taxpayers' investment is in excess of \$250 million.

In the first RdMap, the Department quantified the needs for pavement resurfacing and bridge repair and replacement. Since then, the Department has been striving to further quantify the transportation system by beginning drainage, hardscape and real property inventory programs to meet the requirements of Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) 34. With these programs, a more complete picture of the system and its needs have been developed. Presently, the identified backlog of road maintenance needs totals \$135 million over the next five years. The following table shows the existing unfunded backlog for each component of the infrastructure:

Infrastructure Component	Unfunded Backlog
Pavement	\$70 Million
Concrete	22 Million
Bridge Maintenance	18 Million
Drainage	25 Million
Totals	\$135 Million

This estimate is based on a pavement re-evaluation conducted in 2005. This backlog has increased by \$15 million since last year due in part to the non-local revenue that has been decreased or delayed over the last three fiscal years as well as normal degradation of the system at a rate of 3% annually. Also, in 2005 asphalt prices continued to rise and rose over 65% for this fiscal year alone.

## Transportation Funding

The Department's primary sources of annual revenue to address the repair and maintenance of the County transportation infrastructure system needs are State gas taxes and Measure "D" local sales tax. In a typical year, only the Measure "D" "Maintenance of Effort" obligation of \$851,189 is provided from the General Fund through the collection of Property Tax. This represents \$1.55 for every \$1,000 of Property Tax collected.

In 2001, the Governor signed AB 2928 which later became voter approved Proposition 42; approximately \$1 billion was distributed directly to cities and counties for preservation of the local road system. The County's portion of this bill was based on an allocation formula of 75% registered vehicles and 25% maintained road miles. Again, due to the State Budget shortfalls these revenues for FY 2004-05 were suspended. In FY 2005-06 this funding was

Agenda Date: March 28, 2006

Page 3

originally proposed to be suspended as well due to a caveat in the proposition but local agencies ended up receiving these revenues. Due to the late notification by the State of this funding coming to us we were not able to program the funding in last fiscal year's RdMap; however this funding will be built into this upcoming year's surface treatment program. After FY 2005-06, we were advised that Proposition 42 funding would once again be suspended for two fiscal years as decided by the State. However, we recently were informed that the State has worked out a financial arrangement that will allow this funding to continue through the next two fiscal years. The fiscal impact to the County could have been approximately \$1.4 million less in revenue annually to maintain our Transportation Infrastructure System in fiscal years FY 2006-07 as well as FY 2007-08. This funding has not yet been programmed as we want to actually receive the funding from the State prior to putting a plan together; our hope would be to approach your Board in the second quarter with a surface treatment plan for Proposition 42 revenues.

State Transportation Improvement Plan (STIP) revenue, is another source of maintenance funding, which is significantly lower than was projected when the 2002 STIP was adopted by the California Transportation Commission (CTC). As such, many projects in California have been delayed and placed on a waiting list for funding. Originally, State Transportation funding in the amount of \$6.3 million was made available for the County's backlog of pavement maintenance needs. However, the CTC has re-programmed this pavement rehabilitation funding to FY 2006-2007. Unfortunately, due to the log jam created at the State level for funding STIP projects, the CTC created a priority system for allocating projects. Under the priority system, road rehabilitation projects were determined to be "tier 3" projects and were not likely to receive funding until sometime in the future. This decision had two negative implications for the Transportation Infrastructure System: a delay in much needed surface treatments is experienced by the County; and by the time this funding becomes available for our use, the proposed strategies will more than likely change, requiring additional revenue to complete the plan.

This fiscal year (FY 2006-07) your Board, has in principle, proposed an additional \$500,000 from the County's General Fund Designations to go towards the repair and maintenance of the transportation infrastructure system. The Department has worked with the five Districts to designate projects for this funding to include in the RdMap.

## The Road Maintenance Annual Plan Process

On June 28, 1994, your Board approved the road maintenance planning process, as well as the first Public Works Road Maintenance Annual Plan (RdMap) for FY 1994-95. This approval has led to the development of the draft final RdMap for FY 2006-07 prepared for the County's transportation infrastructure system before your Board today for approval.

The FY 2006-07 RdMap process is similar to the process used to create the prior RdMaps. The proposed planning process began with the identification of annual needs and staff preparation of preliminary project descriptions. Once the preliminary prioritized list was developed, the Department met with each Supervisor independently to inform the Board of the plan and the proposed process. The public workshops were also conducted in the respective Supervisorial Districts to obtain input regarding the plan. The plan was revised based on public input and, if needed, supplemented by environmental surveys and further

Agenda Date: March 28, 2006

Page 4

engineering analysis. The Department recommends that the Board approve the FY 2006-07 RdMap at this time.

## **Workshops**

The workshops this year were noticed in various newspapers within the County and held on March 1, 2006, for the residents of the First and Second Supervisorial Districts as well as the southern half of the Third Supervisorial District; March 2, 2006, for the residents of the northern half of the Third Supervisorial District, Fourth and Fifth Supervisorial Districts. Additionally, the workshops were also noticed by direct mailing to Community Groups as well as interested individuals who contacted the Department within the past year concerning road maintenance issues.

The purpose of the workshops was to present the proposed RdMap for Fiscal Year 2006-07 to the public and describe the role of the Road Maintenance Section within the Department and to receive comments and input on the proposed RdMap. It is at these meetings that the public is able to meet with Public Work's professionals within their County and explain their concerns dealing with maintenance within the road right-of-ways.

In all meetings the individual concerns of the citizens were listed on Project Initiation Requests that were evaluated and prioritized by staff in the week after these meetings. The majority of the issues raised by citizens during these workshops concerned the attendees' residential streets. In the past, the Department has recommended that each Supervisor's office utilize the additional \$500,000 from the County's General Fund, provided it is approved within the Budget process, towards the citizen requested repairs identified during the workshop process. The Department has met or contacted each Supervisor's office after the workshops to discuss priorities within each District. The results of these discussions have been incorporated within the plan before you today for approval.

With the Department's limited funding available for the maintenance of the Transportation Infrastructure, it is important to protect our most used and vital assets, referred to as the backbone of the system. The backbone is defined as the arterials, collectors and major rural roads of this County. Without these roads in a preventive maintenance classification (i.e.: requiring a fog or slurry seal, thin or standard overlay), the majority of commuters within this County are impacted. In the last six years, your Board has directed the Department to utilize all of the various pavement preservation revenue sources in order to save as many lane miles of the Transportation Infrastructure as possible. As such, staff was able to re-treat all of the roads that had been worked on with Measure "D" since 1989 in order to preserve that investment. Also, the State and Federal grants we received made it possible to bring certain urban and rural roads back into a pavement preservation classification. At this time, 65% of our system is in a pavement preservation classification.

## Summary of RdMap FY 2005-06

In 1985, the County began monitoring the pavement component of the infrastructure using a Carter Pavement Management System (PMS). In recent years, the Department has successfully converted to MicroPAVER PMS and is now recognized as a leader in the utilization of this technology. MicroPAVER provides full compliance with the Modified Approach to accounting for infrastructure in the GASB 34 and is used by over 600 cities,

Agenda Date: March 28, 2006

Page 5

counties, states and countries. The utilization of this system integrated with Geographic Information Systems (GIS) has given the Department powerful tools to plan, maintain, and analyze the County's pavement network. Every year, one-third of the County pavement network is re-evaluated.

Transportation Division staff has utilized inspection contractors to document "distresses" (defects or indicators of problems), the severity of the distresses, and the quantity of each distress for a third of the County maintained roads in FY 2004-05.

From July 1, 2005 through March 9, 2006, the Department received approximately 341 Public Service Requests. Virtually all were responded to or completed within 24 hours after receipt.

In FY 2005-06, maintenance crews applied over 9,500 tons of asphalt and approximately 25,000 pounds of crack sealer to the County's maintained road system. Maintenance crews also applied 27,800 gallons of "Pass QB" fog seal material to county roads. The majority of the asphalt was applied specifically for roadway dig-outs and level-course work done in conjunction with Measure 'D' surface treatment projects or as maintenance projects to prevent the decline of ride quality and safety. Crack-seal applications have extended the life of recent overlays by sealing the asphalt roadway from the degrading effects of weather and traffic. Additionally, approximately 850 lane miles of culverts were cleaned in preparation for winter rains. Maintenance personnel also performed routine and corrective patching and shoulder repair, as well as extensive road shoulder mowing and tree trimming throughout the County.

The ongoing Partnership Program, in which property owners participate in a cost sharing effort, removed and replaced 1,200 linear feet linear feet of sidewalk throughout the County.

# *RdMap FY 2006-07*

Those roadways included in this fiscal year's program are listed in the RdMap in the Surface Treatment Program (Contract/County Forces) by Districts. As discussed above, Measure "D" is one of the County's primary sources of funding for maintenance of our transportation infrastructure system. Specifically, Measure "D" is typically utilized for preventive maintenance needs which takes the form of major contracts to outside contractors within our County. The concept of preventive maintenance promotes the principle that pavement life can be significantly extended through periodic seal coating, resurfacing and patching of the existing asphalt surfaces (*i.e.: providing the right treatment at the right time*). This document includes approximately 245.8 lane miles of County roadways for surface treatment this fiscal year. These lane miles will be treated and placed into a preventive maintenance mode, which will include fog seals, slurry seals, scrub seals or an asphalt concrete overlay. This proposed plan also includes General Fund Designations of \$500,000 your Board approves in principle annually. Staff has worked with each Supervisors office to prioritize this effort.

## Project Approval, CEQA Determination and Authority to Advertise

The FY 2006-07 RdMap recommends the approval of the Maintenance Program (County Forces) and Surface Treatment Program (Contracts/County Forces). This year's Surface Treatment Program includes both Measure "D" and General Fund Designation funded projects.

Agenda Date: March 28, 2006

Page 6

Board of Supervisor's approval of these projects and the attached CEQA documents will commence the appeal period, pursuant to CEQA guidelines, Section 15062. The Department requests that authority be granted to the Director of Public Works to advertise the funded projects listed for Surface Treatment Program (Contracts/County Forces), any General Fund Designations received and Tree Partnership Program contracts which are utilized to accelerate these efforts.

Sealed proposals will be received at the Public Works Conference Room, County of Santa Barbara, Department of Public Works, County Engineering Building, 123 E. Anapamu Street, Santa Barbara, California on a date to be determined and will be opened publicly and read aloud.

The proposed projects listed as Construction Projects and Storm Repair and Restoration Projects within the Supervisorial Districts and made part of the RdMap will require further environmental review. Projects within these categories are identified in the annual plan for reference and disclosure purposes only.

#### **Mandates and Service Levels:**

The current funding level for Road Maintenance purposes in the County does not fully fund a preventive maintenance program. Prioritized preventive and corrective maintenance activities that are recommended for funding are identified for each Supervisorial District.

# **Fiscal and Facilities Impacts:**

The FY 2006-07 RdMap projects total approximately \$12.7 million and will be funded primarily by Measure "D" and State Gas Tax revenues. In addition, your Board has in principle, proposed an additional \$500,000 in County General Fund Designations for the repair and maintenance of the transportation infrastructure system. This revenue has also been programmed within the RdMap budget for FY 2006-07.

# **Special Instructions:**

Please forward a Minute Order approving the Recommendations to the attention of Gena Valentine-Felix, Ext. 3064 of the Public Works Transportation Division.

#### **Enclosures:**

- #1 Road Maintenance Annual Plan for Fiscal Year 2006-07 (Available Wednesday, March 22, 2006)
- #2 Notice of Exemptions for each Supervisorial District (5)

Board Letter Authored By: Phil Demery, #3010 and Scott McGolpin, #3064