80 N. Patterson Appeal: Recap

Traffic Complexities, Gateway Compatibility, Uncertainty of Intensity

- It's always been a **challenging site**. No traffic engineer would design and intersection like this one
- **Over-reliance** on County and Cal-Trans ROW for landscape buffer
- **Parking lot in front** not consistent with the western side of Patterson as a gateway project
- **Director's Report** issued in spite of a history of controversy
- Mailed noticing to only **12 residences**
- As I've said before, the architecture is **aesthetically pleasing**
- Inadequacies of the traffic report
- The uncertainties of use and occupancy load, combined with driving complexities and confirmed collision data are key reasons for supporting this appeal and backing 225 neighbors

Occupancy, parking, and uncertainty of use



80 N. Patterson Appeal:

Occupancy, parking, future use

2

80 N. Patterson Appeal

Traffic Complexities, Gateway Compatibility, Uncertainty of Intensity



- Patterson northbound traffic crests over 101, focused on lane changes and merging traffic from 101
- At 45 mph posted speed limit, that distance is covered in 1.5 seconds



80 N. Patterson Traffic Realities:

"...in my view, a very clear and present danger of collisions." Planning Commissioner Michael Cooney (4/25/18)

CHP Traffic Collision Reports

Patterson Ave between 101 and Calle Real Confirmed by CHP Officer Jon Gutierrez



It's Not a Safe Bet

- The **traffic study does not address** turning movement counts, maximum north bound and south bound queues between intersections, gaps in traffic flows (north and south), timing between off ramp and proposed driveway
- Inaccurate narrative on collisions. BOS and perhaps other decisionmakers relied on incomplete data
- Actual CHP collision data shows problem increasing
- Indicates the need for an environmental document on traffic for this or ANY future project
- Approving this is not a safe bet for the neighborhood. With the collision data, and by approving traffic flows at potentially a 90 degree angle, it's possibly a collision liability for the County and taxpayers.
- Support the appeal, deny the project, conduct a thorough traffic study (directed by County not applicants), and let the applicant come back with an appropriate proposal



80 N. Patterson Traffic Realities:

"...in my view, a very clear and present danger of collisions." Planning Commissioner Michael Cooney (4/25/18)