

#3

Ramirez, Angelica

Public Comment - Group 2

From: edurak@medhealthfit.com
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2020 4:26 PM
To: sbcob
Cc: Travis Middleton
Subject: County Restrictions on Health

LATE
DIST

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to the county of Santa Barbara regarding the reported retaliation by officials by persons who do not social distance, wear masks, or stay indoors.

I write this as a health professional (medical research, public health, environmental health, and wellness). I will make my case brief.

1. According to top medical officials not employed by the federal government - epidemiological data on case fatality rates shows that the pandemic is over at the end of this month - so any measures to keep people out of work, in their homes, masked up, and away from others are misguided. According to Ioannidis and Levitt (Stanford Univ). state that the numbers indicate an end to the pandemic by August 25 - this week.

2. State health officials should declare epidemics when there is a clear and present danger to citizens - this has not been shown with covid-19. In fact - in all other epidemics, closures were 2-4 weeks maximum. This pandemic has been going on for over six months. A clear violation of health policy and constitutional freedoms. County health officials do not have the authority to close businesses indefinitely.

3. Since there are over 40 studies showing the health effects of masks - county officials should be concerned over the legal implications of mandating something that causes harm to persons - lung tissue, oxygenation, and body function. It would stand to reason that health and public officials would be sued if any member of public comes down with a pulmonary disease directly related to wearing masks.

4. Union workers and others with contracts who are now mandated to wear masks would have grounds to sue based on breach of contract.

5. By mandating illegal measures long after the end of the curve, the county is setting itself up for constitutional violations on a number of levels. In order to restore a more normal return to business - the country of Santa Barbara should look into the science of reopening based on current information.

- Health clubs and health spas should be open in the fall
- movie theaters, concert halls and open air gathering should be taking place by the end of the year.
- by new years - 2021 - all businesses should be back to normal - with no use of contact tracing or other measures.
- the country should only use reliable blood testing for looking at covid cases, as the PCR testing has a predictive value of just .55 - or 50% (a terrible reliability value).

We would hope that the county relies on true scientific information - rather than politics, to shape the course of their actions going forward.

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Anwanur Gielow <emesty@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2020 4:37 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Read into the Record

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

I firmly oppose any law or ordinance that would require individuals to wear masks or restrict gatherings. The people of Santa Barbara County are capable of making the informed decisions to keep themselves and the community healthy without such harsh measures.

This proposed legislation on SARS2-COVID19 compliance has no basis in science. Show us the science that says medical interventions such as masks work to slow the spread of SARS2-COVID19. The CDC links to studies are inconclusive; and there are dozens of studies since 2005 that show masks do little to stop the spread of an aerosol size virus (such as COVID) and often do more HARM, READ THE STUDIES! Proper immune health and hygiene have a greater impact on any infectious illness.

This started with a two-week quarantine to "flatten the curve" so that hospitals wouldn't be overwhelmed? That was 5 MONTHS ago. Facilities are not being as overwhelmed. The numbers are five one-hundredths of what we were told it would be. The survival rate is OVER 99%. We have never done all this for a bad flu season or any other more deadly viruses. Other countries have had just the same success rates if not better without the harsh mandates. If the expectation is that no one ever gets sick again or zero cases of SARS2-COVID19, that's completely unreasonable! That's not how epidemiology works, read the science.

Please oppose any proposals to mandate or make into law the medical intervention of wearing masks. Thank you.

Anwanur Gielow
Buellton

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Mariah Higbee <mariahhighbee@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2020 4:40 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Oppose issuing citations and fines relating to Coronavirus

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I am a lifelong resident of Santa Barbara county and strongly oppose the county of Santa Barbara fining its residents for anything related to Coronavirus.

Mariah Higbee
1691 Eucalyptus Dr. Solvang

Ramirez, Angelica

From: KIMBERELY CASAZZA <casazzafam4@msn.com>
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2020 4:43 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Comment about Fining citizens Masks

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you for reading my comment and considering my opinion! I am a school teacher in Santa Maria.

How did the reign of terror begin after the French Revolution and then cause Napoleon to come to power?

It was all due to a man named Robspierre. He was the head of Public Safety and swept away basic freedoms of the French people all under the guise of :

The Committee of PUBLIC SAFETY

We must never let this happen ! Can History repeat itself ? Yes, because basic human behavior does not change. We are fearful and love to tell people what to do.

Now YOU Board of Supervisors , under the guise of Public Safety and fear are wanting to fine and almost criminalize people to do a certain behavior. BEWARE of setting a very, very dangerous precedent.

This will open the door to making a group of people who simply have a different opinion than you to be FINED and criminalized. It will also open the door to citizens reporting on citizens. Sounds like what Hitler did, Mao in China, Lenin and Stalin in Russia, Pol Pot in Cambodia, Ayatollah Khomeini. You get the idea?

This must not happen. I must strongly speak out. I beg you to Not pass this ordinance. It sets a very dangerous precedent.

It will start something that you may never get control of again.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Casazza

Sent from Mail for Windows 10