Homeless Mentally Ill Indigent Recidivism

Board of Supervisors Response to the Grand Jury

Grand Jury Report Themes

- Target population = Homeless Persons who are Indigent with Mental Illness and Jail Recidivisim
- Few opportunities for sustained treatment
- Role of ADMHS and Sheriff's Departments
 - Sheriff = MH assessment, case management, transitioning to out-patient care
 - ▶ ADMHS = Organize, monitor out-patient care to end the cycle
- Community, Judicial, Funding options

Findings

Grand Jury Findings

- Response costs \$12M/year
- Heroux Report estimated saving from ongoing treatment
- No cost estimate of Homeless Persons who are Indigent with Mental Illness and Jail Recidivisim
- Lack of treatment beds
- Other counties exceed State requirements
- Treatment Courts are effective
- ▶ 50 agencies provide support
- No coordinating entity currently

Proposed Response

- Agree

Recommendations

Grand Jury Recommendation

- Compare incarceration costs to cost of comprehensive services
- Hold Prison Health Services (PHS) accountable for MH screening
- Hold PHS accountable for continuum of services
- Hold PHS accountable for providing a foundation for a crime-free life

Proposed Response

- Further analysis
- Implemented
- Implemented
- Implemented

Recommendations

Grand Jury Recommendation

- Audit jail MH care practices
- Price out-patient treatment center
- Price out converting jail beds to treatment beds
- Fund MH Treatment Court expansion
- Direct ADMHS to lead all service efforts
- Direct ADMHS to develop outcomes
- Evaluate leadership in addressing the issue

Proposed Response

- Not to be implemented
- Implementation soon
- Already implemented

Recommendation Actions

- Consider and adopt responses in Attachment A
- Authorize the Chair to sign letter in Attachment A and forward the letter to the Presiding Judge with copy to the Foreperson of the Grand Jury