



#4

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: Graham Farrar <graham@glasshousefarms.org>
Sent: Monday, July 9, 2018 9:41 PM
To: Adam, Peter; Hartmann, Joan; Lavagnino, Steve; Williams, Das; Wolf, Janet
Cc: Nelson, Bob; Litten, Jefferson; Bantilan, Cory; Elliott, Darcel; O'Gorman, Mary; sbcob; Bozanich, Dennis
Subject: public comment D4 cannabis fees

Good afternoon Board Members,

Please do not support item D4 on your agenda tomorrow. This would require cannabis applicants to pay for costs for processing appeals related to their proposed cannabis operations. It is unreasonable that the applicant (who is trying to do the right thing and operate compliantly or they wouldn't be in the process in the first place) should incur the full costs of the appeal.

If the county does not require the appellant to at least partially pay for the appeal, anyone who is ideologically opposed to the industry can file an endless number of appeals indiscriminately. As a result, the industry's pathway to compliance and permitting could be slowed and the County will not generate revenue needed for enforcement, and regulation of the new industry.

Please do not support the amendment, which is overly onerous and unwarranted. If the objective is to normalize the cannabis industry, this proposed amendment is inconsistent with the County's goals, and treats the cannabis industry differently than other applicants.

Thank you,
Graham Farrar