

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Bryan Anderson <sbbryand@hotmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 7, 2021 9:12 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: General comment



Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I am writing to oppose the student Covid vaccine mandates in our county and state. Children are at a minimum risk and the side effects are more of a danger than the virus in many cases. There are also effective treatments as well. The families can decide what is best for their child rather than have the government overreach on this personal choice. My wife and I can decide what is best for our children and so can my neighbors; all the while being respectful towards one another's choices.

Sincerely,
Bryan Anderson

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Nicholle Montalvo <montalvocountry@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 7, 2021 10:00 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: COVID update, public comment

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Supervisors,

I am very concerned with and strongly opposed to the sweeping mandates being imposed. As you are well aware, hardworking employees who were hailed as “proudly essential” for over a year are being coerced into taking a shot under the threat of loss of their livelihoods. In my son’s school alone, multiple teachers are now on unpaid leave for refusing to compromise their constitutional rights to bodily autonomy. Even more frightening, our innocent children are the next target.

Numerous countries around the globe are recognizing COVID for what it is: a seasonal illness akin to the flu. The reality is that this virus is not going away; it will mutate each year and the “vaccine” will offer varying degrees of protection. Furthermore, there are effective treatments on the market, with additional treatments being introduced, including a pill recently developed by Pfizer, who touted the pill as cutting the risk of hospitalization and death by 90%. There is simply no reason to force the public into taking an experimental shot, especially when there are effective alternatives available.

At last week’s meeting, Supervisor Hart lamented the seemingly repetitive comments from the public expressing dissent. Supervisor Hart, we cannot and will not sit silently and allow the egregious overreach to continue. We are fighting for your rights, too. Anyone who wishes to get the COVID shot or any other medical treatment they desire should have the freedom to make that choice; the same applies to those who do not wish to take the shot.

We will continue to voice opposition and fight to preserve the freedoms guaranteed in our Constitution.

Sincerely,
Nicholle Montalvo

Sent from Mrs. Montalvo's iPhone.

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Kelley Clay <kelleyclay65@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 7, 2021 10:10 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Mask Madness

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

When will it end? Especially for elementary aged children?! I work with 5 year olds teaching them to read...letters, sounds, phonics, basically. How am I to do that when they are wearing masks? I teach them outside and because masking is optional outside I say, "I am taking a mask break and you may do the same." Some are quick to rip them off. But you should see the dirt, snot, spit, and blood all behind the masks. There is nothing hygienic about it PLUS children need to see each others' and adults' facial expressions. It's part of healthy childhood development. I'm finding black, rotting teeth behind these masks. You name it! Please make the madness end. You're ruining these children.

Kelley Clay

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Anne Messner <messneranne@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 7, 2021 10:30 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Agenda Item - Covid Update

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I would like to ask why Santa Barbara County is still under emergency orders. The health department extended the indoor mask mandate for another month because the case rate is 10 per 100,000 and won't be rescinded until it is less than 6 cases per 100,000. A case rate of 10 per 100,000 is already an extremely low case rate. There is no reason to continue with the indoor mask mandate.

The Covid vaccines should not be mandated. These vaccines are too new. No one knows what the long term side effects might be since the vaccine was just released last year. Especially for children, there should be no mandates. Children are more likely to be struck by lightning than to die of Covid.

The health department seems only interested in promoting the Covid vaccine. What about monoclonal antibody sites? Ivermectin has been used safely and successfully in India. Why is ivermectin dismissed out of hand here? Ivermectin has been around for many years now and even received a Nobel prize. Could it be that it is off patent and not profitable for the pharmaceutical companies?

Are the Pfizer Covid vaccines that are currently available in Santa Barbara County the Biontech vaccine or the Comirnaty vaccine? It is important the people are aware of what vaccine they are getting and the legal distinction between the two. Biontech only has emergency use authorization from the FDA and thus Pfizer is protected from any and all liability for injuries or deaths related to this product.

Respectfully submitted,

Anne Messner
Goleta, CA

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Rebecca Fanshier <rebeccafanshier@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 8, 2021 6:42 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: public comment
Attachments: letter to board of supervisors.docx

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Please see the attached letter for public comment at tomorrow's meeting.

Rebecca Fanshier

I am writing you today to remind you of your place. You are an elected board, not an appointed or inherited one. This means you can be unelected. You are not my lord, I am not your subject or serf, I do not serve you, you serve me. You have been derelict in your duties in our county. You have allowed public health to determine rules for us based on control, not on science, and it MUST STOP NOW. The people have had enough.

The claim is that all of your mandates filtered through public health are based on science. Let's start with masks. I did some research. According to one study: "Invasion of particles with cloth masks was almost 97% and 44% with medical masks. Analysis revealed significant higher influenza like illness (RR=6.64, 95% CI 1.45-28.65) and laboratory confirmed virus (RR=1.72, 95% CI 1.01-2.94) in the cloth mask group as compared to the medical mask group. ...Both surgical mask and cotton mask were ineffective in preventing the spread of SARS-COV-2 with a reported median viral loads of 2.42 log copies/ml and 1.85 log copies/ml after cough by infected patients with surgical mask and cotton mask respectively." The link for this study is <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7497125/> if you wish to look at it for yourselves. Mind you when medical professionals wear masks, they are trained in the correct procedures and often have fitted n-95 masks. The way most people wear them, ie touching them constantly, wearing them repeatedly with no cleaning between, dropping them and putting them back on, is not effective and safe and actually can lead to other infections. In other words, mandating masks isn't zero risk and can actually cause harm while at the same time not granting any benefit. This doesn't even touch the drop in oxygen several have demonstrated to happen or the psychological damage this is causing, particularly to our children.

I will go on to say that the segregation of our children within the school system in Santa Barbara County must stop. You just read that masks, particularly as they will be used by children, will do nothing to decrease transmission, but lets talk about viral load between vaccinated and unvaccinated. First you must recognize that far more individuals than you have records of have had covid and recovered in our county. Why is this? Well particularly during surges of infection, families were instructed not to test ALL family members as the system was overwhelmed. They were instructed that if one person in the household tested positive and others had symptoms to treat that as a positive. I know this is what my family was told. There are 5 of us and we only had one official positive test yet all 5 of us have antibodies. This likely means that for every positive test on record there are likely 3-4 more that went unrecorded. No studies have been done on viral loads of unvaccinated and recovered from covid versus vaccinated, however there have been several studies between strictly unvaccinated and vaccinated and the conclusion has been that the viral load is equal in both groups if not higher in the vaccinated group. According to a Yale study, "A total of 869 samples, 500 from HYT and 369 from UeS, were included in the analysis. All analyzed samples from HYT were asymptomatic at the time of collection and 75% of the positive samples were from unvaccinated individuals

(N=375). Positive samples from UeS were from both symptomatic (N=237) and asymptomatic individuals (N=132). The frequency of vaccine breakthroughs among the UeS samples (171 fully vaccinated, 198 unvaccinated) was greater than among the HYT samples, reflecting the different types of populations sampled. The Delta variant was the predominant variant detected in both populations (Supplementary Table 1).

There were no statistically significant differences in mean Ct-values of vaccinated (UeS: 23.1; HYT: 25.5) vs. unvaccinated (UeS: 23.4; HYT: 25.4) samples. In both vaccinated and unvaccinated, there was great variation among individuals, with Ct-values of <15 to >30 in both UeS and HYT data (Fig. 1A, 1B). Similarly, no statistically significant differences were found in the mean Ct-values of asymptomatic (UeS: 24.3; HYT: 25.4) vs. symptomatic (UeS: 22.7) samples, overall or stratified by vaccine status (Fig. 1B). Similar Ct-values were also found among different age groups, between genders, and vaccine types...In all groups, there were individuals with low Ct-values indicative of high viral loads. A total of 69 fully vaccinated individuals had Ct-values <20. Of these, 24 were asymptomatic at the time of testing.”

Studies are showing no difference in viral load between vaccinated and unvaccinated, symptomatic or asymptomatic. They are also showing waning immunity of the vaccinated decreasing at the 2-month mark and disappearing at the 6-month mark.

<https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/nejmoa2114583> While at the same time showing robust and long lasting immunity in those that have recovered from COVID. :

<https://www.science.org/content/article/having-sars-cov-2-once-confers-much-greater-immunity-vaccine-vaccination-remains-vital> , <https://www.nih.gov/news-events/nih-research-matters/lasting-immunity-found-after-recovery-covid-19>

Despite the scientific evidence, you allowed our public health to make rules that segregate our children in school. Those that are vaccinated may stay in class if exposed despite evidence that they will shed the virus in high quantities. While the unvaccinated must quarantine or modify quarantine. You are also segregating staff. Those unvaccinated must test, while those that are vaccinated can freely participate in work without testing. Even though their likelihood of spreading it is equal. So truly these rules aren't protecting anyone. They are pushing an agenda based on control and fear mongering. Pushing and pushing parents driven by fear to vaccinate their children. Despite the fact that COVID basically does nothing to healthy children, while the vaccine has left thousands of children with a death sentence. The narrative is that over a thousand children got minor cases of myo/peri carditis. There is no such thing as a minor case. 50% will be dead in 5 years, which means effectively the vaccine has sentenced to death more children than the COVID virus did. Also take a look at the VAERS data: <https://openvaers.com/> Where there is risk there must be choice, yet you are allowing school boards within your county to vote for vaccine mandates for students and staff. Stop the madness.

Coupled with all of this science is the fact that most COVID deaths were avoidable if actual treatment were given. Instead, we have a medical establishment in our county sending people home to die with no treatment. When people seek out medical professionals that will treat

pharmacies are instructed not to fill the prescriptions. This isn't good medicine and is in direct violation of the hypocritic oath. Pharmacy's intervening is a violation of the Doctor patient relationship and is also illegal. There are treatments, but our public health has refused to allow doctors to use them. <https://ivmmeta.com/> ,
<https://indianexpress.com/article/cities/lucknow/uttar-pradesh-government-says-ivermectin-helped-to-keep-deaths-low-7311786/> ,
<https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamanetworkopen/fullarticle/2784998>

How can you in good conscience allow these edicts to be written and enforced? They are not based on science in fact they are often contrary to it and they provide people with a false sense of security. What should people do to prevent the spread? What has always been recommended but rarely followed...if you are sick stay home, wash your hands frequently with hot soapy water and avoid touching your eyes, nose and mouth. These will mitigate spread far more effectively than any of these arbitrary, unscientific and unlawful mandates. Instead of mandating your citizens to follow ridiculous protocols, you should mandate your public health to actually treat patients so we can prevent further deaths.

Thank you for your time

Rebecca Fanshier

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Tessa Bryan <bicsgirl@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 8, 2021 6:52 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: General comment

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

I have a question what is the definition of an emergency? We are being told all of the mandates in place are because we are in an emergency. So I what to know what defines an emergency so that we can all know when all the mandates will end.

Tessa Bryan

Sent from my iPhone

Ramirez, Angelica

From: E Ha <erikahargreaves@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 8, 2021 7:10 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: General Comment

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

PLEASE put an end to this mask mandate in young children. They don't understand.

The vaccination passport is an over reach. The vaccination mandate is an over reach. I can't even imagine putting poison that aren't proven to be safe or effective in a 5 year old. Please save the kids.

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Michelle Holland <michellehollandsb@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, November 8, 2021 9:33 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: General comments
Attachments: image2.png; ATT00001.txt; image1.jpeg; ATT00002.txt

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

CDC quietly admits the myocarditis issue on their website. See below.

A clinically mild case of myocarditis isn't anything to be relieved about....

20% of diagnosed myocarditis patients die within the first year, 50% die within 5 years.

It is a very sobering diagnosis. Those that survive have serious physical limitations. Once heart muscle dies, it does not come back.

Covid Vaccine Mandates Have been a death sentence to more young people than all of the previous vaccines combined.

The vaccines are so ineffective Israel is talking 4th booster already. Every shot interferes with the superior immune response from the body for future variants.

You are in the 65% of Milgrams famous experiment. You continue to mandate and inflict harm on others just because someone in a labcoat is telling you to do it.

You never question the public health authorities or challenge them with contradictory global peer reviewed studies.

We very clearly see you.

Myocarditis and Myocarditis with Pericarditis (Myopericarditis) Following COVID-19 Vaccination



Myocarditis and myopericarditis following mRNA COVID-19 vaccination

▪ Evidence from multiple safety monitoring systems in multiple countries supports the finding of an increased risk of myocarditis and myopericarditis following mRNA COVID-19 vaccination*

- Risk:
 - Highest in adolescents and young adults
 - Males > females
 - Following dose 2 > dose 1
- Onset clusters within a few days of vaccination, mostly within a week
- Cases have tended to be clinically mild



*European Medicines Agency (EMA) and other regulatory authorities have issued safety alerts regarding myocarditis and myopericarditis following mRNA COVID-19 vaccination. The information is based on data from multiple safety monitoring systems in multiple countries. The information is for informational purposes only and does not constitute a recommendation. For more information, please visit the EMA website at <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en>.

Considering the Israel vs Sweden data below comparing two countries with very different approaches (Israel vax mandates and Sweden relying heavily on natural immunity)

Are you all fired up to get your boosters soon?

Michelle Holland

Daily new confirmed COVID-19 deaths per million people



Shown is the rolling 7-day average. Limited testing and challenges in the attribution of the cause of death means that the number of confirmed deaths may not be an accurate count of the true number of deaths from COVID-19.

Deaths

LINEAR LOG



Source: Johns Hopkins University CSSE COVID-19 Data

CC BY

Feb 6, 2020 ————— Sep 5, 2021

CHART

MAP

TABLE

SOURCES

DOWNLOAD



Ramirez, Angelica

From: Sherry Lewis <skibunch2@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Monday, November 8, 2021 10:38 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Board of Supervisors comment

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I'm a nurse in Santa Barbara County and have witnessed at least 25 well trained and educated long term nurses leave Marian Medical Center because of the mandates and negative pressure from not being allowed their Title VII rights of fair employment.

- By allowing mandates in Santa Barbara County, you're harming the citizens. How can you encourage the actions of a rural medical center to have experienced nurses to be placed on leave, pending their loss of a job for not being vaccinated, as they hire unvaccinated traveling nurses to fill that spot? These nurses are not as experienced and bring a danger to the public's safety because they're given a few days training and expected to fill the shoes of a nurse with 25 years experience in the same hospitals? Which nurse do you want to take care of your mother, etc father or child?

In regards to children and schools:

- How can the county place our children at risk of getting this trial covid vaccine when they have such a low risk of dying from this disease? They dont know what the long term effects are because our children ARE the experiment. It's you're responsibility to keep our communities and children safe and make good scientifically based decisions to guide you!

Look at the latest Harvard study: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8481107/>

Please read the statistics for guidance on transmissibility.

I sincerely pray that you will make decisions not based on finances but based on real science!

Sincerely from a tax paying concerned citizen,
Sherry Lewis

Sent from my iPhone

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Andersen Katsev <andersenkatsev@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, November 8, 2021 11:41 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Masks mandates for school children should be optional

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon Boards Members of Santa Barbara,

Today I am writing to voice my concerns on the mandates for school children who are forced to wear unhygienic masks to school all day long. I am 11 years old and I am writing from my home, because I can no longer attend public school due to the mask mandates. You see, I have two passages in my body where I breath oxygen, my nose and my mouth. When those are covered I cannot get the oxygen that my body and brain needs to properly function. Breathing in carbon dioxide all day can cause your body much physical damage. If my body doesn't function properly, I can not learn in school properly. So what is the point in going? I feel very bad that all the other children are subjected to wearing masks all day and I miss my friends. I think mask wearing should be optional. Being at home has given me more time to read the studies about how physically damaging masks are to children. Perhaps you can find the time to read a few?

Thank you for listening,
Andersen Katsev

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Tim Brummer <tim@lightningbikes.com>
Sent: Monday, November 8, 2021 9:25 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Vaccine mandates violate human rights

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Vaccine mandates violate certain human rights.
Over 10,000 doctors and scientists have signed the following
statement explaining how and why.

Physicians Declaration II – Updated
Global Covid Summit

International Alliance of Physicians and Medical Scientists



GlobalCovidSummit.org
October 29, 2021
[VIEW ORIGINAL DECLARATION](#)

WE, THE PHYSICIANS OF THE WORLD, united and loyal to the Hippocratic Oath, recognizing the imminent threat to humanity brought forth by current Covid-19 policies, are compelled to declare the following:

WHEREAS, after 20 months of research, millions of patients treated, hundreds of clinical trials performed and scientific data shared, we have demonstrated and documented our success and understanding in combating COVID-19;

WHEREAS, in considering the risks vs. benefits of major policy decisions, thousands of physicians and medical scientists worldwide have reached consensus on three foundational principles;

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS:

RESOLVED, THAT HEALTHY CHILDREN SHALL NOT BE SUBJECT TO FORCED VACCINATION (view supporting evidence)

- Negligible clinical risks from SARS-CoV-2 infection exist for healthy children under eighteen.
- Long term safety of the current COVID vaccines in children cannot be determined prior to instituting such policies. Without high-powered, reproducible, long term safety data, risks to the long-term health status of children remain too high to support use in healthy children.
- Children risk severe, adverse events from receiving the vaccine. Permanent physical damage to the brain, heart, immune and reproductive system associated with SARS-CoV-2 spike protein-based genetic vaccines has been demonstrated in children.
- Healthy, unvaccinated children are critical to achieving herd immunity. Natural immunity is proven to tolerate infection, benefiting community protection while there is insufficient data to assess whether Covid vaccines assist herd immunity.

RESOLVED, THAT NATURALLY IMMUNE PERSONS RECOVERED FROM SARS-CoV-2 SHALL NOT BE SUBJECT TO ANY RESTRICTIONS OR VACCINE MANDATES (view supporting evidence)

- Natural immunity is the most protective, and longest-lasting solution against the development of COVID-19 disease and its more serious outcomes.
- Naturally immune persons are at the lowest risk of transmission, thus should not be subject to travel, professional, medical or social restrictions.
- Natural immunity provides the best source of herd immunity, a condition necessary for eradicating the Covid virus.

RESOLVED, THAT ALL HEALTH AGENCIES AND INSTITUTIONS SHALL CEASE INTERFERING WITH PHYSICIANS TREATING INDIVIDUAL PATIENTS (view supporting evidence)

- Early intervention with numerous, available agents has proven to be safe and effective, and has saved hundreds of thousands of lives.

- No medicine already given regulatory approval shall be restricted from “off-label” use, particularly during this global humanitarian crisis caused by a rapidly mutating virus, which requires quick to adopt treatment strategies.
- Health agencies shall be prohibited from interfering with physicians prescribing evidence-based treatments they deem necessary, and insurance companies must cease blocking payments for life-saving medicine prescribed by doctors.

RECOMMENDED LEGISLATIVE OR EXECUTIVE ACTION:

We believe that violating any of these three principles unnecessarily and directly risks death to our citizens. We hereby recommend the leaders of states, provinces and nations legislate or take executive action to prohibit the three practices described above.

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Kelley Clay <kelleyclay65@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, November 8, 2021 2:23 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Mandatory Masking of School Children is a Bad Idea – USC Schaeffer

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

[https://urldefense.com/v3/__https://healthpolicy.usc.edu/article/mandatory-masking-of-school-children-is-a-bad-idea/__;!!fs0MJmijOm0!-apEdKrYVb879yf-VI-CII2s2IkOGdXpxMPLZ990VkeLS-iDy38N79mpCSbSq6-1MQ\\$](https://urldefense.com/v3/__https://healthpolicy.usc.edu/article/mandatory-masking-of-school-children-is-a-bad-idea/__;!!fs0MJmijOm0!-apEdKrYVb879yf-VI-CII2s2IkOGdXpxMPLZ990VkeLS-iDy38N79mpCSbSq6-1MQ$)

Kelley Clay

Mandatory Masking of School Children is a Bad Idea

July 16, 2021 | By Neeraj Sood, PhD and Jay Bhattacharya, MD, PhD

Press contact: **Stephanie Hedt** (213) 821-4555



Editor's note: This op-ed was first published by [The Orange County Register](#) on July 13, 2021.

California health officials have mandated masks for all students when schools reopen on the grounds that “treating all kids the same will support a calm and supportive school environment.”

There is a much better way to achieve the same goal: discontinue mask wearing for all students, vaccinated or not.

The benefits of masks in preventing serious illness or death from COVID-19 among children are

infinitesimally small. At the same time they are disruptive to learning and communicating in classrooms. They may be partially effective in shielding adults from COVID, but since when is it ethical to burden children for the benefit of adults?

COVID-19 is less of a threat to children than accidents or the common flu. The survival rate among American children with confirmed cases is approximately 99.99%; remarkably, recent studies find an even higher survival rate.

A Centers for Disease Control and Prevention study estimated that mask mandates in schools are associated with a roughly 20% reduction in COVID-19 incidence though the effect estimate was statistically indistinguishable from zero. Let's take the 20% effect at face value and do the math. Last month, about 5,000 school age children in California were diagnosed with COVID-19, which means 1,000 infections would have been prevented if all school kids wore masks. Given the survival rate among children, mask mandates might prevent one child death in the coming school year, a tiny fraction of the approximately 900 deaths of children 5 to 17 years old in 2019. If the aim is to save children's lives, other interventions – like enhanced pool safety – would be much more effective.

At the same time, the long-term harm to kids from masking is potentially enormous. Masking is a psychological stressor for children and disrupts learning. Covering the lower half of the face of both teacher and pupil reduces the ability to communicate. In particular, children lose the experience of mimicking expressions, an essential tool of nonverbal communication. Positive emotions such as laughing and smiling become less recognizable, and negative emotions get amplified. Bonding between teachers and students takes a hit. Overall, it is likely that masking exacerbates the chances that a child will experience anxiety and depression, which are already at pandemic levels themselves.

So why are adults insisting on masking school children? The argument that it treats all children the same is misdirection. Put simply, it is about self-preservation. Children may not be particularly vulnerable, but they can spread the virus to others, including teachers, school staff, and family members, some of whom may be vulnerable.

The fear of transmission was so great last year that even masking was not enough. Schools were closed en masse, sacrificing a year and a half of childhood development to keep adults safer. Ironically, the evidence from my research and those of others suggest that the effects of school closures on COVID-19 risk were at best minimal. In Sweden, where schools were not closed, and children were not masked, teachers were at lower COVID-19 risk than the rest of the population. Closing U.S. schools was a mistake as the harm to children likely exceeded the small benefit to adults.

Today, adults have no reason to put their safety ahead of the well-being of school kids. Vaccinations are highly effective at keeping adults out of the hospital and even better at preventing death. A healthy, fully vaccinated teacher is close to impervious to threats posed by COVID-19 spread in the classroom. By now, every teacher in America has been offered the vaccine; many were in the first priority group, even above vulnerable older people. If we want to enhance safety for adults further, we can do so by other measures, such as improved ventilation, that are less intrusive than masking.

Parents who are not vaccinated have it backwards if they count on their children to not bring COVID-19 home. They need to step up and be the first line of defense for their families.

Ethically, the onus is on proponents to show that the benefits of masking kids outweigh the costs. They are proposing a fundamental, risky shift in classroom interactions and should prove with certainty that there are no other options for safely reopening schools.

The California Department of Health and Human Services has no such proof. Instead it is demanding another year of diminished education and personal growth for children so that adults can feel marginally safer, even with massively effective vaccines available.

[CONTACT US](#) [NEWSLETTER SIGN-UP](#) [SCHAEFFER CENTER WEBMAIL](#)

USC Leonard D. Schaeffer Center for Health Policy & Economics
835 Downey Way, Verna & Peter Dauterive Hall (VPD), Los Angeles, CA 90089-3333
© 2021 USC Schaeffer Center. All rights reserved.
[Privacy Notice](#) | [Notice of Non-Discrimination](#)

Ramirez, Angelica

Subject: FW: Comments for Board of Supervisors Meeting 10/9/2021

From: Susan Anderson <supersea75@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 8, 2021 2:36 PM
To: sbcob <sbcob@co.santa-barbara.ca.us>
Subject: Comments for Board of Supervisors Meeting 10/9/2021

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

My comments are as follows:

The Santa Barbara County Public Health Department cannot provide a list of data regarding the following public health concerns: 1) suicides 2) homicides 3) domestic violence 4) child abuse 5) drug overdoses and 6) alcohol related emergencies for the approximate date range: March 20,2019- March 20, 2020 and from March 21, 2020 - March 2021. They say they cannot give the data because of Covid. Why can they provide copious amounts of data only for COVID.

The County has sharply increased "deaths of despair" because of the lockdowns, school closings and other related COVID policies. I think it's a matter of time before this is known. After all, if you're telling everyone to stay home then they'll not see the doctor for heart pains, which will lead to preventable heart attack deaths. Same goes with routine medical screenings. I think cancer related deaths increased because of the lockdowns, but I forgot to ask that on the email I sent.

My last comment is that the blue face masks literally say they aren't for medical purposes. How stupid do you think people are? Lies catch up to people, and when they catch up to elected officials you'll find yourselves in trouble.

3:38



Dear Do Reynoso,

I am still waiting on the data on the following:

- 1) suicides
- 2) homicides
- 3) domestic violence
- 4) child abuse
- 5) drug overdoses
- 6) alcohol related emergencie

You are responsible for giving this data ASAP. It shouldn't be hard and it shouldn't take days to produce.

Susan

2:09

5G



--- Please respond above this line ---



Dear Susan,

Due to COVID-19, we have not analyzed recent death data (which always lags by a calendar year). Therefore, we have no records that respond to your request.

Thanks,
SBCPHD

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [County of Santa Barbara Records Request Center](#)

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Sheila Starnes <sheilastarnes@cox.net>
Sent: Monday, November 8, 2021 2:39 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: End these Mask and "Vaccine" Mandates Now!

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors Members:

Thousands of physicians and medical scientists from all corners of the globe are sounding the alarm about the junk science being used to justify harmful forced masking and coercion to accept experimental "vaccines" which have never been fully tested for safety.

Please do your part to immediately put an end to this reckless incompetence.

Thank you.

Sheila Starnes
2270 Sycamore Canyon Rd.
Santa Barbara, CA

Sent from my iPhone