

Ramirez, Angelica

General Public Comment

From: Thomas Cole <tc@analytics805.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 16, 2021 9:04 AM
To: santabarbarawatch@gmail.com; sbcob
Subject: Election numbers do not add up
Attachments: COLE.pdf



Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Re:Election fraud

BOS

Our opinion is the recall Newsom election numbers are fraudulent. The simple reason to believe the recall was fraudulent is that after government shutdowns, lockins, school closures, business shutdowns, attacks on churches all by Newsom... he still got the exact same percentage of vote numbers as when first elected in 2018. So not one voter in CA is at all displeased with Gov Newsom? That's suppose to be completely normal? Are we all that gullible to believe this?

Locally the absolute refusal by Holland to provide election data is proof enough of faulty, adjusted election numbers. IV was half empty and still had 75% turnout in 2020. 28,000 new voters in the 37th district in 3020 and none are registered, and where the hell do they all live? And 96% voted for Steve Bennett, after he locked down VC, closed schools and attacked churches and sued Pastor Rob. And Bennett gets the same percentage as Newsom? It's like a voting block of 62% that never changes, no matter how dire the conditions.

Supervisors have a duty to look into these obvious anomalies, numerically improbable results and the obvious bias of Hollands board behind it all.

How do you possibly explain a 37 day counting period, where the favored candidate mayor Cathy Marrillo, gains huge numbers and the six other candidates gain nothing? It's obvious election fraud and it's not the voters, its the damn election board that's running this game in collusion with harvesters and operatives. Hollands dirty and protecting everyone under this op, the question is who is not dirty on the BOS?

The BOS are the elected last chance overseers and have a sworn duty to investigate this obvious election fraud. If 200 or 2,000 falsely cast votes are accepted, that's still 200 felony convictions. How many felonies are Ok?

Thomas Cole

37th District Election Numbers

Don't Add Up

As a father and campaign manager for Charles Cole for Assembly, we and our associates ran the campaign on issues like; more jobs, opening schools and business, limited government, no new taxes, support for police, protecting our Bill of Rights, vouchers and other libertarian and conservative messages. We garnered over 85,000 voters who agreed with those policy issues.

Now, with all that's happened nationwide, we are looking into the many vote anomalies across our 37th Assembly district. Anomalies like the paucity of digital signature inspections, the tens of thousands of unknown new voters, and deserted college zones with huge turnouts and other problems.

We have asked Joe Holland and his office for additional numerical election data and other information to help us clarify the many anomalies we are finding. The response has been one of stonewalling, gaslighting, delaying or to give no information at all claiming, "commercial privacy and public interest concerns." Or offering half-witted, non responses such as screen shots from the SOV website.

Some of the questions we sent to the BOS and Hollands office are outlined below.

1) How did the desolate, Covid empty Isla Vista Student Residence Towers show a 75% turnout, in spite of the illegality of ballot forwarding?

2) How did some precincts show an impossible 104% turnout, and many other precincts show unlikely 92% and higher turnouts? When the hotly contested 2016 Clinton/Trump election only gave an average 72% turnout.

3) And how did Cole's 37th district gain a staggering 17,894 new voters in the 2020 election?... Over the heavily voted 2016 37th district race?

4) With no increase in population?

5) And who are these 17,894 new 37th District voters?

6) Were their signatures verified? How? And where do they all live? (Did we suddenly build 17,894 new housing units in Santa Barbara?)

7) And how surprising it is these 17,894 new voters went 96.3% to the left!

8) And while we're at it, going back to the March 3rd 2020 primary election - Why did it take Joe Holland 37 days to count and certify those ballots?

9) And how last March during the five weeks of ballot counting time, did Mayor Cathy Murillo's vote totals increase by 113%? While primary winner Cole's votes increased only 13%.

Cole still won that primary, harpooning Murillo, Abboud, Dominguez and Bennett but what's up with that 37 day count?

These are serious times and serious questions. We expect election officials to answer and release requested raw numerical data to our campaign or any campaign, as we are legitimate representatives of our voting public, 85,000 worth.

Election data is public property, not secretive government property.

We have asked for a meeting between the BOS, Joe Holland and the Cole Campaign where we can discuss these issues.

Including firstly why Mr. Holland refuses to provide any raw information or data to us, refuses inspection of the time stamped election numbers and refuses our inspection or simple testing of the dominion machines used in Santa Barbara to 'calculate' our votes.

A complete copy of this letter which includes election fraud codes was sent to the Board of Supervisors and can be seen at our business website Analytics805.com.

CHAPTER 6. Fraud Corruption of the Voting Process [18500 - 18578] (Chapter 6 enacted by Stats. 1994, Ch. 920, Sec. 2.)

ARTICLE 1. General Provisions [18500 - 18503] (Article 1 enacted by Stats. 1994, Ch. 920, Sec. 2.)

18501.

Any public official who knowingly violates any of the provisions of this chapter, and thereby aids in any way the illegal casting or attempting to cast a vote, or who connives to nullify any of the provisions of this chapter in order that **fraud** may be perpetrated, shall forever be disqualified from holding office in this state and upon conviction shall be sentenced to a state prison for 16 months or two or three years.

(Enacted by Stats. 1994, Ch. 920, Sec. 2.)

Thomas M. Cole J.D.
tc@analytics805.com

Ramirez, Angelica

From: County Executive Office
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2021 2:52 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: Beachside Bar-Cafe venue

From: Barbara Widmer <blwidmer@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2021 2:19 PM
To: Hart, Gregg <gHart@countyofsb.org>; County Executive Office <caoemail@co.santa-barbara.ca.us>
Subject: Beachside Bar-Cafe venue

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Supervisors,

I just saw a report that the County will be considering replacing the Beachside with a SeaLegs restaurant run by PRJKT SB, Inc. The Beachside was an extremely enjoyable venue for a moderately priced seafood meal at the beach, the only such place in Goleta. I think it would be a huge mistake and a disservice to the community to replace it with what looks to be almost a fast food place which doesn't even have seafood except for clam chowder! See menu of their other restaurant below:

https://www.sealegsatthebeach.com/menu/the_food

As much as we have missed the Beachside, I think it is worth the wait to find the right restaurateur for this location.

Yours truly,

Barbara Widmer
93110