

Ramirez, Angelica

Public Comment - Group 5

From: margot davis <wally97@icloud.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 4:39 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Vote no on exxon trucking plan



Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Vote no on exxon trucking plan

Thank you for hearing my voice

Margot Davis
148 west simpson
Ventura CA 93001

Sent from Margot's iPad

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Alison Huyett <Alison.Huyett@patagonia.com>
Sent: Friday, March 4, 2022 9:07 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Item 3 Public Comment - Patagonia Opposed to ExxonMobil Restart and Trucking
Attachments: Patagonia SBBOS Item 3 public comment on Exxon Trucking Permit.pdf

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

Please find attached a public comment from Patagonia on Item 3 - ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Phased Restart Project.

Thank you,

Alison

Alison Huyett (she/her)
Campaigns and Advocacy | Climate Solutions
Patagonia

Patagonia recognizes that we live, work, and learn in the unceded homelands of the Chumash people, who have stewarded this land throughout generations. I pay respect to their elders past, present and future.

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Raymond Smith <ray@eri.ucsb.edu>
Sent: Friday, March 4, 2022 9:08 AM
To: sbcob
Cc: Ray Smith; Domi Smith
Subject: please vote NO on Exxon's proposal

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please do not approve Exxon's proposal to truck oil on our highways. It is a dangerous and ill conceived proposal. Further, this oil should stay in the ground to lessen climate change and save our planet.

Thank you,
Ray & Domi Smith
1330 Tunnel Road
Santa Barbara, CA 93105

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Ellen Hamilton (ellen.hamilton5@verizon.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>
Sent: Friday, March 4, 2022 9:08 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

No more oil (and all of its unhealthy dangers). We need renewable energy, healthy and sustainable.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Ellen Hamilton
7005 Scripps Crescent St
Goleta, CA 93117
ellen.hamilton5@verizon.net
(805) 968-3098

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Michael Brundage (mikebrundageSB@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message <kwautomail@phone2action.com>
Sent: Friday, March 4, 2022 9:07 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Michael Brundage
1405 Mountain Ave.
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
mikebrundageSB@gmail.com
(805) 455-4186

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Kathy Kosinski (Kmmk@cox.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>
Sent: Friday, March 4, 2022 9:06 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Kathy Kosinski
112 n LaPatera lane
Goleta, CA 93117
Kmmk@cox.net
(805) 964-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Jennifer Reinish (reinish@me.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>
Sent: Friday, March 4, 2022 9:06 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I saw the devastation of the oil spill at Refugio first hand. We don't need to risk another disaster. It's time to move away from these wells and secure our environment for the future.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Reinish
4558 Oak Glen Drive
Santa Barbara, CA 93110
reinish@me.com
(805) 965-2929

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.

Ramirez, Angelica

From: David Arrivee (darrivee@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>
Sent: Friday, March 4, 2022 9:04 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I live in SLO County, which would face risks of oil spills, pollution and fires if Exxon's proposal is accepted. History has shown again and again that accidents happen with projects such as this, and you, my neighbors in Santa Barbara, have suffered the consequences already.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

David Arrivee
552 Bakeman Lane
Arroyo Grande, CA 93420
darrivee@gmail.com
(805) 481-1903

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Carol Millar <carol@maconstruction.us>
Sent: Friday, March 4, 2022 9:01 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Exxon trucking proposal

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm a local business owner, and a 350SB steering committee member. If this proposal were to send trucks through Santa Barbara and Montecito, it would be dead in the water. Because the Cuyama Valley has less population, and, critically, Oprah and her ilk don't live there, it's even being considered. But the globe is small, and our County even smaller, and what pollutes the waterways and air in the Cuyama Valley doesn't stay in the Cuyama Valley. Besides which, we have to try to slow and, then stop, climate change on all fronts – local, state, national, international. When climate scientists consider going on strike, you know things are bad. Please see the link below to the NY Times article on this.

<https://www.nytimes.com/2022/03/01/climate/ipcc-climate-scientists-strike.html?searchResultPosition=1>

Thank you for your attention,
Carol

Carol Millar

Millar and Associates
Plans & Permits
805-722-2588

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Leanne Schlinger <leanneschlinger@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 4, 2022 8:59 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: DENY Exxon Mobile Trucks on HWY 101 & 166

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear SB County Board of Supervisors,

As a citizen who frequently drives HWY 166 when visiting a family farm in Cuyama, I am very concerned about the possibility of Exxon mobile trucking oil up and down this very dangerous road. Truck drivers and regular commuters already drive way too fast on the road, passing people dangerously. This is such a dangerous road with very little police enforcement. I don't think it is safe for any large big rigs, let alone oil tankers. I urge you to deny this dangerous proposal and protect our climate, public safety, and our land and watersheds.

Thank you,

Leanne Schlinger
Full Moon Farms
www.fullmoonfarms.com
Santa Ynez Vally, CA

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Erin Campbell (ejc543@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>
Sent: Friday, March 4, 2022 8:58 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Erin Campbell
16601 Alliance Ave
Tustin, CA 92780
ejc543@yahoo.com
(805) 835-1117

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Joely Camacho (JCamacho.swim@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message <kwautomail@phone2action.com>
Sent: Friday, March 4, 2022 8:56 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Joely Camacho
1291 Anina Way
Arcata, CA 95521
JCamacho.swim@gmail.com
(805) 801-5004

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.

Ramirez, Angelica

From: marymacduncan@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of Mac Duncan
<marymacduncan@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 4:23 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Stop Exxon's Trucking Proposal!

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear County Clerk,

I urge you to deny the dangerous ExxonMobil Interim Trucking for SYU Phased Restart Project. This project poses significant, unmitigable risks to our wildlife, waterways, and residents in Santa Barbara County.

Sending up to 70 trucks per day up Highway 101 and Route 166 poses a risk of trucking accidents. At the County Planning Commission Hearing on this project, Exxon representatives claimed the risk of a trucking accident was low. A tanker truck crashed east of Orcutt two weeks later, which caused a fire and a small oil spill. In March 2020, a tanker truck accident on the 166 caused over 4500 gallons of oil to spill into the Cuyama River. Over the past 22 years, trucking accidents in Santa Barbara County have injured 59, killed 28, and spilled over 100,000 gallons of oil. With up to 70 trucks per day along this route, another crash is likely.

In addition to the risk of an accident and spill, restarting offshore drilling on the three aging platforms poses the risk of an offshore spill. These platforms are old and have not operated since 2015. Restarting production on these platforms could cause an offshore spill, harming our rich marine life.

Lastly, this project is detrimental to our air quality and climate goals. If Santa Barbara County wants to move toward cleaner energy and lower greenhouse gas emissions, encouraging a large oil project will move us away from these goals.

For all of these reasons above, I urge you to follow the County Planning Commission's recommendation to deny the ExxonMobil Interim Trucking for SYU Phased Restart Project.

Sincerely,

Mac Duncan
PO Box 995
Los Alamos CA, 93440-0995

Ramirez, Angelica

From: David Bennett <David.Bennett.533034912@p2a.co>
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 4:50 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Case No. 17RVP-00000-00081: Deny ExxonMobil Interim Trucking

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

As a Santa Barbara County resident, I'm writing to urge the Board to deny ExxonMobil's Interim Trucking for SYU Phased Restart Project, case number 17RVP-00000-00081. Trucking oil is inherently dangerous, and this project poses unacceptable risks to our highways and public lands.

Transporting up to 70 truckloads of oil per day, 7 days per week on a dangerous highway through some of the county's most environmentally sensitive areas is an environmental, public health, and safety hazard.

Both U.S. Highway 101 along the coast and the two-lane State Route 166 that winds through the mountains are especially dangerous, reporting numerous fatal and serious accidents every year. California Highway Patrol data show that there were 216 trucking accidents along the route from 2015 to 2020, resulting in nine deaths and 92 injuries. In 2020, a tanker truck crashed along State Route 166 spilling more than 4,500 gallons of oil into the Cuyama River near the Los Padres National Forest. These accidents can cause also cause fires, explosions, and they can damage roads and properties, pollute waterways, and kill or harm wildlife.

Oil spills along the proposed route pose a threat to the Cuyama River, Carrizo Plains Ecological Reserve, Carrizo Plain National Monument, and Los Padres National Forest. These public lands provide critical habitat for threatened or endangered species including the southern California steelhead, California tiger salamander, arroyo toad, California red-legged frog, California condor, giant kangaroo rat, San Joaquin kit fox, mountain lion, and others. Spilled oil lingers in the environment for years and can continue harming wildlife long after cleanup teams have finished their work.

We need to end dirty drilling off our coast, not restart platforms and invite a steady stream of tanker trucks onto our roadways and through our most sensitive wildlife habitats.

I urge you to protect our coastal community, public lands, and climate by denying this permit.

Regards,
David Bennett
103 San Federico Ave
Santa Barbara, CA 93111

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Jesselyn Jordan <Jesselyn.Jordan.533035498@p2a.co>
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 4:56 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for considering this issue – it is of vital importance to the county and provides incredibly generous benefits on several different fronts.

First and foremost, the SYU restart reinvigorates local production. It returns environmentally conscious and superior oil to the local market, helping to fulfill the significant need during this time of energy transition. The lower carbon intensity of local production is also better than the footprint of bringing in oil from overseas.

Additionally, the interim trucking and phased restart will reinstate critical tax base revenues at a time of dire need in the County. These revenues support services ranging from public safety and education to our libraries and courts.

Lastly, the return of local jobs will not only bring back workers and their families – but will see a jolt of economic activity as workers and contractors spend at local restaurants and shops, hotels and on their equipment needs.

Some might say this project is a tough sell, but I urge you to approve the permit for the betterment of your constituents and our county!

Please read my comment into the record.

Thank you,

Thank you,
Jesselyn Jordan
3978 Loch Lomond Dr
Santa Maria, CA 93455

Ramirez, Angelica

From: ARIYA BOSCO <ARIYA.BOSCO.533036587@p2a.co>
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 5:08 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Lompoc, Santa Maria, and Buellton are all cities that value and encourage economic prosperity for people and their families. They know that jobs and tax revenues help achieve this prosperity.

Both Buellton and Santa Maria's councils have wholeheartedly passed resolutions strongly in support of temporary trucking, not only in the interest of economic prosperity, but knowing that trucking will be done with their communities' safety in mind. The trucking routes should be approved by the county for this very reason, and they have put an intensive regulatory structure in place to hold ExxonMobil to the highest safety standards. Only 4 – 6 trucks will be on the road at a time, and they will be restricted from driving during school hours or during heavy rains.

I urge you to listen to your staff that has worked to ensure the plan for this temporary solution will have the least environmental impacts and highest safety standards. ExxonMobil has safely trucked here before when they were forced to shut down and have safely operated in Santa Barbara for 40 years. Let's bring back good-paying jobs and get SYU restarted through this temporary trucking permit. Please approve this permit.

Regards,
ARIYA BOSCO
3215 Fruitvale Ave
Bakersfield, CA 93308

Ramirez, Angelica

From: robin.payne=juno.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of Robin Payne
<robin.payne@juno.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 5:12 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Stop Exxon's Trucking Proposal!

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear County Clerk,

I urge you to deny the dangerous ExxonMobil Interim Trucking for SYU Phased Restart Project. This project poses significant, unmitigable risks to our wildlife, waterways, and residents in Santa Barbara County.

Sending up to 70 trucks per day up Highway 101 and Route 166 poses a risk of trucking accidents. At the County Planning Commission Hearing on this project, Exxon representatives claimed the risk of a trucking accident was low. A tanker truck crashed east of Orcutt two weeks later, which caused a fire and a small oil spill. In March 2020, a tanker truck accident on the 166 caused over 4500 gallons of oil to spill into the Cuyama River. Over the past 22 years, trucking accidents in Santa Barbara County have injured 59, killed 28, and spilled over 100,000 gallons of oil. With up to 70 trucks per day along this route, another crash is likely.

In addition to the risk of an accident and spill, restarting offshore drilling on the three aging platforms poses the risk of an offshore spill. These platforms are old and have not operated since 2015. Restarting production on these platforms could cause an offshore spill, harming our rich marine life.

Lastly, this project is detrimental to our air quality and climate goals. If Santa Barbara County wants to move toward cleaner energy and lower greenhouse gas emissions, encouraging a large oil project will move us away from these goals.

For all of these reasons above, I urge you to follow the County Planning Commission's recommendation to deny the ExxonMobil Interim Trucking for SYU Phased Restart Project.

Sincerely,

Robin Payne
526 Merida Dr
Santa Barbara CA, 93111-1630

Ramirez, Angelica

From: GONZALO DI MARCO <GONZALO.DIMARCO.533040276@p2a.co>
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 5:48 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Case No. 17RVP-00000-00081: Deny ExxonMobil Interim Trucking

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

As a Santa Barbara County resident, I'm writing to urge the Board to deny ExxonMobil's Interim Trucking for SYU Phased Restart Project, case number 17RVP-00000-00081. Trucking oil is inherently dangerous, and this project poses unacceptable risks to our highways and public lands.

Transporting up to 70 truckloads of oil per day, 7 days per week on a dangerous highway through some of the county's most environmentally sensitive areas is an environmental, public health, and safety hazard.

Both U.S. Highway 101 along the coast and the two-lane State Route 166 that winds through the mountains are especially dangerous, reporting numerous fatal and serious accidents every year. California Highway Patrol data show that there were 216 trucking accidents along the route from 2015 to 2020, resulting in nine deaths and 92 injuries. In 2020, a tanker truck crashed along State Route 166 spilling more than 4,500 gallons of oil into the Cuyama River near the Los Padres National Forest. These accidents can cause also cause fires, explosions, and they can damage roads and properties, pollute waterways, and kill or harm wildlife.

Oil spills along the proposed route pose a threat to the Cuyama River, Carrizo Plains Ecological Reserve, Carrizo Plain National Monument, and Los Padres National Forest. These public lands provide critical habitat for threatened or endangered species including the southern California steelhead, California tiger salamander, arroyo toad, California red-legged frog, California condor, giant kangaroo rat, San Joaquin kit fox, mountain lion, and others. Spilled oil lingers in the environment for years and can continue harming wildlife long after cleanup teams have finished their work.

We need to end dirty drilling off our coast, not restart platforms and invite a steady stream of tanker trucks onto our roadways and through our most sensitive wildlife habitats.

I urge you to protect our coastal community, public lands, and climate by denying this permit.

Regards,
GONZALO DI MARCO
1807 San Andres St
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Tarra Van Wingerden <Tarra.VanWingerden.533053650@p2a.co>
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 7:22 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Case No. 17RVP-00000-00081: Deny ExxonMobil Interim Trucking

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

As a Santa Barbara County resident, I'm writing to urge the Board to deny ExxonMobil's Interim Trucking for SYU Phased Restart Project, case number 17RVP-00000-00081. Trucking oil is inherently dangerous, and this project poses unacceptable risks to our highways and public lands.

Transporting up to 70 truckloads of oil per day, 7 days per week on a dangerous highway through some of the county's most environmentally sensitive areas is an environmental, public health, and safety hazard.

Both U.S. Highway 101 along the coast and the two-lane State Route 166 that winds through the mountains are especially dangerous, reporting numerous fatal and serious accidents every year. California Highway Patrol data show that there were 216 trucking accidents along the route from 2015 to 2020, resulting in nine deaths and 92 injuries. In 2020, a tanker truck crashed along State Route 166 spilling more than 4,500 gallons of oil into the Cuyama River near the Los Padres National Forest. These accidents can cause also cause fires, explosions, and they can damage roads and properties, pollute waterways, and kill or harm wildlife.

Oil spills along the proposed route pose a threat to the Cuyama River, Carrizo Plains Ecological Reserve, Carrizo Plain National Monument, and Los Padres National Forest. These public lands provide critical habitat for threatened or endangered species including the southern California steelhead, California tiger salamander, arroyo toad, California red-legged frog, California condor, giant kangaroo rat, San Joaquin kit fox, mountain lion, and others. Spilled oil lingers in the environment for years and can continue harming wildlife long after cleanup teams have finished their work.

We need to end dirty drilling off our coast, not restart platforms and invite a steady stream of tanker trucks onto our roadways and through our most sensitive wildlife habitats.

I urge you to protect our coastal community, public lands, and climate by denying this permit.

Regards,
Tarra Van Wingerden
6251 Momouth Ave
Goleta, CA 93117

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Doug Campbell <Doug.Campbell.114206655@p2a.co>
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 7:36 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Case No. 17RVP-00000-00081: Deny ExxonMobil Interim Trucking

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

As a Santa Barbara County resident, I'm writing to urge the Board to deny ExxonMobil's Interim Trucking for SYU Phased Restart Project, case number 17RVP-00000-00081. Trucking oil is inherently dangerous, and this project poses unacceptable risks to our highways and public lands.

Transporting up to 70 truckloads of oil per day, 7 days per week on a dangerous highway through some of the county's most environmentally sensitive areas is an environmental, public health, and safety hazard.

Both U.S. Highway 101 along the coast and the two-lane State Route 166 that winds through the mountains are especially dangerous, reporting numerous fatal and serious accidents every year. California Highway Patrol data show that there were 216 trucking accidents along the route from 2015 to 2020, resulting in nine deaths and 92 injuries. In 2020, a tanker truck crashed along State Route 166 spilling more than 4,500 gallons of oil into the Cuyama River near the Los Padres National Forest. These accidents can cause also cause fires, explosions, and they can damage roads and properties, pollute waterways, and kill or harm wildlife.

Oil spills along the proposed route pose a threat to the Cuyama River, Carrizo Plains Ecological Reserve, Carrizo Plain National Monument, and Los Padres National Forest. These public lands provide critical habitat for threatened or endangered species including the southern California steelhead, California tiger salamander, arroyo toad, California red-legged frog, California condor, giant kangaroo rat, San Joaquin kit fox, mountain lion, and others. Spilled oil lingers in the environment for years and can continue harming wildlife long after cleanup teams have finished their work.

We need to end dirty drilling off our coast, not restart platforms and invite a steady stream of tanker trucks onto our roadways and through our most sensitive wildlife habitats.

I urge you to protect our coastal community, public lands, and climate by denying this permit.

Regards,
Doug Campbell
68 Hollister Ranch Rd
Goleta, CA 93117

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Mariza Sullivan (marizasullivan@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message <kwautomail@phone2action.com>
Sent: Friday, March 4, 2022 8:38 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

As an Indigenous woman that seeks to protect these unceded lands and waterways that were once home to our Ancestors, I call upon the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors to be on the right side of this issue by opposing this unsustainable and unsafe trucking proposal. Our infrastructure could not possibly sustain even one of these trucks being involved in an accident. Exxon has stated they a right to conduct business, I say the land has a right to not be destroyed by an accident that it may not recover from.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Mariza Sullivan
363 Wesleyan Ave.
Ventura, CA 93003
marizasullivan@gmail.com
(805) 665-0486

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.

Ramirez, Angelica

From: ANDREA CREECH <ANDREA.CREECH.533019531@p2a.co>
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 1:41 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Santa Barbara County needs the SYU restart to bring families back to their homes and safely help our flagging economy. Prior to the 2015 shutdown, SYU employed about 200 workers and 130 contractors. For the last seven years most of these workers have been laid off or relocated. They want to come home. Safely allowing crude oil to be transported by truck until a pipeline is available can bring these families home.

These 70 trucks a day would represent only a small increase to the traffic on county roads but would bring paychecks home to local families that need them. On average, only four to six trucks will be transporting oil on Santa Barbara County roadways at any given time. ExxonMobil transported oil by truck from the Gaviota area to Santa Maria in 2016 with 2,500 deliveries over 350,000 miles without a single accident.

Santa Barbara County's thorough regulations surrounding the transport of oil allow it to be so safe. There is a robust regulatory structure in place to monitor this trucking permit, including more than a dozen agencies and more than 100 laws, rules, regulations, and policies at the local, state, and federal level. This adds up to some of the strictest permitting in the country —allowing oil to be produced and transported while protecting the value of our county's natural environmental resources. We need the SYU restart for local families.

Regards,
ANDREA CREECH
3609 Burnaby Dr
Bakersfield, CA 93312

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Brett Moseley <Brett.Moseley.533019225@p2a.co>
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 1:36 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

We are living through a time when County funding is inherently uncertain because of the economic effects of the current COVID-19 pandemic. If the County is forced to make cuts to programs, I want to be sure that our communities are able to remain safe for everyone. Restarting ExxonMobil's Santa Ynez Unit would put a significant amount of funding back on the table for critical services provided by Santa Barbara County Fire and local police forces. More than \$800,000 in tax revenue would go to the Santa Barbara County Fire Department and almost \$2 million would go to the County's General Fund, which helps fund vital services like public safety. Our County can't afford to leave money like that on the table, especially when it comes to keeping our families safe. The Board of Supervisors should approve the temporary trucking permit required to restart SYU.

Regards,
Brett Moseley
3215 Fruitvale Ave
Bakersfield, CA 93308

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Robert Enriquez <Robert.Enriquez.533020142@p2a.co>
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 1:50 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I urge the Board of Supervisors to approve the temporary trucking permit that will enable SYU to get restarted.

It is time to allow ExxonMobil to resume operations. Last year, the Goleta Chamber commissioned an economic report by Dr. Mark Schniepp of the California Economic Forecast. It showcased what's at stake:

- o An Exxon employee's salary is 27% higher than the county average; that means hundreds of good-paying jobs when back up and running.
- o \$4.5 million per year in funding for K-14 schools in the county; most of these funds going to schools in low income and rural areas.
- o \$1.98 million annually goes to public safety and general funds for other vital services.
- o Nearly \$1 million annually for local fire districts.

The aftermath of the COVID-19 pandemic continues to make such economic value even more important – and urgent – to get SYU restarted. There are many individuals and organizations that support restarting Exxon's Santa Ynez Unit operation. Learn more by going to: <https://safetransportsb.com>.

Regards,
Robert Enriquez
5406 Cape Ct
Bakersfield, CA 93312

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Margy Moynihan <Margy.Moynihan.533020971@p2a.co>
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 1:59 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I support the restart of the Santa Ynez Unit (SYU) after it has been forced offline for nearly seven years, and in order to do that the temporary trucking permit must be approved. Think of the good-paying jobs, positive family impacts, and many millions of dollars in tax revenues that our County has given up every year they are unable to get restarted at SYU.

The facility that once had 330 employees and contractors is now down to 60 on site. Some were transferred out of the country and commute back to their families here, some had to be laid off, and some chose to leave. The positive economic impact that restarting SYU would mean for the County and for the communities should not be left out of the considerations for approving the permit.

Not to mention that SYU has operated safely for forty years in Santa Barbara and paid \$45 million in tax revenues to the county in the decade before the forced shutdown. Every year we wait to get it restarted is another year we lose out on family supporting jobs, \$7 million in tax revenues for schools, public safety, and other public works. Please approve the temporary trucking permit, this is not a big lift.

Regards,
Margy Moynihan
2480 Brady Ln
Arroyo Grande, CA 93420

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Jennifer Jones <Jennifer.Jones.533021466@p2a.co>
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 2:01 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

In this era of economic uncertainty, our community needs the SYU restart more than ever. It would bring back jobs and infuse millions in state and local budgets from taxes.

Unfortunately, the budget shortfall created by Exxon Mobil's shutdown disproportionately affects the portions of our county that need the support of tax dollars the most. The burden falls on areas of the north county such as Lompoc and Santa Ynez. The Santa Ynez Valley High School would stand to receive \$900,000 a year from the restart, enough to help bring equity to the county's school system. Meanwhile, Allan Hancock Community College provides more than \$20 million in financial aid each year to a student body that is almost exclusively local. These students deserve the opportunity that SYU's tax dollars will provide.

While the coastal cities of our county are better equipped to weather this economic downturn, it is important to provide our entire community with the resources they need. Santa Barbara does not have the luxury of turning down ExxonMobil's valuable economic activity and good-paying jobs.

Regards,
Jennifer Jones
14035 Rosedale Hwy
Bakersfield, CA 93314

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Jamika Velazquez <Jamika.Velazquez.533022366@p2a.co>
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 2:12 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

The key word that I think of in regard to ExxonMobil's temporary trucking permit application is "safety". ExxonMobil has been operating in Santa Barbara County safely since 1988 and would continue to do so with the ability to restart provided by this permit. In addition to the numerous safeguards promised by ExxonMobil, restarting the Santa Ynez Unit would bolster Santa Barbara safety infrastructure by providing additional funding for both fire and police. Specifically, the Santa Barbara County Fire Department would receive an additional \$800,000 per year in tax revenue from a full restart of SYU, which could help hire additional staff and improve response times within the County. I encourage the Board of Supervisors to approve this permit so that the Santa Barbara community can continue to be safe.

Regards,
Jamika Velazquez
890 Brookside Ave
Santa Maria, CA 93455

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Rachel Brock <Rachel.Brock.533023473@p2a.co>
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 2:23 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

The purpose of this letter is to request your approval for ExxonMobil's interim trucking permit and phased SYU restart.

As California works towards its clean energy goals for renewable power and zero emission vehicles, there will still be a need for fossil fuels. To meet the current need, California is importing 70 percent of its oil – mostly from marine tankers.

We saw earlier in the pandemic the shocks that can happen to California's market for fuels, and this vulnerability can be offset by returning to local and reliable energy sources. Especially as the transition is being made, it is more important than ever to support California's energy independence. The traditional sources will be our segue to a clean future, and supporting this project is a strong middle-step in this transition process.

Please read my comment into the record.

Thank you for your consideration.

Regards,
Rachel Brock
11007 Lewelling St
Bakersfield, CA 93312

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Jillian Hameister <Jillian.Hameister.533029404@p2a.co>
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 3:36 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

The key word that I think of in regard to ExxonMobil's temporary trucking permit application is "safety". ExxonMobil has been operating in Santa Barbara County safely since 1988 and would continue to do so with the ability to restart provided by this permit. In addition to the numerous safeguards promised by ExxonMobil, restarting the Santa Ynez Unit would bolster Santa Barbara safety infrastructure by providing additional funding for both fire and police. Specifically, the Santa Barbara County Fire Department would receive an additional \$800,000 per year in tax revenue from a full restart of SYU, which could help hire additional staff and improve response times within the County. I encourage the Board of Supervisors to approve this permit so that the Santa Barbara community can continue to be safe.

Regards,
Jillian Hameister
10345 Shellabarger Rd
Bakersfield, CA 93312

Ramirez, Angelica

From: ALBERTO VILLALOBOS <ALBERTO.VILLALOBOS.533023761@p2a.co>
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 2:27 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: ExxonMobil Interim Trucking Permit Support Comment

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I would like to begin by thanking the County for their valid analysis in the Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) on ExxonMobil's trucking permit application.

The SEIR includes many valid points, and I have listed some worth noting:

- The renewable options considered are not nearly as efficient as the proposed project (2-27)
- The project will increase local and regional supplies of crude and lessen the usage of foreign imported oil (4.2-27)
- The project will help serve the large and existing demand for petroleum products (4.2-30)

Taking these factors into account with the robust regulatory structure in place to monitor the trucking permit, I strongly urge the Board of Supervisors to approve the permit.

All of the regulating bodies are comprised of skilled staff with decades of experience protecting California and its environment. Because this project is a much safer and environmentally friendly way to produce the energy we need to power our daily lives, it is a definite "Yes" in my book!

Thank you for your consideration – please read my comment into the record.

Regards,
ALBERTO VILLALOBOS
137 Cooper Ave
Bakersfield, CA 93308

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Sandy Patterson <sandra.s.patterson@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 4, 2022 8:04 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: No drilling

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Please do not allow offshore drilling to resume here. Literally, we have Earth Day because of drilling in SB caused a horrible spill. We depend too much on tourism to risk that catastrophe again not to mention climate change and fossil fuels. Please invest in renewable energy projects only.

- Sandy Patterson
Santa Barbara resident
Sent from my phone

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Kenny Van Zant <kvzaustin@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 4, 2022 7:48 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Exxon proposal

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

I'm writing to add my voice to the massive chorus of opponents to Exxon's offshore oil proposal. We do not want or need this production off our shores. It privatizes the gains to Exxon, while distributing the environmental and safety losses to our residents alone. Why would you ever allow it? Please vote NO to any proposed restart of oil production off Santa Barbara coasts.

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Shelby Fredrick (shelbyfredrick@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message <kwautomail@phone2action.com>
Sent: Friday, March 4, 2022 7:18 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

Please vote to deny the Exxon oil project in Santa Barbara County. It is way past time to make more investments in cleaner energy technologies that are available! There is no more time to waste. Please consider the environment and community health before quick economic gains or self-interest.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Shelby Fredrick
4195 High Grove Rd
Templeton , CA 93465
shelbyfredrick@hotmail.com
(805) 610-1148

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.

Ramirez, Angelica

From: P. Eileen Herrera (profeileen@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message <kwautomail@phone2action.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 7:55 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

Anyone attempting to drive on 101 between Santa Mar? a riverbed to San Luis Obispo from 3:30 pm to 6:30 pm knows tanker trucks should NOT be in the mix! That would be a recipe for mass disaster!

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

P. Eileen Herrera
1072 Ritchie Rd
Grover Beach, CA 93433
profeileen@yahoo.com
(805) 234-4931

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Jeff Phillips <ljefe00@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 7:54 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Vote No on ExxonMobil

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

It is so past time for this state and county to get away from oil development for good. It will only benefit us to make the change now, we have the technology to replace it and it is costing us way more in the long run to keep extracting and using it than it would to transition now. It needs to happen we all know it so do it now without yet another offshore oil spill catastrophe, yet another spilled tanker truck into a river, yet another crash on Highway 66 or 101. Enough already, there's such a bright non-oil future waiting for us, let's get started.

Jeff Phillips
447 Alan Road
Santa Barbara, CA 93109

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Ruth Cooper <ruthcoopermft@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 7:53 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Exxon proposal

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to say that I think that restarting the Harmony, Hondo and Heritage offshore oil platforms will increase the immediate threat of oil spills and put the nearby marine ecosystem and coastline at risk.

Also, continuing oil production offshore in Santa Barbara County will worsen the current climate crisis.

I feel quite concerned about the proposal's request to have more than 460,000 gallons of oil a day traveling in tanker trucks on the 101 and 166. Tanker trucks are one of the riskiest ways to transport oil: at least 87 tanker truck crashes have occurred in California in the last 22 years, 14 of which were in Santa Barbara County.

Additionally, most of the County-wide accidents have occurred along the exact route proposed for ExxonMobil's trucking plans. Those accidents left 59 people injured, 28 people dead, and over 100,000 gallons of oil spilled.

With the ongoing drought/aridification happening in California, we need to protect our waterways. Having gas to drive your car isn't as important as having drinkable water and a liveable climate. Please vote against this dangerous and unnecessary proposal.

Sincerely,

Ruth Cooper
Ojai, CA

Ramirez, Angelica

From: kay <kay56094@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 7:33 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Trucking Project

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear St Barbara Supervisors

The Scope of this project is far to wide and deep. DENY ExxonMobils trucking project. This threatens our citizens to the danger of oil being transported on highways. The potential for a disaster is so high. This really doesn't need much discussion. Those highways are some of the MOST magnificent views along the California cost. Put this in jeopardy to transport oil? Please think long and hard about this. DENY THIS TRUCKING PROJECT

Sincerely,

Katharine Simmons

Sent from Mail for Windows

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Rachel Altman <raltmansb@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 7:31 PM
To: sbcob; BOS@countyofsb.org; Hartmann, Joan; Williams, Das; Hart, Gregg; Lavagnino, Steve; Nelson, Bob
Subject: Please DENY ExxonMobil's dangerous trucking proposal

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

March 3, 2022

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

As a 25-year resident of Santa Barbara, I would like to express my support of the Planning Commission's recommendation to deny the ExxonMobil Trucking Project.

There is no question that we are already experiencing the deleterious effects of climate change, directly connected to the rise in greenhouse gases. In our county, we have experienced wildfires, drought, mudslides, and sea level rise. And scientists predict it will only get worse. The lack of rain this winter is predicted to result in a summer of extreme wildfires as a result of severe drought.

While ExxonMobil touts economic gains as a result of their project, in fact, this project would produce a very small percentage of the oil consumed in California, so it will have no effect on the global oil market. It will, however, have a significant effect on the local environment and coastal tourism by putting our coast, wildlife, and marine environment at risk of another devastating spill. These events are extremely costly to us as taxpayers, and are not nearly balanced out by the costs that will benefit our county. The taxes resulting from the project are minimal compared to the costs of oil spills and pollution.

Drilling for oil and gas is dangerous to the people who live, work, play and pray near oil wells. In most cases, this drilling takes place near vulnerable neighborhoods and communities, most often those of lower income and people of color. The high rates of asthma, cancer, and maternal mortality in this population attest to the climate injustice perpetrated by the proximity of wells to people's homes.

Further, ExxonMobil's proposal to truck 70 trucks and 460,000 gallons of oil per day along Santa Barbara County's windy roads, Highways 101 and 166, presents a danger to those in our county who drive these roads. Over the last five years, there have been frequent crashes, along with five oil spills and last year, an oil tanker crashed and spilled over 4,500 gallons of oil into the Cuyama River.

Finally, the shift from reliance on fossil fuels to alternative energy is technologically feasible and makes good economic sense. Dangerous jobs in oil drilling are already being transformed into well-paying jobs installing solar panels all around the US and Europe. The financial incentives with regards to taxes and jobs are cancelled out by the costs of cleaning up spills and the marine and land environment.

I urge you to deny ExxonMobil's dangerous proposal.

Thank you,

Rachel Altman
1383 Sycamore Canyon Road
Santa Barbara, CA 93108

Ramirez, Angelica

From: trachand@cox.net
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 7:07 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Vote No on ExxonMobil

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

We Cannot risk any more tanker truck accidents on our roads. A vote against tanker trucking is the only sane response .

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Sarah Siedschlag (sarahsiedschlag@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 5:21 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

Exxon has a history of deception and delaying improvements, and should not be trusted with our important local resources!

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Sarah Siedschlag
2034 Castillo St
Santa Barbara, CA 93105
sarahsiedschlag@gmail.com
(909) 921-1099

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Richard Schwager (rspublic@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>
Sent: Friday, March 4, 2022 9:10 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

There are NO good reasons for encouraging or supporting any arm of the gas and oil industry.
It is an industry that must LOSE support and use globally and is threatening the entire global ecology.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Richard Schwager
1149 Edgemound Dr.
Santa Barbara, CA 93105
rspublic@yahoo.com
(805) 555-1212

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.