

CHANGE ORDER NO. 2
TO
AGREEMENT BETWEEN COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
AND ASPEN ENVIRONMENTAL

This Agreement (hereinafter referred to as Change Order No. 2) constitutes a modification to the original agreement between County of Santa Barbara and Aspen Environmental, Contract No. BC17152, which was entered into on September 20, 2016.

Effective May 3, 2019, the original agreement is modified as follows:

1. The contingency amount of \$34,580 shall be available to Aspen for work performed at County's direction and as detailed in the attached memorandum dated May 2, 2019 to continue work on Task 8 that is beyond the scope of the original contract level of effort for Task 8.
2. To extend the contract end date to June 30, 2020.


CONSULTANT understands and agrees that the extension granted herein constitutes the sole modification to the original agreement and that there is no change in the amount of compensation for the services to be performed in accordance with the original agreement.

All other terms and conditions remain the same.

AGREED:


Aspen Environmental Group 5/3/19
Date

APPROVED:


Planning and Development Director 5/3/19
Date



5020 Chesebro Road, Suite 200, Agoura Hills, CA 91301
Tel. 818-597-3407, Fax 818-597-8001, www.aspeneg.com

PROJECT MEMORANDUM
AERA EAST CAT CANYON REDEVELOPMENT PLAN EIR

To: Kathryn Lehr, County Project Manager
From: Vida Strong, Aspen Project Manager
Date: May 2, 2019
Subject: Request for Contingency Release

This memorandum is being submitted to request a release of contingency funds to allow P&D requested work of the Aspen Team to continue on the Administrative Final EIR, Task 8, for the Aera East Cat Canyon Redevelopment Plan Project.

Extensive comments were received on the Draft EIR as summarized in Table 1. Under the approved contract, it was assumed that no more than 400 individual comments would be received. Many of these comments necessitated the acquisition of additional information from the Applicant. Once this information is received, it will need to be incorporated into the Administrative Final EIR. As comments have been reviewed, Information Requests have been prepared for the Applicant (three to-date). General themes for the requested information and affected sections of the EIR include the following:

- Well Pads; number under proposed Project/Alternatives, use of existing or new (Project Description [PD]).
- Well workover and servicing frequency (PD and Air Quality [AQ]).
- Fresh Water Wells; location, piping, need (PD and Surface/Groundwater [SGW]).
- Chemicals usage; type, volumes and purpose (PD, AQ & SGW).
- Fresh Water Usage; well drilling, workovers, servicing/acidizing, and pipeline/power line construction (PD & SGW).
- Cyclic steam versus flooding; TDS of steam (PD, AQ & SGW).
- Trucking; ancillary, revised traffic study (PD & Traffic).
- Contamination; historic, percolation ponds/sumps, Cat Canyon Creek, Canyon #1 thru #8 (PD & SGW).
- Detention Basins; size, location and maintenance, Canyons #1 thru #8 (PD, Biological Resources [BIO], SGW).
- Aera spill rate and casing failure history (BIO, Haz Materials, SGW).
- Status of AOR DOGGR Study (PD & SGW).

Table 1. Comments on the Aera Draft EIR

Commenter Category	Number of Comments
Applicant	194
Regulatory Agencies	22
Non-Gov Organizations - EDC & Hunt	255
Non-Gov Organizations - Other	70
Public	307
Comment Hearing	91
TOTAL	939

- Oak tree inventory (PD & BIO).
- Unmitigated F-N curves (Risk of Upset).
- Reservoir pressure gradient information (Geology).
- Miscellaneous clarifications regarding: beneficial soil reuse, internal roadways, containment, refinery locations, offsite disposal, mapped landslides, Conceptual Wetland Restoration Plan (PD, BIO, Geology & Traffic).
- Follow up on Aera comments (PD, AQ, BIO & Cultural).

Table 2 summarizes the estimated budget for incorporation of the above requested data into the Administrative Final EIR. To the extent feasible, section edits resulting from other comments (in addition to those generating Information Requests) will be incorporated. The estimated hours below assumes that the Aera provided information will require minimal follow up. Two calls with P&D are also assumed (Vida Strong, plus one other issue area specialist for each call).

Table 2: Estimated Budget for the Tasks to be Conducted Subsequent to April 17, 2019

Aspen Team Staff, Role	Estimated Hours	Rate	Total
Final EIR Errata			
-Vida Strong, Project Management	40	\$170	\$6,800
-Hedy Koczwarra, Project Description/Alts	32	\$135	\$4,320
-Brewster Birdsall, Air Quality/GHG	20	\$180	\$3,600
-Jennifer Lancaster, Biological Resources	8	\$105	\$840
-Phil Lowe, Surface Water	32	\$140	\$4,480
-Scott DeBauche, Traffic/Transportation	32	\$110	\$3,520
-James Thurber (GTC), Groundwater	32	\$180	\$5,760
-Aurie Patterson (GTC), Geology	20	\$150	\$3,000
-Pete Stickles (ioMosaic), Risk of Upset	6*	\$250	\$1,500
-Graphics/Administrative	8	\$95	\$760
TOTAL			\$34,580
AVAILABLE CONTINGENCY			\$42,066

*Assumes that cumulative trucking analysis protocol developed and would be used as a template.

Please let me know if you have any questions or would like to discuss. Thank you.