

Sheila de la Guerra

Public Comment Group 6 # 3

From: Stella Casillas (stellastar105@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message <stellastar105@forgrassroots.com>
Sent: Monday, November 3, 2025 2:34 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Deny transfer of permits to Sable Offshore



Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

Please DENY the transfer of permits to Sable.

Sable has not demonstrated the skills, training, and financial resources to operate safely and responsibly, especially in light of \$18 million in fines and 21 criminal charges filed against the company.

Sable has proven to our community time and time again it cannot be trusted to operate these facilities safely or responsibly.

Sincerely,

Stella Casillas
105 16th ave
SANTA CRUZ, CA 95062
stellastar105@gmail.com
(408) 761-5044

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at member.care@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5673.

Sheila de la Guerra

From: Carell Jantzen <carell@egan.org>
Sent: Monday, November 3, 2025 4:24 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Deny the permit transfer to Sable

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Carell Jantzen

Sheila de la Guerra

From: Gregory Sater <satergreg@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 3, 2025 4:29 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Comment re: Sable Offshore Corporation

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello. I am a resident of Santa Barbara. I strongly and vehemently oppose the transfer of oil and gas permits from Exxon to Sable.
Previously, on a related Sable issue, I submitted the comment you see below, which I incorporate herein in its entirety by this reference.

I do not believe it is safe, or prudent, or in the best interests of Santa Barbara, to enable any of these companies or any successor or assignee or licensee to pump, transport, or otherwise deal in any way in any gas or oil or other product coming out of the channel.

Please consider my comments and my opposition, which I am now timely submitting at 4:29 PM.

Greg Sater
1315 San Rafael Ave,
Santa Barbara, CA 93109

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Gregory Sater** <satergreg@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, Oct 23, 2024 at 11:08 PM
Subject: Comment respectfully requesting DENIAL of any transfer of permits from ExxonMobil to Sable Offshore Corporation
To: <dvillalo@countyofsb.org>

As a resident of Santa Barbara, this is my public comment submitted to the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission. Please provide it to each of the Commissioners. I submit this comment in connection with the Commission's consideration, which I understand will occur on or after October 30, 2024, of Sable Offshore Corporation's request to have the permits of ExxonMobil transferred to Sable with regard to the facilities commonly known as the Santa Ynez Unit, POPCO Gas Plant, and Las Flores Pipelines.

I strongly OPPOSE the transfer of any of ExxonMobil's permits to Sable and I respectfully urge the Commission to deny any such transfer.

I would be happy to set forth all the reasons why. I could write you a lengthy email discussing it. However, it's already been done by the Environmental Defense Counsel. Rather than waste your valuable time reiterating points that have been made to you already, I will simply incorporate into this

email, by this reference, all the reasons given to the Commission by the EDC for the denial of the transfer of this permit.

That being said, I would like you to know that, personally, I would be greatly affected if there were to be another oil spill along these shores and so I have standing to object.

First, as a taxpayer, I could be materially affected due to the likely significant public cleanup costs following one or more oil spills by Sable.

Second, and much more importantly, I could be seriously affected psychologically, emotionally, recreationally, and physically by such a spill.

I moved to Santa Barbara County specifically for the clean beaches here and for the fun beach and water sports that one can enjoy here in proximity to and in the clean water, and, for me as a nature lover, also for the wildlife I knew I would get to see on a regular basis both out in the Channel Islands and here onshore: wildlife that is in great peril everywhere else but is "holding on" right here in Santa Barbara County.

To be more specific about my standing to object and to urge the Commission to vote "no":

I live in Santa Barbara on the Mesa, and in terms of my frequent and ongoing enjoyment of our coastal wildlife "resources," here, I'd like you to know that I go out on Santa Barbara's Condor Express whale watching boat on a regular basis. I see whales in the channel on a regular basis from shore, from that boat, and from Island Packers boats I take from Ventura. This includes endangered whales like the Blue Whale, Fin Whale, and Humpbacks, as well as other whales that, after making progress, now sadly are in decline again, like the Gray Whale which, as you may know, "hugs the coastline" during its migration. I see Orcas and Minke Whales and several different kinds of dolphins. For example, within a few feet of shore, from East Beach, Leadbetter, Hendry's, Refugio, and Gaviota, I have seen a particular family of Coastal Bottlenose Dolphins several times. That is a dolphin whose total population is estimated now to be only about six hundred. Why? Because they live close to shore so they are vulnerable to pollution. I've seen an Orca from shore, here, within the surf line, sharks, and more Long-Beaked Common Dolphins than I can count. Also sea lions, seals, fish of all kinds, velella velellas.

Not only do I get enjoyment from seeing these species, I get enjoyment from talking to others who enjoy seeing them. When I'm on the boats that go out, and when I'm onshore, I meet people who have come here from all over the world to see our beaches and, if they like nature, to see the teeming wildlife in our channel. For me, not to mention the wildlife, it would be devastating for there to be an oil spill here.

Also, I am a birder. That means that I, along with hundreds of others from Santa Barbara Audubon, often go birding at numerous coastal locations from Santa Barbara to Gaviota. North American bird populations have crashed in my lifetime alone (I'm only 57) by an estimated 80% to 90% percent due to our impacts on their habitat. We need to protect the habitat of the last remaining birds, including the thousands that migrate for thousands of miles a year and then, exhausted, make a life-preserving stop right here in Santa Barbara, very close to shore, if not on the beaches themselves. Not just shorebirds like sandpipers, plovers, terns, skimmers, and gulls, but raptors like Ospreys and "tree birds" who land at the coast either to rest during migration or to feed. The same goes for our year-round resident birds. Due to human development, there are not many birding "hot spots" left intact in California, and we are very fortunate to have several right here, all coastal.

Our wildlife here, from whales to birds, already at risk of other oil leaks over time from other sources and facing a myriad of other threats to their survival, simply cannot afford an oil spill by Sable. Neither can the residents of the County, like me, who appreciate seeing that wildlife.

I also have standing to object to this and urge the Commission to vote "no" because I myself am in the water here on a regular basis. Oil contains carcinogens, if I'm not mistaken, and so an oil spill would not be healthy for me or anyone else here who likes to go into the water. How many people in this County swim in it, surf in it, windsurf in it, paddle board in, sail in it, etc.

Whether you receive one comment opposed to Sable's request or a thousand, it's not just those who commented who would be devastated by another spill in this area. It's *everyone* here.

The visceral trauma that residents of our County would experience from seeing another oil spill here would be inestimable and the political repercussions would be formidable: if such a tragedy were to happen, every County resident would know, or soon enough would be told, exactly who had voted to make that oil spill feasible, and who had voted "no" to try to prevent it from ever happening in the first place. It is within this Commission's power to do the right thing and say "no." Let us learn from our past ecological mistakes and not repeat them.

Respectfully submitted,

Gregory J. Sater
1315 San Rafael Ave.
Santa Barbara, CA 93109
Tel. (310) 991-6361

Sheila de la Guerra

From: Kalena Sapp <ksapp@ucsb.edu>
Sent: Monday, November 3, 2025 5:00 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Comment on the 11/04/25 Sable

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Clerk of

the Board

Santa

Barbara County Board of Supervisors

105 E

Anapamu Street, Fourth Floor, Room 407

Santa

Barbara, CA 93101

11/03/2025

Comments

Regarding Planning Agenda Item 3, Case 25-00942: Appeal of the Sable Offshore Corporation's Permit Transfer for Offshore Oil Development

Dear Santa

Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

Thank you

for the opportunity to comment on Sable Offshore Corporation's request for the transfer of oil facility permits for the Santa Ynez Unit, the POPCO Gas Plant, and the Las Flores Pipeline. As a graduate student at the University of California, Santa Barbara's Bren School for Environmental Science and Management, I am deeply concerned about the potential threat posed to Santa Barbara County and Gaviota coastlines by the restart of this pipeline. The increased likelihood of an oil spill resulting from Sable Offshore Corporation's operations presents an unacceptable risk to critical coastal

habitats and local communities. I urge the Board of Supervisors to deny Sable's application for the transfer of Exxon's oil and gas permits for the Santa Ynez Unit, the Pacific Offshore Pipeline Company Gas Plant, and the Las Flores Pipeline system in order to protect the health and safety of Santa Barbara communities and ecosystems.

As outlined in Chapter 25B of the County Ordinance, the transfer of permits is prohibited if it poses a risk to the environment and public health. The proposed transfer of oil facility permits from Exxon to Sable for the Santa Ynez Unit and Las Flores Pipeline directly contradicts this ordinance. The likelihood of an oil spill from restarting pipeline operations would have devastating effects on critical coastal habitats and local communities, which are still recovering from the previous pipeline failure that caused the Refugio Oil Spill nearly 10 years ago.

In May

2015, this oil spill occurred when a pipeline ruptured near Refugio Beach, releasing more than 100,000 gallons of oil and severely damaging a stretch of coastline just outside Santa Barbara. The oil contamination harmed beaches and fragile intertidal zones, suffocating fish populations, seabirds, and marine mammals, while also leading to the shutdown of local recreational areas and fisheries. The then-owner of the pipeline, Plains All American Pipeline, was required to pay \$22.3 million in a settlement with state and federal agencies, including NOAA (the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration), to compensate for natural resource losses and impacts on ecosystem biodiversity and human health. Those funds have been partially used to restore shoreline habitats and assist impacted coastal communities in their recovery. Restoration efforts are still ongoing to ensure sustainable populations of abalone that help protect kelp forests, which are critical for various fish species. Many projects are just now beginning to show signs of recovery, and the threat of another oil spill risks undoing a decade's worth of remedial efforts aimed at revitalizing these fragile ecosystems.

Furthermore,

Sable Offshore Corporation has not demonstrated the financial capability to cover the costs of decommissioning facilities if they are never restarted. It is likely that the company would also struggle to fund remediation efforts if

another spill occurs, which would unfairly burden the public with cleanup costs.

Sable

Offshore Corporation has continually proven it cannot be trusted to protect the safety of California communities and shorelines. The Houston-based company has repeatedly shown disregard for both the law and local regulations. Currently, Sable is in violation of two cease and desist orders from the California Coastal Commission for failing to halt work on pipeline facilities without the appropriate permits, and they have been fined \$18 million by the commission. Additionally, they failed to meet review requirements prior to commencing operations, jeopardizing public health and safety by neglecting to install essential corrosion protection technology. The California Attorney General has filed a lawsuit against Sable for illegally discharging waste into streams and habitats, and the District Attorney has brought criminal charges against the company, including five felonies. The questionable behavior by Sable's management team indicates that the Board cannot trust them to operate this project responsibly or legally. Moreover, Sable lacks the experience and financial capacity necessary to prevent or manage an oil spill, which would have consequences far worse than those witnessed in 2015.

Thank you

for your attention to this urgent matter.

Sincerely,

Kalena

Sapp

--

Kalena Sapp

Master of Environmental Science and Management | Class of 2027

Bren School of Environmental Science and Management

University of California, Santa Barbara

ksapp@bren.ucsb.edu | [LinkedIn](#)



Sheila de la Guerra

From: Kalena Sapp <ksapp@ucsb.edu>
Sent: Monday, November 3, 2025 5:27 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Re: Public Comment on 11/04 BOS Hearing
Attachments: Public Comment - 1104 Agenda Item #3 RE Hearing for Sable permit application.pdf

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

Please find the attached PDF of the public comment for the 11/04 Board of Supervisors Hearing on Agenda Item 3.

Thank you

On Mon, Nov 3, 2025 at 4:59 PM Kalena Sapp <ksapp@ucsb.edu> wrote:
Clerk of

the Board

Santa

Barbara County Board of Supervisors

105 E

Anapamu Street, Fourth Floor, Room 407

Santa

Barbara, CA 93101

11/03/2025

Comments

Regarding Planning Agenda Item 3, Case 25-00942: Appeal of the Sable Offshore Corporation's Permit Transfer for Offshore Oil Development

Dear Santa

Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

Thank you

for the opportunity to comment on Sable Offshore Corporation's request for the transfer of oil facility permits for the Santa Ynez Unit, the POPCO Gas Plant,

and the Las Flores Pipeline. As a graduate student at the University of California, Santa Barbara's Bren School for Environmental Science and Management, I am deeply concerned about the potential threat posed to Santa Barbara County and Gaviota coastlines by the restart of this pipeline. The increased likelihood of an oil spill resulting from Sable Offshore Corporation's operations presents an unacceptable risk to critical coastal habitats and local communities. I urge the Board of Supervisors to deny Sable's application for the transfer of Exxon's oil and gas permits for the Santa Ynez Unit, the Pacific Offshore Pipeline Company Gas Plant, and the Las Flores Pipeline system in order to protect the health and safety of Santa Barbara communities and ecosystems.

As

outlined in Chapter 25B of the County Ordinance, the transfer of permits is prohibited if it poses a risk to the environment and public health. The proposed transfer of oil facility permits from Exxon to Sable for the Santa Ynez Unit and Las Flores Pipeline directly contradicts this ordinance. The likelihood of an oil spill from restarting pipeline operations would have devastating effects on critical coastal habitats and local communities, which

are still recovering from the previous pipeline failure that caused the Refugio Oil Spill nearly 10 years ago.

In May

2015, this oil spill occurred when a pipeline ruptured near Refugio Beach, releasing more than 100,000 gallons of oil and severely damaging a stretch of coastline just outside Santa Barbara. The oil contamination harmed beaches and fragile intertidal zones, suffocating fish populations, seabirds, and marine mammals, while also leading to the shutdown of local recreational areas and fisheries. The then-owner of the pipeline, Plains All American Pipeline, was required to pay \$22.3 million in a settlement with state and federal agencies, including NOAA (the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration), to compensate for natural resource losses and impacts on ecosystem biodiversity and human health. Those funds have been partially used to restore shoreline habitats and assist impacted coastal communities in their recovery. Restoration efforts are still ongoing to ensure sustainable populations of abalone that help protect kelp forests, which are critical for various fish species. Many projects are just now beginning to show signs of recovery, and the threat of another oil spill risks undoing a decade's worth of remedial efforts aimed at

revitalizing these fragile ecosystems.

Furthermore,

Sable Offshore Corporation has not demonstrated the financial capability to cover the costs of decommissioning facilities if they are never restarted. It is likely that the company would also struggle to fund remediation efforts if another spill occurs, which would unfairly burden the public with cleanup costs.

Sable

Offshore Corporation has continually proven it cannot be trusted to protect the safety of California communities and shorelines. The Houston-based company has repeatedly shown disregard for both the law and local regulations. Currently, Sable is in violation of two cease and desist orders from the California Coastal Commission for failing to halt work on pipeline facilities without the appropriate permits, and they have been fined \$18 million by the commission. Additionally, they failed to meet review requirements prior to commencing operations, jeopardizing public health and safety by neglecting to install essential corrosion protection technology. The California Attorney General has filed a lawsuit against Sable for illegally discharging waste into streams and habitats, and the District Attorney has brought criminal charges against the

company, including five felonies. The questionable behavior by Sable's management team indicates that the Board cannot trust them to operate this project responsibly or legally. Moreover, Sable lacks the experience and financial capacity necessary to prevent or manage an oil spill, which would have consequences far worse than those witnessed in 2015.

Thank you

for your attention to this urgent matter.

Sincerely,

Kalena

Sapp

Clerk of the Board

Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors

105 E Anapamu Street, Fourth Floor, Room 407

Santa Barbara, CA 93101

11/03/2025

Comments Regarding Planning Agenda Item 3, Case 25-00942: Appeal of the Sable Offshore Corporation's Permit Transfer for Offshore Oil Development

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on Sable Offshore Corporation's request for the transfer of oil facility permits for the Santa Ynez Unit, the POPCO Gas Plant, and the Las Flores Pipeline. As a graduate student at the University of California, Santa Barbara's Bren School for Environmental Science and Management, I am deeply concerned about the potential threat posed to Santa Barbara County and Gaviota coastline by the restart of this pipeline. The increased likelihood of an oil spill resulting from Sable Offshore Corporation's operations presents an unacceptable risk to critical coastal habitats and local communities. I urge the Board of Supervisors to deny Sable's application for the transfer of Exxon's oil and gas permits for the Santa Ynez Unit, the Pacific Offshore Pipeline Company Gas Plant, and the Las Flores Pipeline system in order to protect the health and safety of Santa Barbara communities and ecosystems.

As outlined in Chapter 25B of the County Ordinance, the transfer of permits is prohibited if it poses a risk to the environment and public health. The proposed transfer of oil facility permits from Exxon to Sable for the Santa Ynez Unit and Las Flores Pipeline directly contradicts this ordinance. The likelihood of an oil spill from restarting pipeline operations would have devastating effects on critical coastal habitats and local communities, which are still recovering from the previous pipeline failure that caused the Refugio Oil Spill nearly 10 years ago.

In May 2015, a ruptured pipeline caused an oil spill near Refugio Beach, releasing more than 100,000 gallons of oil and severely damaging a stretch of coastline just outside Santa Barbara. The oil contamination harmed beaches and fragile intertidal zones, suffocating fish populations, seabirds, and marine mammals, while also leading to the shutdown of local recreational areas

and fisheries. The then-owner of the pipeline, Plains All American Pipeline, was required to pay \$22.3 million in a settlement with state and federal agencies, including NOAA (the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration), to compensate for natural resource losses and impacts on ecosystem biodiversity and human health. Those funds have been partially used to restore shoreline habitats and assist impacted coastal communities in their recovery. Restoration efforts are still ongoing to ensure sustainable populations, including that of abalone, to help protect kelp forests critical to various fish species. Many projects are just now beginning to show signs of recovery, and the threat of another oil spill risks undoing a decade's worth of efforts to restore these fragile ecosystems.

Furthermore, Sable Offshore Corporation has not demonstrated the financial capability to cover the costs of decommissioning the facilities in the event they are never restarted. It is likely that the company would also struggle to fund remediation efforts if another spill occurs, which would unfairly burden the public with cleanup costs.

Sable Offshore Corporation has continually proven it cannot be trusted to protect the safety of California communities and shorelines. The Houston-based company has repeatedly shown disregard for both the law and local regulations. Currently, Sable is in violation of two cease and desist orders from the California Coastal Commission for failing to halt work on pipeline facilities without the appropriate permits, and they have been fined \$18 million by the commission. They failed to meet review requirements prior to commencing operations, jeopardizing public health and safety by neglecting to install essential corrosion protection technology. Additionally, the California Attorney General has filed a lawsuit against Sable for illegally discharging waste into streams and habitats, and the District Attorney has brought criminal charges against the company, including five felonies. The questionable behavior displayed by Sable's management team indicates that the Board cannot trust them to operate this project responsibly. Moreover, Sable lacks the experience and financial capacity necessary to prevent or manage an oil spill, which would have consequences far worse than those witnessed in 2015.

Thank you for your attention to this urgent matter.

Sincerely,

Kalena Sapp

Sheila de la Guerra

From: Sherie Higgins <higginssherie@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, November 3, 2025 5:47 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Sable Out of Santa Barbara County

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,
Please vote no to allow sable oil to drill offshore or transport oil in our waters. As we saw in Mark Mannings documentary, The Cost of Silence, accidents will happen, oil will spill into our waters and coast, and disbursements will be used to further poison our wildlife, our people and our coastal ocean. We must not let Sable continue the destruction of Santa Barbara County. Keep Sable out and protect Santa Barbara County.

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPad](#)

Sheila de la Guerra

From: Sydney Beckett <sydsurf@icloud.com>
Sent: Monday, November 3, 2025 7:17 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: deny the permit transfer from exonn mobile to sable

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

This cannot happen, as a surfer and a student at UCSB this would heavily impact my ability to enjoy the ocean here and enjoy it as a public resource. On top of that this is horrible for the environment and should not be allowed.

**Sincerely,
Sydney Beckett
Sent from my iPhone**

Sheila de la Guerra

From: Lucas Childs <lucasbchilds@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 3, 2025 9:27 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Deny the Permit Transfer from ExxonMobile to Sable

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

To Whom It May Concern,

I'm writing to oppose the oil pipeline permit transfer from ExxonMobile to Sable. I'm a member of the UCSB Surf Team and an avid surfer who grew up in the ocean. The ocean is dear to me, and this permit transfer would cause harm to the already oil and tar filled beaches in Santa Barbara and Goleta.

**Thank you,
Lucas Childs**

Sheila de la Guerra

From: Ace Bruns <acebruns@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 3, 2025 11:27 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Deny the Permit Transfer From ExxonMobil to Sable

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello, I am a student, environmentalist and surfer at the University of California Santa Barbara. Having witnessed the harmful environmental effects of oil drilling first hand, my peers and I stand firmly against resuming operation of the offshore oil rigs. It is of the utmost importance that the permit is denied and that we do not risk more environmental damage to our beautiful and bio diverse coastline. Thank you for your consideration!

Sheila de la Guerra

From: Christie Lynn <christiemlynn@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, November 3, 2025 11:38 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Agenda item 2500942

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello!

I apologize for the late addition. I wanted to join the meeting but due to circumstances, I am unable to. Please consider still distributing this to the board members prior to the meeting:

A majority of the country is tired of divisive politics. I am and I hope you are too. We're done with politicians and the media painting "the other side" as the enemy.. because with that we forget that the other side are our neighbors, coworkers, family or friends...and for you guys, members of the other side are also your constituents. We, as a nation, have moved so far left and right that we have forgotten the majority of people are in the middle... that we have more in common with each other than we do differences. For you as representatives—your job isn't to just please your party—it is to work together with the other side of the aisle for the benefit of the people. I am speaking here today, pleading, for all of you to do this.

I believe that all of us try to do the right thing based on our own experiences and values. But, we don't live in a world where everyone else sees things the same way. What makes a difference in the world is how we engage with those with different world views—our stated enemies. Do we attempt to understand how "the enemy" believes and how our policies, choices and decisions affect others? That is YOUR responsibility as our supervisors. Joan, Roy, Laura, Steve, Bob- have you put yourselves in the shoes of someone who would be impacted by your policy decisions?

Let me allow you to step into my shoes so you can do just that: You have graduated high school in 2007, but how the traditional school system is set up is not how your brain learns best. You've gotten by in high school, but you know college is not for you. You come alive with hands on training and you feel smart and competent and you make a difference doing this. You enter into an industry where you see you can use your skills and knowledge and have the ability to make a living. There's growth and opportunity and you can have a liveable wage to support a family on the central coast of CA. You dedicate 15 years of your life to this industry. Now you're in your 30s—you're married and have four kids, own a home, and are a contributing member of society paying your fair share in taxes. Unfortunately, this industry you poured your heart and soul into is the oil industry. You now have been laid off due to the possibility that the SB board of supervisors vote no to permitting the transfer to Sable, a company that has already poured into many employees families and the community. People who've never seen your employers as anything but the enemy and in doing so, have failed to see you. They've failed to see how that oil company provided thousands of families on the central coast the opportunity to make six figures, to have health insurance and benefits that is rare, to provide a level of financial peace to pay for a roof over your children's head, a reliable car to get from place to place, to keep the lights on and food in your fridge and pantry. That you've only showed up to your job in this industry and worked hard day in and day out to ensure that you

(and your company) abided by the regulations so that oil production in our county ran smoothly. No matter the many hours of OT you put in away from your families that you made sure that safety procedures were followed to avoid any environmental impacts.

Your job is just gone and all that security with it.

What some people on this board of supervisors now want to “provide” in place is \$450 weekly in unemployment (the current max benefit allowed) and a plan to eventually have jobs in an alternative environmentally friendly industry. But what about now? Because right now this is what my family is living.

The studies that talk about avoiding “climate-related damages are **modeled estimates** based on assumptions. There were estimates in the 2000s that we would run out of oil. Al Gore stated based on studies in 2009 there was a 75% chance that the Arctic ice would all be melted within the near future. Greta Thornburg had tweeted that “A top climate scientist is warning that climate change will wipe out humanity unless we stop using fossil fuels over the next five years.”

All these models turned out to be wrong and were wrong by a long shot although they were well intentioned.

I want to be clear about this: While I believe you are well intentioned and going off these same models, there is 100 percent chance that thousands of people’s livelihoods will go from providing for their family as middle class.. to poverty. For many families—a Christmas with very little under the tree, unemployment paychecks that don’t even cover our mortgages/rent much less anything else, our children not being able to do anything extra curricular, and compounded stress about our nows and our futures.

All based on potential models instead of the evidence I’m presenting.

Your decision will do that. Forget about making the oil companies the enemy- the safety systems in place today have more technological advances to keep oil spills at bay. Everyone with an invested interest in continuing their business , like Sable, enforces strict compliance to that regulation. Based on lived experience, I can confidently tell you that NOBODY in the oil industry wants an oil spill or environmental damage— their livelihoods are at stake if there is anything negative. Competent people in these jobs and in leadership do everything in their power to make sure to comply because this makes sure that their jobs and everyone underneath them continue to have a livelihood. They know us and see us. I am asking you in this board- do you see us?

What I am asking you is to step outside yourself today. Im asking you to collaborate with a company that you think has opposing values but in reality you guys have more in common than not. You care about this community and so do they. You care about people and so do they. You want to ensure that oil companies run smoothly and without mistakes and so do they. You want the people to have a liveable wage and so do they. Please keep an open mind to a working solution that’ll benefit your constituents and not put party over people. Yes—hold companies accountable—but don’t cut the industry off completely and, in return, cut off thousands of our livelihoods.

Only a “yes” vote in favor of approving the transfer to Sable will do that. A “yes” would instantly lift thousands out of poverty.

A “no” is a scary alternative that we’re already living out—a place that if I were in your shoes would never place any of you in.

Thank you for your time,
Jullian Ortiz

Sheila de la Guerra

From: Noah Ackerly <noahackerly@ucsb.edu>
Sent: Monday, November 3, 2025 11:39 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Deny the Permit Transfer from ExxonMobil to Sable

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I am writing regarding Sable's attempt to reopen the oil pipeline that caused the 2015 Refugio oil spill. As an avid waterman and member of the UCSB surf team and Environmental Law Club, I view the reopening of the pipeline as a threat of future harm and corporate negligence. Our coasts already face enough problems and passing this permit will only amplify such issues.

Reopening the pipeline goes against our collective duty as a coastal community to preserve the beaches that our economy revolves around. I hope you will take the time to consider the detrimental effects such a decision can have. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Noah Ackerly

Sheila de la Guerra

From: Brooke Bent <brookebent@icloud.com>
Sent: Monday, November 3, 2025 11:54 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Comment on 11/4/25 BOS Hearing

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

My name is Brooke and I am concerned about the potential restart of the pipeline owners by sable offshore corp.

As a Goleta resident and young adult, I am worried about the future of Santa Barbara beaches. So much of why I love living in Goleta is the beautiful beaches and abundant wildlife. Sables actions reflect a record of non-compliance and unsafe operations (disregarding cease and desist orders, failure to apply for necessary permits, failure to notify state lands commission before conducting well-testing activities, \$18 million penalty for permitted and destructive operations, criminal charges filed by the district attorney, etc) Sable's unsafe operations would lead to a high risk of oil spills, which would not only negatively impact wildlife, but also likely put the financial weight of cleaning up the spills on the local community given that Sable has not shown to be financially reliable or responsible.

Protect the safety and future of Californians by NOT allowing Sable Offshore to restart the pipeline in Santa Barbara County.

Sincerely,

- Brooke Bent

Sheila de la Guerra

From: Pat Adler (patadlerca@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message <patadlerca@p2a.co>
Sent: Monday, November 3, 2025 8:29 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Deny transfer of permits to Sable Offshore

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

Please DENY the transfer of permits to Sable.

Sable has not demonstrated the skills, training, and financial resources to operate safely and responsibly, especially in light of \$18 million in fines and 21 criminal charges filed against the company.

Sable has proven to our community time and time again it cannot be trusted to operate these facilities safely or responsibly.

Sincerely,

Pat Adler
1409 Las Canoas Lane
Santa Barbara, CA 93105
patadlerca@gmail.com
(805) 965-6941

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at member.care@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5673.

Sheila de la Guerra

From: Jamie Green (springhead.jg@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<springhead.jg@grassrootsmessages.com>
Sent: Monday, November 3, 2025 7:41 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Deny transfer of permits to Sable Offshore

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

Please DENY the transfer of permits to Sable.

Sable has not demonstrated the skills, training, and financial resources to operate safely and responsibly, especially in light of \$18 million in fines and 21 criminal charges filed against the company.

Sable has proven to our community time and time again it cannot be trusted to operate these facilities safely or responsibly.

Sincerely,

Jamie Green
9727 Sweetwater Ln
ventura, CA 93004
springhead.jg@gmail.com
(805) 659-4444

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at member.care@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5673.

Sheila de la Guerra

From: Jocelyn Eke (ekejocelyn25@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message <ekejocelyn25@grassrootsmessages.com>
Sent: Monday, November 3, 2025 7:23 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Deny transfer of permits to Sable Offshore

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

Sable offshore is an environmental criminal. They have been fined 18 million and have had 21 criminal charges filed against. Reject Sable Offshore immediately.

Dear Supervisors,

Please DENY the transfer of permits to Sable.

Sable has not demonstrated the skills, training, and financial resources to operate safely and responsibly, especially in light of \$18 million in fines and 21 criminal charges filed against the company.

Sable has proven to our community time and time again it cannot be trusted to operate these facilities safely or responsibly.

Sincerely,

Jocelyn Eke
3629 Vinton Avenue, Apt. # 7
Los Angeles, CA 90034
ekejocelyn25@gmail.com
(310) 433-5874

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at member.care@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5673.

Sheila de la Guerra

From: Stephen Luis (stevel051@outlook.com) Sent You a Personal Message <stevel051@p2a.co>
Sent: Monday, November 3, 2025 6:02 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Deny transfer of permits to Sable Offshore

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

Please DENY the transfer of permits to Sable.

Sable has not demonstrated the skills, training, and financial resources to operate safely and responsibly, especially in light of \$18 million in fines and 21 criminal charges filed against the company.

Sable has proven to our community time and time again it cannot be trusted to operate these facilities safely or responsibly.

Sincerely,

Stephen Luis
3202 Vista del camino
Marina, CA 93933
stevel051@outlook.com
(831) 869-6187

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at member.care@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5673.

Sheila de la Guerra

From: Melissa Munoz <melissa_munoz3@my.vcccd.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, November 4, 2025 7:31 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Public Comment – Deny Sable’s Permit Transfer

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to strongly urge you to deny Sable’s application to transfer permits for the Santa Ynez Unit, Las Flores Pipelines, and the associated onshore processing facilities.

Our County ordinance, Chapter 25B, clearly prohibits permit transfers that pose a risk to public health or the environment. Sable’s recent track record demonstrates that the company is either unwilling or unable to operate safely and within the law. Before even restarting operations, Sable has already been fined a record \$18 million by the California Coastal Commission, sued by the State Attorney General for illegally discharging waste into streams and habitats, and charged with 21 criminal counts—including five felonies—by the District Attorney.

Furthermore, the Chair of the State Lands Commission has publicly questioned Sable’s transparency, citing a willful disregard for regulatory directives. The State Fire Marshal has issued a notice of noncompliance regarding pipeline safety, and even Sable’s own investors have filed a federal lawsuit accusing the company of misleading them about restarting production to inflate its stock price ahead of a public offering.

This is not a company that inspires public trust or confidence. Sable has also failed to demonstrate the financial capacity to clean up a potential oil spill or properly decommission these facilities. The Board must require Sable to post bonds now to ensure that taxpayers are not left to bear the cost of their negligence.

We live in a region of extraordinary ecological and cultural value. Our coastline, our watersheds, and our communities deserve protection, not risk. Please act in the public interest and deny this permit transfer.

Sincerely,
Melissa Muñoz

Sheila de la Guerra

From: Emily Pineda <emiilypiineda7@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 4, 2025 7:44 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Keep Sable Out!

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Sable...I meant to say Board of Supervisors,

The fact that you are even considering this, makes me so compelled to resonate your consideration with Sable's objective to hurt the environment. I have lived in Santa Barbara for twenty years. Do you realize that is one number away from the **21 criminal charges** that were filed by The District Attorney against the company. Including **five felonies**? Sable is too high up their own pedestal that they fail to inform you, they in fact **do not** have the skills, training, or financial resources to operate these dangerous oil facilities. Oil companies should not have the ability to take advantage of our environment. Sable is untrustworthy. Just ask their investors who filed a federal lawsuit accusing the company of misleading investors about restarting production to boost its stock price before a public offering. You can right this wrong. Keep Sable Out!

- Emily Pineda