

Ramirez, Angelica

General Public Comment

From: Lesley Weinstock <lesleyweinstock@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 12:54 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Please replace Lesley Weinstock Public Comments, 8-24-2021 per spelling corrections
Attachments: Lesley Weinstock BOS PC August 24-2021.docx



Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear BOS Staff:

Please distribute this to all the members of the BOS.
Please put it in the public record if possible
Thank you.

Best regards,
Lesley Weinstock
lesleyweinstock@yahoo.com

BOS Public Comments by Lesley Weinstock August 24, 2021

Good morning. I really want to acknowledge how much you all have on your plate, how challenging your jobs are and how incredible your service is to us.

My group Safe Technology for Santa Barbara County was not informed in time to show up and attempt to stop the votes for the cross-outs to the telecom code, in Dec 2019. If we had the information we have now, 2 years ago, we would have presented expert witnesses and communicated more effectively about the biological and safety effects of unneeded, unmonitored, overpowered cell towers.

You have been hoodwinked by the telecom industry, example; the NIH study proved Radio Frequency Radiation (RFR) causes cancer. The industry used the tobacco playbook, spun the science, and continues to discredit the researchers. This is what we are up against. This behavior is typical when profit is paramount.

The August 13th FCC ruling showed that the FCC did not consider the evidence of RFR harm to health and environment. Not only did the court say that the FCC's order is "arbitrary and capricious", no one is monitoring the radiation. The current RFR frequencies are being transmitted with no FCC guidelines. Therefore, we can use this court ruling as a reason to strengthen our code.

We now have several stellar expert witnesses available to explain the science and the action you can take to boost our protections, especially in light of the 3 terrible state wireless broadband bills: SB.556, AB.537 and SB.378, which will deregulate the wireless industry. This could be your last chance to add essential amendments, including requiring proof of a significant gap in coverage, restricting Wireless Telecom Facilities (WTFs) in residential and mixed zones, notification, monitoring, procedural guidance for planners, and require proof of insurance without a pollution exclusion.

Near my home, on East Valley Road, I have observed an increasing number of "so called" small cells on almost every pole, in preparation for a 5G technology, never studied for safety. This unregulated, unmonitored RFR is producing harmful effects on me, my family and my neighbors.

Please meet with us and our experts to hear new information, work together to brainstorm solutions, boost our local authority and prevent injury lawsuits from your constituents by this reckless disregard of harm to public safety and the environment.

Ramirez, Angelica

From: K T <ktamazon@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 3:15 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Public comment 8/24/21, BOS

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear County Board of Supervisors,
Greetings from the Hilton in Boston on layover due to Hurricane Henri.
A week ago Friday the ninth district circuit court of appeals in D.C. ruled that the guidelines set by the FCC in 1996 need revision. The small cell wireless antennas that line our residential and commercial neighborhoods are no longer deemed safe by the 9th District Circuit Court of Appeals.

The FCC has been ignoring mountains of evidence from peer reviewed studies that conclude that the current exposure limits cause harm. In these studies they have demonstrated that they cause cancer, lowered immune response, and neurological damage. Furthermore, no one is monitoring the transmission levels that are broadcast from these wireless antennas.

In December 2019, our county crossed out 2 great protections in our code.: proof of significant gap in coverage and restrictions from placement in residential neighborhoods.

These small cell antennas are not safe for many reasons:

- a) the exposure levels for RFR are too high.
- b) they are combustable- Malibu has incurred two horrific fires due to combustible cell antennas and downed power lines.
- c) powering these small cell antennas greatly increases green house emissions contributing to climate change.

Are they essential? Macro towers in the mountains can meet our County's broadband needs to keep our residential and commercial neighborhoods safe.

My request is that in light of the 9th District Circuit Court of Appeals decision, that the cross outs of December 9th 2019 be reconsidered. I request that the County Board of Supervisors, County Attorney, and Planning Department meet with Safe Technology of SBC to discuss revision of the County Code.

Thank you,

Katie Mickey

Vice President of Safe Technology for SBC

Ramirez, Angelica



From: BERNARD SANDLER <bns100@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, August 27, 2021 6:46 AM
To: sbcob
Cc: Bernard Sandler
Subject: Don't Bring Anti-Competitive, Inefficient EMS Model to Santa Barbara County we want to stay safe please mention this bernard sandler Montecito

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

We want good planning and good emts please look into this my son owns an ambulance company in northern calif and feels that plan is a plan for disaster.....

Bernard Sandler
bns100@aol.com
805-969-2509
Cell 805-452-7470

"Don't judge each day by the harvest you reap but by the seeds that you plant." - Robert Louis Stevenson