

workshop



Sarah Mayer Public Comment - Group 2

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:25 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: Glen Anne Development

-----Original Message-----

From: coastsidedentalrepair@ymail.com <coastsidedentalrepair@ymail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 9:39 AM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject: Glen Anne Development

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

As a registered voter in county, I would like you to vote "NO" on development of the Gene Anne Golf course area.

Many have already voice valid reasons for voting Against this development.
Traffic is already overwhelmed in the area and water shortages for the long term have not been addressed to support such a large development.

Vote "NO"!!!!

William Black
7841 Day Road
Goleta, CA. 93117

Warning! Email was sent using a mobile device with limited spell check or transcription.

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:26 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: the car crowding is getting out of control

From: Darla Sharp <darla.sharp@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 12:12 PM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject: the car crowding is getting out of control

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi,

I live and own a home in El Encanto area (Madera Drive) and I am writing to express concerns about all the dense housing plans going on in our area with no correlating expansion of the long promised pedestrian or other bridges. The Glen Annie Exit area is so congested and backed up it is really problematic at this time and I cannot imagine how horrible it will become if hundreds of units are added to this section of the map. The freeway offramp at Glen Annie/Storke backs up onto the freeway daily at 4:30pm onward - I try to avoid ever having to get off at my own exit at this time.

Calle Real is nearly impossible to turn left onto from Colusa in the mornings due to high school traffic that is higher than it should be due to all the drop offs because there is no safe egress to campus for the kids - no pedestrian bridge! The high school traffic is not trivial.

This area cannot absorb hundreds of units and hundreds more cars without some kind of additional roadway access - another overpass seems necessary in this area if growth for housing is to happen in any kind of measure manner.

I understand that here is a mandate for more housing, but it cannot take place without appropriate infrastructure in place. This particular zone is impacted so incredibly by the lure of Costco/Home Depot/Target. Add in a highschool on top of this commuter trifecta of destinations and it's become a truly Orange County Loathsome traffic triangulation of strangulation!

I bought my home 12 years ago and it's gotten worse with each passing year. There is no option for working class people like myself to sell and get some other place in the wider area - I am absolutely stuck where I am due to the housing crisis that affects everyone else.

Maybe Goleta needs to not accept the state mandate and take a firm stand on not building due to Water constraints and insist the state backup infrastructure money to go with this housing mandate? Get in a lawsuit with the state over this issue. Take a stand. I know I am not informed as to all the aspects of this issue, but I do know that WATER is a serious issue in our county and I have been so sparing using water at my home and it seems that my personal actions helped create the illusion we have enough WATER to support hundreds of new housing units?

Thank you for reading this email. I hope some eral road/urban planning is done before adding hundreds of housing units to this section of the map.

Sincerely,
Darla Sharp

--

Darla Sharp (she/her)
Santa Barbara
California, 93106

Currently reading:

The Magic Mountain by Thomas Mann

The Devil in Music by Kate Ross

Remarkably Bright Creatures by Shelby Van Pelt

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:26 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: Glen Annie rezoning

-----Original Message-----

From: Babetta Daddino <bdsbsun1@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 1:24 PM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject: Glen Annie rezoning

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you for this opportunity to state my opposition to rezoning of Glenn Annie in Goleta. First, the traffic hazards created by too much traffic for this overpass system is already fraught with danger. The signal light from 101 north already backs onto the freeway lanes creating sudden stopping on the freeway lanes. Traffic congestion at Glenn Annie and Hollister is often creating a backlog for people entering and leaving Marketplace. Hillside erosion has been protected by current agriculture use preventing potential flooding in high rainfall.

I agree we want additional housing, especially low income housing but this does not seem to be the best answer.

Babetta Daddino.
7337 Davenport rd
Goleta.
Sent from my iPad

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:27 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: Rezoning for housing in Goleta

From: H Robertson <heathrob27@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 3:49 PM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject: Rezoning for housing in Goleta

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

I oppose the rezoning of the Glen Annie Gulf Course without an appropriate local impact study done prior to it.

As a resident of Goleta, I would be deeply disappointed if this project is rushed through without proper planning. How can a project of this scale be planned without considering safety and traffic consequences?

Thank you,
Heather Robertson

--

Heather W. Robertson
452 Daytona Dr.
Goleta, CA 93117

heathrob27@gmail.com

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:27 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: Glen Annie

-----Original Message-----

From: Peter Curtin <canook_2000@me.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 4:52 PM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject: Glen Annie

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

I am a golfer and resident of Goleta. I certainly do not want to lose another golf course. The area is simple because some owners and developers want you to make a lot of money! There is lots of other land that could be selected

If low cost housing is a goal, then that property is not the right choice! There will be drainage issues, soil issues and sewer issues.

Simply put, there are better choices

Regards. Peter Curtin
559 Mills Wayne
Sent from my iPhone

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:28 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: Rezones

-----Original Message-----

From: Brian Trautwein <bearnewt@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 5:21 PM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject: Rezones

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Honorable Chair and Supervisors,

I oppose the rezone of the Glen Annie Golf Course because it is poor land use planning to allow sprawl north of the urban rural boundary and because it will result in significant unavoidable environmental impacts, including water use, stormwater runoff, traffic, land use, views, and wildlife habitats. Rezoning this site would be inconsistent with the County's Comprehensive Plan.

Rural areas should not be rezoned, including farmland in the Santa Ynez Valley.

I support mixed use and efficient use of existing commercial sites to accommodate truly affordable housing. My family and I appreciate the Supervisors' efforts to rezone shopping centers for affordable housing. While the state has resisted this approach thus far, your constituents support it and ask that you rezone existing developed areas for affordable housing and preserve open spaces, farmland, and habitats.

I support protection of agricultural areas, including the South Patterson Ag Block and adjoining ESHAs and buffers as critically important community resources for future generations.

County campus sites in eastern Goleta contain significant ESHA, including riparian habitats, creeks, and coastal sage. These areas should be preserved consistent with EGVC and the County's Hillside and Watershed Protection Policies, expanded, and restored as part of affordable housing projects on the County properties.

Thank you,
Brian Trautwein
158 Verona Ave.
Goleta, CA 93117

Sent from my iPhone

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:29 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: Opposing rezoning

From: Laura Little <littlejlu@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 5:50 PM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject: Opposing rezoning

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Good evening,

I oppose the rezoning of the Glen Annie golf course space to turn into housing.

Dos Pueblos senior high school traffic is an issue and so are the current conditions of the Cathedral oaks Road.

Also, what kind of housing is being offered in this possible zone?
Affordable housing for teachers and healthcare and first responders?

Lastly, this is a high fire hazard area and Goleta fire station near Sandpiper has not even been built yet?

Thanks for reading.

Laura Little

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:30 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: Glenn Annie golf course rezoning

-----Original Message-----

From: Ray Johansen <johansen@silcom.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 5:52 PM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject: Glenn Annie golf course rezoning

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I think the rezoning of Glenn Annie golf course for housing development is a terrible idea.

The big issue is the loss of habitat for all the birds, mammals, amphibians, insects, etc that now live there.

Also, loss of vegetation that houses these native creatures and loss of carbon exchange and oxygen production that is vital to the health of our environment.

Think about this....the entire atmosphere that we live in (and all creatures from the beginning of time) is only the thickness of the varnish on a billiard ball!!!

We need to protect this. I won't matter how much housing we have if we cannot breathe!!

Please do the right thing to protect the environment for our children, grandchildren and the generations to come.

Thank you,

Ray Johansen

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:31 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: I support the re-zoning of Glenn Annie Golf Course

From: Christopher Arcenas-Utley <chris.arcenasutley@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 8:20 PM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject: I support the re-zoning of Glenn Annie Golf Course

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

To Whom This May Concern:

It is my understanding that this E-mail is where we should comment on the rezoning of the Glenn Annie Golf course to 800-1000 housing units. I support this rezoning. Santa Barbara housing has gotten way too expensive due to the lack of additional housing caused by NIBY politics. Please rezone Glenn Annie and look for other opportunities to create new housing in the Santa Barbara area,

Sincerely,

Chris Arcenas-Utley
Goleta Resident

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:31 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: I vehemently oppose rezoning the Glen Annie Golf course

-----Original Message-----

From: L. M. Mansfield <mansfield8000@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 8:26 PM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject: I vehemently oppose rezoning the Glen Annie Golf course

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

To whom it may concern,

I have lived here all my life. Please stop butchering our town, some things are worth more than money.

Thank you.
C. Mansfield

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:33 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: Housing Element Update Rezone Developer Workshop

From: Betty Jeppesen <jeppesenlaw@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 11:17 PM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject: Re: Housing Element Update Rezone Developer Workshop

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

What are the addresses in South County or is this a "hidden agenda" so the public will be fooled?

Where is your transparency of what you are really doing?

On Wed, Mar 13, 2024, 4:11 PM County of Santa Barbara <housingelement@countyofsb.org> wrote:

Housing Element Update Rezone Developer Workshop



PLANNING &
DEVELOPMENT
LONG RANGE PLANNING
DIVISION



Update: The Board of Supervisors' Rezone Developer Workshop on Tuesday, March 19, 2024 at 2:00 PM

The Board has released the agenda for their workshop. Owners/agents for some of the potential rezone sites will present the Board with their visions for the development of housing and community amenities on their sites.

For those planning to attend in person, the presenters have been invited to prepare materials to share at tables in the respective lobbies at the Betteravia Center and the County Administrative Building after their presentations.

- **North County** owners/agents will make their presentations at the Betteravia Center, 511 E Lakeside Parkway, and will table in the lobby outside the hearing room in Santa Maria.

- **South County** owners/agents will make their presentations in the Planning Commission Hearing Room and will table in the lobby at 105 E. Anapamu St. in Santa Barbara. Access to the hearing room and lobby are from Anacapa Street.

The Board will not take any action. The workshop will be streamed live. For information regarding how to watch this Board of Supervisor's workshop and participate virtually visit [here](#). The public is welcome to comment. The workshop will start at 2:00 p.m. The planned agenda is as follows:

1. Opening Remarks – P&D (5 minutes)
2. South County Developer Presentations (80+ minutes)
3. North County Developer Presentation (50+ minutes)
4. Break – Review Projects in Lobbies (30 minutes)
5. Board Questions (15-30 minutes)
6. Public Comment (TBD)

This is a Board workshop and not a hearing. There will not be a staff report.

Noticias: La Junta de Supervisores llevara a cabo el taller de rezonificación el martes 19 de marzo de 2024 a las 2 p.m.

La Junta de Supervisores ha publicado la agenda de su taller. Los propietarios/agentes de algunos de los posibles sitios de rezonificación presentarán a la Junta de Supervisores sus visiones para el desarrollo de viviendas y servicios comunitarios en sus sitios.

Para aquellos que planean asistir en persona, se invitó a los presentadores a preparar materiales para compartir en las mesas en los respectivos vestíbulos del Centro Betteravia y el Edificio Administrativo del Condado después de sus presentaciones.

- **Los propietarios/agentes del norte del condado** harán sus presentaciones en el Betteravia Center, 511 E Lakeside Parkway, y se sentarán en el vestíbulo afuera de la sala de audiencias en Santa María.
- **Los propietarios/agentes del sur del condado** harán sus presentaciones en la Sala de Audiencias de la Comisión de Planificación y se sentarán en el vestíbulo en 105 E. Anapamu St. en Santa Bárbara. El acceso a la sala de audiencias y al vestíbulo se realiza desde la calle Anacapa.

La Junta de Supervisores no tomará ninguna medida. El taller se transmitirá en vivo. Para obtener información sobre cómo ver este taller de la Junta de Supervisores y participar virtualmente, visite [aquí](#). El público es bienvenido a comentar. El taller comenzará a las 14:00 horas. La agenda prevista es la siguiente:

1. Palabras de apertura – P&D (5 minutos)
2. Presentaciones de desarrolladores del sur del condado (más de 80 minutos)
3. Presentaciones de desarrolladores del norte del condado (más de 50 minutos)
4. Descanso: revisión de proyectos en vestíbulos (30 minutos)
5. Preguntas de la junta (15-30 minutos)
6. Comentario público (por determinar)

Este es un taller de la Junta, no una audiencia pública. No habrá un informe del personal.

Share this email:



[Manage](#) your preferences | [Opt out](#) using TrueRemove®
Got this as a forward? [Sign up](#) to receive our future emails.
View this email [online](#).

105 E Anapamu St
Santa Barbara, CA | 93101 US

This email was sent to jeppesenlaw@gmail.com.
To continue receiving our emails, add us to your address book.

emma

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:34 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: Glen Annie Project - IN FAVOR

From: Lori Goodman <imalori4@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 8:58 AM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject: Glen Annie Project - IN FAVOR

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

I am not able to attend today's meeting. I live very close to the Glen Annie Golf Course. This housing element should be approved immediately. It will be a great asset to the community. I am especially excited about their intention to have low, medium and high level housing and a child care center. Please know that this will very positively impact economic growth in our region, and will help employers as well as workers. It's a great plan.

Thank you!

Lori G
Daffodil Lane, Goleta 93117

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:34 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: Glen Annie Development

-----Original Message-----

From: Linda Hale <lhale2311@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 9:10 AM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject: Glen Annie Development

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Please do not take this community resource/meeting place away from us! Isn't there a better location? Our infrastructure is already suffering.

Sent from my iPhone

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:35 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: OPPOSE GLEN ANNIE, COLUSA, AND KENWOOD VILLAGE HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS

From: bonesjazz0@gmail.com <bonesjazz0@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 9:15 AM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject: OPPOSE GLEN ANNIE, COLUSA, AND KENWOOD VILLAGE HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

I OPPOSE GLEN ANNIE, COLUSA, AND KENWOOD VILLAGE HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS. OUR RESOURCES OF WATER AND ROADS ARE ALREADY OVERSUBSCRIBED IN GOLETA.

QUIT DUMPING THESE PROJECTS ON YOUR CONSTITUENTS AND VIOLATING CEQA

MIKE GLICK

ELLWOOD

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:36 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: Housing Element Selections

From: Richard Foster <richfosterbooks@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 9:53 AM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject: Housing Element Selections

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Supervisors.

The Glen Annie Course is an inappropriate location of new homes.

- 1 The Glen Annie- Storke Rd-101 interchange is the most overburdened and congested interchange in Goleta.
- 2 It starts the urbanization of Ag land in this area which the Supervisors opposed when Goleta became a city.
- 3 More housing should be built in Montecito and Hope Ranch where many low paying domestic jobs are located.
- 4 Mass transit to commute is almost non-existent north of the 101 freeway. New homes at Glen Annie would necessarily rely upon motor vehicles for commuting and shopping.
- 5 At this time Cathedral Oaks is closed between Winchester Canyon and the freeway. If this becomes a permanent closure then the evacuation route will be greatly overburdened. Furthermore fire response time to the Glen Annie site will be encumbered.

Please do not do what Goleta did and jam housing in willy nilly with little concern for the impact on the area. Select other locations that are less impacted and closer to the location of jobs filled by lower income residents.

Richard Foster

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:36 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: Glen Annie proposed development

From: deborah holmes <deborahparkholmes53@icloud.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 10:22 AM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject: Glen Annie proposed development

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Housing Element staff and decision makers,

I am writing to you to express my concerns about the SB County's proposed rezoning of Glen Annie Golf Club for housing units. My concerns are outlined below:

- 1) Impacts on City of Goleta services and infrastructure, including additional police, road infrastructure, traffic, and school services within the city of Goleta. How would these be provided and funded?
- 2) Geological concerns in reference to the increased weight of development on an already moving hill and potential impacts on current housing below the hill. Tee boxes continually slide and undulate on course as well as compromised irrigation pipes on a constant basis already due to current earth movement.
- 3) Open space of the current golf course provides a vital wildfire break for the City of Goleta neighborhoods below and Dos Pueblos High School.
- 4) Traffic, safety, and noise impacts of development on Cathedral Oaks, Glen Annie Road, and corresponding overpass. Adding additional traffic to some of the most high volume intersections in the City of Goleta seems ill advised. Safety concerns on one of the most used corridors by bicycle and pedestrian traffic concerns me. Noise on an already congested traffic corridor presents health and quality of life concerns for residents whose homes are near Cathedral Oaks Rd. Will the County provide sound walls for those residents to mitigate the noise impacts?
- 5) Water Rights and where does the water to support this additional development come from as Glen Annie Golf Club primarily uses reclaimed water. Where does the Goleta Water District stand on this proposal?
- 6) Impacts to nature in regards to local flora and fauna. The golf course was designed to accommodate the Red Legged Frog, how does that fit into any proposed development in this area?

7) If Kenwood Village and Heritage Ridge have both yet to even break ground how do we account for the potential impacts of these developments already approved. Wouldn't it be more prudent to wait until these areas have been infilled before considering the proposed zoning changes?

8) What precedent would this set for other areas North of Cathedral Oaks in western Goleta. Specifically the adjacent large tracts with agricultural water rights such as the property on Northgate and Cathedral Oaks and further to the West side of the Evergreen terrace apartments?

For these reasons, I strongly oppose the proposed rezoning of Glen Annie Golf course for housing units.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Deborah Holmes
7910 Rio Vista Drive
Sent from my iPad

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:38 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: Comments for Board of Supervisors Workshop, March 19 - Deep Concerns about Plans for Goleta

From: nancigardiner@gmail.com <nancigardiner@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 11:52 AM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>; sbcob <sbcob@countyofsb.org>
Subject: Comments for Board of Supervisors Workshop, March 19 - Deep Concerns about Plans for Goleta

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors and Planning Commission,

We are the Gardiners, Kirkman and Nanci. We've lived in Goleta since we were newlyweds in 1980. We lived through the Goleta "no growth" period, and worked for nearly a decade to own a 1-acre piece of property in Rancho Embarcadero that we were not allowed to build on because no water meter was possible, because the water district controlled all the growth. We finally were able to build after 5+ years of fighting for that right. To build ONE HOME ON ONE LOT. Yes, unbelievably that's how it used to be.

Though we felt things were truly overly controlled then, imagine that in contrast to what has now happened in Goleta in the last decade or so. Building everywhere. And unfortunately, much of it is taken up by UCSB or SBCC students, rather than the working families who need it so desperately, and who this new proposed housing is supposedly for.

As much as we love living in a town with proximity to a University, we have long noted that UCSB is getting out of control. Not only are they NOT keeping up with student housing needs, but they also seem to be continually overenrolling. What happened to that time when they were keeping to around 16,000 students? How did it increase to 25,000 as their new "guideline", even though enrollment is actually over that number? And honestly what is in place that will keep it from growing even larger? (I'll never forget a few years ago when Goleta homeowners received a letter essentially begging them to rent a room to a student.) The UCSB (and SBCC) impacts on our community are far-reaching, and again our point is that much of the newer housing in Goleta is taken up by UCSB students, not the families or individuals who truly need it. This is an important thing for you to realize, that no one seems to be talking about. *How would new housing be actually provided to those who need it, rather than it being filled by UCSB students?* This is a huge question that no one is addressing!

The Housing Element and proposed developments in Goleta to meet the State mandate are unfortunately ridiculous, at best. The potential impacts on the infrastructure (or non-existent infrastructure) are many. There is already a huge traffic issue in Goleta which would be made exponentially worse if the Glen Annie, Kenwood, and other housing developments were to come to pass. Glenn Annie and Cathedral Oaks, Calle Real (near the 7-11), and even the intersection of Cathedral Oaks and Calle Real simply cannot handle the addition of that many residents and daily car trips. And that doesn't even begin to address the impact on the already overly impacted intersection of Storke and Hollister. (Also, are you aware that large areas of Cathedral Oaks at the West end of Goleta cannot even be driven on? Some areas are closed, and others are so pothole-ridden that they are undriveable.

Another serious issue is fire protection. As ones who have been evacuated many times, we know the real danger of fire in the West end of Goleta. We currently don't even have enough protection with the multi-year delay of Station 11 slated for Hollister near Ellwood. The addition of disproportionate amounts of housing just spreads that already-thin protection even thinner.

How did we ever get to this point with the State mandating a large number of housing units and then if we don't comply they use "builders remedy". It's shocking to realize this is where things are at. And how did we get to the point where "rezoning" is the proposed answer? *Zoning which is meant to protect from inappropriate or unsuitable use of a parcel of land.* Truly so sad, and unbelievable. (And the beginning of the slippery-est slope for years to come!)

We realize that the State and their mandates require that something be built, however, why is the majority of the answer to that mandate only in the West end of Goleta? It's perplexing and feels as though everyone in the County just wants to shove the unwanted developments out to the "messy garage" or something of that nature. Put it in Goleta, they won't care. Well, "they" do care, and some serious consideration needs to be given to the disastrous impacts of overbuilding in these areas of Goleta.

In conclusion, please please look closely at the impacts of these large developments on the West end of Goleta. There is a ridiculously disproportionate amount shoved into small concentrated areas, and West Goleta is taking all the brunt of it in an unfair allocation. The potential overcrowding (on top of existing overcrowding), the traffic concerns, the safety and fire concerns, and the population increase which affects schools and more, are all just "too much". That, and the true likelihood that many of the new units will be occupied by UCSB's over-spilling into Goleta all combine to make these plans not "the answer" everyone thinks they are. Not to mention the shocking strategy of RE-ZONING to make these problem proposals "fit"! (Can that really even be on the table?!)

Thank you for your hard work on behalf of the County. We have trust that you will do the right thing to at least attempt to protect us all from unrealistic, un-thought-through over-development that has not been carefully evaluated.

Sincerely,

Nanci and Kirkman Gardiner

(With regard to Item AR-13 on the agenda, and by way of info that may be of interest, we have been attempting to build an ADU on our one-acre property for an elderly friend to live in. As much as the State is supposedly “making things easier”, it took 14 months for us to get our permits (after two years of prepping), and we spent over \$22,000 in fees alone. We are doing a simple 800-square foot manufactured home. Just wanted to share that things are not as “easy” or “streamlined” as touted.)

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:38 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: No housing on golf course!!!

-----Original Message-----

From: Beth Spencer <bethspencer44@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 12:10 PM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject: No housing on golf course!!!

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

I live in Goleta, off Fairview, near the golf course. The traffic increase in this part town is out of control. Near Costco, with all that housing, traffic is nuts. To even consider adding more housing to this end of town is ridiculous. You obviously don't live nearby.

Just STOP. Roads are neglected, we don't need more traffic!!!!

Elizabeth Spencer, 22 year resident

Sent from my iPhone

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:39 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW:

From: Eileen Kovacevich <eileenkovacevich@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 12:13 PM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject:

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

STOP and think about the repercussions of developing Glen Anne golf course. To much development is creating Chaos. If you feel that we need more housing than consider that you move development north of Goleta where there more open space. PLEASE!!!

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:40 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: No to rezoning Glen Annie golf course

-----Original Message-----

From: Kristin Kuroda Jackson <kkuroda@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 12:16 PM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject: No to rezoning Glen Annie golf course

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello, I just wanted to add another voice opposing the rezoning of the Glen Annie golf course. It is just simply a terrible idea. With the traffic that is currently at that intersection near Dos Pueblos High School alone, it cannot possibly handle thousands of cars being added to that area. Not to mention the traffic issues that already plague the rest of Glen Annie/Storke/Hollister. If a new housing development were to go in there, you know everyone would be relying on cars for transportation because our public transportation is not a very good. We may be getting lots of water from rain right now, but what happens when we face yet another drought? The golf course currently uses non-potable water, so water supply is not an issue if it remains a golf course. I am not a golfer, but our town does not have many affordable golf courses, and you would be taking away a resource from high school kids. Do not ruin Goleta for us!

-Kristin

Sent from my iPad

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:54 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: My Opposition to the Proposed Rezoning of Glen Annie Golf

From: Paulette Le Blanc <p.leblanc@verizon.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:52 PM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject: My Opposition to the Proposed Rezoning of Glen Annie Golf

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

As a homeowner in the city of Goleta who regularly walks the neighborhood between Glenn Annie and Winchester Canyon Roads, I am appalled at the thought of SB County's proposed rezoning the Glen Annie Golf course for 800-1000 housing.

It seems self-evident, but the issues/problems I see include (in no particular order):

- Negative impacts to the City of Goleta's services and infrastructure, including additional police, road maintenance, traffic and increased population in the adjacent schools (DP and Brandon Elementary)
 - Who will provide and/or fund this?
 - The County since they will reap the revenue from the property taxes??
- Geological concerns in reference to the increased weight of development on an already moving hill and potential impacts on current housing below the hill
 - Currently, the tee boxes at Glen Annie continually slide and undulate and the irrigation pipes break on a regularly due to earth movement and/or rain
- Traffic, safety, and noise impacts of development on Cathedral Oaks, Glen Annie Road, and corresponding overpass
 - Adding additional traffic to some of the most high volume intersections in the City of Goleta seems ill advised
 - I have serious safety concerns on one of the most used corridors by bicycle and pedestrian traffic – how will bicycle, e-bike and pedestrian traffic be addressed?
- Cathedral Oaks, Glen Annie Road and the 101 overpass is **extremely** busy during the morning and evening rush hours
 - Adding more cars will exacerbate this situation and greatly impact public safety
 - Currently, there is NOT a sidewalk in that area

- Glenn Annie is only one lane in each direction
- There is not room to widen the road, add a sidewalk and/or bike lanes in each direction as Glenn Annie borders DPHS and Bishop Ranch

- Where does the water to support this additional development come from? Does the Goleta Water District support this?

- The majority (85%-90%) of the water used at Glen Annie is recycled water, therefore non-potable
- Housing would require **all** potable water

- This property is zoned **AGRICULTURAL** with a conditional use permit (the golf course)

- It is considered prime soil for agricultural and is outside the urban boundary
- It is clearly an inappropriate location for upzoning

- Impacts the local flora and fauna

- The golf course was designed to accommodate the California red-legged frogs (*Rana draytonii*) that are federally listed as threatened under the Endangered Species Act

- The current open space on the golf course provides a vital wildfire break for the adjacent City of Goleta neighborhoods and Dos Pueblos High School.

I understand the pressure that the County is experiencing from the State's building mandate, but I implore you to stop this insanity now – **before** irreparable harm is done.

Thank you for taking the time to review this.

Paulette Le Blanc
30 Winchester Canyon Road, Space 64, Goleta, CA 93117

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 4:59 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: Glen Annie proposal to rezone

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: Barbara White <spectakler@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 4:48 PM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject: Glen Annie proposal to rezone

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear All

It's just a simple NO!

Barbara White

Sarah Mayer

From: Julie Gilmore <gilmore.julie@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 15, 2024 6:01 AM
To: sbcob; PAD LRP Housing Element
Subject: Oppose Development of Glen Annie Golf Course

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Housing Element staff and decision makers, I am writing to you to express my concerns about the SB County's proposed rezoning of Glen Annie Golf Club for housing units. My concerns are outlined below:

- 1) Impacts on City of Goleta services and infrastructure, including additional police, road infrastructure, traffic, and school services within the city of Goleta. How would these be provided and funded?
- 2) Geological concerns in reference to the increased weight of development on an already moving hill and potential impacts on current housing below the hill. Tee boxes continually slide and undulate on course as well as compromised irrigation pipes on a constant basis already due to current earth movement.
- 3) Open space of the current golf course provides a vital wildfire break for the City of Goleta neighborhoods below and Dos Pueblos High School.
- 4) Traffic, safety, and noise impacts of development on Cathedral Oaks, Glen Annie Road, and corresponding overpass. Adding additional traffic to some of the most high volume intersections in the City of Goleta seems ill advised. Safety concerns on one of the most used corridors by bicycle and pedestrian traffic concerns me. Noise on an already congested traffic corridor presents health and quality of life concerns for residents whose homes are near Cathedral Oaks Rd. Will the County provide sound walls for those residents to mitigate the noise impacts?
- 5) Water Rights and where does the water to support this additional development come from as Glen Annie Golf Club primarily uses reclaimed water. Where does the Goleta Water District stand on this proposal?
- 6) Impacts to nature in regards to local flora and fauna. The golf course was designed to accommodate the Red Legged Frog, how does that fit into any proposed development in this area?
- 7) If Kenwood Village and Heritage Ridge have both yet to even break ground how do we account for the potential impacts of these developments already approved. Wouldn't it be more prudent to wait until these areas have been infilled before considering the proposed zoning changes?
- 8) What precedent would this set for other areas North of Cathedral Oaks in western Goleta. Specifically the adjacent large tracts with agricultural water rights such as the property on Northgate and Cathedral Oaks and further to the West side of the Evergreen terrace apartments? For these reasons, I strongly oppose the proposed rezoning of Glen Annie Golf course for housing units. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Julie Gilmore

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Friday, March 15, 2024 9:11 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: Glen Annie golf course development

From: P Ten <philipmtennant@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 5:38 PM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject: Glen Annie golf course development

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

SB planners,

I understand that there will be a meeting next Tuesday and you are asking for comments/questions. I have written before about building houses on Glen Annie golf course.

Focusing only on the re-development and traffic this time.

Any re-development of such a magnitude will last numerous years and it will be us local residents who will suffer through this period with noise, dust, pollution, traffic, inconvenience, devaluation of our properties, to name a few. Afterwards; more traffic, noise, pollution, crime, foot traffic, lost of wildlife, and so forth.

And talking of traffic. Currently at peak times the traffic is backed up over half a mile in all directions and into the local neighborhoods. Then, once the development is completed, the snarl up of all approach roads will be horrendous. I can't imagine the gridlock with parents and children becoming frustrated and making dangerous decisions when trying to get to work/school. Drivers will seek alternate routes to get to work through the local neighborhoods: presently cars are backed up trying to make a very dangerous left turn across Calle Real and along Del Norte to the 101. With hundreds of extra cars at peak times these lines will only worsen considerably, locals won't even be able to get out of their driveways! Only a major widening of all approach roads to the high school and Glenn Annie, and probably the 101 too would alleviate this congestion. And what would normally take only 5 mins to drive along Cathedral Oaks to Fairview will in the future take 15 mins with all the extra traffic and traffic lights. Of course you could just ignore this potential problems until after there's a serious injury or worse.

So again, why is the county considering building there, at the very edge of Goleta city, next to a high school with all approaching traffic bottle-necking at 2-3 intersections? Maybe the county planners just don't care (I don't play golf, anyway, it's not in my backyard!)

Further, in the future when Sacramento directs you to build more housing on the south coast, where will that be? Up Glen Annie canyon, La Patera ranch, end of Fairview? All adjacent to Goleta. Goleta city already has to built more housing now and in the future. In twenty years time, the population of Goleta and adjacent areas will double in size. Where's all the infrastructure long-term planning?

There are 10,000's of acres of land lying fallow west of Goleta. Here you could build a whole new development of affordable housing, bothering virtually no one, with easy access to 101. I know nobody wants to ruin the Gaviota coast,

but a half mile stretch, of over 25 miles, north of the 101 behind a well designed and landscaped frontage would hardly spoil the coast.

You planners to should seriously (re-)consider approaching the Doty's, Braggs', and other landowners west of Goleta and/or west of Farren Road and along the coast to see if anyone would sell their non-productive acreage which likely would be a lot cheaper. This would make for total freedom of a better laid out development that is not restricted by the confines of a small area adjacent to an existing high school and residential community. In fact, you should buy enough land, a small town worths, for the long-term. This would alleviate many of the issues you will face in the future from Sacramento and us locals. 5000 dwellings, 20,000 people, all well planned and developed with infrastructure in mind and not just thrown together. You smart folks need to figure this land development out; compulsory land purchase, eminent domain. One of you could even name the new town after yourself.

At a previous meeting, the developer presented the plans for Glen Annie. The information was interesting but virtually nobody there was in favor of the development. All the "for the community" was BS, we already have access to numerous trials locally and further afield, a community swimming pool will not offer recreational swimming (i.e 33-50 meter pool), and pickleballers already have enough of a presence which may end up just being a fad anyway. If anything, attendees were more interested in finding out what steps it would take to defeat the process and send it back to the drawing board. I can't believe Sacramento's directive is - build on a golf course. Find a less controversial, more suitable location out of town.

I know this email is long and wordy, but I had to get it off my chest.
Please, I hope you take this as seriously as we community members do.
Best regards,
Philip Tennant
805 259-8325

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Friday, March 15, 2024 9:12 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: Please don't be insane!!!

-----Original Message-----

From: gail elbek <gaelbek@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 7:32 PM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject: Please don't be insane!!!

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,
As u know all of Santa Barbara and Goleta are choking with new housing, and more, more, more plans for new housing!!! Please STOP!
Meanwhile, there are never coordinated plans to updated our roadways and highways... many of the off ramps are obsolete, a threat to safety!
And our streets are loaded with potholes that the City/County can NOT keep up with... and of which loads of drivers must dangerously swerve between in hopes to avoid damage to our cars!
Have a heart and avoid this irreversible mess for you, me and everyone, and especially for the future of our children!
Until these existing severe SB and Goleta problems are remedied, please care to NOT add more housing as fuel to what is unquestionably an existing roadway fire... and population crisis!
Sincerely,
Gail Elbek
Noleta

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Friday, March 15, 2024 9:13 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: Redone Glenn Annie

-----Original Message-----

From: Hattie Elbek <hattieelbek@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 8:51 PM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject: Redone Glenn Annie

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

NO! Too much traffic there already and we need some outlets for youth and others to be outside doing recreational sports!

Thank you,
Hattie
805-284-4569

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Friday, March 15, 2024 9:16 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: Save Glenn Annie

From: Seoane, Richard <Richard.Seoane@magna.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 9:48 PM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject: Save Glenn Annie

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Please don't build housing project on Glenn Annie.

Regards
Richard

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Friday, March 15, 2024 9:18 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: Reasoning of Glen Annie. Golf Course

-----Original Message-----

From: Deborah Gans <deborahgans67@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 15, 2024 8:58 AM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject: Reasoning of Glen Annie. Golf Course

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

I am unable to attend the meeting about this issue, but I feel strongly that it is a very poor choice for new housing. Aside from the loss of one of my favorite places in all of Goleta, there will be new water demands, traffic issues, and even more importantly, loss of WILDFIRE protection of that green area for all of those homes and apartments (plus Dos Pueblos High School!) when that protective space is removed.

Please do not even consider this as possible building space. Goleta has been used for many new housing spaces compared to Montecito, Carpinteria, and Santa Barbara.

I am a 45 year resident of Goleta, and a retired teacher.

Deborah C Gans
601 Vereda Leyenda
Goleta, CA 93117

Sent from my iPhone
805-886-9894

Sarah Mayer

From: PAD LRP Housing Element
Sent: Friday, March 15, 2024 9:46 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: FW: Goleta housing

-----Original Message-----

From: Michelle Profant <michellescotts@icloud.com>
Sent: Friday, March 15, 2024 9:31 AM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element <housingelement@countyofsb.org>
Subject: Goleta housing

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

I am totally against all proposed housing development in Goleta! We can't handle the traffic that it would create let alone it NOT being affordable.

Michelle Profant
Sent from my iPhone

Sarah Mayer

From: Lori Driscoll <oconnelldriscoll@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 15, 2024 10:39 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: renovictions

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

Band Renovictions Please.

Is housing a necessity or a business opportunity in California?

Sarah Mayer

From: Siobhan Weldon <siobhanwelly@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 15, 2024 12:05 PM
To: PAD LRP Housing Element; sbcob
Subject: Housing Glen Annie golf course

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Housing Element staff and decision makers, I am writing to you to express my concerns about the SB County's proposed rezoning of Glen Annie Golf Club for housing units. My concerns are outlined below:

- 1) Impacts on City of Goleta services and infrastructure, including additional police, road infrastructure, traffic, and school services within the city of Goleta. How would these be provided and funded?
- 2) Geological concerns in reference to the increased weight of development on an already moving hill and potential impacts on current housing below the hill. Tee boxes continually slide and undulate on course as well as compromised irrigation pipes on a constant basis already due to current earth movement.
- 3) Open space of the current golf course provides a vital wildfire break for the City of Goleta neighborhoods below and Dos Pueblos High School.
- 4) Traffic, safety, and noise impacts of development on Cathedral Oaks, Glen Annie Road, and corresponding overpass. Adding additional traffic to some of the most high volume intersections in the City of Goleta seems ill advised. Safety concerns on one of the most used corridors by bicycle and pedestrian traffic concerns me. Noise on an already congested traffic corridor presents health and quality of life concerns for residents whose homes are near Cathedral Oaks Rd. Will the County provide sound walls for those residents to mitigate the noise impacts?
- 5) Water Rights and where does the water to support this additional development come from as Glen Annie Golf Club primarily uses reclaimed water. Where does the Goleta Water District stand on this proposal?
- 6) Impacts to nature in regards to local flora and fauna. The golf course was designed to accommodate the Red Legged Frog, how does that fit into any proposed development in this area?
- 7) If Kenwood Village and Heritage Ridge have both yet to even break ground how do we account for the potential impacts of these developments already approved. Wouldn't it be more prudent to wait until these areas have been infilled before considering the proposed zoning changes?
- 8) What precedent would this set for other areas North of Cathedral Oaks in western Goleta. Specifically the adjacent large tracts with agricultural water rights such as the property on Northgate and Cathedral Oaks and further to the West side of the Evergreen terrace apartments? For these reasons, I strongly oppose the proposed rezoning of Glen Annie Golf course for housing units. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Siobhan Weldon