

Katherine Douglas General Public Comment - Group 1

From:

Jim Perry (scarab@sonic.net) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, May 21, 2025 8:38 PM

To:

sbcob

Subject:

Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

Sloppy, uncaring control of petroleums deserves jail time. landapos; ve worked on Standard Oil tankers. A small onboard spill is a brutal mess to clean up.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobilandapos;s facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobilandapos;s property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Jim Perry 850 Russell Ave Apt O4 Santa Rosa, CA 95403 scarab@sonic.net (707) 757-3128

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at member.care@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5673.

Katherine Douglas

From: Robert Lesser
bobbyless@aol.com>

Sent: Friday, May 23, 2025 4:10 PM

To: Plowman, Lisa

Cc: Wade Horton; Briggs, Errin; Wilson, Jeffrey; Leyva, Petra; Villalobos, David; Lee Roy;

Wade Cowper; Concerned Carpinterians; SB Coalition for Responsible Cannabis; Neff

Lionel; Burns Melinda; sbcob; Bob Nelson

Subject: Re: Stinks on Foothill Road outside Brands/Farrar

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Lisa Plowman,

As stated at numerous Planning and Supervisor meetings, the complaint line you reference is meaningless; no one (we know) in the First District uses it

knowing it to be a dumping ground to ignore and dispose of community opposition. We've been told it was designed by ex supervisor Das Williams and *your office*

to accommodate cannabis growers and to silence and sideline the community. To that end, it's been quite effective.

We have been promised a new complaint system that puts the burden upon violators, not residents. When?

You know the address in question, you know the offenders - all repeat violators for years now; you and your staff are being well paid by tax payer dollars - this is your job. Please do something.

Sincerely, Robert lesser

On May 23, 2025, at 9:20 AM, Plowman, Lisa < lplowman@countyofsb.org> wrote:

Mr. Lesser,

Thank you for your feedback. Please file you complaints online and coordinate with our enforcement team which includes Petra Leyva and Errin Briggs in the future.

Best,

Lisa Plowman



Lisa Plowman

Director
Planning & Development Department
County of Santa Barbara
123 E. Anapamu St.
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
805-568-2086

lplowman@countyofsb.org

https://www.countyofsb.org/160/Planning-Development

From: Robert Lesser < bobbyless@aol.com > Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2025 9:34 PM

To: Brittany Odermann < bodermann@countyofsb.org >; Plowman, Lisa < lplowman@countyofsb.org > Cc: Beyeler, Gwen < gvonklan@countyofsb.org >; Brown, Willow < wbrown@countyofsb.org >; Wade

Cowper < wcowper@countyofsb.org>; Laura Capps < lcapps@countyofsb.org>; Stassinos Jill

<<u>istassinos@aol.com</u>>; Carrillo Anna <<u>annacarp@cox.net</u>>; Herson Gail <<u>devesi@me.com</u>>; Neff Lionel <<u>zuma13@msn.com</u>>; Lee Roy <<u>roirock81@gmail.com</u>>; Brickley Mark <<u>mpaulsb3@gmail.com</u>>; geddes

robyn < robyn geddes@hotmail.com >; SB Coalition for Responsible Cannabis

<<u>info@sbcountycoalition.com</u>>; Peebles Merrily <<u>merpeebles@gmail.com</u>>; Giordano Jeff <<u>jeffg@ir-</u>holdings.com>

Subject: Stinks on Foothill Road outside Brands/Farrar

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear County, Planning & BOS,

For the upteenth time, it stinks - really stinks - on Foothill Road near Nidever outside the Brand operation and Graham Farrar's.

The Brands & Farrar cannabis operations seem to have figured out that they're will be no consequences for repeated odor violations.

We keep wondering why the County is paying out millions for a staff and salaries to enforce cannabis violations - but to no effect.

For chrissake, do something....

Sincerely, Robert Lesser

On Apr 7, 2025, at 2:09 AM, jstassinos@aol.com wrote:

Good morning Gwen,

In my experience with scheduling meetings, with many participants, I do my best to accommodate everyone by offering several dates to determine a date and time that works for all. Thus, I was quite surprised to receive your voicemail message, on April 4th, stating that your department was "getting down to the wire" regarding the board hearing date and that they already ordered the set hearing letter for that date and you weren't sure if they would be able to change that date at this point. You wanted to let me know, as Willow had done previously, that I could attend the meeting virtually.

The only other date I was offered, to present my appeal to the Board Of Supervisors, was June 10th, in Santa Maria. The June 10th date, in Santa Maria, was not a viable option for me since I live in Carpinteria, and G&K's proposed processing facility is located in Carpinteria and I want to present my appeal in person, in Santa Barbara.

I have been very timely with my email responses to Willow and am not clear as to why I am being pressured to agree to the date of June 3rd when exactly one hour after being asked, onMarch 21st, if I could present my appeal on June 3rd I sent an email, to Willow, stating that I could **not** do so. Ten days later, on March 31st, I was asked about presenting my appeal on June 10th in Santa Maria. On March 31st, I attempted to determine an alternate date in Santa Barbara of June 17th. On April 1st, I was informed, by Willow, that June 17th was set aside for budget hearings. I responded, on April 1st, that I was available to present my appeal, in Santa Barbara, on June 24th and if that date wasn't available I would try to reschedule my doctor's appointment on June 3rd. I was informed by Willow, on April 1st, that there was a conflict on June 24th and encouraged to change my doctor's appointment. I emailed Willow, on April 2nd, letting her know that I was able to reschedule my doctor's appointment and was available to present on June 3rd but later, on April 2nd, I emailed Willow letting her know that I had spoken too soon and was not available on June 3rd after all.

Please provide me with some alternate dates, in Santa Barbara, after June 24th that I can present my appeal to the Board Of Supervisors.

Thank you, Jill Stassinos

Katherine Douglas

From:

Robert Lesser <bobbyless@aol.com>

Sent:

Monday, May 26, 2025 10:22 PM

To:

Plowman, Lisa

Cc:

Wade Horton; Briggs, Errin; Wilson, Jeffrey; Leyva, Petra; Villalobos, David; Lee Roy;

Wade Cowper; SB Coalition for Responsible Cannabis; Neff Lionel; sbcob; Bob Nelson

Subject:

Re: Stinks on Foothill Road outside Brands/Farrar

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Still stinks same address today....

On May 23, 2025, at 4:10 PM, Robert Lesser

bobbyless@aol.com> wrote:

Dear Lisa Plowman,

As stated at numerous Planning and Supervisor meetings, the complaint line you reference is meaningless; no one (we know) in the First District uses it knowing it to be a dumping ground to ignore and dispose of community opposition. We've been told it was designed by ex supervisor Das Williams and *your office* to accommodate cannabis growers and to silence and sideline the community. To that end, it's been quite effective.

We have been promised a new complaint system that puts the burden upon violators, not residents. When?

You know the address in question, you know the offenders - all repeat violators for years now:

you and your staff are being well paid by tax payer dollars - this is your job. Please do something.

Sincerely,

Robert lesser

On May 23, 2025, at 9:20 AM, Plowman, Lisa <lplowman@countyofsb.org> wrote:

Mr. Lesser,

Thank you for your feedback. Please file you complaints online and coordinate with our enforcement team which includes Petra Leyva and Errin Briggs in the future.

Best,

Lisa Plowman

<image001.jpg>

Lisa Plowman

Director
Planning & Development Department
County of Santa Barbara
123 E. Anapamu St.
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
805-568-2086

lplowman@countyofsb.org

https://www.countyofsb.org/160/Planning-Development

From: Robert Lesser < bobbyless@aol.com > Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2025 9:34 PM

To: Brittany Odermann < bodermann@countyofsb.org >; Plowman, Lisa

<!plowman@countyofsb.org>

Cc: Beyeler, Gwen <gvonklan@countyofsb.org>; Brown, Willow

<wbrown@countyofsb.org>; Wade Cowper <wcowper@countyofsb.org>; Laura Capps

<ld><lcapps@countyofsb.org>; Stassinos Jill <jstassinos@aol.com>; Carrillo Anna

<annacarp@cox.net>; Herson Gail <devesi@me.com>; Neff Lionel

<zuma13@msn.com>; Lee Roy <roirock81@gmail.com>; Brickley Mark

<mpaulsb3@gmail.com>; geddes robyn <robyn geddes@hotmail.com>; SB Coalition for

Responsible Cannabis <info@sbcountycoalition.com>; Peebles Merrily

<merpeebles@gmail.com>; Giordano Jeff <jeffg@ir-holdings.com>

Subject: Stinks on Foothill Road outside Brands/Farrar

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear County, Planning & BOS,

For the upteenth time, it stinks - really stinks - on Foothill Road near Nidever outside the Brand operation and Graham Farrar's.

The Brands & Farrar cannabis operations seem to have figured out that they're will be no consequences for repeated odor violations.

We keep wondering why the County is paying out millions for a staff and salaries to enforce cannabis violations - but to no effect.

For chrissake, do something....

Sincerely, Robert Lesser On Apr 7, 2025, at 2:09 AM, <u>istassinos@aol.com</u> wrote:

Good morning Gwen,

In my experience with scheduling meetings, with many participants, I do my best to accommodate everyone by offering several dates to determine a date and time that works for all. Thus, I was quite surprised to receive your voicemail message, on April 4th, stating that your department was "getting down to the wire" regarding the board hearing date and that they already ordered the set hearing letter for that date and you weren't sure if they would be able to change that date at this point. You wanted to let me know, as Willow had done previously, that I could attend the meeting virtually.

The only other date I was offered, to present my appeal to the Board Of Supervisors, was June 10th, in Santa Maria. The June 10th date, in Santa Maria, was not a viable option for me since I live in Carpinteria, and G&K's proposed processing facility is located in Carpinteria and I want to present my appeal in person, in Santa Barbara.

I have been very timely with my email responses to Willow and am not clear as to why I am being pressured to agree to the date of June 3rd when exactly one hour after being asked, onMarch 21st, if I could present my appeal on June 3rd I sent an email, to Willow, stating that I could not do so. Ten days later, on March 31st, I was asked about presenting my appeal on June 10th in Santa Maria. On March 31st, I attempted to determine an alternate date in Santa Barbara of June 17th. On April 1st, I was informed, by Willow, that June 17th was set aside for budget hearings. I responded, on April 1st, that I was available to present my appeal, in Santa Barbara, on June 24th and if that date wasn't available I would try to reschedule my doctor's appointment on June 3rd. I was informed by Willow, on April 1st, that there was a conflict on June 24th and encouraged to change my doctor's appointment. I emailed Willow, on April 2nd, letting her know that I was able to reschedule my doctor's appointment and was available to present on June 3rd but later, on April 2nd, I emailed Willow letting her know that I had spoken too soon and was not available on June 3rd after all.

Please provide me with some alternate dates, in Santa Barbara, after June 24th that I can present my appeal to the Board Of Supervisors.

Thank you, Jill Stassinos