

#5

de la Guerra, Sheila

Public Comment - Group 4

From: Marilyn Martin <marilynmartin5588@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 6, 2020 4:23 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Nesbitt Appeal



Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

To Board of Supervisors, County of Santa Barbara
Re: Nesbitt/Carpinteria Farms Helispot Appeal Case No. 19CUP-00000-00004

Please deny Nesbitt's appeal
Amend codes to ban all similar uses from Carpinteria through Goleta
Thank you
Marilyn Martin
5588 Berkeley Rd
Santa Barbara, CA 93111

de la Guerra, Sheila

From: Brian McGrath <brianmcgrath@cox.net>
Sent: Monday, July 6, 2020 4:34 PM
To: Williams, Das; sbcob
Cc: 'Cornelia McGrath'

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Das,

I am aware that you are holding a hearing tomorrow on Mr. Nesbitt's appeal of the denial of his request to operate a helicopter landing pad on his property. My wife, Cornelia, and I are strongly in opposition to allowing him to do so.

There are many valid reasons we feel this way, including ecological and environmental considerations as well as impacts on neighbors and nearby residences. We are all privileged to live in this beautiful community which you serve diligently and effectively.

Please make every effort to ensure that your decisions positively impact all of us and not just the few who inappropriately try to set themselves apart and above the rest of the community.

Thank You,
Brian and Cornelia McGrath

de la Guerra, Sheila

From: V Dillon <vdillon282@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 6, 2020 4:34 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Heliistop hearing July 7

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

To Board of Supervisors:

As a Summerland resident, I am strongly opposed to approval of a helistop in the neighborhood.

Our neighborhood has such a lovely tranquil atmosphere. The presence of a a helicopter hovering overhead or off the coast, and departing and landing nearby would be very disruptive to those of us who live in the area. Both the noise and vibration of a close-by helicopter would change the character of of this residential area greatly.

Furthermore, as a dedicated user of the local trails, I would find the presence of a helicopter most disturbing to the tranquility I and my companions seek from walking and hiking in a peaceful rural environment.

Thank you for consideration of my concern about this matter.

Sincerely,

Victoria Dillon
2465 Shelby Street
Summerland, California 9367

de la Guerra, Sheila

From: Chris Straus <robinmeadow@mac.com>
Sent: Monday, July 6, 2020 5:00 PM
To: sbcob

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I am writing to express my opposition to a permit being granted to Patrick Nesbitt for the use of a helipad on his property in Summerland.

Given the vehement reaction to the permit granted to marijuana growers in close proximity to residential neighborhoods in Carpinteria, I'd like to hope that the same mistake is not made again...

All the best,

Chris Straus

de la Guerra, Sheila

From: cay.sanchez@gmail.com
Sent: Monday, July 6, 2020 6:34 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Helicopters in Summerland

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

I would like to voice my opposition to the request from Pat Nesbit for approval of a helicopter pad on his Summerland property. I live in Summerland and we have many helicopters flying over every day. It is a bad precedent to set for the Summerland community, which is already impacted by the freeway, train, airplane and helicopter noises.

Mary Sanchez

Sent from my iPad

de la Guerra, Sheila

From: Temmo Korisheli <korishel@ucsb.edu>
Sent: Monday, July 6, 2020 8:55 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: in re: Nesbitt/Carpinteria Farms Helispot Appeal, Case No. 19CUP-00000-00004 (Noozhawk Referral)

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County supervisors,

I'm writing in regards to the Nesbitt/Carpinteria Farms Helispot Appeal, Case No. 19CUP-00000-00004.

I strongly urge you to deny Mr. Pat Nesbitt's appeal of his private helispot petition. I further urge you to amend our county codes to ban all similar uses from Carpinteria through Goleta, and to work with the Santa Barbara Airport Authority and elected officials in Washington to require all helicopter traffic between Santa Barbara and Los Angeles to travel two miles offshore, or over the mountains, between the Santa Barbara Airport and the Ventura County line.

thank you very much,

~Mr. Temmo Korisheli
Goleta, CA

de la Guerra, Sheila

From: Gordon Morrison <tallest_dwarf@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 6, 2020 9:50 PM
To: sbcob; Ben Wiener; Eric Panofsky; Elliott, Darcel; McShirley, Kadie; Supervisor Das Williams
Subject: Letter from summerland citizens association regarding nesbitt helistop appeal

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear board of supervisors,

It has been brought to my attention by concerned citizens that the letter sent by SCA has not been posted as one of the submissions regarding the appeal process.

With that in mind, I am again attaching it here. I hope I am not overwhelming you with emails, but at the same time I do wish to make it clear to concerned citizens that the SCA has endeavored to make its position known.

Respectfully, Gordon Morrison.
President, SCA

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

de la Guerra, Sheila

From: rita@bonitasummerland.com
Sent: Monday, July 6, 2020 9:59 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Carpinteria valley helistop

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

As a resident of Toro Canyon , and a business owner on Santa Claus Lane I oppose the Nesbitt request for a helistop. Rita Danchuk

Sent from my iPhone

de la Guerra, Sheila

From: Yolonda Clements <yclements@cox.net>
Sent: Tuesday, July 7, 2020 6:19 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: helicopters

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Sirs: Every day I hear the noisy sounds of helicopters flying over our property on East Valley and Romero canyon Road traveling to and from COTTAGE Hospital with emergency victims. Very necessary and understandable. "Personal" use for Helicopters in our neighborhood of Summerland is not necessary and can be very disruptive to our quiet neighbors and area. Please consider DENIAL of: Nesbitt/Carpinteria Farms Helicopter Appeal Case No.19CUP-00000-00004 in order to prevent the impact the noise and danger would have in our community.
Respectfully, Yolanda Villarreal Clements RPT

de la Guerra, Sheila

From: calgal512 <calgal512@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 7, 2020 7:43 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Saying no to the Nesbitt helipad request

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

May I voice my **negative** vote on the proposed helipad on his private estate.

Helicopters don't belong in private communities.

thank you

sharon kanter

149 por la mar circle

santa barbara 93103

de la Guerra, Sheila

From: Nancy Pozzebon <nanrrs1@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 7, 2020 7:47 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Re: Nesbit/ Carpinteria Farm Helistop Appeal Case No. 19CUP-00000-00004

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

County Board of Supervisors

I'm in favor of allowing Mr Nesbitt to obtaining permit to land his helicopter on his property.

With the Edison contractors viewing electric lines & hovering w/ helicopter over areas, no one is complaining of this..

Nesbitt flying over ocean to get to his property, is very limited of any nuisance.

Please approve & let's move on, with more serious issues.

Regards
N. Pozzebon

Sent from my iPhone

de la Guerra, Sheila

From: RICHARD B MAZESS <rbmazess@wisc.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, July 7, 2020 7:50 AM
To: sbcob
Cc: Supervisor Joan Hartmann; Hart, Gregg; Williams, Das
Subject: July 7 ASE # 19CUP-00000-00004 Nesbitt/Carpinteria Farms Helispot Appeal

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board members,

I previously wrote to the Planning Commission (June 2019 attached) about the Nesbitt Heliospot. Unlike most opponents, I am not categorically opposed to helicopter landings in Santa Barbara. We live at the end of Hot Springs Lane immediately adjacent to the uninhabited Hot Springs Canyon. Our former neighbor Bruce Stratton, now deceased, kept a helicopter at his house for 25 years. True it made noise on take off and landing, but no worse than a few Marborg trucks that we hear every week. When Bruce flew his copter he navigated out over the Canyon and proceeded to destination without disturbing populated areas until heading for a landing. Nesbitt could do the same as his property is immediately adjacent to Highway 101 and the Pacific Ocean. The problem really is that the adjacent properties are largely equestrian facilities; we own a close 10 acre parcel at 255 Lambert Road that is a hunter-jumper training facility with about 20 horses. There is also a polo field on the east side of Lambert immediately across from the Nesbitt property. In addition there are horse trails immediately adjacent to the Nesbitt property on all sides and one horse trail through that property. One trail that is commonly used by riders leads to the bridge across the 101 to reach the beach. Helicopters have been cited many times as the cause of horse agitation and accidents, so all take-offs and landings at the Nesbitt site would have to be carefully orchestrated to proceed in and out using a direct north-south path with absolutely no passage over the nearby properties. Any circling must be confined entirely over the central portion of the Nesbitt property. In addition warnings of helicopter approach would have to be established around the Nesbitt property so that riders could dismount in order to avoid serious mishap. This would be analogous to a train crossing over a busy thoroughfare so perhaps similar signals could be installed along all the horse trails. That would not be adequate in one area: personnel would have to be stationed on both sides of the bridge across the 101 to alert riders of the helicopter approach. The plan of approach outlined in the attached image would have to be enforced. In addition the owner of that property would have to maintain a security bond of several million dollars to provide compensation in the event of any adverse consequences ensuing from the helicopter activity.

Sincerely
Dick Mazess

de la Guerra, Sheila

From: Kaye Walters <kaye@padaro.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 7, 2020 7:59 AM
To: sbcob; Harmon, Nereyda
Subject: Fwd: Please Deny the Helistop Appeal

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Rey and Staff-

I sent the below letter to each Supervisor on July 1, but did not send it to the County for the Record by yesterday's deadline. I am told I should have. Please add the letter to the record for the Helistop Permit, as the Padaro Association is overwhelmingly AGAINST THE HELISTOP PERMIT.

THANK YOU!

Kaye

Kaye Walters
Communications Director
Padaro Lane Association
kaye@padaro.org

Begin forwarded message:

From: Kaye Walters <kaye@padaro.org>
Subject: Please Deny the Helistop Appeal
Date: July 1, 2020 at 4:57:48 PM PDT
To: Das Williams <dwilliams@countyofsb.org>, Joan Hartmann <jhartmann@countyofsb.org>, Steve Lavagnino <steve.lavagnino@countyofsb.org>, Gregg Hart <ghart@countyofsb.org>, Peter Adam <peter.adam@countyofsb.org>

Dear County Supervisors:

On behalf of the Padaro Association and all 115 properties on Padaro Lane, we ask that you deny the application for a heliport at 2800 Via Real, for the following reasons:

- **Disturbing the peace:** We have had many complaints from Padaro residents over the years about helicopter noise, as it is a disruptive sound, and often rattles our windows, because there are no height restriction. Please do not legalize an additional 18+ one-way flights per month, per the permit.
- **The proposed flight path is intrusive:** Flying along the coast in front of 200+ homes on Padaro, Sandpoint, and Sandyland, and inland beyond the west end of Padaro, directly over a public beach, horse trails, bike trails, and the new Pacific Coast Trail will negatively affect humans, animals and our environment.

- **No way to enforce:** The County will have no way to enforce the proposed 2 roundtrips (4 flights) per week, and we have no reason to believe the applicant will not have friends and Polo patrons visit in their helicopters throughout the year. It is very hard to read registration numbers, and it will be equally difficult to enforce the type(s) of helicopter used.
- **Applicant's Home is Currently For Sale:** The applicant's commute will be a moot point once the estate sells. The For Sale Ad (see attached) boasts a new helicopter hangar (unpermitted), which will help increase the value of his property. Also, the applicant is building a new home next door, and has admitted that he will retain rights on the deed to use the helipad and hangar once it sells. Thus, two homeowners will now be using the heliport with no way to enforce the stated 2 roundtrips (4 flights) per week that the applicant originally requested for his "commute."
- **Pandora's Box:** The applicant has admitted there are currently "a dozen" homeowners in Carpinteria Valley landing helicopters illegally at their homes. If you approve this permit, it will open the door to future applications for those 12 helicopters that are currently landing in the area without permits and others, which will add noise pollution and lower our quality of life on Padaro and throughout Carp.
- **Lowered Home Values:** Padaro homeowners are paying high property taxes to the County, with diminishing returns. Is the County prepared to lower our property taxes for this noise pollution and the onslaught of Cannabis odor you have been approving?
- **Inconvenience One Person or Many?** We don't see why you should disturb hundreds of families, so that one person can commute directly to LA, rather than driving to the SBA airport 20 minutes away, and flying from there. Or, he could hire a Limo to drive him to work in LA, as does one of our neighbors.
- **"Emergency Landing" excuse Flawed:** The emergency landing offer by the applicant is not pertinent. In an emergency the First Responders can land where they want with an "emergency permit." They do not need this private permit. Furthermore, emergency helicopters can easily land on our beach or the nearby Polo Fields in fires or floods to save humans.
- **Conflict of Interest:** The applicant asked police officers and firemen to speak on his behalf at the Planning Commission hearing last year, and they did. We suspect they did this, because Mr. Nesbitt donates the use of his property to annual police charities, as well as for the Kickash Bash for First Responders. None of these gentlemen live in the Carpinteria area, so their families will not have to hear the disruptive noise of the helicopters. While it may not be illegal for these public servants to speak on Nesbitt's behalf, we find it to be a conflict of interest.

We took a **poll of our residents** last October and we received **151 responses** - All were AGAINST approving the permit. Zero were FOR approving the permit.

For these reasons, and more, we ask that you **please deny the applicant's helispot permit.**

Thank you for your consideration!

Kaye Walters
 Communications Director
 Padaro Lane Association
kaye@padaro.org
 cc: Padaro Association board of directors