

Ramirez, Angelica

Public Comment

**From:** S T <tsbecker069@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:05 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Resubmittal of FDA letter submitted on 8/22/21, Departmental Agenda item 1, BOS meeting of 8/24/21  
**Attachments:** FDA letter.pdf



**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

I am resubmitting a letter I sent to the U.S FDA. The letter was not posted in public comments for this agenda item.

Thank you

Tom Becker

Date: 8/21/21

To: The United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

From: Thomas Becker, Buellton, CA

Subject: FDA full approval of the Pfizer-BioNTech/other COVID-19 vaccines.

This letter is being sent to the FDA as a comment on a possible full approval of the Pfizer- BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine, and any other proposed vaccine approvals for COVID-19 and variants.

Over the last 7 weeks, a growing amount of solid, verifiable scientific and medical evidence has come to light showing that persons vaccinated with the Pfizer and Johnson & Johnson (J&J) COVID-19 vaccines experience significant deterioration in their protections against transmitting the COVID-19 virus to other persons. Reliable medical/scientific reports from around the world are showing that the Pfizer and J&J vaccines lose between 60% to 70% of their ability to prevent COVID-19 virus transmission 3-6 months after full inoculation.

There are unconfirmed news reports that the FDA is preparing to give full approval to the Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine as early as Monday, August 23, 2021.

Considering the existing scientific and medical evidence of the Pfizer vaccine's substantial loss of efficacy/effectiveness in preventing the transmission of the COVID-19 virus, it may appear to a rational person that any decision by the FDA to give full approval to the Pfizer vaccine at this time may be based on incomplete information, intentional disregard of compelling, reliable scientific evidence - or outright fraud.

I would like to define fraud as it would apply to this issue:

- The FDA knowingly allowing false, incomplete and misleading data to be used for the purpose of supporting the approval of a COVID-19 vaccine.
- The FDA knowingly falsifying data and documents for the purpose of supporting the approval of a COVID-19 vaccine.
- The FDA intentionally rushing the approval process to prevent new data from being submitted for the purpose of blocking information that may be detrimental to the approval of a vaccine.
- The FDA intentionally blocking or ignoring scientific and medical data that may be detrimental to the approval of a vaccine.

As stated earlier, there is an unconfirmed report that the FDA may approve the Pfizer vaccine next week. It is not known if the reports are accurate. No assumptions are being made.

It is well known by the FDA that there are increasing calls for workplace and school vaccine mandates. The FDA is aware that the legal and scientific justification being used to support vaccine mandates is the claim that vaccinations are necessary to prevent the spread of the COVID-19 virus. The FDA is aware that FDA approving a COVID-19 vaccine will be used as legal and scientific justification for vaccine mandates. And the FDA is aware of credible scientific evidence that the Pfizer and J&J vaccines fail to effectively prevent the transmission of the COVID-19 virus.

In June of 2020, the FDA published a guideline titled Development and Licensure of Vaccines to Prevent COVID-19. On pages 13 and 14 of the guideline, the FDA established a primary efficacy trial endpoint of at least 50% for COVID-19 vaccines in placebo controlled trials. In “real world” conditions, the Pfizer- BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine is shown to have an effectiveness in preventing infection and transmission of the virus of 39% to 42%, and that percentage appears to be deteriorating by the day. It is understood there is a difference between the results of placebo-controlled trials and “real world” applications. However, the FDA does acknowledge that “real world” results must be taken into account when approving a new drug.

It would seem to be a wise course of action for the FDA to not give full approval to either the Pfizer vaccine or the J&J vaccine at this time. Certainly, both the Pfizer and J&J vaccines should, under no circumstances, be given approval as vaccines that effectively prevent the transmission/spread of the COVID-19 virus. To repeat: credible scientific and medical data shows those vaccines are rapidly losing whatever effectiveness they had to prevent infection and transmission of the virus.

It is a sad truth that the FDA is under enormous political pressure to give full approval to the Pfizer vaccine. The FDA should not attempt to claim no such pressure exists. Under no circumstances should the FDA take under consideration any political position or comment when reviewing the approval of the Pfizer vaccine, or any other COVID-19 vaccine.

In closing, it is well understood by the FDA that the Pfizer and J&J vaccines are rapidly losing their effectiveness in preventing the infection and transmission of the COVID-19 virus. If the FDA gives full approval to either the Pfizer or J&J vaccines, it would be doing so in the face of overwhelming, credible scientific and

medical evidence that directly contradicts any claim made by the FDA that the vaccines effectively prevent the infection and transmission of the COVID-19 virus. Many employers, schools, administrators and government agencies who mandate vaccinations, or are considering mandates, are aware of the true scientific facts of the effectiveness of the vaccines. No employer, government agency, administrator or elected official will have protection from civil liability for mandating a vaccine when they have clear scientific evidence the vaccines do not effectively prevent the spread of the COVID-19 virus. And no employer, government agency, administrator or elected official will be protected from civil liability if they have credible, scientifically supported data presented to them showing the FDA vaccine approval process was tainted by political pressure and was intentionally corrupted by the FDA to grant approval to a vaccine that is known by the FDA to be ineffective.

Thank you,

Tom Becker

Buellton, CA

tsbecker069@gmail.com

