

TO Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors

Date Feb. 15, 2012

From Forte Ranch Resident Ms. W. Logue

Regarding Draft Goleta Valley Community Plan for Eastern Goleta Valley
Valley Initiation of Environmental Review

I am glad that SB City Council voted to protect residents from health hazards ie, diesel exhaust. I also am glad that Planner Weiss and staff are considering such a ban for applications after Dec. 1.

I am a resident of Forte Ranch Condos, San Antonio Road and Calle Real. The MTD owns a huge field in front of these condos adjacent to Calle Real and 101. Please review draft EGV Community Plan and accept and approve it for the EIR study as it has been presented. Please don't let any recommended development density for the MTD, Tatum properties and San Marcos Growers property be included in EIR. I think the draft plan being presented to BOS is a workable plan for residents of EGV, developers, and housing advocates. This draft plan meets and exceeds the requirements for state program 1.3, to consider rezoning of 20 to 30 acres at 20 UPA.

Thank you for your consideration.

W. Logue

Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors

This letter is concerning the BOS February 21 meeting to review and make recommendations for environmental review of the Eastern Goleta Valley Community Plan.

As a concerned resident of the EGV and specifically Forte Ranch, I urge you to review the draft EGV Community Plan and accept and approve it for the EIR study as it has been presented to you by your planning staff.

Please do not give in to the developers, property owners, and housing advocates who will request that you increase the currently recommended development density for the MTD and Tatum properties and please do not recommend that the San Marcos Growers property be included in the EIR.

I am aware of the action SB City Council has taken to place a temporary ban on residential developments within 250 feet of Highway 101 to protect residents from health hazards of diesel exhaust. I would expect the County Board to do the same.

Jim C. Francis