

Carbajal, Salud

From: James Buckley <jim@montecitojournal.net>
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2014 3:34 AM
To: SupervisorCarbajal
Cc: info@montecitoassociation.org
Subject: Upcoming vote on Crown Castle appeal

Dear Salud,

Please heed the advice of the members of the Montecito Planning Commission and the directors of the Montecito Association and back up the MPC's denial of Crown Castle's request to go ahead with its plan to install unneeded, bulky, and aesthetically questionable devices in Montecito. You may agree with their decision to challenge the MPC's denial, but if you would at least consider the arrogance behind their appeal, perhaps you'd see the wisdom in turning down their appeal. Please allow those who know Montecito best to work directly with Crown Castle to come to a mutually beneficial decision. There is no need or call for Crown Castle to go over the heads of the members of the MPC.

I've sent this to all the supervisors, but I'm sending you this special appeal, hoping you'll not only vote against Crown Castle's arrogant disregard for the MPC, but that you'll also seek to persuade other supervisors to vote against the Crown Castle appeal of the MPC vote to deny its proposed project.

They'll come to some sort of agreement somewhere down the road and it would be extremely prejudicial to the MPC and the Montecito Association to allow this company to simply ignore Montecito's Community Plan and go directly to the Board of Supervisors for approval of whatever they want.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jim Buckley

Carbajal, Salud

From: sybilrosen@cox.net
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 2:13 PM
To: Lavagnino, Steve; SupervisorCarbajal; Wolf, Janet; Farr, Doreen; Adam, Peter
Cc: info@montecitoassociation.org
Subject: Crown Castle application

I respectfully request that you honor the unanimous decision made by Montecito Planning Commission. MPC has researched this request thoroughly and asked for some changes which Crown Castle has denied. Who knows what is best for Montecito and honors the Montecito Community plan? Montecito Planning Commission. Please respect the commission and residents of Montecito.

We had requested further study and Crown Castle refused even when mentioning possible changes. Thank you for hearing our voices.

Sybil Rosen
Hermosillo Road

Carbajal, Salud

From: Gary Simpson <surfsimpson3@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 2:54 PM
To: SupervisorCarbajal
Cc: stevelavagnino@countyofsb.org; Wolf, Janet; Farr, Doreen; Lavagnino, Steve
Subject: Next G's Pole

Hello Salud,

Gary Simpson from Home Improvement Center here again. I am amazed at the tenacity of Next G's now recent appeal for all their cellular installations they wish to make here in Montecito, particularly the pole in question at the top of Park Lane at the bottom of my shared driveway. Since all the street utilities were 'undergrounded' a long time ago along our road, I was sure that Next G's request for a pole was summarily denied on these grounds alone and that this issue was completely dead. I look for your help please in assuring this egregious notion is laid to rest once and for all as the pole would most certainly detract largely from the character and appearance of our pristine neighborhood and I am sure that it is unneeded despite their claims.

Thanking you once again in advance for your thoughtful assistance in this matter as others you have been helpful to me and the Simpson family in the past. I hope this finds you well.

All Best,

Gary Simpson

Carbajal, Salud

From: Alice Van de Water <waterline2@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 2:43 PM
To: SupervisorCarbajal
Cc: infor@montecitoassociation.org
Subject: Crown Castle's proposal for the Montecito Community

Dear Supervisor Carbajal,

I am very disappointed to learn that the county staff, which advises the Montecito Planning Commission (MPC) plans to recommend to the Board of Supervisors not to support the MPC's denial of the poorly planned project proposed by Crown Castle. Our telephone poles are already a blight on the beauty of our lovely Montecito. Take a drive down San Leandro Lane sometime to just look what all of these "extra attachments" and unattractive huge and high poles have done to our street. And, now, although our own MPC has reviewed the proposed project and has ruled that it does not reflect the aesthetic character of our special community, the Planning Commission wishes to overrule those people who have studied the proposal and live or own property in the area. That just doesn't make any sense to me.

I want you to know that I unequivocally support the MPC's denial of the Crown Castle project. They should be required to revise the project and offer Montecito a project that "fits" in our community. Our community here in Montecito deserves the right to review that project and it should be our own MPC, and not County staff, who should make the determination that this and any other project complies with the Montecito Community Plan.

I have voted twice for Montecito to remain an unincorporated area of the County of Santa Barbara. Issues such as this one, however, where the community supports the efforts of the MPC, if reversed by the Board of Supervisors, are the types of rulings that make our community wish to have local autonomy. Since Montecito generates a huge chunk of the County budget each year, I would think that staff, along with the Supervisors would want to continue to have this very good relationship to continue. I urge you to continue your support of the MPC and the Montecito Association.

Thank you for your time on behalf of our beautiful Santa Barbara County.

Sincerely,

Alice E. Van de Water

Any response to this email should be sent to Waterline2@aol.com

Carbajal, Salud

From: Charity Walton Masters <charitywm33@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 4:33 PM
To: SupervisorCarbajal; Wolf, Janet; Farr, Doreen; Adam, Peter; Lavagnino, Steve
Cc: info@montecitoassociation.org
Subject: Crown Castle Proposed DAS installation in Eastern Montecito Romero Canyon area

Dear Supervisors,

We are writing to express our objections to Crown Castle's Proposed DAS installation in eastern Montecito. We object for the following reasons:

1. Our cellular service is already perfect in this area - 5 dots/4 bars even on the Romero Canyon Trail. No enhancement is necessary.
2. There have not been enough studies to prove that living near these DAS systems is safe. The potential health risks have not been adequately investigated.
3. Crown Castle has a history of returning to the Planning Commission a year or two after the initial DAS installation and requesting permission to increase the size of the antennae. In other words, some of these installations might seem relatively small now, but it is very probable that in a year or two Crown Castle will replace them with larger antennae.
4. Installation by one company, in this case Crown Castle on behalf of Verizon, will almost definitely be followed by installations by other companies like T-Mobile, AT&T et cetera. These poles will become more and more unsightly over time with the addition of more and more equipment.
5. The installation of unsightly equipment is completely in contradiction to the Montecito Community Plan. Crown Castle needs to come up with an installation that is in line with our Community Plan here in Montecito.

Sincerely,

Charity Walton Masters
Julian Walton Masters
2233 Camino del Rosario
Santa Barbara CA 93108
adjacent to MON site 21

Carbajal, Salud

From: Cotty Chubb <cc@chubbco.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 4:35 PM
To: SupervisorCarbajal
Cc: info@montecitoassociation.org
Subject: please deny the Crown Castle appeal

Dear Supervisor Carbajal, dear Salud,

As First District Supervisor, you have for many years ably represented the voters and residents of Montecito, listening to diverse voices and seeking rational solutions to problems with many diverse constituents.

At your upcoming July 1st hearing, please marshal support among your fellow Supervisors to deny Crown Castle's appeal of the Montecito Planning Commission's unanimous disapproval of their plan to install the nodes and antenna systems to improve the Verizon Wireless network in Montecito.

There is no doubt that the network should be improved but the Crown Castle plan is not consistent with the Montecito Community Plan, which was laboriously worked out less than ten years ago and should be respected.

Further, the Montecito Planning Commission was created out of respect for the desire for local control of the community and overruling it should only be done in matters of necessity.

This is not such a critical matter. Crown Castle is perfectly capable of revising their design their design to hew more closely to the guidelines of the Montecito Community Plan, but refused. Given that, the MPC with no dissenting vote denied their application.

Please affirm the right of the Montecito Planning Commission to exercise its discretion in such matters and send the plan back to Crown Castle with the suggestion that they work with the MPC to get it right.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Caldecot Chubb

1550 E Valley Rd
Montecito, CA 93108

*** **
@ChubbCo
+1 310-729-5858

Carbajal, Salud

From: Chris and Hal Saunders <hcsaunders@cox.net>
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 4:41 PM
To: SupervisorCarbajal
Subject: Crown Castle Appeal

Dear Supervisor Carbajal,

As a resident of Montecito, I am appalled at the unrelenting requests of Crown Castle to install more and more cellular antennas. Please uphold the Montecito Planning Commission's mandate to disallow the most recent request. These additional nodes are totally out of character with the aesthetics of our community.

Thank you,
Hal Saunders

Carbajal, Salud

From: Jean Svoboda <tutusvoboda@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 5:40 PM
To: SupervisorCarbajal
Subject: Crown Castle Project

I support the MPC's denial of the Crown Castle Project.

In addition, I have the right to insist that Crown Castle revise this project in keeping with the aesthetic character of our community.

My husband (Robert A. now deceased) and I became resident/owners of our property in 1974.

Thank you,

Mrs. Jean K. Svoboda

Carbajal, Salud

From: Dick Thielscher <dicktilsh@cox.net>
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 5:52 PM
To: SupervisorCarbajal
Subject: Crown Castle's appeal of the MPC decision

Salud....the community is really upset with this latest "blight"....please do the right thing and deny their appeal. Dick Thielscher

Carbajal, Salud

From: penelopebianchi <penelopebi@me.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 6:17 PM
To: SupervisorCarbajal
Cc: Wolf, Janet
Subject: Hi!

Hi Salud! Hi Janet!

I strongly support the Montecito Association's stand against these cel things!

Montecito looks like it does because we really care about ugly metal things, and we have kept it beautiful by being a bunch of "pain in the neck" activists!
Most people think "it doesn't matter"!

Well, it does! Please support us! I respect the County Staff; I do think we need to make our own decisions! (dear God, when I was on the board of the Coral Casino, I attended 117 city meetings about those changes made by Ty Warner. (I only know that because I had a "Palm Pilot" (the dark ages!!))

All for the good!

Thank you for all that you do!!!

Penny



Penelope Bianchi

MCCORMICK INTERIORS

Penelope Bianchi
805 969-1110
805 729-1600
www.mccormickinteriors.com

Carbajal, Salud

From: Carla Amussen <carlanyc03@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 7:03 PM
To: Adam, Peter; Lavagnino, Steve; SupervisorCarbajal; Wolf, Janet; Farr, Doreen
Cc: info@montecitoassociation.org
Subject: Crown Castle/Verizon Application

To The Board of Supervisors:

My family has lived at 1919 Tollis Avenue, Montecito, CA, since the mid-70's. We recently attended the Montecito Board of Architectural Review (MBAR) meetings dealing with the Crown Castle/Verizon Application to install 29 "poles" in only 2 areas of Montecito. We spoke against the project at the time.

We support the Montecito Planning Commission's (MPC's) denial of Crown Castle's project. Please affirm the MPC decision.

Very truly yours,

Carla A. Amussen
George C. Shattuck

Carbajal, Salud

From: Larry Durham <larry@lkdmanagement.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 7:29 PM
To: SupervisorCarbajal
Subject: MPC Denial of Crown Castle project

I am a resident of Montecito. I do not support the denial of the Crown Castle project. Esthetics do not take precedent over necessary cell phone service. If it did, all utilities would be underground and we would not be paying a tree service to perpetuity to keep our telephone lines open.

Larry K. Durham
702 & 704 Park Lane

Sent from my iPad

Carbajal, Salud

From: Robert Hayes <hayesmichael@mac.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 8:25 PM
To: SupervisorCarbajal
Cc: infor@montecitoassociation.org
Subject: Crown Castle Project

Dear Supervisor Carbajal,

The Montecito Association is actively soliciting members of the community to implore you and other supervisors to uphold the denial of the Crown Castle Project by the Montecito Planning Commission.

Please ignore them.

Our community has been grossly underserved by an inadequate cellular network from the day the first cell phone was made. Despite the obvious advantages of a competent cellular network for improving safety by providing first line or back up emergency communications in the event of fires, earthquakes and other such threats, the Montecito Association has done nothing effective to alleviate the problem. They probably commissioned some long term study.

Reliable, adequate, indeed, redundant mobile communications capability is not only of paramount importance during emergencies, it is essential to everyday life as well. With the possible exception of the members of the Montecito Association, I am certain a high percentage of the community owns and regularly depends on a mobile phone. And most cell phone users in Montecito are frustrated and fed up that the existing cellular network is so spotty and unreliable.

The Crown Project seems like a creditable solution that blankets the area with twenty nine nodes. The loss of one or two in a disaster -- or a strong wind -- would not deprive the community of reliable communications. Sounds good to me.

Please vote to approve the Crown Castle Project.

Thank you,

Robert Hayes
Montecito Resident

Carbajal, Salud

From: John Shapiro <john.a.shapiro@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, June 27, 2014 7:51 AM
To: Lavagnino, Steve; SupervisorCarbajal; Farr, Doreen; Wolf, Janet; Adam, Peter; info@montecitoassociation.org
Subject: Cell Phone Service

Dear Board of Supervisors;

I will be unable to attend the July 1 hearing on Crown Castle's Montecito Project but I would like to express my strong views on the subject. Montecito, like everywhere else in 2014, needs to have adequate cell phone service. Currently it does not. We have virtually no service where we live. The lack of service is not only a major inconvenience to us and all the tradesmen and guests that visit us, it is a distinct safety issue. Townspeople need to be able to reach emergency responders or loved ones whether they are home or on the roads. Furthermore, the lack of adequate phone service will ultimately hurt the attractiveness of the community no matter what arguments are made about preserving the aesthetic character of the town. When we purchased our home in 2012, we had very good cell phone service. It was a must. With the removal of the cell phone tower, we are now in a dreaded dead zone. We would not have purchased in Montecito under those conditions.

While the MPC may have acted in good faith to decline the project in light of new information that had not yet been evaluated, I hope it was not just a good excuse to kill any plan to install more equipment. I do not know if the Crown Castle plan is the best plan but there needs to be a plan. The Board and the MPC have an obligation to come up with a plan that brings back the previous level of coverage. Perhaps that solution might not allow endless video streaming on phones; but it must at a minimum enable phone calls to be received and made without problems. I am sure it can be done without a meaningful compromise of the character of the community. Crown Castle should be willing to work towards a mutually agreeable solution. The progress of technology cannot be stopped in its tracks. Montecito is a phenomenal community, but it can't stay that way if its residents are not willing to find ways to accommodate present day necessities of life.

Sincerely,

John A. Shapiro
927 Brooktree Road

Carbajal, Salud

From: Jane Habermann <janehaber@cox.net>
Sent: Friday, June 27, 2014 9:03 AM
To: SupervisorCarbajal
Subject: NO on the crown castle project

Norm and I are on Sheffield with one of the antenna systems set to be basically on our property. We have almost no cell service here yet we urge you to support the Montecito Assn's denial of the project at this time. It is clear that there are ways to accomplish improved cellular service and at the same time comply with Montecito's long history of aesthetics.

Jane Habermann

Carbajal, Salud

From: Sheila <sheilastarnes@cox.net>
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 10:00 PM
To: SupervisorCarbajal
Subject: Please DO NOT undermine Montecito Planning Commission's Decision Regarding Castle

> Thank you.

>

> Sheila Starnes

> Montecito resident/homeowner/tax payer.

>

> Sent from my iPhone

Carbajal, Salud

From: Nick Zwick <n.zwick@verizon.net>
Sent: Friday, June 27, 2014 10:35 AM
To: SupervisorCarbajal
Subject: Crown Castle appeal of MPC denial

I do NOT support the Montecito Planning Commission's denial of the Crown Castle project. From my perspective, this controversy is about the power of the MPC and not about the merits of the project. It appeared that the MPC was reluctantly ready to accept the project when Crown Castle introduced a way to improve the project. Instead of dealing with the improvements, MPC wanted to restart the process. It is unfortunate that the parties could not resolve the procedural differences. Instead of dealing with the project issues, now the MPC and MA is forced to deal with this as a larger issue of a threat to their autonomy.

Carbajal, Salud

From: Jean Von Wittenburg <jvon1@cox.net>
Sent: Friday, June 27, 2014 11:28 AM
To: SupervisorCarbajal
Subject: Crown Castle, Hosmer Adobe and the MPC

Dear Supervisor Carbajal:

Please consider the Montecito Planning Commission's denial of the Crown Castle Project. The MPC and their denial of the project should certainly be supported. The Montecito Community Plan works and we in the community should have our voices heard!

Please also reconsider the appeal for the demolition of the Hosmer Adobe and support the Pearl Chase Society Option.

Thank you for your consideration.

Jean (von Wittenburg)
Montecito



This email is free from viruses and malware because [avast! Antivirus](#) protection is active.

Carbajal, Salud

From: Tom Quinn <TQuinn@jordanind.com>
Sent: Friday, June 27, 2014 12:07 PM
To: Lavagnino, Steve; SupervisorCarbajal; Wolf, Janet; Farr, Doreen;
'peter.adam@countyofsb.org'
Cc: 'info@montecitoassociation.org'
Subject: Crown Castle Project

I have been reading with interest and concern about the Crown Castle Project to install 29 new nodes and expand the distributive antenna system to add data capacity to the Verizon wireless network. This seems to have gotten very little publicity or discussion, and I wanted to express my concern. I have been a part time resident of Montecito for 14 years and own two homes in Sea Meadow. I just want to register my concerns about what is happening and hope that the scope of this project gets a fair public hearing. It seems that the downside in terms of hurting the esthetics of the community are potentially quite high, and I hope you will consider this issue at length, as well as the entire impact of this project.

Thank you very much.

Cordially,

Tom Quinn
18 Via del Mar
Santa Barbara, CA 93108

tquinn@jordanind.com

Carbajal, Salud

From: Cheryl Tomchin <seatomchin@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, June 27, 2014 1:29 PM
To: Lavagnino, Steve; SupervisorCarbajal; Wolf, Janet; Farr, Doreen; Adam, Peter
Cc: info@montecitoassociation.org
Subject: cell node tower opinion

Key questions:

How do we know Verizon will truly need additional power in the future since reception is fine at the present?
How do we know people will still be infatuated with needing to download data to their smart phones?
How do we know there isn't a better alternative to large boxes (with orange warning signs of radiation) and wires criss-crossing our drought stricken landscape in the future?

With the drought conditions likely not ending given global "weirding" our landscapes will become less verdant and poles, wires, boxes more apparent altering our bucolic village forever. Please deny this from happening regardless of governmental ramifications.

After attending three MBAR meetings regarding the cell node towers last year, I decided to watch (6 hours) the last Montecito Planning Commission hearing on television. The board did serious due-diligence with this project, just as MBAR had. Sharon James for Crown Castle, hired by Verizon attempting to increase reception, said she has never worked harder with a community as with ours. She was lauded for her earnest attempts to appease our community.

At the end of the day MBAR gave their recommendations on esthetics with the caveat it is a blight and a travesty to our community to have these changes take place. Fast forward a few months and the vote was put before the Planning Commission. After roughly 6 hours of deliberation and questioning, one by one, the poles were accepted or denied. Without all of them in place at exactly the distance calculated between, the design plan would be flawed, as not enough electrical meter pedestals to boost the radiation... I mean reception.

I later asked Megan Lowery, working on this project with Sharon James, for a summary how the meeting ended. Montecito Planning Commission wanted more time to ponder the unsightly and untenable design and asked for an extension to the Shot Clock (federal regulation that requires local jurisdictions to make an action within a specified period of time). It was denied as they had already extended it twice for MBAR and they weren't any other redesign options. Montecito Planning Commission then denied all of the sites, both inland and coastal. (Applause is appropriate here). The project will (most likely) be appealed and then come before the Board of Supervisors to look at the project from scratch to approve, conditionally approve with changes, or deny (although denial is legally problematic with the Federal Telecommunications Act limitations on local government).

Cheryl Tomchin

Carbajal, Salud

From: cathey wilkins <catheywilkins@mac.com>
Sent: Friday, June 27, 2014 2:14 PM
To: SupervisorCarbajal
Subject: Please support MPC's denial of Crown Castle Project ..

> The MPC is a group of dedicated, informed and trusted citizen volunteers who represent our voice and our community.

>
> I understand and fully support MPC's unanimous denial of the Crown Castle project.

>
> Please support MPC's denial of Crown Castle's plan. An alternative is clearly available and must be examined.

>
> We have one shot at this. It is important to get it right. We appreciate your support.

>
>
> Cate Wilkins

> Member - Montecito Association

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

> sent from Cate's iPad

>

Carbajal, Salud

From: Steven Pinsker <steven.pinsker@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, June 27, 2014 3:53 PM
To: Lavagnino, Steve; SupervisorCarbajal; Wolf, Janet; Farr, Doreen; Adam, Peter
Cc: info@montecitoassociation.org
Subject: Crown Castle project rejection

Dear members of the Board:

We have been residents of Montecito for 27 years and fully support rejection of the Crown Castle project, as proposed. We are sure by now you have read Ted Urschel's informative article in the Montecito Journal which contains copious reasons for rejecting the proposal. In addition, the article details how the applicant failed to provide both the MPC and County Planning with known reasonable alternatives, and then refused to cooperate with the MPC when it requested a continuance to review alternatives to its "big box" mandate.

Crown Castle is either dealing in bad faith, at best, or possibly outright deception. The reasons delineated in Mr. Urschel's article, particularly regarding local control of our community, are more than sufficient grounds for rejecting the current proposal. Crown Castle's questionable conduct in dealing with the MPC, and now the County, further militates toward rejection. Steve and Marnie Pinsker

Carbajal, Salud

From: Bob Zimmerman <robertzcool@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, June 27, 2014 4:26 PM
To: SupervisorCarbajal
Subject: Fwd: Crown Castle project

Dear Supervisor Carbajal:

As residents and property owners in Montecito for over 60 years my family and I fully support the Montecito Planning Commission (MPC) denial of the project.

As so well stated in Ted Urschel, President, Montecito Association editorial:

"We urge the Board of Supervisors to support the decision of the MPC. To support Crown Castle would be to break with a long-standing social compact allowing for local control over development, which could have long term implications for the efficacy of the Montecito Community Plan and give new life to the discussions regarding Montecito cityhood. "

Regards,

Bob Zimmerman
1984 Tollis Ave
Montecito, CA 93108

Carbajal, Salud

From: Kaye Willette <kayemw59@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, June 27, 2014 4:48 PM
To: SupervisorCarbajal
Subject: Antenna Hearing

Salud:

We have supported your candidacy in the past, and you were most responsive on our request for assistance in having upper Park Ave bordering our home resurfaced. Now we ask once again for your assistance in dealing with a matter that will affect our community for years to come: the Verizon antenna issue.

The Montecito Assn had the best interests of our community in mind when they rejected the request. We ask that you do the same. A community as special as ours should be acting to bury their utilities, not increase the negative visual impact of such.

Kaye and David Willette
995 Mariposa Lane

Sent from my iPhone

Carbajal, Salud

From: michele neely saltoun <m.neely11@verizon.net>
Sent: Saturday, June 28, 2014 7:05 AM
To: Lavagnino, Steve; SupervisorCarbajal; Wolf, Janet; Farr, Doreen; Adam, Peter
Subject: Crown Castle appeal

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support of the Montecito Planning Commission's *denial* of the Crown Castle project.

As a longtime resident of Montecito and a current board member of the Montecito Association, I do not wish to see this project, as it has been presented, be implemented. After many conversations with friends and neighbors, I know that there is widespread concern about the negative impact the project will have on the aesthetic character of our special community.

Also, additional coverage is not needed. A Park Lane neighbor just emailed that she has access to cellphone service at the top of Bella Vista without any problems.

I visited a local business today. The owners were distressed about the city demanding they take down a sign advertising a certain service. It did not meet code/not aesthetically pleasing. We continually see a concern, and rightly so, to make decisions around the impact of proposals on the aesthetics of a neighborhood. Please let us consider such in the Crown Castle case.

Montecito is speaking loudly against the Crown Castle proposal, and we appreciate your listening to us.

Thank you for all that you do for your constituents and for our community.

Michele Saltoun

Carbajal, Salud

From: Jane Dyruff <jdyruff@hotmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 28, 2014 11:18 AM
To: Lavagnino, Steve; SupervisorCarbajal; Farr, Doreen; Adam, Peter; Wolf, Janet
Cc: info@montecitoassociation.org
Subject: Crown Castle Project

To all Supervisors:

As representatives of the public you are responsible to those of us who live in one of the most desirable areas in this country. It is up to you to deny the application of Crown Castle unless and until all aspects of the application have been revealed and upheld.

Home rule has been the backbone in the development of any community and this applies in Montecito as well as any where else in the country. Why would an entity such as Crown Castle be held superior to the development of a community such as Montecito. Those of us who live in Montecito have a much higher concern about the development of Montecito than the monetary concerns of an individual organization.

Respectfully submitted,

Jane S. Dyruff, Member
Montecito Association
Local resident and home owner

Carbajal, Salud

From: SYBLE ROBERTS <sybleroberts@cox.net>
Sent: Saturday, June 28, 2014 4:28 PM
To: SupervisorCarbajal
Subject: FW: PLEASE SUPPORT MPC'S DENIAL OF CROWN CASTLE'S PROPOSAL

From: SYBLE ROBERTS [<mailto:sybleroberts@cox.net>]
Sent: Saturday, June 28, 2014 4:20 PM
To: 'SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org'; 'jwolf@sbcbos2.org'; 'dfarr@countyofsb.org.'; 'peteradam@countyofsb.org.'
Subject: FW: PLEASE SUPPORT MPC'S DENIAL OF CROWN CASTLE'S PROPOSAL

From: SYBLE ROBERTS [<mailto:sybleroberts@cox.net>]
Sent: Saturday, June 28, 2014 4:10 PM
To: 'steve.lavagnino@countyofsb.org'
Subject: MPC'S DENIAL OF CROWN CASTLE'S PROPOSAL IS WHOILLY SUPPORTED!!

WE ARE LONG-TIME MONTECITO RESIDENTS.

In the event we are unable to attend the appeal hearing on July 1, we are emailing you to make our positions known to you and the other supervisors.

WE FIRMLY SUPPORT THE DENIAL OF THIS PROJECT AS SUBMITTED.

WHEN I AS A PROPERTY OWNER WISHED TO MAKE CHANGES TO THE GARAGE, THE RESIDENCE AND THE GARDENS ON MY PROPERTY, I WENT THROUGH A LENGTHY AND COSTLY PROCESS AT EVERY STEP TO BE SURE THAT THE AESTHETICS OF OUR SMALL COMMUNITY WERE IMPROVED OR ENHANCED OR AT LEAST NOT ALTERED IN AN UNSIGHTLY MANNER.

THE UTILITY POLES AND LINES SEEM TO BE THE MOST UNSIGHTLY, AND THE COMPANIES WHO USE THE EASEMENTS FOR THEIR INSTALLATIONS DON'T CARE WHAT THEY LOOK LIKE. WE ARE THE OWNERS OF THREE PROPERTIES IN MONTECITO THAT HAVE VERY UNSIGHTLY POLES AND LINES AND VARIOUS THINGS ATTACHED, AND I CANNOT GROW PLANTS OVER THEM OR DENY THEM ANY RIGHTS. THEY ARE THE UGLIEST THINGS ON THESE PROPERTIES. AND EVERY FEW YEARS THEY COME BACK AND ADD MORE BOXES AND LINES, JUST BECAUSE THEY CAN.

SYBLE ROBERTS
200 OLIVE MILL RD
MONTECITO, CA.

DENISE SMITH & DEREK HOUSEHOLDER
1025 MONTE CRISTO LANE
MONTECITO, CA.

DENISE SMITH & DEREK HOUSEHOLDER
1178 SPRING RD
MONTECITO, CA.

Carbajal, Salud

From: jakahler1@aol.com
Sent: Sunday, June 29, 2014 11:27 AM
To: SupervisorCarbajal
Cc: info@montecitoassociation.org

Dear Supervisor Carbajal,

We are fully in support of the Montecito Planning Commission's denial of the Crown Castle project. We believe that every aspect of this proposal needs to be reviewed and revised to meld with the aesthetic character of Montecito. Responsible management requires that the community be allowed to review the project, and compliance with the Montecito Community Plan is mandatory if the project is to be met with the approval of the residents of Montecito.

Sincerely,
Dr. and Mrs. Richard L. Kahler
P.O. Box 5085
803 Picacho Lane

Carbajal, Salud

From: Della Cook <dellacook@icloud.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 29, 2014 1:02 PM
To: Lavagnino, Steve; SupervisorCarbajal; Wolf, Janet; Farr, Doreen; Adam, Peter
Subject: MPC

As homeowners in Montecito we would like to actually support Crown Castle. Although planting a bush in front the boxes would be more attractive and an easy solution to the MPC issue.

Sincerely,
Della and Greg Cook

Carbajal, Salud

From: Patricia Griffin <pbgriffin.email@gmail.com> on behalf of Patricia Griffin <pbgriffin@cox.net>
Sent: Sunday, June 29, 2014 3:24 PM
To: SupervisorCarbajal
Subject: Crown Castle's Appeal

Dear supervisor Carbajal. I am in favor of better cellular service. The service in Montecito is terrible in many areas. Our community has a right to decent service. I am sure that you are aware that many have moved away from land lines and rely on their cellular service for communication. In fact you may be reading this on a smart phone right now. I for one would hate to be somehow responsible for the lack of service when the next emergency hits.

Do I dislike power poles? Yes
Do I dislike telephone poles? Yes
Do they provide necessary services. Yes

I am writing to each of you to encourage you to do the right thing and to do it now! Support Crown Castle's appeal of their Montecito project!

Patricia Griffin
Santa Barbara Native Selling Dream Homes for 25 Years
www.PatriciaGriffin.com
BRE License #00837659

Village Properties
1250 Coast Village Road
Santa Barbara, California 93108
805/565-4547 Direct
DRE #01206734

Carbajal, Salud

From: Regina Roney <reginaroney@cox.net>
Sent: Sunday, June 29, 2014 4:06 PM
To: SupervisorCarbajal; Lavagnino, Steve; Wolf, Janet; Farr, Doreen; Adam, Peter
Cc: info@montecitoassociation.org
Subject: MPC and Crown Castle Project

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Salud Carbajal appointed the Montecito Planning Commission to make decisions based on the Montecito Community Plan. Their denial of the Crown Castle project was due to the fact they thought they needed more time to get them to revise the project to reflect the character of our community. Montecito residents should have the right to review the project and then have the Montecito Planning Commission make the determination that the project complies with the Community Plan.

Please vote to allow this to happen

Thank you,
Regina Roney