

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2016 11:11 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Your APCD CAC appointee: PCEC project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Donna Lewis <1215donna@cox.net>
Date: October 13, 2016 at 5:03:44 PM PDT
To: "'Carbajal, Salud'" <scarbaja@co.santa-barbara.ca.us>
Subject: Your APCD CAC appointee: PCEC project

Greetings. It's that Donna Lewis person, your APCD CAC appointee.

I submitted the letter below to the Planning Commission after examining the voluminous docs of PCEC's Planning application in June. Although the Planning Commission denied the project 3 to 2, staff's subsequent and strangely short staff letter failed to address not only my main concerns of hydrogen sulfide risk and fresh water use, but also these **same concerns** expressed by 2 of the Planning Commissioners at the June hearing.

As a result, your Board is without key facts. I have therefore pasted in below my June letter to the Planning Commission.

DO NOT BE DISMAYED by the multitude of references. They make it look more complex than it is.

I request that your Board deny PCEC's appeal.

June 29, 2016

RE: Orcutt Hill Resource Enhancement Plan Project, Planning Case # 13PPP-00000-00001

Planning Commission Hearing Agenda date: Agenda Item #3

Link to relevant documents: http://sbcountyplanning.org/boards/pc/cpc_documents.cfm?DocID=17664

Commissioner Ferini and members of The Commission,

Please accept these comments on the above project proposed by Pacific Coast Energy Company (PCE).

The comments are offered on my own behalf. I worked at APCD as an engineer and performed many of the analysis types I discuss in this letter. I thank APCD Division Manager Ben Ellenberger for his quick responses to my questions on 6-21 and 6-22-16.

1. Hydrogen Sulfide (H₂S) Is Not Getting Its Due.

a. H₂S is lethal, not just an odor

Applicant incorrectly characterizes the expected releases of H₂S as an odor issue instead of a Class I acute health risk. Example: "odor" is listed alone as "Most relevant effects" at EIR page 4.1-5, row 4. Even though the EIR states that H₂S levels in produced gas are expected to be up to **20,000 ppm** (EIR page 4.1-21, parag 2) and "travel considerable distances" from the release locations (Ibid), and be detectable by smell miles away, and even though it acknowledged a lethal threshold of 100 ppm (EIR page 4.1-7, 5th parag), the Applicant does not address the lion's share of the health risk.

Applicant makes much of the fact that H₂S can be smelled at very low thresholds but neglects a key fact. H₂S causes olfactory nerve paralysis, which makes the exposed person **unable to smell it** at 100 ppm. See OSHA chart on page 2 of this letter.

It appears that P&D Staff accepted Applicant's incomplete assessment of the H₂S impact and based critical CEQA conclusions on H₂S as just an odor issue (P&D Report page Sec 6.1.2, 2nd parag). In fact, H₂S is a large scale acute health risk. Below I explain why this is so, why the Staff assessment of H₂S is incomplete and why complete analysis reveals a Class I impact that cannot justify a Statement of Overriding Consideration.

The Applicant's own value for the project produced gas concentration, 20,000 ppm, is far higher than all of the thresholds for serious effects of this gas. The US OSHA chart below shows thresholds for deadening of the ability to smell H₂S (100 ppm), lowest concentration associated with death (100 ppm), collapse within 2 breaths (700-1000 ppm) and instant death (1000-2000 ppm).

Concentration (ppm)	Symptoms/Effects
0.00011-0.00033	Typical background concentrations
0.01-1.5	Odor threshold (when rotten egg smell is first noticeable to some). Odor becomes more offensive at 3-5 ppm. Above 30 ppm, odor described as sweet or is deceptively sweet.
2-5	Prolonged exposure may cause nausea, tearing of the eyes, headaches or loss of sleep. Airway problems (bronchial constriction) in some asthma patients.
20	Possible fatigue, loss of appetite, headache, irritability, poor memory, dizziness.
50-100	Slight conjunctivitis ("gas eye") and respiratory tract irritation after 1 hour. May cause digestive upset and loss of appetite.
100	Coughing, eye irritation, loss of smell after 2-15 minutes (olfactory fatigue). Altered breathing, drowsiness after 15-30 minutes. Throat irritation after 1 hour. Gradual increase in severity of symptoms over several hours. Death may occur after 48 hours.
100-150	Loss of smell (olfactory fatigue or paralysis).
200-300	Marked conjunctivitis and respiratory tract irritation after 1 hour. Pulmonary edema may occur from prolonged exposure.
500-700	Staggering, collapse in 5 minutes. Serious damage to the eyes in 30 minutes. Death after 30-60 minutes.
700-1000	Rapid unconsciousness, "knockdown" or immediate collapse within 1 to 2 breaths, breathing stops, death within minutes.
1000-2000	Nearly instant death

Source: <https://www.osha.gov/SLTC/hydrogensulfide/hazards.html> on 6-23-2016

H₂S is not a hypothetical hazard. It has killed in our local oilfields. In 1994, Vintage Petroleum lost 3 workers to H₂S at its Seacliff facility which is just off Highway 101 north of Ventura.

(http://articles.latimes.com/1994-08-11/news/mn-26016_1_hydrogen-sulfide on 6-22-16)

Assistant Fire Chief Dave Festerling responded to the Vintage deaths. He said that hydrogen sulfide "can be absorbed so rapidly into the blood stream that it is sometimes fatal by the time a person smells it." (Ibid) According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), H₂S caused 60 worker deaths between 2001 and 2010.

<https://www.osha.gov/SLTC/hydrogensulfide/> on 6-23-16)

These facts show that Applicant soft-pedals the environmental impact of H₂S and has erroneously characterized its Class 1 impacts as Class II.

- b. **County P&D and APCD Are Inexplicably Silent on H₂S.** Neither the APCD condition letter (P&D Staff Report, Att B, pg 31-34) nor the P&D Staff report mention H₂S. It is APCD's role to review at least the air portions of the EIR for sufficiency and adequacy, especially health risk impacts, which is why County P&D includes APCD's condition letter in its staff report.

Via email to the signatory of the APCD Condition Letter, I asked the APCD why its input to the EIR review is silent on H₂S given the lethality of this gas. APCD responded that its Mitigation Measure AQ-3a addresses tank releases of H₂S. My concerns about this Mitigation Measure are in the next section.

The fact that this gas is not named raises concerns about the adequacy of the project conditions. Until H₂S is named and efficacious conditions are specified, Applicant and agency conclusions cannot be relied upon as meeting CEQA or protecting public health.

- c. **Questionable Efficacy of Tank Detection System Mitigation Measure AQ-3a:** There are 3 concerns with this measure that could render it ineffective.

- **Either monitor pressure or send gas to VRS:** This measure calls for a system that either monitors headspace **pressure** (NOT headspace H₂S **concentration**) or directs gas that escapes the tank from pressure relief devices (PRDs) to a vapor recovery system (VRS). Applicant may prefer to monitor **pressure** because it is simpler and lower cost. Pressure is here used as a proxy for H₂S detection. The way this measure is written, with an “or,” no control method is specified in the first of the 2 choices. This is probably a clerical error, but it should be precisely stated because we cannot know efficacy until it is clear.
- **VRS does not address H₂S:** PRDs exist to protect the structural integrity of the tank (i.e. prevent rupture). Even if the PRDs are sensitive enough to vent 10% short of rupture as specified and they do vent the H₂S to a VRS, VRSs do not remove H₂S. H₂S removal, called “sweetening,” requires a different process. (<http://naturalgas.org/naturalgas/processing-ng/> on 6-25-16) All that a VRS system does is cool, condense and collect gas that can be condensed. Accordingly, the measure seems ineffective as mitigation for H₂S.
- **Tanks Are Part of the Picture, Not the Main Source:** The measure addresses only tanks even though H₂S is emitted as “fugitive emissions” from oil field component connections. The project is adding hundreds of connections in its 10,000+ feet of new pipelines. H₂S is highly corrosive and rusts ferrous metals rapidly. Staff does not mention, quantify, limit or condition these **new** H₂S emissions.

Measure AQ-3a, therefore, is not adequate to mitigate the risks presented by project H₂S.

- d. **H₂S Impacts Sensitive Species.** CEQA requires consideration of this impact. H₂S is colorless and sinks into depressions and displaces oxygen there, which is one way that it kills. Exposure times of 2-15 minutes deaden the victim’s ability to smell it (See table on page 2). This caused many a worker to think it was gone and then succumb. In addition to humans, H₂S asphyxiates air-breathing creatures such as amphibians, mammals, birds and reptiles. The California tiger salamander is an amphibian that lives in or near water, which, like H₂S, accumulates in low areas. It is therefore at special risk. The salamander might not care much about the odor, but it is not immune to the lethality of this gas.

- e. **H₂S Is Far From Inert:** Applicant incorrectly characterizes H₂S as inert (EIR section 4.1-3, parag 4). This is objectively and scientifically inaccurate. It is highly reactive, corrosive, lethal, and has its own ambient air quality standard (Hydrogen Sulfide Corrosion: Its Consequences, Detection and Control, USEPA, Sept 1991, #832S9100, page 2 and (<http://www.arb.ca.gov/research/aaqs/caaqs/h2s/h2s.htm> on 6-24-16). Inert compounds do not become the subjects of ambient air quality standards. If what Applicant means is that H₂S does not react with ROCs or NO_x and sunlight to form ozone, Applicant should so limit its assertion to avoid the potential for misleading the reader into thinking H₂S is harmless.

2. **Inscrutable Basis For Emission Totals:** MRS/PCE uses the numbers in EIR Table 4.1-8 to conclude that air impacts will be mitigable. This conclusion would allow the project to pass CEQA muster if mitigations are efficacious. One way to assess impacts is to compare project emission totals to emission thresholds set by the jurisdiction.

The validity of the emission totals in EIR Table 4.1-8 is therefore critical. Underlying these totals are mobs of calculations and emission factors (EFs). I will spare you the calculations. Let’s look just at EFs. Noting the vagueness of references to sources of emission factors (more in Sec 3 below), I asked the APCD staff member who signed APCD’s condition letter these questions:

a. What are the sources for the EFs?

b. Did PCE/MRS discuss the EFs with APCD before the EIR was finalized in April 2016?

APCD staff tracked down the EFs to my satisfaction; I am much obliged. I still do not know, though, whether this was done before APCD sent its condition letter. Although it does state that a permit is required, APCD's condition letter does not state that criteria pollutant offsets or Best Available Control Technology (BACT) would be triggered. In other words, the APCD has relied on Applicant's emission calculations which lead to the conclusion that two of APCD's most powerful methods of controlling air pollution, BACT & offsets, are foreclosed. This acceptance by APCD makes it difficult for it to decide later to require BACT or offsets. It stretches credulity that a project of this size involving drilling, well enhancement and 96 wells would not trigger BACT or offsets. Accordingly, any decision on the project should be deferred until the emission totals are shown to be accurate.

3. **Vague References:** The EIR is replete with references that are vague enough to make it very difficult for the lead agency, and almost impossible for the public, to find what is being cited. Examples:

a. On pg 4.1-19 of the proposed Final EIR, MRS/PCE states that "APCD spreadsheets were utilized in estimating tank emissions and crude oil properties." What spreadsheets? APCD has thousands of them.

b. "APCD website accessed 2014". This reference is unworkably vague. To enable the reader to find the source, the minimum information needed is the webpage URL and the date of access. In the case of the APCD's website, this is even more important because it is deep, with hundreds of webpages. It is reasonable to assume that it has been updated at least 6 times since 2014.

c. MRS/PCE state in Sec 4.1 of the April 2016 EIR App B that some EFs are "proposed by the Applicants." The implication is that they are nonstandard or negotiable. Both are red flags when it comes to EFs.

Given the vagueness of references, how would the public assess MRS/PCE's totals? Adequate citations are essential because they would enable the reader to determine if the EFs are correct.

4. **The Project's High Water Use in the Current Drought is Unjustified**

Freshwater usage on just the drilling phase is 1.2 million gallons^[1]. This is too much in a county in the 5th year of a serious drought, reservoirs close to empty, aquifers dropping, 35% conservation already achieved, sharply rising water rates, and new construction of a desalination plant. As a frame of reference, using my half-acre home and average water usage as a sample, 1.2 million gallons could supply 39 homes like mine for a year^[2]. 39 such homes would be a 24 acre housing tract^[3]. 24 acres is over three times the area that this project allocates to habitat disturbance, 6.09 acres (Attachment B; Conditions of Approval, page B-15). 1.2 million gallons is more than Applicant's share of finite freshwater supplies on which we all depend.

The fact that Applicant's plan includes drilling its own water wells does not remedy the problem because aquifers are finite in a drought. We are without facts on which to base a reasonable conclusion that the target aquifer is recharging. Accordingly, water removed from it reduces the supply for everyone else relying on the aquifer. Despite the scale of Applicant's planned water use, I find no limits on the freshwater to be taken from the proposed new water wells, nor is there any mitigation for the reduced freshwater supply.

5. **Statement of Overriding Consideration is Unjustified**

Applicant cites six justifications for a Statement of Overriding Consideration (SOC) (Staff Report page 8). Two of the six cite reducing the need for foreign oil or meeting domestic energy needs, both of which are not justifications when the *US is exporting domestic oil*.

(<http://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2016-03-18/from-china-to-switzerland-u-s-crude-oil-exports-go-mainstream> on 6-26-2016)

The question, then, is whether the 4 remaining "justifications" are adequate to support an SOC.

The second listed justification is to leverage extraction technology for efficiency of extraction (Staff Report page 8). While this may benefit PCE, it would not automatically benefit the environment or the public. If, to be a "justification", it were enough that the item benefit the Applicant, profit would be listed as a justification, yet it is not.

The third listed justification is to use the brine that comes from the formation with the oil. This brine is essentially a hazardous waste, so I favor a good use for it. It cannot be used, however, to water crops or landscaping or even to farm salt water fish. Whether Applicant can use this water for steam as stated without the use of chemicals is questionable. Brine is corrosive, and brine steam is even worse. Steam handling equipment that is challenged in this way requires chemicals yet no mention is made of chemicals. Where are the chemicals identified as to ingredients, quantity, usage limits, fire safety issues, etc? Absent adequate facts, this is more of a red flag than a justification.

The fifth listed justification is increasing employment. The drilling jobs are temporary and many do not go to local residents. Once oil wells are in production, the number of employees needed to keep them running is small. Even when oil prices are high, oil production employs few workers in this county. For example, in 2013 the entire County of Santa Barbara employed just 641 people in direct oil and gas extraction (The Oil and Gas Industry in California, Its Economic Contribution and Workforce in 2013, Western States Petroleum Association, June 2015, page 53).

Five of the six justifications, then, aren't justifications. Accordingly, an SOC would tack close to "arbitrary and capricious" -- a hallmark of government decisions that get reversed on appeal.

Request: For the reasons stated above, there are Class I impacts that are not identified, Class II impacts that are not mitigated, air pollution totals that do not yet rest on a reliable foundation, massive water use, unaddressed red flags related to brine, and an unjustified Statement of Overriding Consideration. I request that this project be denied.

Sincerely,

Donna Lewis

^[1] Based on the 12,600 gallons that the Applicant states will be used for each oil well it drills (Attachment B Conditions of Approval, pg B-4), i.e. 12,600 gal/oil well drilled x 96 wells = 1,209,600 gallons.

^[2] Number of homes: 1,209,600 gal / (3.5 HCF/mo x 748 gal/HCF x 12 mo/year) = 39 homes worth of freshwater

^[3] 39 homes x 0.5 acres/home x 1.25 factor for streets = 24 acres

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 2:47 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Nov. 1 PCEC vote

FYI

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Lee Heller <lee@leeheller.net>
Date: October 14, 2016 at 1:14:20 PM PDT
To: Carbajal Salud <scarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Nov. 1 PCEC vote

Hi Salud,

Since I find it hard to imagine that staff is going to come back with findings sufficient to address the following concerns, I am urging you to vote NO on the PCEC project when it comes back to the Board on Nov. 1st.

The PCEC Project is one of the riskiest and dirtiest oil operations in the County, has resulted in 100 uncontrolled seeps so far (which will continue if the project is approved in any form), and offers only uncertain and limited benefits. The short term jobs created would not justify the Class I impacts to water, habitat and air quality. I appreciate the north county concern for more jobs, but this project in any form will not meet that need. I also appreciate that the Santa Maria Energy project was approved with ground-breaking mitigations, but this is a significantly dirtier and more environmentally destructive project, and mitigations will not be able to adequately address that reality.

I appreciate your effort to ensure that those receive full public consideration, but hope that you will end up voting to protect Orcutt — and the County -- from a bad project.

Sincerely,

Lee E. Heller, Ph.D., J.D.
PO Box 1592
Summerland CA 93067
lee@leeheller.net
805-451-5787

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Monday, October 17, 2016 9:39 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: COMMENTARY/LTE re. PCEC DENIAL OF APPEAL

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Seth Steiner <wsasteiner@gmail.com>
Date: October 17, 2016 at 9:34:58 AM PDT
To: SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org
Subject: COMMENTARY/LTE re. PCEC DENIAL OF APPEAL

Salud Carbajal has made many thoughtful decisions and has cast votes that served Santa Barbara County well. Would he now take a radical position in the guise of "moderation"?

PCEC wants permission to drill 96+ new wells at Orcutt Hill, doubling their activity there. It is already one of the riskiest and dirtiest oil operations in the county. Just ask people who live in the vicinity. And unlike operations of the past, it is way out of normal bounds.

Existing activity is causing oil to seep uncontrollably in over 100 locations. If the project, or any proposed alternative, is approved, the seeps will continue to grow in number and destructive effect. PCEC should not get a permit to add new wells while current ones are shut down due to well failures.

It would be an "extreme" act to dramatically expand drilling in a field with that many seeps, explosive well-casing failures, surface cracks, heaving and other problems... and to allow a drilling process that would require almost 2 million gallons of our precious freshwater.

Voting for any expansion in Orcutt is an "extreme" position. It would be an "extreme" act to pretend that the possible benefits of approval, like short-term construction jobs, one or two permanent jobs and unknowable tax revenues, come close to outweighing the project's significant and severe impacts to water, habitat and air quality.

It would be an "extreme" act to take on the risks to the county of major health and environmental damage, including pollution of our water resources. Already financially stressed, PCEC might not be able to afford the costs of a major cleanup. This could leave us with the consequences and all taxpayers in the county with the tab.

There are no grounds for the claim that any PCEC proposal would reduce the incidence of seeps, spills and well-casing failure. None of the PCEC proposals would contribute much of value to the county. If more wells are not approved, not a single existing job would be lost. But, and not surprisingly, PCEC owners and shareholders stand to profit handsomely by more drilling there.

Some Orcutt residents are already suffering from toxic chemicals and odors from the site. That would only worsen if the project is permitted. Allowing any more drilling at that location is immoderate and irresponsible.

When our county supervisors cast their votes, the interests of our county, its residents and local businesses should count more than any potential short-term gain for PCEC shareholders. After long and careful study by our county planning commission and by expert civic organizations, the conclusions are clear, the right decision is obvious. The appeal by PCEC should be denied and no form of the project should be permitted.

Let's hope Salud Carbajal again takes the reasoned path and votes in our behalf.

Seth Steiner
Los Alamos

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2016 7:28 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: PCEC - one more round

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Gail Osherenko <gail.osherenko@gmail.com>
Date: October 17, 2016 at 2:28:00 PM PDT
To: "Carbajal, Salud" <scarbaja@co.santa-barbara.ca.us>
Subject: PCEC - one more round

Salud,

Thank you for sticking with Janet and opposing the PCEC expansion project last week. Frankly I was dismayed that Doreen sent the project back to the planning department for more info and more work when it is quite obvious that adding 96 wells to this area in Orcutt is a bad idea likely to cause more seepage, not produce any significant number of permanent jobs, not get the County closer to a shift to renewable energy, and risk more spills, polluted air and water from a company that does not have a great record.

So please hang in there and reject the PCEC project AGAIN.

Thank you,
Gail

Gail Osherenko
(805) 886 1182 mobile

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Monday, October 24, 2016 11:10 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: PCEC

FYI

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: John Douglas <jed805@gmail.com>
Date: October 24, 2016 at 11:05:21 PM PDT
To: Supervisor Salud Carbajal <scarbaja@co.santa-barbara.ca.us>, farr@countyofsb.org
Cc: 350santabarbara@gmail.com
Subject: PCEC

Supervisors Carbajal and Farr:

I urge you to deny Pacific Coast Energy Company's proposed project to expand steam fracking in our county. Too many spills, too much wasted water, too much risk of fouling water table, too much pollution. Thanks for considering my views.

John E. Douglas
7465 Hollister Ave. #234
Goleta, CA 93117

--

John E. Douglas
(805) 284-2082
jed805@gmail.com

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 10:28 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: VOTE NO ON PCEC

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Joseph Cain <josephrcain@gmail.com>
Date: October 25, 2016 at 10:11:13 AM PDT
To: dfarr@countyofsb.org, JWolf@sbcbos2.org, peter.adam@countyofsb.org,
steve.lavagnino@countyofsb.org, SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org
Subject: VOTE NO ON PCEC

PCEC has already caused over 100 uncontrolled seeps/spills from their existing project. They should be shut down completely, not awarded an additional project permit! The techniques they use are inherently dangerous, water intensive, and incompatible with our beautiful county's agricultural heritage.

Joe Cain

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 7:40 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Ashby Remley <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 27, 2016 at 7:36:16 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Ashby Remley <aremley@defenders.org>

Oct 27, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Ashby Remley
1130 17th St NW
Washington, DC 20036-4604
(202) 772-0236
aremley@defenders.org

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 12:45 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: DENY PCEC appeal

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Suzanne Steed <suzannesteed@mac.com>
Date: October 27, 2016 at 12:03:18 PM PDT
To: SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org, sbcob@co.santa-barbara.ca.us
Subject: DENY PCEC appeal

Dear Honorable Supervisors,

I urge you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills.

- PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County – last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills.
- PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills! If the project or any alternative is approved by the Board, the seeps and oil spills will continue.
- None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.
- The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, do not come close to outweighing its significant impacts.

Sincerely,

Suzanne and John Steed
820 Toro Canyon Road
Santa Barbara, CA 93108

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 12:46 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: No oil

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Sandra S Mezzio <sandymv@me.com>
Date: October 27, 2016 at 12:03:12 PM PDT
To: <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: No oil

Dear Supervisor Carbajal,

I urge you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills.

- 1. PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County – last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills.*
- 2. PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills! If the project or any alternative is approved by the Board, the seeps and oil spills will continue.*
- 3. None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.*
- 4. The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, do not come close to outweighing its significant impacts.*

Sincerely,

Sandra Mezzio
198 Tiburon Bay Ln.

Santa Barbara CA 93108

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 1:56 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: PCEC's Appeal for Oil Drilling

FYI

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Heather Shields <Heather.Shields@patagonia.com>
Date: October 27, 2016 at 1:48:52 PM PDT
To: "SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org" <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: PCEC's Appeal for Oil Drilling

Dear Honorable Supervisor Carbajal,
I urge you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills.

1. PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County – last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills.
2. PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills! If the project or any alternative is approved by the Board, the seeps and oil spills will continue.
3. None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.
4. The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, do not come close to outweighing its significant impacts.

Sincerely,
Heather Shields

Heather Shields
Testing and Standards Engineer, Technical Knits
Patagonia, Inc.
259 W. Santa Clara St., Ventura, CA 93001 USA
Phone: (805) 667-4867

Please consider the Environment before printing this email.

***CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE—This email and any files/attachments transmitted with it may contain confidential and privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, DO NOT read, copy, print, or disseminate this communication. Non-intended recipients are hereby placed on notice that any unauthorized disclosure, duplication, distribution, or taking of any action in reliance on the contents of these materials is expressly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please delete this information in its entirety (including all attachments) and immediately notify the sender via a separate e-mail that you have received this communication in error. ***

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 9:35 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny PCEC appeal

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Sheila Lodge <sjlodge@cox.net>
Date: October 27, 2016 at 9:33:43 PM PDT
To: <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny PCEC appeal

Dear Salud,

I urge you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills.

- 1. PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County; last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills.*
- 2. PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills.*
- 3. None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.*
- 4. The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, do not come close to outweighing its significant impacts.*

Sincerely,

Sheila

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 9:36 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Support Planning Commission's decision to protect us from PCEC

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "c. harter" <christyharter@yahoo.com>
Date: October 27, 2016 at 8:59:42 PM PDT
To: <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Support Planning Commission's decision to protect us from PCEC
Reply-To: "c. harter" <christyharter@yahoo.com>

Dear Honorable Supervisor Carbajal,

I urge you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills.

1. PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County – last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills.
2. PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills! If the project or any alternative is approved by the Board, the seeps and oil spills will continue.
3. None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.
4. The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, do not come close to outweighing its significant impacts.

Sincerely,
Christine M. Harter
6660 Abrego Road, APT 213
Goleta, CA 93117
(805) 448-5023

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 7:39 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Laura Leifer <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 7:38:29 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Laura Leifer <lleifer@fielding.edu>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Laura Leifer
1216 Cuesta St
Santa Ynez, CA 93460-9435
(806) 686-5142
lleifer@fielding.edu

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 7:40 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: sharon kantanen <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 7:38:23 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: sharon kantanen <kantanen@aol.com>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

sharon kantanen
149 Por La Mar Cir
Santa Barbara, CA 93103-3776
kantanen@aol.com

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 7:40 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Thank you for your email and advocacy on this issue.
Salud

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Judith Hogan <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 7:38:29 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Judith Hogan <jkrayk@silcom.com>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

Locally we have a long history of guarding against oil companies, like Pacific Coast, whose safety records are abominable. They would have us believe they will step up to use modern safety features while historically their safety record is poor.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Judith Hogan
556 Scenic Dr
Santa Barbara, CA 93103-2925
(805) 969-0907
jkrayk@silcom.com

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 7:41 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Brett Gidney <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 7:38:23 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Brett Gidney <drgidney@myfastheart.com>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Brett Gidney
504 W Pueblo St
Santa Barbara, CA 93105-6211
drgidney@myfastheart.com

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 7:41 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Karen Taatjes <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 7:38:23 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Karen Taatjes <magictravelgenie@gmail.com>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Karen Taatjes
166 Galaxy Way
Lompoc, CA 93436-1117
(805) 717-1554
magictravelgenie@gmail.com

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 7:42 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Sharon Houseknecht <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 7:38:18 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Sharon Houseknecht <shouse723@gmail.com>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Sharon Houseknecht
315 Meigs Rd Ste A
Santa Barbara, CA 93109-1900
(585) 590-0713
shouse723@gmail.com

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 7:42 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Deanna Steege <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 7:38:18 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Deanna Steege <dsteege@defenders.org>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Deanna Steege
1130 17th St NW
Washington, DC 20036-4604
dsteege@defenders.org

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 7:43 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Gail Eatherly <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 7:38:12 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Gail Eatherly <gailrenga@hotmail.com>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Gail Eatherly
5110 Cathedral Oaks Rd Unit A
Santa Barbara, CA 93111-1252
gailrenga@hotmail.com

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 7:43 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Bob Leppo <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 7:38:12 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Bob Leppo <bob_leppo@yahoo.com>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Bob Leppo
1652 Via Rico
Santa Maria, CA 93454-2609
bob_leppo@yahoo.com

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 7:44 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Emma Darmon <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 7:38:12 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Emma Darmon <darmonemma@gmail.com>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Emma Darmon
56, rue saint Denis
Noisy-le-Sec - FRANCE, CA 93130-
651285528
darmonemma@gmail.com

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 9:09 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Lisa Ann Kelly & Family <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 9:08:43 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcobos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Lisa Ann Kelly & Family <bluesunflowersb@gmail.com>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Lisa Ann Kelly & Family
1724 Olive Ave
Santa Barbara, CA 93101-1021
(805) 569-1714
bluesunflowersb@gmail.com

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 9:11 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: PCEC Orcutt Hill Oil Project - Deny Appeal

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Hector Seguel <Hector.Seguel@patagonia.com>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 9:09:13 AM PDT
To: "SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org" <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: PCEC Orcutt Hill Oil Project - Deny Appeal

Dear Honorable Supervisors,

I urge you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills.

- 1 PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County – last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills.
- 2 PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills! If the project or any alternative is approved by the Board, the seeps and oil spills will continue.
- 3 None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.
- 4 The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, do not come close to outweighing its significant impacts.

Sincerely,

Hector Seguel
2006 Grand Ave Ste B
Santa Barbara, CA 93103

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 9:11 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Martha Siegel <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 9:08:38 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Martha Siegel <mbsiegel@cox.net>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Martha Siegel
3775 Modoc Rd Apt 192
Santa Barbara, CA 93105-5424
(805) 565-3190
mbsiegel@cox.net

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 9:17 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Margie Borchers <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 9:08:21 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Margie Borchers <margieborchers@gmail.com>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Margie Borchers
401 E Micheltorena St
Apt 2
Santa Barbara, CA 93101-1138
margieborchers@gmail.com

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 9:17 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Barbara Lyon <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 9:08:27 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Barbara Lyon <barbelyon@gmail.com>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Barbara Lyon
PO Box 1175
Goleta, CA 93116-1175
barbelyon@gmail.com

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 9:17 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Norma Mitchell <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 9:08:27 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Norma Mitchell <nmitchell@housing.ucsb.edu>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Norma Mitchell
142 San Clemente St
Santa Barbara, CA 93109-2130
nmitchell@housing.ucsb.edu

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 9:18 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Michelle Commons <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 9:08:21 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Michelle Commons <michelle.elizondo@gmail.com>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Michelle Commons
PO Box 3
Santa Barbara, CA 93102-0003
(805) 636-8880
michelle.elizondo@gmail.com

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 9:18 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Pam Brandon <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 8:39:05 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Pam Brandon <pambrandon@me.com>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Pam Brandon
905 W Mission St
Santa Barbara, CA 93101-4651
pambrandon@me.com

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 9:19 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jamey Marth <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 8:38:19 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Jamey Marth <jmarth@me.com>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Dr. Jamey Marth
299 Elderberry Dr
Goleta, CA 93117-2475
jmarth@me.com

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 9:19 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Claudia Knudson <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 8:38:19 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Claudia Knudson <caknud@gmail.com>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Claudia Knudson
821 E Pedregosa St
Santa Barbara, CA 93103-1834
caknud@gmail.com

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 9:19 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Ellet Wilson <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 8:38:19 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Ellet Wilson <car31jou@msn.com>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mr. Ellet Wilson
512 N 1st St
Lompoc, CA 93436-5424
(805) 736-7874
car31jou@msn.com

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 9:20 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Say NO to more oil drilling

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Rose Koller <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 8:38:19 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Say NO to more oil drilling
Reply-To: Rose Koller <rkoller@sbceo.org>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

More drilling in this area is a very bad idea. As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps--seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project. Please consider the future and protect this area.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Rose Koller
PO Box 643
Los Alamos, CA 93440-0643
(805) 344-2201
rkoller@sbceo.org

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 9:20 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Josephine Hyde <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 8:38:19 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Josephine Hyde <daliodada@yahoo.com>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Josephine Hyde
4847 Rim Rd
Santa Barbara, CA 93105-9745
daliodada@yahoo.com

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 9:20 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jamie Throgmorton <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 8:38:19 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Jamie Throgmorton <jamiejoy33@gmail.com>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Dr. Jamie Throgmorton
3950 Via Real Spc 142
Carpinteria, CA 93013-1203
jamiejoy33@gmail.com

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 9:21 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Sara Macdonald <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 8:38:19 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Sara Macdonald <saraleemacdonald@msn.com>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Miss Sara Macdonald
1426 Claremont Pl
Santa Maria, CA 93458-6547
saraleemacdonald@msn.com

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 9:21 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: DENY PCEP

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Don & Sally Webb <sdwebb@cox.net>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 8:16:00 AM PDT
To: <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: DENY PCEP

Dear Honorable Supervisors,

I urge you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills.

- 1. PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County -- last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills.*
- 2. PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills! If the project or any alternative is approved by the Board, the seeps and oil spills will continue.*
- 3. None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.*
- 4. The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, do not come close to outweighing its significant impacts.*

Sincerely,

Donald and Sally Webb
621 Cowles Rd santa Barbara, CA 93108

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 9:21 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Michele Tornabene <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 8:08:27 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Michele Tornabene <tornabenem@gmail.com>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Michele Tornabene
PO Box 1483
Summerland, CA 93067-1483
(555) 555-5555
tornabenem@gmail.com

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 9:21 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Viola Freeman <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 8:08:21 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Viola Freeman <violafreeman@verizon.net>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Viola Freeman
1325 Chapala St Apt 10
Santa Barbara, CA 93101-3175
(805) 965-0112
violafreeman@verizon.net

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 9:22 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jean Cheesman <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 8:08:21 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Jean Cheesman <bjwych@aol.com>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Jean Cheesman
1111 Garcia Rd
Santa Barbara, CA 93103-2127
(805) 965-8619
bjwych@aol.com

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 9:22 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Angela Russell <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 8:08:16 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Angela Russell <angela.russell@l-3com.com>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mrs. Angela Russell
452 Linfield Pl Apt E
Goleta, CA 93117-4055
(805) 879-0198
angela.russell@l-3com.com

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 9:22 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Lynne Hargett <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 8:08:16 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Lynne Hargett <calisun2@comcast.net>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Lynne Hargett
1701 W Loquat Ave
Lompoc, CA 93436-6344
(805) 717-6142
calisun2@comcast.net

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 9:22 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Nancy Tubiolo <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 8:08:16 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Nancy Tubiolo <nasmitub@hotmail.com>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ms. Nancy Tubiolo
2949 Arriba Way
Santa Barbara, CA 93105-2201
nasmitub@hotmail.com

Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Carbajal, Salud
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 9:23 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Susan Fowler <defenders@mail.defenders.org>
Date: October 28, 2016 at 8:08:16 AM PDT
To: Salud Carbajal <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>
Subject: Deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project
Reply-To: Susan Fowler <suehfowler@comcast.net>

Oct 28, 2016

Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Salud Carbajal

Dear Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors Carbajal,

As a Defender of Wildlife and a resident of Santa Barbara, I am writing to urge you to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

The project, situated in the environmentally sensitive Orcutt Hills of northern Santa Barbara County, endangers one of the most biologically diverse locations in California - an area that is home to several rare plant and animal species, including the federally protected California Tiger Salamander.

There are already 96 wells on the Orcutt Oil Field, and the area has a history of accidents - to date, there have been 100 uncontrolled oil seeps - seeps that inundate and ruin the homes of California wildlife. If the plan is allowed to move forward, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife predicts another 225 seeps in the next 25 years!

Approving this plan goes against the County's comprehensive conservation plans and policies - and the county's Planning Commission already denied this project once.

The uncertain short-term benefits of approving this plan cannot begin to compare to the significant negative consequences for Santa Barbara's water quality, air quality and wildlife habitat.

As California residents, we should be proud of the hard work our state has done to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions - but developing fossil fuel drilling in a project like this will negate the progress that we've made.

It is imperative that the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's oil drilling project.

Thank you for your consideration,

Susan Fowler
1327 Edison St
Santa Ynez, CA 93460-9667
(805) 705-3632
suehfowler@comcast.net